Leucine-rich repeat containing 8A (LRRC8A)
is essential for T lymphocyte development and function
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LRRC8A (LRR containing 8A) is a 94-kD LRR-containing protein highly conserved between human and mouse (Sawada et al., 2003). LRRC8A spans the cell membrane four times and its extracellular C terminus contains 17 LRRs (Sawada et al., 2003; Smits and Kaija, 2004). A 17-yr-old female patient with congenital facial abnormalities, absent B cells, and agammaglobulinemia, but normal numbers of T cells, had an abnormality, absent B cells, and agammaglobulinemia, but normal numbers of T cells, had a balanced t(9;20)(q33.2;q12) translocation, resulting in the deletion of the C-terminal two-and-a-half LRRs of LRRC8A (91 aa) and the addition of 35 aa derived from an intronic sequence (Sawada et al., 2003). The truncated LRRC8A product was co-expressed with the intact product of the normal LRRC8A allele at comparable levels (Sawada et al., 2003). Reconstitution of irradiated recipient mice with
syngeneic CD34⁺ BM progenitors transduced with a retroviral vector overexpressing the mutant LRRC8A resulted in a severe block in B cell development at the pro–B cell to pre–B cell transition and reduced numbers of T cells (Sawada et al., 2003). The phenotype was attributed to the dominant negative effect of the co-expressed mutant LRRC8A allele (Conley, 2003; Sawada et al., 2003). No developmental or functional analysis of the T cells was conducted in these mice, and the expression level of the mutant protein in hematopoietic cells was not documented (Sawada et al., 2003).

To understand the role of LRRC8A in the adaptive immune system, we generated Lrrc8a⁻/⁻ mice that expressed no LRRC8A protein. Unlike the patient, Lrrc8a⁻/⁻ mice have peripheral B cells and normal immunoglobulin levels but display a severe cell-intrinsic block in thymic development and impaired peripheral T cell function. We demonstrate that thymic epithelial cell (TECs) express ligands for LRRC8A and that LRRC8A ligation activates AKT via the lymphocyte-specific protein tyrosine kinase (LCK)–ZAP-70–GAB2–PI3K pathway. Our work demonstrates an essential role for LRRC8A in T cell development and function.

RESULTS

Lrrc8a is widely expressed and LRRC8A is highly expressed on thymocytes compared with other immune cells

Lrrc8a mRNA was detected in all 13 tissues tested (Fig. 1 A). We examined cellular expression of LRRC8A using a rabbit polyclonal antibody to the C-terminal 18-aa-long peptide of LRRC8A, and a mAb, 4D10, directed against the region between the second and third putative transmembrane domains (aa 147–262) of LRRC8A. FACS analysis using these two antibodies readily detected LRRC8A on the surface of 293T cells transfected with a vector encoding LRRC8A, but not empty vector (Fig. S1 A), indicating that LRRC8A can be expressed on the cell surface, and that both the N and C termini...
of the molecule are extracellular, rather than intracellular as has been suggested recently (Abascal and Zardoya, 2012). This conclusion was further supported by the observation that 293T cells transfected with a C-terminally FLAG-tagged LRRC8A demonstrated surface staining with anti-FLAG mAb (Fig. S1 B). FACS analysis using C18 antibody revealed that LRRC8A was expressed on the surface of mouse splenic CD3+ T cells, B220+ B cells, DX5+ NK cells, CD14+ macrophages, and CD11c+ dendritic cells (Fig. 1 B and not depicted). FACS analysis of permeabilized splenic T and B cells revealed that a substantial amount of LRRC8A was intracellular (Fig. 1 B). Thymocytes and B cells in BM expressed surface LRRC8A at all stages of development, except for minimal, if any, expression on pro-B cells (Fig. 1, C and D). Thymocytes at all stages had the highest surface expression of LRRC8A of all immune cells studied. Similar results were obtained for all cell lineages using 4D10 mAb (unpublished data).

**Figure 2.** B cell development and function in Lrrc8a−/− mice. (A and B) FACS analysis (A) and percentage (B) of B cell subpopulation in the BM (Immat.: immature, Recirc.: recirculating). (C–E) Gross appearance and H&E staining (bars, 200 μm; C), numbers of B220+ cells in spleens (D), and FACS analysis of CD21 and CD24 expression by slgM+ cells (E, left), of slgM and CD21 expression by slgM+CD23+ cells (E, middle), and of CD21 and CD23 expression by slgM− cells (E, right). (F) FACS analysis of peritoneal lavage fluid for IgM−CD5+ B1 cells (top) and for CD5 and CD11b (bottom), within the gated B220+ cell population. (G) 3H-thymidine incorporation in purified splenic B cells after anti-IgM, LPS, and anti-CD40 stimulation for 72 h. med.: medium. (H) Serum levels of immunoglobulin isotypes in 4–6-wk-old Lrrc8a−/− mice and WT littersmates determined by ELISA. (I) IgM and IgG3 serum antibody levels after immunization with TNP-LPS and TNP-Ficol. Mice were immunized intraperitoneally with 10 μg TNP-LPS or 10 μg TNP-Ficol on day 0 and bled on day 14. The level of antigen-specific antibody response in mice sera were analyzed by TNP-specific ELISA using 96-well plates coated with TNP-conjugated BSA at 10 μg/ml in PBS. Data are representative of three independent experiments with one mouse per group (A–C, E, and F), two independent experiments with three mice per group (D and G), six independent experiments with one mouse per group in five experiments and one Lrrc8a−/− mouse and two WT littersmates in one experiment (H), and four independent experiments with one mouse per group in two experiments and two mice per group in two experiments (I). ELISAs were run on all samples simultaneously and were repeated twice. Each symbol represent mean OD value of an individual mouse in H. Mean and SEM are shown in B, D, and G–I, * P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; and ***, P < 0.001 (Student’s t test). NS = not significant.

**Generation and characterization of Lrrc8a−/− mice**

The strategy for generating Lrrc8a−/− mice is depicted in Fig. S1 (C–F). LRRC8A was not detectable by immunoblotting thymocyte lysates from Lrrc8a−/− mice (Fig. S1 G) or by FACS analysis of splenic T and B cells from these mice (Fig. 1 E). Lrrc8a−/− mice were bred for 10 generations on the C57BL/6 background. Similar findings were obtained in Lrrc8a−/− mice generated from two independently targeted ES clones.

