Intracrine Cysteinyl Leukotriene Receptor-mediated Signaling of Eosinophil Vesicular Transport-mediated Interleukin-4 Secretion

Christianne Bandeira-Melo, Lesley J. Woods, Mojabeng Phoofolo, and Peter F. Weller

Department of Medicine, Harvard Thorndike Laboratories, Charles A. Dana Research Institute, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215

Abstract

We investigated whether cysteinyl leukotrienes (cysLT) are intracrine signal transducers that regulate human eosinophil degranulation mechanisms. Interleukin (IL)-16, eotaxin, and RANTES stimulate vesicular transport-mediated release of preformed, granule-derived IL-4 and RANTES from eosinophils and the synthesis at intracellular lipid bodies of LTC4, the dominant 5-lipoxygenase-derived eicosanoid in eosinophils. 5-Lipoxygenase inhibitors blocked IL-16-, eotaxin-, and RANTES-induced IL-4 release; but neither exogenous LTC4, LTD4, nor LTE_4 elicited IL-4 release. Only after membrane permeabilization enabled cysLTs to enter eosinophils did LTC₄ and LTD₄ stimulate IL-4, but not RANTES, release. LTC₄-elicited IL-4 release was pertussis toxin inhibitable, but inhibitors of the two known G protein-coupled cysLT receptors (cysLTRs) (CysLT1 and CysLT2) did not block LTC₄-elicited IL-4 release. LTC₄ was 10-fold more potent than LTD₄ and at low concentrations (0.3–3 nM) elicited, and at higher concentrations (>3 nM) inhibited, IL-4 release from permeabilized eosinophils. Likewise with intact eosinophils, LTC_4 export inhibitors, which increased intracellular LTC_4 , inhibited eotaxin-elicited IL-4 release. Thus, LTC4 acts, via an intracellular cysLTR distinct from CysLT1 or CysLT2, as a signal transducer to selectively regulate IL-4 release. These results demonstrate that LTC4, well recognized as a paracrine mediator, may also dynamically govern inflammatory and immune responses as an intracrine mediator of eosinophil cytokine secretion.

Key words: eotaxin • interleukin-16 • RANTES • leukotriene C4 • 5-lipoxygenase

Introduction

Eosinophils, one of the principal cell types recruited to and activated at sites of allergic inflammation, are major participants in the pathogenesis of asthma and other forms of allergic disorders (1, 2). Eosinophils have the potential to generate and release diverse lipid and protein mediators critical to the development and perpetuation of allergic inflammation. Eosinophils are major sources of cysteinyl leukotrienes (cysLTs),* LTC₄ and its extracellular derivatives, LTD₄ and LTE₄ (3). These 5-lipoxygenase (LO) pathway–

derived eicosanoids have many well recognized actions as paracrine mediators, causing bronchoconstriction, mucous hypersecretion, increased microvascular permeability, bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and eosinophil infiltration (4-6). In addition, eosinophil-specific granules contain proteins that include not only their distinctive cationic granule proteins, but also over two dozen preformed cytokines (7). Amongst these eosinophil-derived cytokines are the CC chemokines, RANTES (8) and eotaxin (9), and the prototypical Th2 cytokine, IL-4 (10, 11). Like eosinophils, IL-4 is a hallmark of allergic and parasitic disorders. IL-4 contributes to the polarization toward Th2 differentiation and promotes IgE class switching (12). The actions of IL-4 are not limited to the initiation of Th2 responses, but may also stimulate other cellular responses that contribute to manifestations of allergic diseases (12, 13). Unlike CD4⁺ T cells, eosinophils store IL-4 (11), RANTES (14, 15), and eotaxin (9), already preformed within eosinophil specific granules. Preformed IL-4 and RANTES may be rapidly re-

Address correspondence to Peter F. Weller, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, DA-617, 330 Brookline Ave., Boston, MA 02215. Phone: 617-667-3307; Fax: 617-667-5541; E-mail: pweller@caregroup.harvard.edu

^{*}Abbreviations used in this paper: BFA, brefeldin A; cysLT, cysteinyl leukotriene; cysLTR, cysteinyl leukotriene receptor; HETE, hydroxyeicosatetranoic acid; LO, lipoxygenase; LT, leukotriene; MAP, mitogen-activated protein; PAF, platelet-activating factor; PI3K, phosphoinositide-3 kinase; PKC, protein kinase C; PTX, pertussis toxin; UDP, uridine diphosphate; UTP, uridine triphosphate.

⁸⁴¹ J. Exp. Med. © The Rockefeller University Press • 0022-1007/2002/09/841/10 \$5.00 Volume 196, Number 6, September 16, 2002 841–850 http://www.jem.org/cgi/doi/10.1084/jem.20020516

leased within minutes from eosinophil granules by vesicular transport (14–16), but the physiological stimuli and intracellular signal transduction mechanisms that elicit their release are not yet fully defined.

For the generation of cysLTs in eosinophils, arachidonic acid liberated from membrane phospholipids is acted on by 5-LO in concert with the 5-LO-activating protein (FLAP) to form LTA_4 (17). LTC_4 synthase conjugates reduced glutathione to LTA₄ to form LTC₄ (18). Production of LTC₄ within eosinophils may occur at perinuclear membranes or cytoplasmic lipid bodies (17, 19, 20). LTC_4 is not permeable across the plasma membrane and its release from eosinophils is mediated by a distinct ATPdependent export mechanism (21). Extracellularly, LTC₄ is further metabolized to LTD_4 by γ -glutamyl transpeptidase or γ -glutamyl leukotrienase (22, 23) and to LTE₄ by a dipeptidase (24). These cysLTs exert their actions by engaging specific receptors. To date, two cysLT receptors (cysLTRs) have been cloned and characterized, the CysLT1 and CysLT2 receptors (25-28). These receptors can be distinguished with pharmacologic inhibitors and by their differing ligand binding affinities, as studied in transfected cells. CysLT1, which is also activated by the pyriminidergic ligand, uridine diphosphate (UDP) (29), binds LTD₄ with a 10-fold greater affinity than it binds LTC₄ (IC₅₀'s of \sim 1 vs. \sim 350 nM, respectively) (25), whereas CysLT2 binds LTC4 and LTD4 with equal affinities (IC_{50}'s of ${\sim}3\text{--}7\,$ nM) (27, 28). In addition, various findings suggest the existence of other, not yet cloned, cysLTRs (30-32).

Understanding the mechanisms governing the synthesis of eosinophil cysLTs and their potential actions on eosinophils themselves are important because it is increasingly likely that eicosanoids synthesized within cells, including eosinophils, may have other roles in regulating cell functions, in addition to the more recognized paracrine activities in inflammation. Indeed, eicosanoids and related lipids are increasingly being recognized to have autocrine effects. For instance, eosinophil cysLTs exert autocrine effects to enhance eosinophil survival (33). The enhanced plasma membrane expression of activation-related CD69 on human eosinophils induced by platelet-activating factor (PAF) and IL-5 is dependent on endogenous eosinophil-derived 5-LO metabolites (34).

