Cross talk between Wnt/β-catenin and Irf8 in leukemia progression and drug resistance
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Progression and disease relapse of chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) depends on leukemia-initiating cells (LIC) that resist treatment. Using mouse genetics and a BCR-ABL model of CML, we observed cross talk between Wnt/β-catenin signaling and the interferon-regulatory factor 8 (Irf8). In normal hematopoiesis, activation of β-catenin results in up-regulation of Irf8, which in turn limits oncogenic β-catenin functions. Self-renewal and myeloproliferation become dependent on β-catenin in Irf8-deficient animals that develop a CML-like disease. Combined Irf8 deletion and constitutive β-catenin activation result in progression of CML into fatal blast crisis, elevated leukemic potential of BCR-ABL–induced LICs, and Imatinib resistance. Interestingly, activated β-catenin enhances a preexisting Irf8-deficient gene signature, identifying β-catenin as an amplifier of progression-specific gene regulation in the shift of CML to blast crisis. Collectively, our data uncover Irf8 as a roadblock for β-catenin-driven leukemia and imply both factors as targets in combinatorial therapy.

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a clonal myeloproliferative disorder that results from the stable recurrent Philadelphia chromosomal translocation (Ph+) in hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), giving rise to the oncogenic BCR-ABL fusion protein (Nowell and Hungerford, 1960; Bartram et al., 1983). The course of CML is biphasic, with a prolonged chronic phase (CP) that eventually progresses to a fatal blast-crisis phase (BP) that is characterized by accumulation of differentiation-arrested and therapy-resistant blast cells (Perrotti et al., 2010). Administration of BCR–ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) can efficiently restrain CML-CP, but complete remission is difficult to achieve due to persistence of TKI-resistant leukemia-initiating cells (LICs) that may reestablish CML and cause disease relapse (Druker et al., 2006; Crews and Jamieson, 2010; Luis et al., 2012). In BCR–ABL–induced CML, Wnt/β-catenin signaling is aberrantly activated and responsible for expanding the granulocyte/monocyte progenitor (GMP) pool in patients with blast crisis (Jamieson et al., 2004; Abrahamsson et al., 2009). Although deletion of β-catenin in a BCR–ABL–induced CML mouse model led to impaired leukemogenesis (Zhao et al., 2007; Hu et al., 2009), delay of disease recurrence and abrogation of fully developed
CML LICs were only achieved with Imatinib cotreatment (Heidel et al., 2012). These studies suggested that canonical Wnt signaling could become a druggable target in patients with minimal residual CML disease (Heidel et al., 2012).

Another recurrent lesion in CML pathogenesis involves the IFN-regulatory factor 8 (Irf8), which has been established as a tumor suppressor in CML (Holtschke et al., 1996; Hao and Ren, 2000; Deng and Daley, 2001; Tamura et al., 2003; Burchert et al., 2004). Patients with CML show reduced Irf8 expression, and successful CML therapy is associated with a restoration of Irf8 level (Schmidt et al., 1998). Targeted deletion of Irf8 in the mouse leads to development of a CML-like disease (Holtschke et al., 1996; Scheller et al., 1999). Down-regulation of Irf8 is required for murine BCR-ABL–inducible CML disease, whereas coexpression of Irf8 repressed the mitogenic activity of BCR-ABL in vivo (Hao and Ren, 2000) and in vitro (Tamura et al., 2003; Burchert et al., 2004). Loss of Irf8 synergized with different oncogenes and induced myeloblastic transformation (Schwieger et al., 2002; Gurevich et al., 2006; Hara et al., 2008); however, progression of Irf8−/− CML-like disease in mice occurred rarely and only after long latency (Holtschke et al., 1996). These results suggested that Irf8 deficiency is a prerequisite but not sufficient for malignant transformation and requires an additional genetic lesion for blast crisis progression.

Irf8 functions as an anti-oncoprotein that inhibits expression of myc, an important target gene of BCR-ABL (Tamura et al., 2003), negatively controls anti-apoptotic genes, such as bcl2, bcl2l1 (bcl-xl), or Ptpn13 (Fas-associated phosphatase-1), and enhances the expression of proapoptotic genes, such as caspase-3 (Gabriele et al., 1999; Burchert et al., 2004). Recent studies have also suggested a link between Irf8 deficiency and increased expression and activity of β-catenin that may associate with poor prognosis and CML-BP transition (Huang et al., 2010).

In this study, we demonstrate that cross talk between canonical Wnt and IFN signaling determines development of CML-LICs and represents a BCR-ABL–independent mechanism of disease progression underlying the acquisition of resistance to Imatinib at later stages of CML. Because elimination of β-catenin did not affect normal HSCs and because Irf8 antagonized BCR-ABL–induced leukemia, targeting of both pathways together with TKI treatment may pave the way to more effective combinatorial therapeutic strategies in the treatment of advanced CML.

RESULTS

Irf8 is a functional downstream target of β-catenin

Activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the hematopoietic system of mice has previously been shown to result in impaired lineage differentiation and rapid death of the animals (Kirstetter et al., 2006; Scheller et al., 2006). Gene expression profiling was now used to explore consequences of β-catenin activation in the HSC enriched lineage-negative (Lin−) Sca-1+ c-Kit+ (LSK) bone marrow compartment, using MxCre+ Ctnnb1(Ex3)β−/+ and control MxCre− Ctnnb1(Ex3)β−/+ mice. As shown in Fig. 1 A, β-catenin activation led to up-regulation of proapoptotic and down-regulation of prosurvival genes that was also noted by others (Perry et al., 2011). Remarkably, genes associated with self-renewal and leukemogenesis, such as Meis1, Hoxa9, Runx2, Myc, and Mplk, were transcriptionally down-regulated. Using established myeloid (GM)– and erythroid (E)–specific gene signatures (Månsson et al., 2007), we found that in Ctnnb1(Ex3)β−/+ LSK cells, E–specific gene expression was increased (e.g., Gata1, Emap, Klf1, Pklr, and Epor), whereas myeloid gene expression (e.g., Elane, Csf1r, Csf3, Mpo, and Celpa) was diminished, mirroring the prospective lineage commitment defect.