The frequency of live Lrrc8a−/− pups obtained from mating Lrrc8a+/− mice was 5.5% (Fig. 1 F). The frequency of Lrrc8a−/−...
embryos at E14.5 was ~7.9% (n = 38), indicating increased early mortality in utero. Lrrc8a−/− mice had increased postnatal lethality; very few survived beyond 4 wk and none beyond 16 wk (Fig. 1 G). Lrrc8a−/− mice appeared normal at birth, but by the end of the first week of life, they showed persistent growth retardation (Fig. 1, H and I) although they fed normally. Lrrc8a−/− exhibited curly hair, hind limb weakness, progressive hydrenephrosis, and sterility. Histological examination revealed epidermal hyperkeratosis, thin skeletal muscle bundles, vacuolized renal tubular cells, and absence of ovarian corpora lutea (Fig. 1 J). Lrrc8a−/+ mice were comparable in appearance, size, and weight to WT littermates (Fig. 1, H and I) and had normal tissue histology (not depicted).

**LRRC8A deficiency modestly impairs B cell development but not function**

BM from Lrrc8a−/− mice had normal cellularity, modestly increased percentage of CD43−B220lowIgM− pro-B cells, and modestly decreased percentages of CD43−B220lowIgM− pre-B cells, CD43−B220lowIgM− immature B cells, and B220hi IgMhi recirculating B cells (Fig. 2, A and B). Lrrc8a−/− mice had small spleens with well-preserved architecture (Fig. 2 C). The number of B220+ cells in the spleen was approximately fourfold lower in Lrrc8a−/− mice compared with WT controls (Fig. 2 D). The percentage of splenic B220+AnnexinV+ cells was comparable in Lrrc8a−/− mice and WT controls (unpublished data). To exclude the potential contribution of extrinsic factors to the B cell lymphopenia in Lrrc8a−/− mice, we examined Rag2−/− chimeras reconstituted with either Lrrc8a−/− or WT BM cells. Splenic B cell numbers were similarly decreased in Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with WT→Rag2−/− chimeras (11.2 ± 1.8 × 106 versus 47.9 ± 3.6 × 106 cells, n = 3, P < 0.01), indicating that the peripheral B cell lymphopenia in Lrrc8a−/− mice is cell intrinsic.

FACS analysis of splenic B cell subsets (Carsi et al., 2004) revealed comparable percentages of follicular B cells, but modestly decreased percentages of transitional B cells and marginal zone B cells in Lrrc8a−/− mice compared with WT littermates (Fig. 2 E). The numbers and subset distribution of peritoneal B220+ B cells were normal in Lrrc8a−/− mice (Fig. 2 F).

Splenic B cells from Lrrc8a−/− mice proliferated normally to anti-IgM, anti-CD40, and LPS (Fig. 2 G). Except for a higher level of IgG2a, Lrrc8a−/− mice had normal levels of serum IgM, IgA, and IgG isotypes (Fig. 2 H) and mounted a normal antibody response to the type I T independent (TI) antigen TNP-LPS and the type II TI antigen TNP-Ficol (Fig. 2 I). These results suggest that LRRC8A plays a minor role in B cell development and is important for peripheral B cell homeostasis but not B cell function.

**LRRC8B deficiency results in decreased thymic cellularity and impaired thymocyte viability**

The thymus was markedly smaller in Lrrc8a−/− mice compared with WT littermates (Fig. 3 A) and had an ~10-fold reduction in thymus cellularity (Fig. 3 B). Histological examination revealed comparable percentages of follicular B cells, but modestly decreased percentages of transitional B cells and marginal zone B cells in Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with WT→Rag2−/− chimeras (11.2 ± 1.8 × 106 versus 47.9 ± 3.6 × 106 cells, n = 3, P < 0.01), indicating that the peripheral B cell lymphopenia in Lrrc8a−/− mice is cell intrinsic.

FACS analysis of splenic B cell subsets (Carsi et al., 2004) revealed comparable percentages of follicular B cells, but modestly decreased percentages of transitional B cells and marginal zone B cells in Lrrc8a−/− mice compared with WT littermates (Fig. 2 E). The numbers and subset distribution of peritoneal B220+ B cells were normal in Lrrc8a−/− mice (Fig. 2 F).

Splenic B cells from Lrrc8a−/− mice proliferated normally to anti-IgM, anti-CD40, and LPS (Fig. 2 G). Except for a higher level of IgG2a, Lrrc8a−/− mice had normal levels of serum IgM, IgA, and IgG isotypes (Fig. 2 H) and mounted a normal antibody response to the type I T independent (TI) antigen TNP-LPS and the type II TI antigen TNP-Ficol (Fig. 2 I). These results suggest that LRRC8A plays a minor role in B cell development and is important for peripheral B cell homeostasis but not B cell function.
in cellularity (Fig. 3 B). Examination of H&E-stained thymus sections demonstrated effacement of the corticomedullary junction and numerous pyknotic and karyorrhectic nuclei in Lmr8a−/− mice (Fig. 3 C). TdT-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) demonstrated significantly increased numbers of apoptotic cells in Lmr8a−/− thymi (Fig. 3 D). This was confirmed by the presence of increased numbers of CD3+ cells that co-stained for activated caspase 3 (Fig. 3 E). These results suggest that LRRC8A is important for thymocyte survival.

To exclude the effect of environmental factors on T cell development in Lmr8a−/− mice, we examined thymi from Rag2−/− chimeras reconstituted with either Lmr8a−/− or WT BM cells. Thymi of Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras were smaller and contained approximately fourfold fewer cells compared with thymi from WT→Rag2−/− control chimeras (Fig. 3, F and G). Histological analysis revealed impaired corticomedullary differentiation with increased numbers of karyorrhectic and apoptotic nuclei in thymi from Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with thymi from control chimeras (Fig. 3, H and I).