Although eosinophils express the two known, seven transmembrane spanning, G protein–coupled cysLTRs, CysLT1 and CysLT2 (28, 35), little is known about the plasma membrane or intracellular distribution of these or other eicosanoid receptors in eosinophils. On other cells, expression of specific G protein–coupled prostaglandin receptors is beginning to be localized at intracellular sites, including the nuclear envelope (36). The localization of cyclooxygenase and LO pathway enzymes and their intracellular eicosanoid-specific receptors at the nuclear envelope and the nucleoplasm may relate less to paracrine mediator formation and more to intracrine signal-transducing activities pertinent to more local transcriptional or other cellular functions (37, 38).

We have evaluated whether eosinophil-derived cysLTs function as autocrine or even intracrine mediators involved in the stimulated release of IL-4 from eosinophils. We find that CCR3 chemokine receptor-initiated signaling, which elicits the vesicular transport-mediated release of preformed IL-4 from eosinophils (16, 39), is dependent on the formation of new 5-LO pathway-derived eicosanoids. Endogenous LTC₄ formed at eosinophil lipid bodies, and not extracellular LTC₄, acting via distinct intracellular cys-LTRs, is an intracrine downstream signaling mediator of CCR3-elicited IL-4 release from eosinophils.

Materials and Methods

Eosinophil Purification. Peripheral blood was obtained with informed consent from 16 normal donors, and eosinophils were isolated with minor modifications of procedures described previously (15). In brief, after citrate-anticoagulated blood was mixed with 6% dextran saline (McGaw) to facilitate erythrocyte sedimentation, the leukocyte-enriched plasma was overlaid onto Ficoll-Paque Plus (Amersham Biosciences) and centrifuged at 250 g for 20 min. Granulocyte-enriched cell pellets were collected, washed at 4°C with calcium- and magnesium-free HBSS (HBSS^{-/-}), and depleted of erythrocytes by hypotonic saline lysis. Eosinophils were negatively selected using the StemSepTM system (StemCell Technologies Inc.) with an eosinophil enrichment mixture of antibodies against CD16, CD2, CD14, CD19 and CD56 plus magnetic colloid. The viability of freshly isolated cells was >95% (by trypan blue exclusion) and eosinophil purity was >99% (by HEMA3[®] staining; Fisher Scientific). Purified cell suspensions were adjusted to 10^6 or 15×10^6 cells/ml in RPMI 1640 medium containing 0.1% endotoxin-free ovalbumin (Sigma-Aldrich) for use in fluid- or gel-phase assays, respectively.

LTC₄ Assays. Eosinophil suspensions (10⁶ cells/ml) were washed in HBSS^{-/-}, resuspended in 1 ml of HBSS containing calcium and magnesium, and stimulated with 0.1 µM A23187 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min (37°C). Reactions were stopped on ice, and cell suspensions were centrifuged (500 g for 10 min; 4°C). Cell pellets were extracted for 30 min with methanol and centrifuged. Methanol extracts were dried under nitrogen and resuspended in HBSS^{-/-} to volumes equivalent to 10⁶ eosinophils/ ml. Cell supernatants and pellet extracts were assayed for LTC4 by enzyme immunoassay (sensitivity <7.8 pg/ml) (Cayman Chemical) for detection of released and intracellular levels of LTC4, respectively. Intracellular formation of LTC4 within eosinophils embedded in an agarose matrix was evaluated as described previously using carbodiimide fixation of newly formed LTC4 before its immunofluorescent localization with an Alexa-488-labeled (Molecular Probes) rat anti-LTC₄/LTD₄/LTE₄ mAb (clone 6E7; Sigma-Aldrich) (20).

EliCell Assays for Detecting IL-4 and RANTES Secretion. The EliCell assay, a gel-phase dual antibody capture and detection assay based on microscopic observations of individual viable cells, was performed as detailed (15, 16) to enumerate the proportions of eosinophils secreting preformed IL-4 or RANTES and to electronically quantitate (in arbitrary units $\times 10^6$) the average relative amounts of each cytokine secreted. Biotinylated goat polyclonal antibodies against IL-4 and RANTES (each at 20 µg/ml; R&D Systems) were used as capturing antibodies and paired with Alexa546-labeled anti–IL-4 and anti–RANTES mAb (400 µl of 10 µg/ml; R&D Systems) to detect released IL-4 and RANTES, respectively. Alexa546 labeling was performed as per a protocol from Molecular Probes. Controls to ascertain the specificity of extracellular immunodetection of these two cytokines and to confirm that the detected cytokines were not from the intracellular pool were performed. No IL-4 or RANTES staining was found either when Alexa546-labeled mouse IgG_1 was used as a nonimmune isotype control or when the biotinylated capture antibodies (necessary to immobilize cytokines at their extracellular sites of release) was substituted with a biotinylated irrelevant control antibody.

Stimuli and Treatments. Eosinophils were stimulated with IL-16 (100 nM; R&D Systems), RANTES (6 nM; R&D Systems), eotaxin (6 nM; R&D Systems), the cysLTs, LTC₄, LTD₄, or LTE₄, (0.03–3,000 nM; Cayman Chemical), PAF (1 μ M), 5(S)hydroxyeicosatetranoic acid (HETE) (1, 10, and 100 nM; Cayman Chemical), uridine triphosphate (UTP) or UDP (each 3 and 3,000 nM; Sigma-Aldrich), or calcium ionophore (0.5 μ M; Sigma-Aldrich) for time periods ranging from 5 min to 3 h.

For inhibition studies, cells were pretreated for 30 min with 20 ng/ml pertussis toxin (PTX; Calbiochem); 5-LO pathway inhibitors, MK886 (a FLAP and LTC4 synthase inhibitor) or AA861 (5-LO inhibitor) (10 µM; Biomol); brefeldin A (BFA) (0.1 and 1 µg/ml; Biomol); the protein kinase C (PKC) inhibitors, chelerythrine (10 µM) and staurosporin and calphostin C (1 µM; Biomol); the phosphoinositide-3 kinase (PI3K) inhibitors, wortmannin (1 μ M) and LY294002 (10 μ M; Biomol); the tyrosine kinase inhibitors, 10 µM herbimycin and 10 µM genistein (Biomol); the mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase inhibitors, 10 μM PD98059, 10 μM U0126, 10 μM and SB203580 (Biomol); or their vehicles, as indicated. Alternatively, cells were coincubated with cysLTR antagonists, MK571, LY171833, or BAYu9773 (10 µM; Biomol); eotaxin (6 nM); inhibitors of LTC₄ carrier-proteins, probenecid (0.5-2 mM; Sigma-Aldrich); MK571 (10 µM) and cyclosporin A (1 µg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich); or their vehicles, as indicated. Stock solutions of stimuli and inhibitors were prepared in HBSS^{-/-} containing 0.1% of endotoxin-free ovalbumin, aliquoted and stored at -20°C. AA861, MK886, LY294002, calphostin C, herbimycin, genistein, PD98059, U0126, SB203580, LY171833, BAYu9773, and A23187 were diluted in DMSO. The final DMSO concentration was <0.01% and had no effect on eosinophils.