Expression of key transcription factors that orchestrate myeloid differentiation (Rosenbauer and Tenen, 2007) was validated by RT-PCR. Expression of Sfi1 (Pt. I) and Celpa mRNAs was strongly reduced and expression of Irf8 was up-regulated (Fig. 1 B). Enhanced Irf8 expression was also evident by protein analysis (Fig. 1 C) and was consistent with the enrichment of Irf8 target genes (Tamura et al., 2005; Kubosaki et al., 2010) in the Ctnnb1(Ex3)β−/+ LSK gene expression signature (Fig. 1 D). Analysis of the Irf8 promoter region revealed three consensus-binding sites for the β-catenin target transcription factors Tcf/Lef1 (Tcf/Lef1-binding elements [TBEs], CTTTGAT and ATCAAAG, respectively) in a region 1.7 kb upstream of the transcriptional start site (Fig. 1 E). A β-catenin/Lef1 construct enhanced Irf8 promoter (~1710 to +33)–driven reporter expression in a TBE2 site–dependent fashion (Fig. 1 F). Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) confirmed binding of β-catenin/Lef1 to the TBE2 site (Fig. 1 G). These results identify Irf8 as a novel Wnt/β-catenin target gene.

To explore the functional consequences of Irf8 up-regulation on myeloid lineage commitment of Ctnnb1(Ex3)β−/+ LSK cells, WT HSC– and progenitor–enriched Lin− BM cells were transduced with a retroviral construct carrying Irf8 cDNA or 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (4-OHT)–inducible Irf8 (Irf8–ER12) and analyzed in CFU assays and by transplantation into lethally irradiated mice. Induction of Irf8 reduced the percentage of myeloid GFP+CD11b+ cells in animals (Fig. 1 H, top), while GFP+B220+ B cells remained constant (Fig. 1 H, bottom). Analysis of apoptosis showed increased Annexin V staining within the myeloid compartment (GFP+CD11b+), but not in B cells (GFP+B220+ cells; unpublished data). Furthermore, enforced expression of Irf8 reduced myeloid colony formation, in particular granulocyte-monoocyte (GM)– and granulocyte (G)–CFUs (Fig. 1 I). In accordance with previous findings, expression of a stabilized form of β-catenin also reduced colony formation (Fig. 1 J). In a reciprocal experiment, a stabilized β-catenin construct was introduced into Irf8−/− progenitors. In contrast to the WT cells, introduction of stabilized β-catenin into Irf8–deficient Lin− BMCs barely affected proliferation and colony formation (Fig. 1 J). Altogether, constitutive activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in HSCs resulted in repression of self-renewal–associated genes, increased apoptosis, and altered lineage priming that may provide the explanation for early lethality of mice after β-catenin activation.
**Figure 1.** *Irf8* is a downstream effector of activated β-catenin and restrains myeloid development. (A) Alteration of gene expression after β-catenin activation in HSC. Overview of selected differential gene expression patterns in sorted LSKs from MxCre+*Ctnnb1*(Ex3)fl/+ (denoted *Ctnnb1*(Ex3)Δ/+; Δ, deleted) compared with control MxCre−*Ctnnb1*(Ex3)fl/+ (denoted *Ctnnb1*(Ex3)fl/+; fl, floxed). Genes were considered differentially expressed at a fold change (log2): ≥1.5 or ≤−1.5; P < 0.05. (B) Representative quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) for the indicated targets in sorted LSKs. Values are standardized to expression of β-actin and are presented as fold induction, relative to expression in control LSK cells (set as 1). Value for *Cebpa* from *Ctnnb1*(Ex3)Δ/+ LSK is 0.016 ± 0.015. Data are representative of three experiments. Error bars indicate SD, n=3. (C) Immunoblot analysis of Irf8 protein in lineage-depleted (Lin−) BM cells. Total protein extracts of poly(I:C)-treated controls and *Ctnnb1*(Ex3)Δ/+ mice (n=3) were analyzed using an antibody against mouse Irf8. Tubulin staining was used as loading control. (D) GSEA comparison of the *Ctnnb1*(Ex3)Δ/+ against control LSKs for enrichment of Irf8-regulated genes. NES and p-values are indicated. (E) Identification of the Tcf/Lef1 binding sequences in the Irf8 promoter (Shtutman et al., 1999). A schematic representation of the Irf8 promoter structure with sequences of three putative TBEs. (F) Luciferase reporter assay showing the effect of β-catenin on the Irf8 promoter activity. Four Irf8 promoter fragments, F1/F0 (−1710 to +33), F2/F0 (−1582 to +33), F3/F0 (−1318 to +33), and F2*/F0 with mutation in TBE2 (−1582 to +33, mutation indicated by asterisks), were PCR amplified from mouse genomic DNA and cloned into the pGL3 basic Luciferase vector. 293T cells were cotransfected with respective reporter constructs, with or without chimeric β-catenin/Lef1 expression plasmid and were analyzed after 48h. β-Galactosidase construct was cotransfected to each sample to normalize transfection efficiency. The ratio of reporter luciferase activity to control β-galactosidase activity is indicated. Data are representative of three experiments. Error bars indicate SD, n=3. (G) EMSA showing that Lef1 binds to Irf8.
In addition, our experiments revealed If8 as a novel Wnt/β-catenin–activated target gene that, in response to Wnt/β-catenin signaling, limits myeloid differentiation and proliferation.

Wnt/β-catenin signaling is essential for If8-deficient CML

If8 deficiency endows myeloid progenitors with proliferative and survival advantages (Gabriele et al., 1999; Scheller et al., 1999). Furthermore, a recent study showed that If8 might modulate the stability of β-catenin (Huang et al., 2010), raising the possibility that both pathways are mechanistically connected. To examine the functional significance of β-catenin in the development of If8<sup>-/-</sup> CML–like disease, we conditionally deleted β-catenin in If8<sup>-/-</sup> BM cells by combining If8-null alleles with MsCre-inducible β-catenin floxed alleles (Huelsken et al., 2001; Jeannet et al., 2008). BM cells from inducible If8<sup>-/-</sup>/MsCre<sup>Cre<sup>Cre</sup></sup> (denoted as DKO) and noninducible If8<sup>-/-</sup>/MsCre<sup>Cre<sup>Cre</sup></sup> (denoted as If8<sup>-/-</sup>) mice were used for reconstitution of lethally irradiated congenic WT recipients (B6.SJL, Ly5.1) and β-catenin deletion upon administration of poly(I:C) was induced after stable engraftment and monitored by PCR (Fig. 2, A–C). Although deletion of β-catenin did not affect hematopoiesis in the WT background (Jeannet et al., 2008), myeloproliferation was strongly affected in the If8<sup>-/-</sup>-deficient background. Analysis of recipients bearing DKO cells revealed reduction of peripheral white blood cell (WBC) and of spleen weight within 30 d after β-catenin deletion (Fig. 2, D and E). The number of DKO LSK cells in BM and in spleen was profoundly reduced due to impaired frequencies of LT-HSCs (LSK, Flt3<sup>-/-CD150<sup>+</sup></sup>) and ST-HSCs (LSK, Flt3<sup>-/-CD150<sup>+</sup></sup>) compared with the recipients with single If8<sup>-/-</sup> (Fig. 2 F). The absolute number and frequency of DKO GMPs was strongly reduced as well (P < 0.0001), whereas both common myeloid progenitors (CMPs) and megakaryocyte/erythroid progenitors (MEPs) remained unchanged (Fig. 2 G). Loss of β-catenin did not alter the differentiation potential or apoptosis of DKO LSK and myeloid progenitors (Lin<sup>-Sca-1<sup>-c-kit<sup></sup></sup>)<sup>-/-</sup>); however, the number of mature granulocytes was reduced, whereas erythroid and lymphoid cell numbers were similar to WT (not depicted). Altogether, the changes in BM and in extramedullary organs were characterized by depletion of the immature stem and GMP-progenitor compartments and a profound reduction of the CML–like phenotype in If8<sup>-/-</sup>-deficient cells upon β-catenin deletion.