**Lmr8a−/− mice have a cell-autonomous early block in thymocyte development**

The distribution of double negative (DN), double positive (DP), and single positive (SP) subsets was comparable between Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− and control chimeras (Fig. 4 A). However, as expected from the reduced thymic cellularity, the numbers of CD4+CD8− DN, CD4+CD8+ DP, and CD4+ and CD8+ SP thymocytes were reduced by approximately threefold in Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with controls (Fig. 4 B). Analysis of DN subsets revealed a significant reduction in the numbers of CD44+CD25+ DN2, CD44−CD25+ DN3, and CD44−CD25− DN4 cells in Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with controls (Fig. 4 C). The numbers of CD44+CD25− DN1 cells were decreased, but not significantly, in thymi from Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras. Irradiation could drive transiently the development of RAG2-deficient thymocytes in a restricted manner generating DP cells that express no surface CD3, but no SP cells, in the absence of donor-derived hematopoietic cells (Zúñiga-Pflücker et al., 1994). The DP and SP cells in the thymi of both chimeras were all CD3+ (unpublished data). Furthermore, irradiated Rag2−/− mice did not harbor DP or CD3+ thymocytes when examined at 8 wk (unpublished data). These results indicate that the defect in thymocyte development in Lmr8a−/− mice is cell intrinsic.

The defect in the development of Lmr8a−/− thymocytes could be due to increased cell death and/or decreased cell proliferation. The percentage of annexin-V+ cells was significantly increased in Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras (Fig. 4 D), consistent with the increased number of apoptotic nuclei noted by TUNEL staining. In addition, BrdU incorporation in vivo was significantly decreased in thymocytes from Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with controls (Fig. 4 E). Thymocyte proliferation to anti-CD3+IL-2 was significantly decreased Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with control chimeras, but proliferation to PMA+ionomycin was comparable in the two groups (Fig. 4 F). Thus, LRRC8A expression by thymocytes is essential for their survival and proliferation.

**Lmr8a−/− mice exhibited a more exaggerated block in thymocyte development than Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras and a substantial decrease in the percentage of DP cells, reflected by a drastic decrease in their number compared with WT controls (Fig. 5, A and B). The decreased percentage of DP thymocytes and the resulting greater reduction in thymocyte numbers in Lmr8a−/− mice compared with Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras**
chimeras suggest that extrinsic factors exacerbate the cell-intrinsic thymic phenotype in Lrrc8a−/− mice. DP thymocytes are exquisitely sensitive to damage by cytokines and hormones (Screpanti et al., 1989; Cohen, 1992; Ivanov and Nikolić-Zugić, 1998; Gruver and Sempowski, 2008). Serum chemistry profile and levels of TNF and cortisol levels were normal in Lrrc8a−/− mice (unpublished data). As in the Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras, the numbers of DN2-DN4, but not DN1, cells were significantly lower in Lrrc8a−/− mice than in WT controls (Fig. 5 C). The distribution of DN1a–e subsets, including the DP and TCR−/− cells and the mean fluorescence intensity of the TCR−/− compared with WT controls (Fig. 5, F and G). The percentage of splenic CD3+Annexin V+ cells compared with WT controls, with a normal CD4/CD8 ratio (Fig. 6, D and E). As in Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras proliferation of splenic T cells to immobilized anti-CD3 was significantly impaired in Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with controls and was not increased by the addition of anti-CD28 mAb (Fig. 6 E). T cells from Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras proliferated normally in response to stimulation with PMA and ionomycin, indicating that they do not have a general intrinsic proliferative defect. These results indicate that LRRCA8A is important for peripheral T cell expansion and function.

Like Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras, Lmr8a−/− mice had a significant reduction in the number of splenic T cells compared with WT controls, with a normal CD4/CD8 ratio (Fig. 6 D). The proliferation of splenic T cells to immobilized anti-CD3 was significantly impaired in Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with controls and was not increased by the addition of anti-CD28 mAb. (Fig. 6 E). T cells from Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras proliferated normally in response to stimulation with PMA and ionomycin, indicating that they do not have a general intrinsic proliferative defect. These results indicate that LRRCA8A is important for peripheral T cell expansion and function.

LRRC8A deficiency impairs peripheral T cell expansion and function

Spleens of Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras were smaller (Fig. 6 A) and had an approximately fourfold decrease in the number of CD3+ T cells compared with WT→Rag2−/− control chimeras (Fig. 6 B). The splenic CD4/CD8 ratio was comparable in Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− and control chimeras (Fig. 6 C). Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras had a significant decrease in the percentage of splenic CD4+CD62L+CD44+ Th effector memory cells compared with control chimeras, and a compensatory increase in the percentage of CD4+CD62L+CD44+ naive T cells (Fig. 6 D). The proliferation of splenic T cells to immobilized anti-CD3 was significantly impaired in Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with controls and was not increased by the addition of anti-CD28 mAb (Fig. 6 E). T cells from Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras proliferated normally in response to stimulation with PMA and ionomycin, indicating that they do not have a general intrinsic proliferative defect. These results indicate that LRRC8A is important for peripheral T cell expansion and function.
LRRC8A is dispensable for the development and function of thymic epithelium

TECs play a critical role in thymic development (Rodewald, 2008). Because LRRC8A is ubiquitously expressed, we examined TECs from Lrrc8a−/− mice. FACS analysis revealed that the percentages of CD4+CD62L−CD44hi naive T cells and CD4+CD62L−CD44hi T effector memory cells (D), and proliferation of T cells (E) from spleens of Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− and control WT→Rag2−/− chimeras. (F–H) Spleenic T cell numbers (F), FACS analysis of CD4+ and CD8+ cells in gated splenic CD3+ T cells (G), and proliferation of splenic T cells (H) from Lrrc8a−/− mice and WT control littermates. (I) Spectratyping analysis of CD3 diversity of selected TCR-Vβ families in splenic T cells from a 6-wk-old Lrrc8a−/− mouse and its WT littermate. med = medium. P + I = PMA+ionomycin. Data are representative of three independent experiments with one mouse per group (A–H), and two independent experiments with one mouse per group (I). Mean and SEM are shown in B, D–F, and H, *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001 (Student’s t test). NS = not significant.