Permeabilization of Viable Eosinophils. To enable the entrance of cysLTs into eosinophils, freshly purified eosinophils (at 2 imes106 cells/ml) were semi-permeabilized using a protocol published elsewhere (40) with minor modifications. In brief, cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature in a semi-permeant solution (1% methanol and 1% DMSO in HBSS^{-/-}). Cells were washed in RPMI, mixed with agarose matrix, and used in Eli-Cell assay. Alternatively, after 1 h of semi-permeabilization, eosinophils were washed and either stained with osmium for analysis of lipid body content (41) or checked by flow cytometry, as described earlier (16), to verify that the normal content of preformed IL-4 was unchanged. The success of the permeabilization procedure was confirmed by the ability of eosinophils to rapidly incorporate 10 µM pyrenedodecanoic acid (p96; Molecular Probes), a blue fluorescent fatty acid, in their lipid bodies within 15 min, whereas control nonpermeabilized cells showed no p96 staining (not depicted).

Statistical Analysis. Data were expressed as means \pm SD. Percent inhibition with antagonists was calculated in comparison to stimulated increases in LTC₄ production or IL-4 release above baselines. Statistical comparisons were done by ANOVA followed by Newman-Keuls Student's test. Differences were considered significant when P < 0.05.

Results

Endogenous 5-LO Pathway-derived Eicosanoids Regulate Eosinophil IL-4 Secretion. We have shown previously that preformed eosinophil granule stores of IL-4 may be rapidly and selectively released extracellularly by vesicular transport-mediated mechanisms activated via CCR3 either by exogenous eotaxin and RANTES or by these chemokines released from IL-16-stimulated eosinophils (16, 39). These CCR3-acting chemokines also prime calcium ionophore (A23187)-activated eosinophils for greater extracellular release of the dominant 5-LO eicosanoid of eosinophils, LTC₄, and directly elicit 5-LO activation and low level formation of LTC₄ detectable intracellularly at lipid body domains (20). Therefore, we investigated whether 5-LOderived eicosanoids might have signal-transducing roles in regulating cytokine secretion from human eosinophils. Pretreatment with two mechanistically distinct inhibitors of 5-LO (AA861 and MK886) blocked IL-16-, eotaxin-, and RANTES-induced IL-4 release from eosinophils (Fig. 1 A). In contrast, pretreatment of eosinophils with either AA861 or MK886 did not inhibit IL-16- or eotaxininduced release of RANTES (Fig. 1 B). The 5-LO inhibitors did not inhibit the cytolytic and exocytotic release of either IL-4 or RANTES elicited by A23187 (Fig. 1). Thus, eosinophil-derived 5-LO metabolites participate in CCR3





Figure 1. Effects of 5-LO pathway inhibitors on IL-16–induced, eotaxin-, RANTES-, and calcium ionophore (A23187)–induced IL-4 (A) or RANTES (B) release. Human eosinophils were pretreated for 30 min with 10 μ M AA861 or MK886, as indicated. Cells were mixed in EliCell gel-matrix and stimulated for 1 h with IL-16 (100 nM), eotaxin (6 nM), RANTES (6 nM), or A23187 (0.5 μ M). Results were expressed as the percentages of eosinophils releasing IL-4 or RANTES extracellularly. Values are means \pm SD from three independent assays. + and * represent P < 0.01 compared with nonstimulated and cytokine/chemokine-stimulated eosinophils, respectively. Nonstimulated eosinophils exhibited 8 \pm 4% positivity for IL-4 (n = 7) and 5 \pm 3% positivity for RANTES release (n = 5).

chemokine-initiated signaling to release IL-4, but not RANTES, from eosinophils.

Cysteinyl LTs Are Intracrine Signals Regulating Eosinophil IL-4 Secretion. We evaluated which 5-LO pathwayderived eicosanoids, generated within and potentially released from eosinophils in response to CCR3 activation, were involved in IL-4 secretion from eosinophils. We first investigated LTC₄ and its extracellular derivatives, LTD₄ and LTE₄. As shown in Fig. 2 A (open symbols), none of the three cysLTs at concentrations ranging from 0.03 to 3,000 nM were able to induce IL-4 or RANTES (not depicted) release from intact eosinophils. Likewise, exogenous 5-HETE (1, 10, and 100 nM), another potential eosinophil 5-LO-derived eicosanoid, failed to trigger IL-4 release from intact eosinophils (not depicted). 1 μ M PAF, which can activate the 5-LO pathway in eosinophils (41, 42), also did not elicit IL-4 release (not depicted).

To ascertain whether the 5-LO product LTC₄ was necessary but not sufficient to induce IL-4 secretion from eosinophils, eosinophils were pretreated with 5-LO pathway inhibitors (AA861 or MK886) and stimulated with IL-16 with or without exogenous LTC₄ (3 or 3,000 nM). As shown in Fig. 2 B, the co-stimulation of eosinophils with exogenous LTC₄ was unable to restore the ability of IL-16 to induce IL-4 release that was blocked by the 5-LO inhibitors. These data indicate that extracellular stimulation with exogenous LTC₄ is ineffective in regulating IL-4 release from intact eosinophils.

Because cysLTs are not permeable across the plasma membrane and we have shown previously that LTC₄ is synthesized intracellularly at cytoplasmic lipid bodies within IL-16-, eotaxin-, or RANTES-stimulated eosinophils (20, 39), we investigated whether cysLTs acting intracellularly could trigger IL-4 release. To investigate such potential intracrine signaling, we permeabilized eosinophils in order to allow impermeable cvsLTs to enter eosinophils. We established that permeabilized eosinophils in comparison with intact (nonpermeabilized) eosinophils retained their basal content of IL-4 (as assessed by flow cytometry) and their normal resting numbers of cytoplasmic lipid bodies, and were normally responsive to eotaxin in forming new lipid bodies (not depicted) or releasing IL-4 (Fig. 3). In contrast to intact eosinophils, both LTC₄ and LTD₄ stimulated IL-4 release (Fig. 2 A, closed symbols) but not RANTES release (not depicted) from permeabilized eosinophils. LTC₄ was more active than LTD₄, with LTC₄ stimulating greater percentages of eosinophils to release IL-4 extracellularly and with peak activity (0.3 nM) at a 10-fold lower concentration than with LTD₄. Notably, higher concentrations (\geq 30 nM) of either LTC₄ or LTD₄ did not elicit IL-4 release (Fig. 2 A). Neither LTE₄ (Fig. 2 B, closed symbols), 5-HETE (not depicted), nor PAF (not depicted) stimulated IL-4 release from permeabilized eosinophils. Therefore, intracellular LTC₄ formed after 5-LO activation



% Eosinophils releasing IL-4




Figure 2. Exogenous LTC₄ and LTD₄, but not LTE₄, stimulate IL-4 secretion only from plasma membrane–permeabilized eosinophils. (A) Intact (open symbols) or permeabilized (closed symbols) viable eosinophils were stimulated for 3 h with a range of cysLT concentrations (0.03–3,000 nM), as indicated. Results were expressed as the percentages of eosinophils releasing IL-4 extracellularly. Values are representative from three independent assays. (B) Exogenous LTC₄ was not able to restore IL-16–induced IL-4 release from intact eosinophils blocked by pretreatments (30 min) with 5-LO pathway inhibitors (AA861 and MK886 at 10 μ M). Values are means \pm SD from three independent assays. + and * represent P < 0.01 compared with nonstimulated (7 \pm 2% positive, dashed line) and IL-16–stimulated eosinophils, respectively.