Because β-catenin may regulate self-renewal (Reya et al., 2003), we examined whether loss of β-catenin alters the clonogenic potential and self-renewal capacity of If8<sup>-/-</sup> cells. When BM and/or spleen cells were plated in semisolid medium, the number of colonies was strongly reduced in the DKO (Fig. 2 H, and not depicted for spleen). Serial replating showed that WT and If8<sup>-/-</sup> cells could be passaged more than four times, whereas DKO cells failed to replate beyond the second passage (Fig. 2 I). Decreased serial replating potential and colony formation suggested that deletion of β-catenin restricted self-renewal of If8<sup>-/-</sup>-deficient cells. Thus, unlike in If8<sup>-/-</sup>-proficient cells, where β-catenin was dispensable for colony formation (Cobas et al., 2004; Jeannet et al., 2008; Koch et al., 2008), self-renewal and proliferation of preleukemic If8<sup>-/-</sup>-cells depend on β-catenin.

Enhanced β-catenin activity drives If8<sup>-/-</sup> CML into blast crisis

A chronic CML–like phase develops in If8<sup>-/-</sup>-mice very rapidly with 100% penetrance, but transition to blast crisis occurs rarely and only after long latency (not depicted; Holtschke et al., 1996). This points to additional genetic lesions, which are required for transition into the acute BP. Considering the observation that the amplitude of Wnt/β-catenin signaling may control preleukemic and leukemic stem cells (Lane et al., 2011), we examined whether activation of β-catenin could promote If8<sup>-/-</sup>-deficient leukemia. If8<sup>-/-</sup>-mice were crossed to MsCre<sup>Cre<sup>Cre</sup></sup> (Ex3)<sup>/+</sup> or to control MsCre<sup>Cre<sup>Cre</sup></sup> (Ex3<sup>/+</sup>) mice and their BM was transplanted into lethally irradiated congenic WT recipients (B6.SJL, Ly5.1). After successful reconstitution (85–99% of donor cells), recipients were treated with poly(I:C) to activate Wnt/β-catenin signaling.

Recipients containing If8<sup>-/-</sup>/Ctnnb1<sup>Cre<sup>Cre</sup></sup> (Ex3<sup>Δ</sup>) mutant cells showed extended survival, as compared with recipients...
neutrophilia was replaced by immature myeloid blasts (Fig. 3 E, bottom), and mice became anemic, moribund, or died. The number of differentiated WBCs in peripheral blood and BM cellularity was reduced (Fig. 3, B–D, red bars) and accompanied by development of a severe diffuse BM fibrosis, which in human is known to correlate with an unfavorable course of CML disease (Buesche et al., 2007). The number of red cells and platelets dropped (Fig. 3, F and G), and the spleen was enlarged, showing hyperplasia of red pulp and increased myelo-erythropoiesis with undifferentiated features (Fig. 3, H and I). Histological inspection revealed massive hematopoietic infiltrates in liver and pulmonary tissue (Fig. 3 I).

receiving single mutant Ctnnb1\(^{(Ex3)Δ+}\) cells (Fig. 3 A), probably due to the apoptosis resistance of Irf8-deficient cells (Gabriele et al., 1999; Scheller et al., 1999). Deletion of a single Irf8 allele (Irf8\(^{−/−}\) Ctnnb1\(^{(Ex3)Δ+}\)) failed to increase survival, suggesting that complete loss of Irf8 was required to overcome the lethality after β-catenin stabilization (unpublished data). 10 d post injection (dpi) with poly(I:C), recipients bearing Irf8\(^{−/−}\) mice displayed increased numbers of WBC and BM cells, with predominance of mature granulocytes (Fig. 3, B–D [pink bars, 10 dpi] and E [middle]) representing initial chronic CML-like disease, similar to Irf8\(^{−/−}\) mice. However, at 20 dpi after β-catenin activation, the initially prevalent

Figure 2. Irf8-deficient CML requires β-catenin. (A) Schematic representation of the experimental procedures and genotypes used for BM cell transplantation into lethally irradiated recipients. WT, noninducible Irf8\(^{−/−}\) MxCre− Ctnnb1\(^{(Ex3)Δ+}\) and inducible double knockout mutant Irf8\(^{−/−}\) MxCre− Ctnnb1\(^{(Ex3)Δ−}\) (DKO) BM cells (Ly5.2\(^{+}\)) were injected into lethally irradiated congenic B6.SJL (Ly5.1\(^{+}\)) hosts, \(n = 10\) recipients for each genotype. After 6 wk, reconstituted recipients were treated with poly(I:C) three times every 2nd d. (B) BM and spleen engraftment of donor-derived cells (Ly5.2\(^{+}\)) in B6.SJL (Ly5.1\(^{+}\)) recipients 30 d after poly(I:C) treatment. (C) Whole BM and spleen from B6.SJL recipients genotyped for deletion of β-catenin. (D and E) β-Catenin deletion reduces Irf8\(^{−/−}\) myeloproliferative disease. Three to four mice per genotype were used to determine number of WBC in peripheral blood (D) and the mean weights of spleen from recipient mice ± SD transplanted with WT, Irf8\(^{−/−}\), or DKO cells 30 dpi with poly(I:C) (E). (F and G) β-Catenin deletion results in reduction of stem and progenitor cells in recipients bearing DKO cells. Data represent mean ± SD from one experiment 30 d after the last pI:C injection, three to four mice per genotype were used. (F) Displayed are absolute number of LSKs in BM (left) and frequency of LSK in spleen (right). LSK compartment was subfractioned into LT-HSC and ST-HSC based on the surface expression of CD150 and Flt3 by FACS. (G) Absolute number of myeloid progenitors, separated as CMP, GMP, and MEP in BM (left) and their frequency in spleen (right) by FACS is shown. (H) Number of colonies derived from BM cells of recipients (described in D and E) tested in CFU assay. CFU per 2 × 10\(^4\) cells were counted 7 d after cultivation and absolute numbers per femur and tibia are shown. Error bars indicate SD of mean number of colonies from triplicate cultures of each mouse (\(n = 3\) in each group).