However, splenic T cells from Lrrc8a−/− mice, like those from Lmr8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras, had significantly impaired proliferation to immobilized anti-CD3, which was not increased by the addition of anti-CD28 mAb or IL-2 (Fig. 6 H). Analysis of TCR-VB CDR3 diversity at 6 wk of age showed partial restriction of the T cell repertoire in Lrrc8a−/− mice compared with age-matched WT littermates, as indicated by skewed distribution for some (≈25%), but not all, of the TCR-VB families analyzed (Fig. 6 I). The limited restriction of the TCR repertoire in Lrrc8a−/− mice is compatible with an abnormal TCR repertoire selection in the thymus and/or with abnormal clonal expansion/maintenance in the periphery.

Figure 6. Cell-autonomous defect in peripheral T cell expansion and function in Lrrc8a−/− mice. (A–E) Gross appearance (scale = centimeter; A), T cell numbers (B), FACS analysis of CD4+ and CD8+ cells in gated CD3+ T cells (C), FACS analysis of CD44 and CD62L expression by gated CD4+ cells and percentages of CD4+CD62L−CD44hi naive T cells and CD4+CD62L−CD44hi T effector memory cells (D), and proliferation of T cells (E) from spleens of Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− and control WT→Rag2−/− chimeras. (F–H) Spleenic T cell numbers (F), FACS analysis of CD4+ and CD8+ cells in gated splenic CD3+ T cells (G), and proliferation of splenic T cells (H) from Lrrc8a−/− mice and WT control littermates. (I) Spectratyping analysis of CD3 diversity of selected TCR-Vβ families in splenic T cells from a 6-wk-old Lrrc8a−/− mouse and its WT littermate. med = medium. P + I = PMA+ionomycin. Data are representative of three independent experiments with one mouse per group (A–H), and two independent experiments with one mouse per group (I). Mean and SEM are shown in B, D–F, and H, *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001 (Student’s t test). NS = not significant.
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Specifically, the grafts demonstrated corticomedullary differentiation with generation of SPT cell residents in the medulla (Fig. 7 D). Thus, LRRC8A is dispensable for the development of TECs and for their ability to support T cell development. However, a role for LRRC8A in dendritic cell–thymocyte interactions cannot be ruled out.

A ligand for LRRC8A is expressed by TECs and is important for the maturation of DN into DP thymocytes

We tested the hypothesis that a ligand for LRRC8A is expressed by TECs and is important for thymocyte maturation. Because of the kidney tubule abnormalities in \( Lrrc8a^{-/-} \) mice, we initially examined whether the human embryonic kidney epithelial cell line 293T expresses an LRRC8A ligand. FACS analysis revealed increased binding of glutathione S-transferase (GST)–LRRC8A\(_{343-810}\) fusion protein (GST-LRRC8A) to 293T cells, compared with GST (Fig. 8 A). This binding was specific because it was displaced by MBP-LRRC8A, but not by MBP (Fig. 8 A). Conversely, MBP-LRRC8A bound to 293T cells and was displaced by GST-LRRC8A but not GST (unpublished data). GST-LRRC8A did not bind to splenocytes (Fig. 8 B), further indicating the specificity of its binding to 293T cells. GST-LRRC8A bound to WT CD45\(^{-}\)TECs, but not CD45\(^{+}\) thymocytes, including DN, DP, and SP cells (Fig. 8, C and D). Both CD45\(^{+}\) classI\(^{+}\)BP1\(^{-}\) cTECs and CD45\(^{+}\) classI\(^{-}\)BP1\(^{-}\) mTECs bound GST-LRRC8A (Fig. 8 C). These results indicate that an LRRC8A ligand is expressed on non-hematopoietic cells, including TECs.

The BM-derived stromal cell line OP9 stably transfected with the Notch ligand Delta-like 1 (OP9-DL1) supports the differentiation and expansion of DN thymocytes into DP cells in the presence of IL-7 and Flt-3 ligand (Flt3L; Schmitt and Zúñiga-Pflücker, 2002). GST-LRRC8A specifically bound to OP9-DL1 (Fig. 8 E). Addition of GST-LRRC8A, but not GST alone, significantly inhibited the maturation of WT DN thymocytes into DP thymocytes in co-cultures with OP9-DL1 cells in the presence of IL-7 and Flt-3L (Fig. 8, F and G) and resulted in a higher percentage of annexin V\(^{+}\) apoptotic DN and DP cells (Fig. 8 H). Inhibition of the DN to DP maturation by GST-LRRC8a was dose dependent (Fig. 8 I). These results suggest that interaction of LRRC8A in thymocytes with its ligand on OP9-DL1 cells is important for the in vitro maturation and survival of DN thymocytes into DP thymocytes.

LRRC8A associates with GRB2, GAB2, and LCK and activates AKT in thymocytes via the LCK–ZAP-70–GAB2–PI3K pathway

The kinase AKT has been implicated in the survival and proliferation of thymocytes (Chen et al., 2001; Juntila et al., 2007). Given the increased cell death of LRRC8-deficient thymocytes, we examined whether LRRC8A activates AKT. Cross-linking of LRRC8A with anti-LRRC8A mAb resulted in AKT phosphorylation in WT thymocytes (Fig. 9 A), including DN thymocytes (Fig. 9 B). LRRC8A cross-linking failed to cause AKT phosphorylation in \( Lrrc8a^{-/-} \) thymocytes (Fig. 9 C), but TCR/CD3 cross-linking caused normal AKT phosphorylation.
structurally different SRC kinase inhibitors PP2 and SU6656, and SYK/ZAP-70 inhibitors Piceatannol and R406, but not the MEK1/2 inhibitor GSK1120212, blocked LRRC8A-driven AKT phosphorylation in thymocytes (Fig. 9 J and not depicted). Furthermore, LRRC8A-driven AKT phosphorylation was diminished in Zap70−/− thymocytes (Fig. 9 K).

These results indicate that LRRC8A constitutively associates with the GRB2–GAB2 complex and LCK, and activates AKT via the LCK–ZAP-70–GAB2–PI3K pathway.