Figure 3. High concentrations of intracellular LTC₄ block eotaxininduced IL-4 secretion from plasma membrane–permeabilized eosinophils. Intact (open bars) and permeabilized (closed bars) eosinophils were costimulated with 6 nM eotaxin plus different concentrations (0.03–3,000 nM) of LTC₄ (as indicated) for 3 h. Results were expressed as the percentages of eosinophils exhibiting secreted extracellular IL-4. Values are means ± SD from three independent assays. + and * represent P < 0.01 compared with nonstimulated and eotaxin-stimulated eosinophils, respectively.

functions even at low concentrations as an intracrine, and not extracellular autocrine, mediator to regulate the differential secretion of IL-4 (vs. RANTES) induced by IL-16, eotaxin, or RANTES.

Activation of a Novel Intracellular CysLTR Elicits IL-4 Secretion. The characteristics of the receptor-mediated signaling initiated by intracrine LTC₄ were evaluated. Pretreatment of eosinophils with pertussis toxin (Fig. 4 A) significantly inhibited LTC4- and LTD4-elicited IL-4 secretion from permeabilized eosinophils, suggesting the involvement of a $G_{i\alpha/o}$ -like protein-coupled cysLTR. The two G protein-coupled cysLTRs that have been cloned and characterized are CysLT1 and CysLT2 (25-28). Human eosinophils contain mRNA transcripts for both CysLT1and CysLT2 (28, 35). These receptors can be distinguished, in part, by their ligand binding affinities as studied in transfected cells. CysLT1, which is also activated by the pyriminidergic ligand, UDP (29), binds LTD₄ with a 10-fold greater affinity than it binds LTC₄, whereas CysLT2 binds LTC₄ and LTD₄ with equal affinities. In contrast, the intracellular cysLTR mediating signaling for IL-4 release from permeabilized eosinophils was activated by LTC_4 at 10-fold lower concentrations than LTD_4 (Fig. 2 A; 0.3 vs. 3 nM, respectively). Moreover, the pyriminidergic ligands UTP and UDP (each at 3 and 3,000 nM), the latter an agonist for CysLT1, did not elicit IL-4 release from permeabilized eosinophils (not depicted).

We also used specific receptor antagonists of CysLT1 (MK571 and LY171883) that do not inhibit CysLT2, and BAYu9773, a receptor antagonist for CysLT1 that also de-




Figure 4. Effects of PTX and cysLTR antagonists on LTC₄- and LTD₄-elicited IL-4 secretion from plasma membrane–permeabilized eosinophils. (A) Permeabilized eosinophils were pretreated for 30 min with PTX (20 ng/ml), MK571 (10 μ M), LY171883 (10 μ M), or BAYu9773 (10 μ M), and then stimulated for 3 h with LTC₄ (3 nM) or LTD₄ (3 nM). (B) Intact eosinophils were pretreated as described in A, and then stimulated for 1 h with 100 nM IL-16 or 6 nM eotaxin. Results were expressed as the percentages of eosinophils exhibiting secreted extracellular IL-4. Values are means \pm SD from three independent assays. + and * represent P < 0.01 compared with nonstimulated (6 \pm 3% positive) and stimulated eosinophils, respectively.

sensitizes CysLT2 to cysLTs (27). As shown in Fig. 4 A, these antagonists of the two known cysLTRs failed to inhibit LTC₄-elicited IL-4 secretion from permeabilized eosinophils, providing pharmacologic evidence that the intracrine signaling of LTC₄ was mediated by neither of the two known cysLTRs. In intact eosinophils stimulated to release IL-4 with either IL-16 or eotaxin, neither the CysLT1 antagonist LY171883 nor the dual receptor antagonist BAYu9773 inhibited IL-4 release (Fig. 4 B), again indicating in intact eosinophils that intracrine LTC₄ signaling was not acting via either CysLT1 or CysLT2 receptors.

Interestingly, pretreatment of eosinophils with MK571 did inhibit IL-4 secretion induced by both IL-16 and eotaxin (Fig. 4 B). MK571, in addition to being a CysLT1 antagonist, is also an inhibitor of multidrug resistance protein 1 (MRP1; references 43, 44), a protein carrier that mediates the extracellular export of impermeable LTC₄ from within cells (45, 46). To assess whether MK571's effect depended on its activity as an MRP1 inhibitor of LTC₄ export, we evaluated its effect on the intracellular levels of LTC4 within IL-16-stimulated cells and compared its effect with another known inhibitor of LTC₄ export from eosinophils, probenecid (21). With intact IL-16-stimulated eosinophils, both inhibitors of LTC4 transport, probenecid and MK571, blocked extracellular release of LTC₄ into eosinophil supernatants (see Fig. 5 C), increased intracellular LTC₄ levels (see Fig. 5 B), and inhibited IL-4 release (Fig. 5 A). Neither probenecid nor MK571 altered the intracellular content of IL-4, as assessed by flow cytometry in permeabilized eosinophils (16) (n = 3, not depicted). In contrast, control agents, LY171883, the CysLT1 inhibitor, and cyclosporin A, an inhibitor of another ATPdependent, multidrug resistance P-glycoprotein transporter not involved in LTC4 transport (47, 48), were without inhibitory effects on these three responses (Fig. 5). With inhibition of LTC4 extracellular export in these IL-16-stimulated eosinophils, intracellular LTC4 levels would be well in excess of ~ 5 nM LTC₄ concentrations measured in eosinophil extracts from 10⁶ eosinophils/ml. The inhibition of IL-4 release by these elevated intracellular levels of LTC₄ would accord with the absence of IL-4 release with permeabilized eosinophils exposed to >30 nM LTC₄ concentrations either alone (Fig. 2 A) or together with eotaxin stimulation (Fig. 3).

We investigated the sites of LTC_4 formation using immunofluorescent localization of newly formed and carbodiimide-immobilized LTC_4 (20). As reported previously (20, 39), in IL-16– and RANTES-stimulated eosinophils (Fig. 6 A), agonist-induced and newly synthesized LTC_4 was focally localized at cytoplasmic lipid bodies. With inhibition of LTC_4 export with either probenecid or MK571, both the percentages of eosinophils and the numbers of intracellular lipid bodies exhibiting immunodetectable LTC_4 increased (Fig. 6).