(i) Serial replating assay of 1 × 10\(^4\) BM cells in methylcellulose medium from indicated genotypes. Colonies were counted after 5 d. The same number of cells was used for replating (five rounds). Error bars indicate SD of mean number of colonies from triplicate cultures of each mouse (\(n = 3\) in each group). Results are representative of two determinations performed with BM and spleen cells. Statistics: (D–I) Student’s \(t\) test, *, \(P < 0.05\); ***, \(P < 0.0001\).
To further examine how activated β-catenin drives disease progression, we performed immunophenotyping in single Ifr8−/− cells and Ifr8−/−Ctnnb1(Ex3)Δ/Δ cells. The frequency and absolute number of phenotypic HSCs (LSKs compartment) was reduced in the BP compared with the CP-like phase of disease (Fig. 4, A and B, top). Analysis of the myeloid progenitor compartment indicated a severe reduction in the number of CMPs and MEPs during disease progression (Fig. 4, A, bottom), whereas the number of GMPs remains increased, in comparison with control mice (Fig. 4, A and B, bottom). Granulocytic maturation stages were further examined to assess the differentiation block of leukemic cells. During the BP, recipients bearing Ifr8−/−Ctnnb1(Ex3)Δ/Δ cells displayed more promyelocytes (2.1 vs. 0.8%) and a strong reduction of mature granulocytes (0.06 vs. 16%), reflecting a block of granulocytic differentiation (Fig. 4, C and D). Collectively, these results showed that conditional activation of β-catenin shifts the initial CP of the Ifr8−/− CML-like disease into a fatal BP.

Next, we analyzed the cellular distribution of β-catenin during disease progression. Elevated amounts of β-catenin protein were found in the nuclear fraction of single Ifr8−/− Lin− BM cells, which correlated with activated Wnt/β-catenin signaling and was even more increased in double mutated Ifr8−/−Ctnnb1(Ex3)Δ/Δ cell nuclei (Fig. 4 E). This indicates that the level of β-catenin activation could be responsible for disease progression and that enhanced β-catenin activation in Ifr8−/−Ctnnb1(Ex3)Δ/Δ cells is a prerequisite for shifting the initial CP of the Ifr8−/− CML-like disease into a fatal blast crisis with accumulation of GMP-like blasts.
Decreased expression of Irf8 and increased activation of β-catenin are clinically relevant (Schmidt et al., 2001; Jamieson et al., 2004). We referred to published datasets to assess frequency of compound lesions (Radich et al., 2006). We observed a striking down-regulation of IRF8 expression during CML progression in a large cohort of accelerated and BP of CML samples, as compared with the CP (P < 0.05; Fig. 5 A). A significant proportion of these patients also showed accompanying up-regulation of Wnt/β-catenin target genes (Fig. 5 B, mean P < 0.05), whereas BP patients with normal- or up-regulated levels of Irf8 showed no significant mean p-value (Fig. 5 C, mean P = 0.8666). These results corroborated the presumption of simultaneous deregulation of IRF8 and Wnt/β-catenin in human CML progression.

Activation of β-catenin determines the extent of gene regulation in leukemic GMPs from chronic to BP transition

Similar to the results shown here, expansion of progenitors and reduction of HSC was found in the BM from patients with blast-crisis CML (Jamieson et al., 2004). We therefore analyzed gene expression from sorted murine LSK and GMP populations after development of clinically evident blast crisis.
in recipients bearing $\text{IRF8}^-\text{Ctnnb1}^{(Ex3)\Delta+/+}$ cells and compared them with controls ($\text{Ctnnb1}^{(Ex3)\Delta/+}$). The numbers of differentially expressed genes in leukemic $\text{IRF8}^-\text{Ctnnb1}^{(Ex3)\Delta/+}$ GMPs were higher than in LSKs (Fig. 6 A), indicating that major differences occur at the level of GMP rather than at the LSK stage. Compared with LSKs, gene profiling of $\text{IRF8}^-\text{Ctnnb1}^{(Ex3)\Delta+/+}$ GMPs showed strong enrichment of a leukemic self-renewal–associated signature, as reported for an Irf8-deficient mice (Diaz-Blanco et al., 2007), the GMP profiles of $\text{Ctnnb1}^{(Ex3)\Delta+/+}$ GMPs displayed inverse gene set enrichment (Fig. 1 A), suggesting involvement of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the regulation of ABC-transporter gene transcription. Remarkably, ABC transporter genes, including $\text{Abcb10}$, $\text{Abcc2}$, $\text{Abcc5}$, which are implicated in the development of drug resistance in human CML, were highly up-regulated, suggesting involvement of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the regulation of ABC-transporter gene transcription. Compared with the CP, the β-arrestin2 ($\text{Arrb2}$) and Bcl6 genes were up-regulated (accession no. GSE49054), both of which have recently been suggested as critical for LIC survival and progression of BCR-ABL–transformed CML in humans and in a mouse model (Hurtz et al., 2011; Fereshteh et al., 2012).

Classification of PSS genes according to their functional annotation (GO/KEGG) revealed up-regulation of genes that belong to metabolic pathways, including lipid metabolism and glycolysis (Fig. 6 H). Moreover, progression was associated with up-regulation of MAPK, insulin, and VEGF signaling pathway genes, in addition to cytoskeletal and adhesion molecule alterations. Remarkably, ABC transporter genes, including $\text{Abcb10}$, $\text{Abcc2}$, and $\text{Abcc5}$, which are implicated in the development of drug resistance in human CML, were highly up-regulated, suggesting involvement of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in the regulation of ABC-transporter gene transcription.
Enhanced leukemic potential of BCR-ABL-induced LICs and Imatinib resistance depends on cooperation between β-catenin activation and Ifr8 deficiency

The murine BCR-ABL-mediated CML model was used to validate the finding of combined β-catenin and Ifr8 lesions in human CML. Lin− BMC from control (WT) and double mutant Ifr8−/− MxCre+ Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+ mice were transduced with a retrovirus expressing the p210BCR-ABL-GFP gene (Li et al., 1999). Transformation efficiency was indistinguishable, but Ifr8−/− MxCre+ Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+ cells without β-catenin activation displayed a higher transformation efficiency than wild-type cells.