We examined whether the lack of LRRC8A impairs AKT phosphorylation in thymocytes. Immunostaining sections of thymi fixed immediately after sacrifice revealed the presence of pAKT throughout the thymus in WT mice, with the subcapsular area giving the highest signal, but less intense pAKT staining in the thymus in Lrrc8a−/− mice (Fig. 10 A).

pAKT staining was specific because it was abolished by preincubation with the specific phosphopeptide used for immunization (Fig. 10 B). Compared with WT thymi, Lrrc8a−/− thymi had a reduced percentage of pAKT-positive thymocytes, and a lower pAKT/AKT staining intensity ratio with a normal in these cells. LRRC8A-driven AKT phosphorylation in WT thymocytes was completely inhibited by LY294002 (Fig. 9 A), indicating that it was dependent on PI3K (PI3K).

Both intracellular loops of LRRC8A lack the YXXM binding motif for binding PI3K. Receptors whose intracellular domain lacks this motif activate AKT by associating with the GRB2–GAB2 complex (Gu and Neel, 2003; Caron et al., 2009). GAB2 associates with the SRC kinases and is tyrosine phosphorylated by these kinases and ZAP-70 (Gu and Neel, 2003; Palacios and Weiss, 2007) on Y452. This residue is part of the YXXM motif in GAB2 that recruits the p85 regulatory subunit of PI3K by interacting with its SH2 domain (Nishida et al., 1999; Zhao et al., 1999; Crouin et al., 2001). The first intracellular loop of LRRC8A contains a proline-rich region that could potentially interact with the SH3 domain of the adaptor GRB2 and SRC kinases. LRRC8A was found to be constitutively associated in thymocytes with GRB2, GAB2, and LCK (Fig. 9, D–F). Furthermore, LRRC8A ligation on thymocytes caused tyrosine phosphorylation of GAB2 at residue Y452, LCK, and its substrate ZAP-70 (Fig. 9, G–I).
and ZAP-70 (I) after LRRC8A ligation on thymocytes. (J) AKT phosphorylation after LRRC8A ligation of thymocytes pretreated with the SRC kinase inhibitor PP2, the MEK1/2 inhibitor GSK1120212, and the SYK/ZAP-70 inhibitor Piceatannol. (K) LRRC8A- and TCR/CD3-driven AKT phosphorylation in thymocytes. (L) AKT phosphorylation after LRRC8A ligation of thymocytes pretreated with PI3K inhibitors. Thymocytes express both LCK and FYN. AKT activation was blocked by SRC, SYK/ZAP-70, and PI3K. The recruited PI3K undergoes phosphorylation by GAB2. The recruited PI3K associates with the GRB2–GAB2 complex and LCK, and activates AKT via the LCK–ZAP-70–GAB2–PI3K pathway. LRRC8A constitutively associates with the GRB2–GAB2 complex and LCK. These associations may be direct, via interactions between the proline-rich region in the first intracellular domain of LRRC8A and the SH3 domain of GRB2 and LCK. LRRC8A signaling contributes to TCR-driven thymocyte proliferation. The numbers of thymocytes at the DN2 stage and beyond were significantly reduced in Lm8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras compared with those from control chimeras (Fig. 10 G).

**DISCUSSION**

The present study demonstrates that LRRC8A plays a critical cell-autonomous role in T lymphocyte development and function. The thymus of Lm8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras, like that of Lm8a−/− mice, had decreased cellularity, disorganized architecture, increased apoptosis, and decreased proliferation, indicating that these defects are T cell intrinsic. The decreased proliferation of thymocytes from Lm8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras to anti-CD3+IL-2, but not to the TCR-independent stimuli PMA+ionomycin, suggests that LRRC8A signaling contributes to TCR-driven thymocyte proliferation. The numbers of thymocytes at the DN2 stage and beyond were significantly reduced in Lm8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras, as in Lm8a−/− mice, indicating that the early block in thymocyte development is cell autonomous. Despite their defective T cell development and function, Lm8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras had no increase in mortality, indicating that the running and premature death of Lm8a−/− mice is likely due to their multiple organ abnormalities.

Our studies demonstrate that LRRC8A activates AKT via the LCK–ZAP-70–GAB2–PI3K pathway. LRRC8A constitutively associates with the GRB2–GAB2 complex and LCK. These associations may be direct, via interactions between the proline-rich region in the first intracellular domain of LRRC8A and the SH3 domain of GRB2 and LCK, and/or indirectly via the interaction of GAB2 with GRB2 and LCK (Gu and Neel, 2003). LRRC8A ligation caused phosphorylation of LCK and its substrate ZAP-70, and of their target GAB2 at residue Y452, which, when phosphorylated, recruits PI3K to GAB2. The recruited PI3K undergoes phosphorylation by LCK and triggers AKT phosphorylation. LRRC8A-mediated activation of AKT was blocked by SRC, SYK/ZAP-70, and PI3K inhibitors. Thymocytes express both LCK and FYN. They also express both SYK and ZAP-70, with SYK expressed highest in DN thymocytes and ZAP-70 expression highest in SP thymocytes (Chu et al., 1998; Palacios and Weiss, 2007). LRRC8A could use different SYK and SRC family kinase members to activate AKT.

AKT phosphorylation was markedly reduced in thymocytes from Lm8a−/− mice compared with thymocytes from WT controls. Given the established role of AKT in thymocyte survival, proliferation, and metabolism (Chen et al., 2001;
Juntilla et al., 2007), the significant reduction in tonic AKT phosphorylation may play an important role in the defective thymic maturation of Lrrc8a−/− mice. Decreased AKT activation could also explain the relative increase in CD4+ FOXP3+ cells in thymus of these mice, since AKT inhibits the generation of these cells (Haxhinasto et al., 2008; Merkenschlager and von Boehmer, 2010). The block in thymocyte maturation occurs earlier in Lrrc8a−/− mice than in Akt1−/−/Akt2−/− mice, in which the DN3:DN4 transition is blocked (Juntilla et al., 2007). This could be explained by the fact that thymocytes from Akt1−/−/Akt2−/− still express Akt3 (Juntilla et al., 2007) and that LRRC8A ligation may deliver signals in addition to AKT that are important for thymocyte development and survival.