Downstream Signaling of LTC_4 -induced Vesicular Transportmediated Eosinophil IL-4 Secretion. We have shown recently that LTC_4 production at cytoplasmic lipid bodies within CCR3 chemokine-stimulated eosinophils




Figure 5. Blockade of the extracellular export of endogenously produced LTC₄ inhibits eosinophil IL-4 secretion. Intact eosinophils were pretreated for 30 min with MK571, LY171883, probenecid, or cyclosporin A (CsA), and then stimulated with IL-16 (100 nM) for 3 h. (A) The percentages of eosinophils exhibiting extracellularly secreted IL-4; (B) the intracellular concentration of LTC₄ within 10^6 eosinophils/ml; and (C) the extracellular concentration of LTC₄ released by 10^6 eosinophils/ml. Values are means \pm SD from three independent assays. + and * represent P < 0.01 compared with nonstimulated (dashed lines) and IL-16–stimulated eosinophils, respectively.

depended on activation of PI3K and MAP kinases (20). With specific kinase inhibitors, we investigated the downstream signaling of LTC_4 - and eotaxin-induced IL-4 release from eosinophils. Both LTC_4 and eotaxin-induced IL-4 release were blocked by inhibitors of PI3K (wortmannin, LY294002) and tyrosine kinases (genistein, herbimycin A), but not by PKC inhibitors (staurosporin, chelerythrine, and calphostin C; Fig. 7). In contrast, the MAP kinase inhibitors, PD98059 and U0126 (inhibitors of the extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK)1/2 activating kinase MEK [MAP ERK kinase]), and SB203586 (a p38 MAP kinase inhibitor), significantly inhibited eotaxin's actions (Fig. 7 B) without affecting LTC₄-induced IL-4 secretion (Fig. 7 A).

In IL-16–, eotaxin-, and RANTES-stimulated eosinophils, the mobilization and extracellular secretion of preformed IL-4 occurs rapidly by a selective, noncytotoxic, brefeldin A (BFA)-inhibitable, vesicular transport-mediated mechanism (20, 39). Similarly, LTC_4 -induced IL-4 release from permeabilized eosinophils was morphologically consistent with vesicular transport (not depicted) and was significantly inhibited by BFA (Table I), indicating that a vesicular transport-mediated process was also responsible for LTC_4 -elicited selective secretion of IL-4.




Figure 6. Effect of blockade of LTC_4 export on IL-16– and RANTES-elicited LTC_4 detection at lipid bodies within eosinophils. Intact eosinophils were pretreated for 30 min with 2 mM probenecid or 10 μ M MK571, and then stimulated with medium alone, IL-16 (100 nM) or RANTES for 3 h. (A) Phase-contrast (left) and anti-LTC₄ immunofluorescent (right) microscopic images of identical fields of eosinophils within an agarose matrix are shown. Anti-LTC₄ immunofluorescent images (green) are overlaid on phase-contrast images to facilitate their intracellular LTC₄ localization within eosinophils. Inserted numbers indicate lipid bodies exhibiting positive anti-LTC₄ immunofluorescent staining. (B) The mean \pm SD percentages of eosinophils exhibiting green immunofluorescent labeling for intracellular immunoreactive LTC₄. Results in C are the mean \pm SD numbers of lipid bodies exhibiting immunolabeling for LTC₄ within each scanned eosinophil (50 cells were scanned per slide).




Figure 7. Effect of kinase inhibitors on LTC_{4^-} and eotaxin-induced IL-4 secretion from eosinophils. (A) Plasma membrane–permeabilized eosinophils were pretreated for 30 min with wortmannin (1 μ M), LY294002 (10 μ M), PD98059 (10 μ M), U0126 (10 μ M), SB203580 (10 μ M), genistein (10 μ M), herbimycin (10 μ M), staurosporin (1 μ M), chelerythrine (10 μ M), or calphostin C (1 μ M), and then stimulated for 3 h with LTC₄ (3 nM). (B) Intact eosinophils were pretreated as described in A and then stimulated for 1 h with eotaxin (6 nM). Results were expressed as the percentages of eosinophils exhibiting extracellular IL-4. Values are means \pm SD from three independent assays. + and * represent P < 0.01 compared with nonstimulated (A, 6 \pm 3% baseline positive; B, 5 \pm 2% positive) and stimulated eosinophils, respectively.

Discussion

Amongst leukocytes, eosinophils are unique, in part, due to their complex content of proteins stored within their cytoplasmic granules. Mechanisms for differentially mobilizing these granule-stored proteins for their extracellular release may enable eosinophils to selectively and rapidly influence various immune, inflammatory, and other responses. In contrast to many leukocytes in which cytokines must be synthesized de novo before their release, eosinophils within their specific granules contain a diversity of already preformed chemokines and cytokines, including nominally Th1 and Th2 cytokines with diverse and potentially opposing functions (for review see reference 7). It is increasingly recognized that degranulation based on wholesale exocytosis that extrudes the full granule contents extracellularly does not provide for specificity of release of selected eosinophil granule contents. Instead, as yet poorly understood mechanisms can selectively load vesicles with specific granule proteins, and these vesicles may traffic to the cell surface to release these proteins at the cell surface (14, 16). Because the selective release of cytokines can provide a means for eosinophils to rapidly influence adjacent cells in normal or inflamed tissue sites, we investigated mechanisms involved in the selective mobilization and vesicle-mediated secretion of specific cytokines, including IL-4, a Th2-type cytokine with diverse actions pertinent to allergic and parasitic diseases (12, 13), and the chemokine RANTES. Our current

Table I.Vesicular Transport Mediates Intracellular LTC_4 -inducedIL-4 Secretion from Eosinophils

Condition	Percent eosinophils releasing IL-4	IL-4 fluorescent intensity/cell
Medium	3.9 ± 5.1	4 ± 1
LTC_4	37.8 ± 7.8^{a}	832 ± 196^{a}
+ BFA (0.1 μg/ml)	30.3 ± 8.8	472 ± 186^{b}
+ BFA (1 μ g/ml)	19.3 ± 7.8^{b}	111 ± 70^{b}

Plasma membrane–permeabilized eosinophils were pretreated for 30 min with an inhibitor of vesicle formation, BFA, and then stimulated with 3 nM LTC₄. After 3 h, IL-4 secretion was analyzed in EliCell assays. Results are either the percentages of eosinophils exhibiting extracellular IL-4 or the average of electronically measured immunofluorescent intensities of extracellular IL-4 (in arbitrary units). Values are means \pm SD from three independent assays. ^aP < 0.01 compared with nonstimulated eosinophils.

 $^{b}P < 0.01$ compared with LTC₄-stimulated eosinophils.

studies provide several new insights into the signal transduction processes that contribute to the selective mobilization and release of specific granule-derived cytokines and chemokines from eosinophils.

Although eotaxin and RANTES each act via CCR3 to stimulate the secretion of both IL-4 and RANTES from eosinophils (15, 16, 39), only the release of IL-4 was dependent on the activation of 5-LO to form LTC₄ within eosinophils. Two 5-LO pathway inhibitors (MK886 and AA861) blocked eotaxin- and IL-16-stimulated IL-4 release but not RANTES release (Fig. 1); in permeabilized eosinophils, exogenous LTC₄ stimulated IL-4 (Fig. 2), but not RANTES, release. Thus, common activation via CCR3 can be differentially linked to downstream signaling mechanisms that for mobilization and release of IL-4, but not RANTES, are specifically dependent on 5-LO pathway activation to form LTC₄.

Because cysLTs are not permeable across plasma membranes, it was possible to determine that LTC_4 , formed in response to CCR3-mediated activation, was functioning solely as an intracrine signal-transducing mediator. Exogenous LTC_4 and its derivative cysLTs did not stimulate IL-4 release from intact eosinophils, but LTC_4 , and to a lesser extent LTD_4 , did in eosinophils whose membranes were permeabilized to enable the intracellular entry of these cys-LTs (Fig. 2). Thus, a novel role for endogenously formed LTC_4 within eosinophils as an intracellular signal-transducing mediator has been revealed.