Among the down-regulated genes, proapoptotic genes and genes involved in ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis (Cul4b, Nedd4, Fbxw4, Ubf1, and Rhobt1) were prominent and diminished ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis has previously been associated with β-catenin stabilization (Aberle et al., 1997). Collectively, our data suggest that stepwise enhancement of β-catenin drives Ifr8-deficient CML into acute BP. These blasts display more primitive but deregulated stem cell features with enrichment of genes associated with leukemic self-renewal and drug resistance.

**Figure 6.** Gene expression profile of leukemic LSKs and GMPs. (A) Comparison of significantly differently expressed genes between control Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+ and Ifr8−/− Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+ cells from sorted LSK (top) and GMP (bottom) populations is shown in Volcano plots. The negative log10-transformed p-values are plotted against log2 fold change. Red dots represent significant differentially expressed probe sets. (B–E) Comparison by GSEA between LSKs (top) and GMPs (bottom) from Ifr8−/− Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+ Each population was compared individually to LSK and GMPs from control Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+ profiles. Plots show enrichment/depletion of leukemic self-renewal associated genes derived from MLL-AF9-transduced L-GMPs (B) and up-regulated gene set from human CML patients (165 genes in enrichments core; C) and genes involved in proapoptotic signature (D; Reactome). (E) GSEA plots from Ifr8−/− Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+ GMPs compared with control Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+ GMPs showing enrichment/depletion of granulocytic/monocytic- (GM-Sig, top) and megakaryocytic/erythroid (MkE-Sig, bottom)-associated gene expression set. The NES and p-values are indicated on each plot. (F) Heatmap of significantly deregulated genes in GMPs from BP and CP compared with control establish a PSS; Pearson’s correlation coefficient and Ward’s method were used. (G) Expression values of up- and down-regulated genes as depicted in PSS. BP (red, genes in Ifr8−/− Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+ GMPs) as compared with CP (blue, genes in Ifr8−/− GMPs) and control (green, genes in Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+ GMPs). The y-axis represents different genes from the PSS; the x-axis depicts the median expression value for every gene, which were row-wise median centered. (H) Gene ontology classification of genes selectively expressed in PSS. The percentage of each functional category represents numbers of gene transcripts that were more abundant in PSS profile than in control GMPs (plus value, up-regulated; minus value, down-regulated genes).
transplantation [BMT]). The control recipient group, containing WT-BCR-ABL–transformed LICs (WT-LICs), succumbed to BCR-ABL–induced CML development with increased granulopoiesis and splenomegaly within 4 wk after transplantation (Fig. 7 C). In contrast, recipients bearing BCR-ABL–expressing Irf8−/− Ctnnb1(Ex3)+/+ cells (Irf8−/− Ctnnb1(Ex3)Δ/+ -LIC) developed an aggressive acute BP shortly after β-catenin activation (Fig. 7 C). Importantly, the disease latency of Irf8−/− Ctnnb1(Ex3)Δ/+ transplanted mice was significantly (P = 0.0004) shorter in the presence of BCR-ABL activation showed higher myeloid differentiation rate and accumulation of CD11b+c-Kit+ population in vitro (Fig. 7 A). After expansion in vitro, GFP+ cells from control cultures contained c-Kit+CD11b+ and c-Kit+CD11b− populations, whereas Irf8−/− MxCre+ Ctnnb1(Ex3)Δ/+ cultures consisted mainly of a c-Kit+CD11b+ population (Fig. 7 B). To determine the leukemia-initiating potential of BCR-ABL–transformed cells (LICs) in vivo, GFP+c-Kit+CD11b+ cells (that also contained a GMP fraction) were sorted and transplanted into syngeneic recipients along with freshly isolated WT BM cells (first BM transplantation [BMT]). The control recipient group, containing WT-BCR-ABL–transformed LICs (WT-LICs), succumbed to BCR-ABL–induced CML development with increased granulopoiesis and splenomegaly within 4 wk after transplantation (Fig. 7 C). In contrast, recipients bearing BCR-ABL–expressing Irf8−/− Ctnnb1(Ex3)Δ/+ cells (Irf8−/− Ctnnb1(Ex3)Δ/+ -LIC) developed an aggressive acute BP shortly after β-catenin activation (Fig. 7 C). Importantly, the disease latency of Irf8−/− Ctnnb1(Ex3)Δ/+ transplanted mice was significantly (P = 0.0004) shorter in the presence of BCR-ABL
than without it, indicating that addition of these genetic lesions accelerated BCR-ABL–induced leukemia progression. Absolute numbers of GFP+ 
Irf8^−/− Ctnnb1^E3Δ/+–LICs in recipient peripheral blood were significantly higher than the absolute numbers of WT–LICs (96.85 ± 19.7 vs. 5.12 ± 0.6, ×10^4/µl). Next, we performed serial transplantation of BCR-ABL–WT– and double-mutated 
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side population (SP) of CML-LICs to explore enhanced efflux as a possible mechanism of Imatinib resistance. An increased SP was detected in \textit{If8}^{−/−}/\textit{Ctnnb1}^{fl/+} as compared with single \textit{If8}^{−/−} and WT Lin-depleted BM cells (Fig. 8, A and B). Further characterization revealed that WT contained a homogenous LSK-enriched SP whereas the \textit{If8}^{−/−}/\textit{Ctnnb1}^{fl/+} SP was heterogeneous and contained more differentiated and fewer LSK cells (Fig. 8, C and D). These results suggest enhanced efflux as a mechanism of resistance to Imatinib therapy.

**DISCUSSION**

The clinical observation of \textit{If8} deficiency and enhanced Wnt/\β-catenin pathway activity predicts poor prognosis in the progression of myeloid leukemia (Schmidt et al., 2001; Jamieson et al., 2004). However, genetic evidence of functional interaction between both genetic lesions was missing. Using conditional gene targeting in mice, we show a direct cross-regulatory relationship between \textit{If8} and canonical Wnt signaling in leukemia, as depicted in Fig. 8 E. Previously, we and others showed that activation of \β-catenin in WT HSCs failed to induce leukemia (Kirstetter et al., 2006; Scheller et al., 2006). Here, we demonstrate that this failure is caused by a feedback loop between the Wnt/\β-catenin signaling and the \textit{If8} gene. Loss of \textit{If8} led to preleukemic myeloproliferation, which required \β-catenin. Increasing the dosage of activated \β-catenin in \textit{If8}-deficient cells advanced the chronic CML into an acute disease phase, providing genetic evidence that \textit{If8} is a roadblock for \β-catenin–induced leukemogenesis. Accordingly, \β-catenin activation in combination with \textit{If8} deficiency represent molecular events that increase the leukemic potential of BCR-ABL–transformed LICs, aggressiveness of CML progression, and rendered LICs resistant to Imatinib (Fig. 8 E).