In addition to Akt, several genes have been implicated in early thymic development. They include Notch 1 and its downstream targets Hes 1, Deltex, Ncap, and pTCRα (Deftos et al., 1998, 2000; Krebs et al., 2001; Lamar et al., 2001), as well as Bcl-2 (Deftos et al., 1998) and Bcl11b (Wakabayashi et al., 2003; Li et al., 2010). qPCR analysis revealed that the expression of these genes was either unaffected, or in a few cases increased, in DN1-DN4 thymocytes from Lrrc8a−/− mice and controls (unpublished data). IL-7R signaling is important to the survival of early thymocytes (Peschon et al., 1994; Akashi et al., 1997; Kim et al., 1998). Surface expression of IL-7Rα by thymocyte subpopulations (DN1−4, DP, and SP) was comparable between Lrrc8a−/− mice and controls (unpublished data). These results rule out a role for abnormalities in the above pathways in the thymic developmental block caused by LRRC8A deficiency.

A ligand for LRRC8A was detected on TECs and on the stromal cell line OP9. A fusion protein containing GST and the extracellular domain of LRRC8A inhibited OP9-DL1 cell–dependent maturation of DN cells into DP cells in vitro. This finding, together with the decreased pAKT content of the thymus in Lrrc8a−/− mice, suggests that the putative LRRC8A ligand expressed by TECs delivers a critical survival signal via AKT to thymocytes. In addition to 293T cells, GST-LRRC8A bound to keratinocytes and fibroblasts (unpublished data), suggesting that disruption of LRRC8A interaction with a ligand expressed by epithelial and mesenchymal cells may contribute to the tissue pathology in Lrrc8a−/− mice. Identification of this ligand is currently the subject of investigation.

The reduced number of T cells and decreased percentage of CD4+ T effector memory cells in the spleen of Lrrc8a−/−→Rag2−/− chimeras suggest that cell-intrinsic expression of LRRC8A in T cells is important for their homeostatic expansion in the periphery. The decreased proliferation of splenic T cells from these chimeras in response to TCR/CD3 ligation, but intact response to PMA+ionomycin, suggest that LRRC8A delivers a co-stimulatory signal to antigen-activated T cells. Indeed, ligation of LRRC8A causes AKT activation in normal splenic T cells (unpublished data), as it does in thymocytes. Lack of LRRC8A-driven AKT activation and/or maturation in an abnormal thymic environment may contribute to the decreased homeostatic proliferation and impaired function of LRRC8A-deficient peripheral T cells. Selective deletion of Lrrc8a in mature T cells is needed to distinguish between these two possibilities.
**Lrrc8a**−/− mice had a modest block in B cell development and normal B cell function. However, **Lrrc8a**−/− mice and **Lrrc8a**−/−→**Rag2**−/− chimeras had a fourfold decrease in splenic B cells, suggesting that LRRCA8A is important for peripheral B cell homeostasis. Ligation of LRRCA8A caused AKT phosphorylation in B cells (unpublished data), and AKT is important for maintaining normal numbers of peripheral B cells (Juntilla et al., 2007). Thus, loss of LRRCA8A–mediated AKT activation in B cells may have contributed to the peripheral B cell lymphopenia in **Lrrc8a**−/− mice.

In contrast to the **Lrrc8a**−/− mouse, the patient with the heterozygous LRRCA8A mutation had no circulating B cells and agammaglobulinemia but normal numbers of circulating T cells (Sawada et al., 2003). The function of these T cells was not tested, but no opportunistic infections were reported in the patient despite an age of 17 yr. The difference in the two phenotypes most likely reflects the difference between the presence of a truncated mutant protein in the patient, which is thought to have acted as dominant negative (Conley, 2003; Sawada et al., 2003), and the complete absence of the protein in the knockout mouse. Given the 99% aa sequence homology between human and mouse LRRCA8A, loss of LRRCA8A expression in humans would likely present as severe combined immunodeficiency associated with multiple organ abnormalities.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Generation of Lrrc8a**−/− mice. We designed a gene–targeting construct for replacing the exon 3, which encodes the first 719 aa of LRRCA8A. DNA fragments 4,809 and 3,375 kb in length were PCR amplified from a BAC clone DNA encoding the entire Lrrc8a gene (RP23-315H12) and cloned 5′ and 3′ in the pLNTK gene targeting vector. The linearized targeting construct was then electroporated into CJ7 ES cells, which were then selected in medium containing 0.4 mg/ml G418 and 10 mg/ml Gancyclovir. Of the three ES clones identified with targeted deletion of one of the two alleles of Lrrc8a, two were injected into C57BL/6 blastocysts for the generation of chimeric mice. ES cell clones and mice were genotyped by Southern blot analysis on a FACScalibur or FACSCanto (BD). Fluorescent-labeled or biotinylated monoclonal antibodies to B220 (clone RA3-6B2), BP-1 (clone H57-597) was purchased from BD. Lineage-restricted cells were stained with the appropriate fluorochrome-labeled mAbs and analyzed on a FACScalibur or FACSCanto (BD). Fluorescent-labeled or biotinylated monoclonal antibodies to B220 (clone RA3-6B2), BP-1 (clone 6C3), CD3e (clone 145-2C11), CD4 (clone L3T4), CD8 (clone 53-6.7), CD11b (clone M1/70), CD11c (clone N418), CD21/35 (clone 145-2C11), CD44 (clone IM7), CD62L (clone MEL-14), CD69 (clone M1/70), CD11c (clone N418), CD21/35 (clone 145-2C11), CD44 (clone IM7), CD62L (clone MEL-14), CD71 (clone R17217), CD127 (clone A7R34), c-kit (clone 2B8), Foxp3 (clone FJK-16s), IgD (clone 11-26C), IgM (clone B220-15SP), Sca-1 (clone D7), CD4 (clone L3T4), CD8 (clone 53-6.7), CD11b (clone M1/70), CD11c (clone N418), and Ter-119 (clone Ter119), and Thy1.2 (clone 30-H12) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Intraglandular staining was done as the procedures indicated with Cytoperm/Cytofix cell permeabilization and staining kit (BD).