Stimulation of eosinophils with CCR3-acting chemokines has been shown to lead to the formation of low levels of LTC_4 detectable by immunofluorescent microscopy at intracellular lipid bodies within eosinophils in the absence of detectable immunoassayed concentrations of extracellularly released LTC_4 (20). Several findings indicate that such low level intracellular LTC_4 may be functional in regulating IL-4 release. In permeabilized eosinophils, only low levels of LTC4 were active in stimulating IL-4 release, with maximal activity at 0.3 nM (Fig. 2). Greater LTC₄ concentrations (>3 nM) were inhibitory as indicated in permeabilized eosinophils (Fig. 2) and confirmed with the suppression of IL-4 release from eotaxin-stimulated, permeabilized eosinophils (Fig. 3). Similarly, the blockade of extracellular LTC₄ export with MK571 and probenecid raised intracellular LTC₄ levels in intact eosinophils and inhibited IL-16stimulated IL-4 release. Whether the inhibition at higher LTC₄ concentrations represents high dose inhibition at a single type of cysLTR or engagement of another antagonistic receptor is unknown. Thus, LTC₄ has dose-dependent roles as an intracrine signal-transducing mediator. Notably, at low intracellular concentrations, newly synthesized LTC₄, as detectable by immunofluorescent localization at lipid bodies in eotaxin- and RANTES-stimulated eosinophils (20), can signal to promote IL-4 secretion. At higher intracellular LTC₄ concentrations, LTC₄ inhibits this release of preformed IL-4. Agents such as MK571, which blocks the extracellular export of LTC₄ (in addition to inhibiting CysLT1; references 45, 46), may exert anti-inflammatory effects by increasing intracellular LTC₄ and thereby inhibiting the secretion of some eosinophil cytokines.

The IL-4-releasing activity of intracellular and not exogenous LTC₄ indicated that plasma membrane expressed cysLTRs, that for human eosinophils may include both of the currently known cysLTRs, CysLT1and CysLT2 (28, 35), were not involved. Instead, an intracellular cysLTR must be involved. A number of findings indicated that this cysLTR was distinct from either CysLT1 or CysLT2. LTC₄ was 10-fold more potent than LTD₄ in eliciting IL-4 release from permeabilized eosinophils. Because LTD₄ is formed extracellularly from LTC4, this is further consistent with an intracrine role preferentially for LTC4. In contrast, CysLT1 is more potently activated by LTD_4 than LTC_4 , whereas both of these cysLTs are equipotent on CysLT2 (25, 27, 28). CysLT1 can be activated by the pyriminidergic ligand UDP (29), but neither UDP nor UTP stimulated IL-4 release from permeabilized eosinophils. Moreover, the CysLT1 inhibitor LY171883 and the CysLT1 and CysLT2 dual inhibitor BAYu9773 failed to inhibit IL-4 secretion from intact eosinophils stimulated with IL-16 or eotaxin and from permeabilized eosinophils stimulated with LTC₄ and LTD_4 (Fig. 4). Thus, the intracrine activity of LTC_4 is likely mediated via a cysLTR distinct from either CysLT1 or CysLT2.

Known cysLTRs are seven transmembrane spanning receptors that may signal at least some responses via G proteins. LTC₄ elicitation of eosinophil IL-4 release was inhibited by PTX, suggesting the intracellular cysLTR functions via coupling to PTX-sensitive $G_{i\alpha/o}$ -like proteins. Downstream signaling via this cysLTR to elicit IL-4 secretion required activation of PI3K and genistein- and herbimycininhibitable tyrosine kinases, but not PKC or MAP kinases (Fig. 7). PI3K and tyrosine kinase activation were also required for eotaxin and RANTES CCR3-mediated activation of IL-4 release. Because genistein- and herbimycininhibitable tyrosine kinases do not block eotaxin- and RANTES-elicited lipid body formation for enhanced LTC₄ formation (20), the roles of these tyrosine kinases in signal transduction leading to IL-4 release is solely downstream of LTC₄-cysLTR-initiated signaling. In contrast, PI3K activation is required at two points in the chemokine-initiated signaling. PI3K inhibitors block CCR3-acting chemokines from forming new lipid bodies and generating LTC₄ (20), and as shown here also block the downstream signaling initiated by LTC₄ at its receptor (Fig. 7). The MAP kinases, ERK 1/2 and p38, act proximally in the CCR3-chemokine-initiated signaling to form LTC₄ (20), but do not act downstream of the cysLTR signaling for IL-4 release from eosinophils.

There is limited precedence for cysLTs eliciting cytokine secretion. With human placental cord blood-derived eosinophils, we have shown that cysLTs stimulate the vesicular transport-mediated release of IL-4 (49), but the findings with those IL-3- and IL-5-stimulated cells differed in several regards from what we demonstrate with normal blood-derived eosinophils. Cord blood-derived eosinophils responded not to LTC₄ but to LTD₄, which acted extracellularly on plasma membrane receptors that were inhibited by two inhibitors of CysLT1 (LY171833 and MK571) and required much higher concentrations of LTD₄ (10⁻⁷–10⁻⁶ M; reference 49). Human cord blood– derived mast cells, after exposure to IL-4, also have been shown to respond to cysLTs with the release of cytokines (30). Exogenous LTC₄ and LTD₄, which were equipotent at 10^{-7} M concentrations, acted on a UDP-activatable and MK571-inhibitable cysLTR. This differs from our findings with human eosinophils, in which the cysLTR was intracellular, activatable preferentially by LTC₄ at much lower 10⁻¹⁰-10⁻⁹ M concentrations, and not inhibitable by CysLT1 or CysLT2 inhibitors.

In contrast to human cord blood-derived mast cells, in which cytokine release required de novo transcription and translation to generate new cytokines (30), a difference with eosinophils is that they contain preformed cytokines that can be rapidly mobilized within minutes from granule stores and released by a BFA-inhibitable, vesicular transport-mediated mechanism (Table I), which, as we have shown previously for IL-4, does not require new IL-4 transcription or protein synthesis (16). The release of granulederived IL-4 at the surface of eosinophils was sensitively detected by EliCell assays at levels beneath the sensitivity (>15 pg/ml) of conventional ELISA assays (including with LTC₄-stimulated, permeabilized eosinophils; not depicted). A role for eosinophil-derived IL-4 has been demonstrated in a murine system in which the intraperitoneal instillation of Schistosoma mansoni eggs leads to the release of IL-4 derived from peritoneal exudate eosinophils (50). Fundamental issues in delineating eosinophil contributions to immunologic reactions are understanding the stimuli and intracellular signaling mechanisms that may selectively release some and not other preformed cytokines and chemokines from granule stores. Eotaxin and RANTES elicit IL-4, but not IL-12, release (e.g., from eosinophils; reference 39). As demonstrated here with permeabilized eosinophils, LTC_4 stimulated IL-4 but not RANTES release and likewise did not elicit IL-12 release (not depicted). Thus, some of the specificity in secreting selective cytokines and chemokines from eosinophils is based on the activation of intracellular LTC₄ signal-transducing pathways.