The murine model described here recapitulates both phases of classical human CML: (1) an initial semi-stable CP that entails compromised \textit{If8} expression and low-level nuclear \β-catenin expression; and (2) a subsequent acute and fatal BP that entails strongly increased accumulation of nuclear \β-catenin combined with loss of \textit{If8}. How \textit{If8} could reduce \β-catenin nuclear accumulation is issue of further studies, although a possible explanation relates to altered proteasomal degradation. In \textit{If8}^{−/−} cells, transcripts encoding ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis pathway components including \textit{Cul4b}, \textit{Fbox4}, \textit{Ubf1}, and \textit{Rhodb1}, are down-regulated. It has been shown that these gene products may be involved in \β-catenin turnover (Aberle et al., 1997). Thus, different quantities of activated \β-catenin drive the chronic and acute leukemicogenic phases. Similarly, LICs in MLL-induced leukemia were demonstrated to express high levels of activated \β-catenin, as compared with pre-LICs (Yeung et al., 2010). It has also been proposed that \textit{Wnt}/\β-catenin signaling regulates normal adult hematopoiesis in a dosage-dependent manner (Luis et al., 2011) and that the degree of activated \β-catenin in a “just-right” signaling model, as proposed for allophycocyanin (APC), could be relevant for tumor formation in different tissues (Fodde et al., 2001). Collectively, it appears that the amount of activated \β-catenin in the nucleus determines the severity of the disease. Although because BP occurs relatively late after \β-catenin stabilization, we cannot exclude that additional molecular changes are required for disease progression.

Self-renewing HSCs are maintained after conditional removal of \β-catenin, \γ-catenin, or both, whereas aberrantly activated Wnt/\β-catenin signaling reactivates a self-renewal program of LICs in various hematopoietic disorders. We demonstrated that constitutive activation of \β-catenin in WT HSCs results in down-regulation of self-renewal–associated genes and up-regulation of apoptotic genes (Fig. 1 A), in accordance with previous observations (Kim et al., 2000; Perry et al., 2011). Indeed, competitively transplanted cells with unrestricted \β-catenin activation disappeared in recipients, indicating selective disadvantage of such hematopoietic progenitors that may be superseded only when cells become resistant to apoptosis (Reya et al., 2003; Perry et al., 2011). \textit{If8}-mediated apoptosis and tumor suppression has been reported to occur in part by \textit{Bcl2} down-regulation (Tamura et al., 2003; Burchert et al., 2004). Moreover, stabilized \β-catenin was tolerated in myeloid cells that ectopically express \textit{Bcl2} (Reya et al., 2003). We therefore examined whether \textit{Bcl2} deregulation would suffice for acute leukemogenic conversion after Wnt/\β-catenin signaling activation (constructing \textit{MxCre} + \textit{Ctnnb1}^{fl/+} and \textit{H2K-BCL-2}–intercrosses. Although these results confirmed attenuated leukocytosis and expansion of the LSK compartment in \textit{Bcl2} transgenic animals after stabilization of \β-catenin (unpublished data), protection from apoptosis was not sufficient for myeloid leukemia development. Similarly, \textit{If8} haploinsufficiency did not cooperate with antiapoptotic signals delivered by \textit{Bcl2} to promote leukemia (Koenigsman and Carstanjen, 2009).

In accordance with a previous study (Baba et al., 2005), \β-catenin activation skews the lineage-priming gene pattern in WT HSCs and GMPs by suppression of myeloid and up-regulation of erythroid genes (Fig. 1 A). Although myeloid-erythroid transcriptional deregulation is often associated with leukemogenesis, it may thus be considered as a consequence, rather than the cause of malignancy (Jamieson et al., 2004; Rosenbauer and T enen, 2007). Deregulation of myeloid-erythroid lineage priming may be caused in part by enhanced \textit{If8} expression that acts as a myeloid gene suppressor and therewith restricts the myeloid lineage commitment phase that is particularly vulnerable to leukemic conversion (Kirstetter et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2009). Importantly, genetic ablation of \textit{If8} restores the myeloid lineage capacity of GMPs, defats apoptosis, and permits activated \β-catenin to drive leukemogenesis.

The conditional induction of BP after \β-catenin activation in \textit{If8}-deficient mice permitted the direct comparison of gene profiles between the chronic and the acute leukemic phase. This revealed that progression of the disease is tightly connected to the magnitude of deregulation of a gene set (PSS) that is already present in the CP. Consistent with this notion is the fact that only a modest number of genes was previously found to be differentially expressed during progression.
of human CML disease; 34 genes were associated with CML progression and malignancy of blasts, and 6 genes were proposed as diagnostic markers (Zheng et al., 2006; Oehler et al., 2009).

Gene expression profiling of the GMP-like population in BP revealed a signature shared with MLL-AF9–transformed AML (Krivtsov et al., 2006) and with up-regulated gene set from human CML patients (Diaz-Blanco et al., 2007). Notably, Hoxa9, Meis1, and Mef2c genes that are characteristic for MLL rearrangements and an HSC-associated self-renewal signature were down-regulated in GMPs from BP, indicating that transformation in the GMP compartment may also occur without deregulation of these genes, although their importance has been shown in human MLL-induced AML (Krivtsov et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2010).

Enrichment of human CML-related genes indicated convergence of BCR–ABL with Wnt/β-catenin and If8 signaling in disease progression. Within the group of deregulated genes, we found association with enhanced glycolysis in accordance with gene expression analysis in human CML BP (A et al., 2010). Interestingly, leukemic GMPs also show up-regulation of Hif1α, as a potential cause of metabolic reprogramming (Zhao et al., 2010). This phenomenon is related to the Warburg effect and is considered as one of the most fundamental metabolic changes that occur during malignant transformation. Activated VEGF, insulin, and MAPK pathways (which are partially overlapping) were also evident. Many reports have documented a role of insulin as well as If8 in a variety of cancers to provide antiapoptotic and proliferation stimuli (Pollak, 2008).