**BrdU incorporation assays.** Mice were injected intraperitoneally with 1 mg BrdU in 100 µl 1× PBS and, 3 h later, tissues were harvested and BrdU incorporation was analyzed by using a BrdU Flow kit (BD).

**Thymus transplantation and immunofluorescence.** Individual thymus lobes from E14.5–18.5 WT and **Lrrc8a**−/− embryos were transplanted under the kidney capsule of anesthetized recipient mice. For staining cryosections, thymi were harvested, fixed in phosphate-buffered 1×-lysin with 1% paraformaldehyde–periodate (PLP), dehydrated in 30% sucrose in PBS, snap-frozen and eoisin staining and immunohistological analysis. In brief, sections were de-waxed, rehydrated, and endogenous peroxidase activity blocked by 0.3% H2O2 methanol. Heat-induced antigen retrieval was performed when needed. Single immunostains were revealed by Real EnVision rabbit or mouse HRP Labeled Polymer system (Dako) or by preabsorbed biotinylated rabbit anti–rat mouse Ab (1:200; Vector), followed by Streptavidin–SA–HRP conjugated and Diaminobenzidine (DAB; Dako), and nuclei were counterstained with hematoxylin. Bright field double immunostains were performed using Real EnVision Rabbit HRP (Dako) and MACH4 Universal AP Polymer kit (Biocare Medical) for the detection of CD3 and Caspase 3, respectively, and developed by either DAB or FERANGI Blue (Dako). Nuclei were counterstained with methyl green. Double immunofluorescence analysis has been performed using secondary swine anti–rabbit FITC–conjugated antibody (1:30; Dako) for CK5 and rabbit anti–rat biotinylated antibody (1:200; Vector Laboratories), followed by Streptavidin–Texas red (1:100; Southern-Biotech) for CK8. Sections were then counterstained with DAPI. Digital images were acquired by a DP70 camera (Olympus) mounted on a BX60 microscope (Olympus), using CellF Imaging software (Soft Imaging System GmbH). The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-caspase 3 active (clone AF835, 1:600; R&D Systems), anti-CD3 (clone 2C11-145, 1:100; Dako), anti–cytokeratin-5 (clone D5/16 B4, 1:100; Covance), anti-AIRE (provided by P. Peterson, University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia; 1:2,000), anti-pAKT (Ser 473; clone 736E11, 1:30; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-AKT (clone 11E7, 1:100; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-pSTATA (Thr 705; clone D3A7, 1:80; Cell Signaling Technology), STAT3 (clone 70D7, 1:100; Cell Signaling Technology), rat anti–cytokeratin-8 (clone 8T05; clone D3A7, 1:80; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-FOXP3 (clone F9, 1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and mouse anti–CL4 (clone 3E2C1, 1:100; Invitrogen). In addition, biotinylated UEA-1 ligand (1:600; Vector Laboratories) was used to detect mature mTECs. The pAKT peptide used for immunization was used as a blocking peptide (Cell Signaling Technology) as a control for pAKT specificity.

**Preparation of cells and flow cytometry.** Single-cell suspensions from BM, thymus, and spleen of 3–6 wk-old mice were prepared as described earlier (de la Fuente et al., 2006). TECs were prepared as described by Gray et al. (2002). Cells were stained with the appropriate fluorochrome-labeled mAbs and analyzed on a FACS Calibur or FACS Canto (BD). Fluorescent-labeled or biotinylated monoclonal antibodies to B220 (clone RA3-6B2), BP-1 (clone 6C3), CD3e (clone 145-2C11), CD4 (clone L3T4), CD8 (clone 53-6.7), CD11b (clone M1/70), CD11c (clone N418), CD21/35 (clone eBio8D9), CD23 (clone B3B4), CD24 (clone M1/69), CD25 (clone 3C7), CD43 (clone eBioR260), CD44 (clone IM7), CD62L (clone MEL-14), CD71 (clone R17217), CD127 (clone A7R34), c-kit (clone 2B8), Foxp3 (clone FJK-16s), IgD (clone 11-26C), IgM (clone B220-15SP), Sca-1 (clone D7), Ter-119 (clone Ter119), and Thy1.2 (clone 30-H12) were purchased from eBioscience. Anti–T-REC-β (clone H57-597) was purchased from BD. Lineage-negative cells were identified by excluding cells stained with single fluorochrome-labeled cocktail of biotinylated B220 (clone RA3-6B2), CD3e (clone 145-2C11), CD4 (clone L3T4), CD8 (clone 53-6.7), CD11b (clone M1/70), CD11c (clone N418), and Ter-119 (clone Ter119), Annexin-V staining kit from BioVision was used for the detection of apoptotic cells. Anti–FLAG mAb (clone M2) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Intraglandular staining was done as the procedures included with Cytoperm/Cytofix cell permeabilization and staining kit (BD).

**Histology, immunohistochemistry, and immunofluorescence.** Mouse tissue histopathology was performed at the Rodent Histopathology Core facility at the Harvard Medical School. TUNEL staining of thymic sections was performed as per the instructions of the manufacturer (BD). 2-µm-thick formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded sections were subjected to hematoxylin and
Thymocytes were purified as described earlier (de la Fuente et al., 2006). Splenic T and B cells were purified by negative selection using kits from Miltenyi Biotec. Thymocytes and purified T cells were cultured in medium alone or in wells coated with 2 µg/ml anti-CD3 monoclonal antibody (clone KT3; Abcam) with or without 2 µg/ml anti-CD28 (clone L293; BD) or ionomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) was used at 0.5 µM. Purified B cells were cultured on monolayers of OP9-DL1 cells (gift from J.C. Zúñiga-Pflücker, 2002), after which cells were harvested and analyzed by FACS.

**Generation of LRRCA8 fusion proteins and analysis of binding of LRRCA8 fusion protein to cells.** LRRCA8 C-terminal polypeptide (aa 343–810) was fused downstream of GST and MBP (maltose binding protein) in pGEX–F1 (GE Healthcare) and pMAL–c2G (New England Biolabs, Inc.) expression vectors, respectively. Fusion proteins were expressed in recommended bacterial hosts. Expressed GST-LRRCA8 and MBP-LRRCA8 fusion proteins were purified as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Target cells were incubated with GST/GST–LRRCA8 or MBP/MBP-LRRCA8 on ice for 30 min. The binding of LRRCA8 fusion proteins was detected using flow cytometry by staining cells with the appropriate fluorochrome-labeled anti-tag antibody (anti-GST antibody [clone 26H11; Cell Signaling Technology] or anti-MBP antibody [clone MBP-17; Sigma-Aldrich]).