In summary, our findings support a role for a novel intracellular cysteinyl LT receptor, apparently distinct from known CysLT1 or CysLT2, that functions via coupling to PTX-sensitive $G_{i\alpha/o}$ -like proteins. By means of this cys-LTR, LTC₄ can function as an intracrine signal transducer that activates pathways that selectively mobilize IL-4, and not RANTES, for vesicular transport-mediated extracellular release. Therefore, the generation of LTC₄ within eosinophils is important not only for its varied activities as an extracellular paracrine mediator of inflammation, but also for its role as an intracrine signal-transducing molecule that may regulate fundamental cellular responses, including the selective secretion of cytokines from eosinophils.

We thank Dr. Bruno L. Diaz for comments on the work and paper. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants AI20241, AI22571, AI41995 and HL70270.

Submitted: 2 April 2002 Revised: 26 July 2002 Accepted: 13 August 2002

References

- Wardlaw, A.J. 1999. Molecular basis for selective eosinophil trafficking in asthma: a multistep paradigm. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 104:917–926.
- Gleich, G.J. 2000. Mechanisms of eosinophil-associated inflammation. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 105:651–663.
- Weller, P.F. 1993. Lipid, peptide and cytokine mediators elaborated by eosinophils. *In* Immunopharmacology of eosinophils. The Handbook of Immunopharmacology. J.H. Smith and R.M. Cook, editors. Academic Press, London. 25–42.
- Lewis, R.A., K.F. Austen, and R.J. Soberman. 1990. Leukotrienes and other products of the 5-lipoxygenase pathway. Biochemistry and relation to pathobiology in human diseases. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 323:645–655.
- Henderson, W.R., Jr. 1994. The role of leukotrienes in inflammation. Ann. Intern. Med. 121:684–697.
- Laitinen, L.A., T. Haahtela, B.W. Spur, A. Laitinen, V. Vilkka, and T.H. Lee. 1993. Leukotriene E₄ and granulocytic infiltration into asthmatic airways. *Lancet.* 341:989–990.
- Lacy, P., and R. Moqbel. 2000. Eosinophil cytokines. Chem. Immunol. 76:134–155.
- Lim, K.G., H.-C. Wan, P.T. Bozza, M.B. Resnick, D.T.W. Wong, W.W. Cruikshank, H. Kornfeld, D.M. Center, and P.F. Weller. 1996. Human eosinophils elaborate the lymphocyte chemoattractants: IL-16 (lymphocyte chemoattractant factor, LCF) and RANTES. J. Immunol. 156:2522–2527.
- Nakajima, T., H. Yamada, M. Iikura, M. Miyamasu, S. Izumi, H. Shida, K. Ohta, T. Imai, O. Yoshie, M. Mochizuki, et al. 1998. Intracellular localization and release of eotaxin from normal eosinophils. *FEBS Lett.* 434:226–230.
- Ying, S., M. Humbert, J. Barkans, C.J. Corrigan, R. Pfister, G. Menz, M. Larche, D.S. Robinson, S.R. Durham, and

A.B. Kay. 1997. Expression of IL-4 and IL-5 mRNA and protein product by CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, eosinophils, and mast cells in bronchial biopsies obtained from atopic and nonatopic (intrinsic) asthmatics. *J. Immunol.* 158:3539–3544.

- Moqbel, R., S. Ying, J. Barkans, T.M. Newman, P. Kimmitt, M. Wakelin, L. Taborda-Barata, Q. Meng, C.J. Corrigan, S.R. Durham, and A.B. Kay. 1995. Identification of messenger RNA for IL-4 in human eosinophils with granule localization and release of the translated product. *J. Immunol.* 155:4939–4947.
- 12. Brown, M.A., and J. Hural. 1997. Functions of IL-4 and control of its expression. *Crit. Rev. Immunol.* 17:1–32.
- Nelms, K., A.D. Keegan, J. Zamorano, J.J. Ryan, and W.E. Paul. 1999. The IL-4 receptor: signaling mechanisms and biologic functions. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 17:701–738.
- Lacy, P., S. Mahmudi-Azer, B. Bablitz, S.C. Hagen, J.R. Velazquez, S.F.P. Man, and R. Moqbel. 1999. Rapid mobilization of intracellularly stored RANTES in response to interferon-g in human eosinophils. *Blood*. 94:23–32.
- Bandeira-Melo, C., G. Gillard, I. Ghiran, and P.F. Weller. 2000. EliCell: a solid-phase dual antibody capture and detection assay to detect cytokine release by eosinophils. *J. Immunol. Methods.* 244:105–115.
- Bandeira-Melo, C., K. Sugiyama, L.J. Woods, and P.F. Weller. 2001. Cutting edge: eotaxin elicits rapid, vesicular transport-mediated release of preformed IL-4 from human eosinophils. J. Immunol. 166:4813–4817.
- Bandeira-Melo, C., P.T. Bozza, and P.F. Weller. 2002. The cellular biology of eosinophil eicosanoid formation and function. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 109:393–400.
- Penrose, J.F., J. Spector, M. Baldasaro, K. Xu, J. Boyce, J.P. Arm, K.F. Austen, and B.K. Lam. 1996. Molecular cloning of the gene for human leukotriene C₄ synthase. Organization, nucleotide sequence, and chromosomal localization to 5q35. J. Biol. Chem. 271:11356–11361.
- Brock, T.G., J.A. Anderson, F.P. Fries, M. Peters-Golden, and P.H. Sporn. 1999. Decreased leukotriene C₄ synthesis accompanies adherence-dependent nuclear import of 5-lipoxygenase in human blood eosinophils. *J. Immunol.* 162: 1669–1676.
- Bandeira-Melo, C., M. Phoofolo, and P.F. Weller. 2001. Extranuclear lipid bodies, elicited by CCR3-mediated signaling pathways, are the sites of chemokine-enhanced leukotriene C₄ production in eosinophils and basophils. J. Biol. Chem. 276:22779–22787.
- Lam, B.K., W.F. Owen, Jr., K.F. Austen, and R.J. Soberman. 1989. The identification of a distinct export step following the biosynthesis of leukotriene C₄ by human eosinophils. J. Biol. Chem. 264:12885–12889.
- 22. Shi, Z.Z., B. Han, G.M. Habib, M.M. Matzuk, and M.W. Lieberman. 2001. Disruption of gamma-glutamyl leukotrie-nase results in disruption of leukotriene D₄ synthesis in vivo and attenuation of the acute inflammatory response. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 21:5389–5395.
- Anderson, M.E., R.D. Allison, and A. Meister. 1982. Interconversion of leukotrienes catalyzed by purified gammaglutamyl transpeptidase: concomitant formation of leukotriene D₄ and gamma-glutamyl amino acids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 79:1088–1091.
- Lee, C.W., R.A. Lewis, E.J. Corey, and K.F. Austen. 1983. Conversion of leukotriene D₄ to leukotriene E₄ by a dipeptidase released from the specific granule of human polymorphonuclear leucocytes. *Immunology*. 48:27–35.