Growing evidence suggests that resistance to BCR–ABL inhibition involves increased drug export and/or altered intracellular signaling (Raajmakers, 2007; Corrêa et al., 2012). Both mechanisms can be mediated by the efflux transporters Abcc4, Abcc5, and Abcc11, which are involved in discharging endogenous signaling molecules and nucleoside analogues. It has previously been shown that Wnt/β-catenin directly regulates ABCB1 transporter gene transcription in CML and other malignancies (Corrêa et al., 2012; Stein et al., 2012), and that enforced expression of If8 antagonized BCR–ABL and overcame drug resistance (Burchert et al., 2004). It was therefore interesting to see that the leukemic GMP fraction displayed enhanced expression of the ABC superfamily of efflux pumps. ABC family transporters have been reported to be involved in active TKI efflux and TKI resistance (Zhou et al., 2001; Jordanides et al., 2006; Deenik et al., 2010). We were also able to show enrichment of SP population in double mutated GMPs. The SP phenotype might explain the resistance of a subpopulation of leukemic cells to chemotherapy and represent one of the putative cancer stem cell populations (Golebiowska et al., 2011). Additional genes involved in drug resistance include Ptg1/Cox1 and Bcl6, both of which were also up-regulated in the leukemic GMP from blast-crisis (Zhang et al., 2009; Duy et al., 2011; Hurtz et al., 2011).

Our data show that inhibition of the BCR–ABL kinase by Imatinib was effective in WT, less so in single mutant cells that were either If8-deficient or expressing stabilized β-catenin, and without effect in cells that combined both lesions. The mechanisms of Imatinib resistance comprise acquisition of point mutations in the BCR–ABL kinase domain (Shah et al., 2004), BCR–ABL amplification (Gorre et al., 2001), variability in the amount and function of the drug efflux protein, or resistance that occurs by additional mutations at the level of LICs that are not fully understood. Our results suggest that If8 deletion plus β-catenin activation is responsible for treatment resistance and survival of BCR–ABL CML–LICs. Moreover, we have shown here that Wnt/β-catenin pathways and If8 deficiency cooperate to provide a higher degree of Imatinib resistance than the Wnt/β-catenin pathway alone. Before the introduction of Imatinib, IFN-α was widely used in CML treatment. Notably, IFN-α induced If8 in BCR–ABL–transformed cells and protected against CML development (Nardi et al., 2009). The exact mechanism of action of IFN-α in the treatment of CML patients has not been fully revealed; however, enhanced If8 expression emerges as a likely candidate.

In summary, the analogy of the genetic mouse model and human myeloid leukemia let us to conclude that increasing the dosage of canonical Wnt signaling under If8 decline leverages progression of the disease from chronic into a BP and results in accumulation of TKI-resistant LICs. We conclude that future therapeutic concepts may consider measures to elevate If8 expression and/or to abrogate β-catenin activation in myeloid leukemogenesis, especially in the context of disease relapse.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Mice and genotyping.** MxCre transgenic (Kühn et al., 1995), If8/−/− (Holtschke et al., 1996), and mouse strains possessing the floxed alleles of Exon3 of β-catenin, Cnb1fl/fl (Harada et al., 1999), as well as the β-catenin floxed allele Cnb1fl/fl (Huelsken et al., 2001) have been previously described. Mice were genotyped using PCR, and primer sequences have been previously described (Holtschke et al., 1996; Domen et al., 1998; Huelsken et al., 2001; Scheller et al., 2006). MxCreCnb1fl/fl, If8−/−/MxCreCnb1fl/fl, MxCreCnb1fl/fl, and If8−/−/MxCreCnb1fl/fl mice were backcrossed for at least eight generations to the C57BL/6 background for all experiments, and MxCre− littermates were used as controls. Congenic B6.SJL–PtprcaPep3b/BoyJ (here called B6.SJL) mice were originally obtained from Charles River and used as recipients for BM cell transplantation. Imatinib mesylate (Enzo Life Sciences) was administrated to mice by oral gavage twice a day (2 × 100 mg/kg of body weight per day in water). All mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free animal facilities at the MDC/Charité. All animal experiments were approved by the Commission for Animal Experiments at the MDC and the Berlin Office of Health (LAGeSo). Survival curves were calculated by the method of Kaplan–Meier, and statistical analysis was performed using Prism (version 5.0; GraphPad Software).

**Retroviruses and transduction of cells.** MSCV-If8-IRES-GFP and chimeric MSCV-If8-ER32-IRES-GFP constructs were donations from D. Carstanjen (FMP, Berlin, Germany). MSCV-β-catenin-IRES-GFP construct was a gift from T. Rey (Duke University, Durham NC) and p210BCR–ABL–IRES-GFP control was a gift from A. Burchert (UKGM, Marburg, Germany). MSCV-IRE-GFP (MIG) construct was used as a control. The retrovirus packaging cell line (Phoenix-gp cells) was obtained from American Type Culture Collection. Virus production and cell infection was performed as described previously (Scheller et al., 2006).
Mouse transplantation experiments and generation of CML model. Freshly isolated BM cells were injected (2 × 10^5 cells/mouse) through the tail vein into lethally irradiated (9.5–10 Gy total body irradiation, Cs-137 source) B6.SJL recipient mice. Primary recipient mice were maintained on Sulfadiazine/Trimethoprim drinking water (40/8 mg/kg as a 0.1% Boralg, 1 wk) and allowed to engraft for 6 wk before being used for analysis. Repopulation was determined every 4 wk after transplantation by collection of peripheral blood, erythrocyte lysis, and staining of CD45.1 (Ly5.1; recipient) versus CD45.2 (Ly5.2; donor) engramphism, β-Catenin deletion or β-catenin activation (excision of Exon3 in the β-catenin gene) was induced by three i.p. injections of 400 μg poly(I:C) (GE Healthcare) in PBS on days 0, 3, and 5. Analysis of mice or harvesting of BM cells were performed 10–14 d after the last poly(I:C) injection. In all experiments, recipients transplanted with MxCre-\(^{\text{Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+, Irf8(Ex2)fl/+}}\), MxCre-\(^{\text{Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+, Irf8(Ex2)fl/+}}\), or Irf8-\(^{\text{MxCre Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+}}\) BM cells were used as controls for nonspecific (poly(I:C)) effects. In all experiments with activated β-catenin, we used heterozygous inducible MxCre-\(^{\text{Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+}}\) mice because of the dominant effect from a single activated Ctnnb1 allele. To validate excision efficiency, genomic DNA from blood or harvested cells was subjected to PCR, as previously described (Hueleken et al., 2001; Scheller et al., 2006).