**In vitro maturation of DN to DP thymocytes.** Purified DN thymocytes were cultured on monolayers of OP9–DL1 cells (gift from J.C. Zúñiga-Pflücker, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada) in recombiant IL-7 and Flt3L (PeproTech) containing medium in the presence of either GST/GST–LRRCA8 or MBP/MBP-LRRCA8 for 4–6 d as described earlier (Schmitt and Zúñiga-Pflücker, 2002), after which cells were harvested and analyzed by FACS.

**LRRCA8 signaling studies.** For cell stimulation, purified cells were incubated with the respective antibodies on ice for 20 min and cross-linked with F(ab')2 fragments of appropriate secondary antibodies for indicated time points at 37°C. Immediately after stimulation, the cells were lysed in SDS sample buffer by adding one-fourth volume of 5× SDS lysis buffer directly into the cell suspensions. Samples were boiled for 5 min and separated by 4–15% SDS-PAGE and evaluated by immunoblotting using anti-pAkt (clone D9E9, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-AKT (clone 11E7, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-p38 (Y202/Y204, clone C34G1, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-GAB2 (Y452, clone C33G1, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-ERK1/2 (Y202/Y204), clone C18G1, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-ERK2 (Y205), clone 1A1, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-pLCK (Y397, clone 1B3, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-p210BCR-ABL (clone 126, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-p90RSK (Y397, clone 90RSK1, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), and anti-phospho-p38 (Y177) (clone 1A1, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology). Proteins were isolated from cell lysates by immunoprecipitation using anti-p-AKT (clone D9E9, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-AKT (clone 11E7, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-pGAB2 (Y452, clone C33G1, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-AKT (clone 11E7, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-pSRC (Y416, clone D49G4, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-LCK (clone L22B1, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), anti-p-ZAP-70 (Y205), clone 108B1, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), and anti-p-ZAP-70 (clone D1C10E, 1:1,000; Cell Signaling Technology), and anti-GRB2 (clone c-c3; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) antibody. PP2 and Su6656 (SRC inhibitors) were purchased from EMD Millipore. Piceatannol and R-406 (SYK inhibitors) were purchased from Selleckchem Chemicals, LLC. Wortmannin and Ly294002 (PI3K inhibitors) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. GSK1120212 (MEK1/2 inhibitor) was purchased from BioVision Technology, Inc. LRRCA8 and LCK immunoprecipitations were performed as described previously (de la Fuente et al., 2006) using anti-LRRCA8 antibodies and anti-LCK mouse monoclonal antibody (clone 3A5; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

Cell activation marker expression, thymocytes, and splenic B and T cell proliferation and cytokine production assays were performed as described previously (de la Fuente et al., 2006).

**Serum immunoglobulins and antibody measurements.** Serum immunoglobulins and antibody levels were determined by previously described methods. Mice were immunized with KLH prepared with Immune Alum (Thermo Fisher Scientific), TNP-Ficoll, or TNP-LPS (Bio-Rad Laboratories). ELISA assays were performed to estimate specific immunoglobulin levels in the serum samples of the immunized mice as earlier (de la Fuente et al., 2006).

**Quantitative RT-PCR.** Total RNA was prepared from the flow cytometrically–sorted WT and KO DN1–4 thymocytes using the RNeasy extraction kit (Ambion). RT-PCR was performed using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Carboxylfluorescein (FAM)-labeled specific Taq-Man primers were purchased from Applied Biosystems. Quantitative PCR reactions were run on an ABI Prism 7300 sequence detection system platform (Applied Biosystems). The housekeeping gene β2-microglobulin was used as a control. The relative gene expression among the different samples was determined using the method described by Pfaffl (2001). Quantities of all targets in test samples were normalized to the corresponding β2-microglobulin levels.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis of the data using the Student’s t test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed with Prism software (GraphPad Software Inc.).

Online supplemental material. Fig. S1 shows surface expression of LRRCA8 in untransfected and LRRCA8–transfected 293T cells by FACS using LRRCA8 and FLAG tag–specific antibodies and the strategy to generate Lnr68–/− mice. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20131379/DC1.
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Figure S1. Surface expression of LRRC8A and the generation of Lrrc8a−/− mice. (A) FACS analysis of LRRC8A surface expression by 293T cells transfected with empty vector or vector encoding LRRC8A, using 4D10 mAb and C18 rabbit polyclonal antibody. (B) FACS analysis of LRRC8A surface expression by 293T cells transfected with empty vector or vector encoding LRRC8A-FLAG using anti-FLAG mAb. (C) Structure of the WT Lrrc8a allele, the targeting construct, the targeted allele that has undergone homologous recombination before and after Cre-mediated removal of the neo gene. Lrrc8a exons are represented by blue boxes. neo = neomycin resistance gene, tk = thymidine kinase gene. The external 5′ and 3′ probes are indicated by the bars. The PCR primers used are indicated by the arrows. (D) Homologous recombination in ES cells detected by Southern blotting. Sca 1-digested genomic DNA was probed using the 3′ external probe. (E) Genotyping of mice before removal of the neo gene by Southern blotting. Bam H1-digested tail genomic DNA was probed with the 5′ external probe. (F) Genotyping by PCR analysis of tail DNA using the primers a and b to identify the Lrrc8a targeted allele before and after removal of the neo gene. The disrupted allele with the neo gene retained is designated Lrrc8a−neo. The disrupted allele with the neo gene removed is designated Lrrc8a−. (G) Immunoblot analysis of LRRC8A in thymocyte lysates. Wiskott-Aldrich Interacting Protein (WIP) was used as a loading control. Data are representative of three independent experiments with one sample per group (A and B), and three independent experiments with one sample (D) and one mouse per group (E–G).