- Lynch, K.R., G.P. O'Neill, Q. Liu, D.S. Im, N. Sawyer, K.M. Metters, N. Coulombe, M. Abramovitz, D.J. Figueroa, Z. Zeng, et al. 1999. Characterization of the human cysteinyl leukotriene CysLT1 receptor. *Nature*. 399:789–793.
- Sarau, H.M., R.S. Ames, J. Chambers, C. Ellis, N. Elshourbagy, J.J. Foley, D.B. Schmidt, R.M. Muccitelli, O. Jenkins, P.R. Murdock, et al. 1999. Identification, molecular cloning, expression, and characterization of a cysteinyl leukotriene receptor. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 56:657–663.
- Nothacker, H.P., Z. Wang, Y. Zhu, R.K. Reinscheid, S.H. Lin, and O. Civelli. 2000. Molecular cloning and characterization of a second human cysteinyl leukotriene receptor: discovery of a subtype selective agonist. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 58: 1601–1608.
- Heise, C.E., B.F. O'Dowd, D.J. Fugueroa, N. Sawyer, T. Nguyen, D. Im, R. Stocco, J.N. Bellefeuille, M. Abramovitz, R. Cheng, et al. 2000. Characterization of the human cysteinyl leukotriene 2 (CysLT₂) receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275: 30531–30536.
- Mellor, E.A., A. Maekawa, K.F. Austen, and J.A. Boyce. 2001. Cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1 is also a pyrimidinergic receptor and is expressed by human mast cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 98:7964–7969.
- 30. Mellor, E.A., K.F. Austen, and J.A. Boyce. 2002. Cysteinyl leukotrienes and uridine diphosphate induce cytokine generation by human mast cells through an interleukin 4–regulated pathway that is inhibited by leukotriene receptor antagonists. *J. Exp. Med.* 195:583–592.
- Ravasi, S., V. Capra, M. Mezzetti, S. Nicosia, and G.E. Rovati. 2000. A kinetic binding study to evaluate the pharmacological profile of a specific leukotriene C₄ binding site not coupled to contraction in human lung parenchyma. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 57:1182–1189.
- 32. Panettieri, R.A., E.M. Tan, V. Ciocca, M.A. Luttmann, T.B. Leonard, and D.W. Hay. 1998. Effects of LTD₄ on human airway smooth muscle cell proliferation, matrix expression, and contraction in vitro: differential sensitivity to cysteinyl leukotriene receptor antagonists. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 19:453–461.
- 33. Lee, E., T. Robertson, J. Smith, and S. Kilfeather. 2000. Leukotriene receptor antagonists and synthesis inhibitors reverse survival in eosinophils of asthmatic individuals. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 161:1881–1886.
- 34. Urasaki, T., J. Takasaki, T. Nagasawa, and H. Ninomiya. 2001. Pivotal role of 5-lipoxygenase in the activation of human eosinophils: platelet-activating factor and interleukin-5 induce CD69 on eosinophils through the 5-lipoxygenase pathway. J. Leukoc. Biol. 69:105–112.
- 35. Figueroa, D.J., R.M. Breyer, S.K. Defoe, S. Kargman, B.L. Daugherty, K. Waldburger, Q. Liu, M. Clements, Z. Zeng, G.P. O'Neill, et al. 2001. Expression of the cysteinyl leuko-triene 1 receptor in normal human lung and peripheral blood leukocytes. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 163:226–233.
- Bhattacharya, M., K. Peri, A. Ribeiro-da-Silva, G. Almazan, H. Shichi, X. Hou, D.R. Varma, and S. Chemtob. 1999. Localization of functional prostaglandin E₂ receptors EP3 and EP4 in the nuclear envelope. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274:15719– 15724.
- 37. Wright, D.H., D. Abran, M. Bhattacharya, X. Hou, S.G.

Bernier, A. Bouayad, J.C. Fouron, A. Vazquez-Tello, M.H. Beauchamp, R.I. Clyman, et al. 2001. Prostanoid receptors: ontogeny and implications in vascular physiology. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 281:R1343–R1360.

- Peters-Golden, M., and T.G. Brock. 2000. Intracellular compartmentalization of leukotriene biosynthesis. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 161:S36–S40.
- Bandeira-Melo, C., K. Sugiyama, L. Woods, M. Phoofolo, D.M. Center, W.W. Cruikshank, and P.F. Weller. 2002. Interleukin-16 promotes leukotriene C₄ and interleukin-4 release from human eosinophils via CD4- and autocrine CCR3-chemokine mediated signaling. *J. Immunol.* 168: 4756–4763.
- Schultz, M.J., J. Wijnholds, M.P. Peppelenbosch, M.J. Vervoordeldonk, P. Speelman, S.J. van Deventer, P. Borst, and T. van der Poll. 2001. Mice lacking the multidrug resistance protein 1 are resistant to *Streptococcus pneumoniae*-induced pneumonia. *J. Immunol.* 166:4059–4064.
- Bozza, P.T., J.L. Payne, J.L. Goulet, and P.F. Weller. 1996. Mechanisms of PAF-induced lipid body formation: a central role for 5-lipoxygenase in the compartmentalization of leukocyte lipids. J. Exp. Med. 183:1515–1525.
- Bartemes, K.R., S. McKinney, G.J. Gleich, and H. Kita. 1999. Endogenous platelet-activating factor is critically involved in effector functions of eosinophils stimulated with IL-5 or IgG. J. Immunol. 162:2982–2989.
- Leier, I., G. Jedlitschky, U. Buchholz, and D. Keppler. 1994. Characterization of the ATP-dependent leukotriene C₄ export carrier in mastocytoma cells. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 220:599–606.
- 44. Gekeler, V., W. Ise, K.H. Sanders, W.R. Ulrich, and J. Beck. 1995. The leukotriene LTD₄ receptor antagonist MK571 specifically modulates MRP associated multidrug resistance. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 208:345–352.
- 45. Wijnholds, J., R. Evers, M.R. van Leusden, C.A. Mol, G.J. Zaman, U. Mayer, J.H. Beijnen, M. van der Valk, P. Krimpenfort, and P. Borst. 1997. Increased sensitivity to anticancer drugs and decreased inflammatory response in mice lacking the multidrug resistance-associated protein. *Nat. Med.* 3:1275–1279.
- Robbiani, D.F., R.A. Finch, D. Jager, W.A. Muller, A.C. Sartorelli, and G.J. Randolph. 2000. The leukotriene C₄ transporter MRP1 regulates CCL19 (MIP-3beta, ELC)-dependent mobilization of dendritic cells to lymph nodes. *Cell*. 103:757–768.
- Raggers, R.J., I. Vogels, and G. van Meer. 2001. Multidrugresistance P-glycoprotein (MDR1) secretes platelet-activating factor. *Biochem. J.* 357:859–865.
- Nguyen, T., and S. Gupta. 1997. Leukotriene C₄ secretion from normal murine mast cells by a probenecid-sensitive and multidrug resistance-associated protein-independent mechanism. J. Immunol. 158:4916–4920.
- Bandeira-Melo, C., J. Hall, J.F. Penrose, and P.F. Weller. 2002. Cysteinyl leukotrienes induce IL-4 release from cord blood-derived human eosinophils. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 109:975–979.
- Sabin, E.A., M.A. Kopf, and E.J. Pearce. 1996. Schistosoma mansoni egg-induced early IL-4 production is dependent upon IL-5 and eosinophils. J. Exp. Med. 184:1871–1878.