For investigation of Irf8 overexpression, magnetically enriched hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs) and HSCs from C57/BL6 mice were infected with a retroviral vector expressing 4-OHT–inducible Irf8 (Irf8-\(^{\text{ER2-MIEG3}}\)) or control construct (ER2-MIEG3) and injected (2 × 10^6/mouse, 12.3–15% GFP-positive cells) in lethally (9.5 Gy) irradiated C57/BL6 mice. Irf8 overexpression was induced 5 and 7 wk after transplantation by i.p. injection of 4-OHT every day for 5 d, using 1 mg per mouse dissolved in 100 μl of corn oil. Mice were analyzed biweekly after transplantation for evaluation of GFP+ cell expansion in peripheral blood.

To generate the BCR-ABL–inducible CML model, Lin− BM cells from Irf8-\(^{\text{MxCre Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+, Irf8(Ex2)fl/+}}\), Irf8-\(^{\text{MxCre Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+, Irf8(Ex2)fl/+}}\), or Irf8-\(^{\text{MxCre Ctnnb1(Ex3)fl/+}}\) were transplanted into lethally irradiated (9.5 Gy) congenic recipient mice, along with 5 × 10^5 normal BM cells from B6.SJL (Ly5.1+1) mice. β-Catenin activation was induced by three i.p. injections of 400 μg poly(I:C) every 2nd d as described above/earlier. For serial transplantsations, GFP+ CML-LICs (5,000 or 10,000) were collected and pooled from two to three BMT mice and transplanted into a second set of lethally irradiated congenic recipient mice, along with 5 × 10^5 normal BM cells from B6.SJL (Ly5.1+1) mice. Mice were monitored daily for cachexia, lethargy, and ruff coats and moribund mice were killed. Leukemia was determined in peripheral blood, BM, spleen, and peripheral organ by FACS and histological analysis.

In vitro co-culture and Imatinib treatment. BCR-ABL–transduced GFP+ c-Kit+ cells were sorted from the BM of second BMT mice after poly(I:C) treatment and development of clinically evident blast crisis. 10^6 freshly isolated cells were co-cultured with OPP9 stromal cells supplemented with SCF, Flk-3/ligand, and IL-7 (R&D Systems) in the presence or absence of 5 μM Imatinib for 5 d. Colony formation was assessed by plating 10^5 cells into semi-solid medium (M3434). Individual colonies were scored at 5 d after plating, and total number of cells were harvested, counted, and frequency of GFP+ cells analyzed by FACS.

Cells preparation, FACS analysis, sorting, and SP cell staining. BM cell suspensions were prepared by flushing femurs and tibias with PBS. Cell suspensions from organs (spleen, liver, lymph nodes, and thymus) were obtained using 70-μm cell strainers (Falcon; BD). Peripheral blood samples were obtained from the tail vein and collected in EDTA-coated tubes. The blood cell counts were performed with automated veterinary hematology counter Scilvet abc Plus+ (SCIL GmbH), with software optimized for mouse blood parameters. Red blood cells were lysed on ice using hypotonic erythrocyte lysis buffer (Pharmalyse buffer; BD). Cell staining and sorting was performed using Pacific blue, FITC, PE, APC, PE-Cy5, PE-Cy7, APC-Cy7, or biotin-labeled monoclonal antibodies directed against CD45.1 (A20), CD45.2 (104), B220 (6B2), CD19 (1D3), IL-7Rα chain (SB/199), CD3 (KT31.1), CD4 (GK1.5), CD8 (53-6.7), CD25 (3C7), CD44 (IM7), D2X, Gr1 (RB6.8C5), Mac1/Cd11b (M1/70), Ter119, CD71 (C2), CD41 (MWRG30), c-Kit (2B8), Sca-1 (E13-161-7), CD34 (RAM34), FcyRII/III (2.4G2), CD135/Fli-3 (ASF10.1), and CD150/Slam (TC15-12F2.2; BD and eBioscience).

Cells were analyzed with FACSCalibur, FACSCount II, or LSR II or sorted using FACSaria (BD) flow cytometers. Non-specific antibody binding was reduced by preincubation with unconjugated antibody to FcγRII/III (2.4G2). Dead cells were excluded by propidium iodide or 7-AAD staining. Cell staining was performed using monoclonal antibodies described in supplementary information. Lineage-negative BM fraction was prepared by labeling cell suspensions with a mixture of antibodies to CD3e, CD4, CD36, CD11b, B220, Gr1, Il-7Rα, and Ter119. Lin− cells were partially removed by using magnetic bead depletion (sheep anti-rat IgG-conjugated Dynabeads; Invitrogen). The LSK fraction of the BM, enriched for HSCs and MPPs, was stained and defined as LSK, whereas the HPCs (defined as Lin−Sca-1−c-Kit+) contain CMPs (defined as Lin−Sca-1−c-Kit+CD34+FcγRII/III+), GMPs (Lin−Sca-1−c-Kit+CD34+FcγRII/III+), and MEPs (Lin−Sca-1−c-Kit+CD34+FcγRII/III+; Iwasaki and Akashi, 2007). For Annexin V staining, freshly isolated BM cells were stained with appropriate antibody, washed in binding buffer, and incubated in the dark with AnnexinV–FITC or –APC (BD) for 20 min at 4°C. For SP cell analyses were done exactly as previously described (Egeren et al., 2013). Data were analyzed using CellQuest or FlowJo (Tree Star).

Colony-forming assay. Colony assay in methylcellulose were performed using Methocult GF M3434 (STEMCELL Technologies) supplemented with 50 ng/ml rmSCF, 10 ng/ml rmIL-3, 10 ng/ml rhIL-6, and 3 µU/ml rhEpo. Fixed numbers (2 × 10^4 BM or 10^4 spleen cells/ml) were seeded in triplicate into 35 mm² Petri dishes and incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air. Individual colonies (defined by >100 cells) were scored at 5–7 d after plating. For serial replating assays, cells were replated at 1-wk intervals for five rounds. Two independent experiments in triplicates each were performed and the number of colonies was compared using a paired Student’s t test.

For WT and/or Irf8−/− BM cells infected with MSCV-Irf8-RES-eGFP (provided by D. Carstajnen), MSCV-β-cateninΔGSK-RES-eGFP (provided by T. Reya) and control MIG construct, GFP+ cells were first sorted and seeded in in methylcellulose cultures (M3434, STEMCELL Technologies) as described above. Two independent experiments in triplicates were performed.

Quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA from cells was extracted using RNeasy mini kit or micro kit from (QIAGEN). cDNA was generated using a poly(dT) oligonucleotides and SuperScript II Reverse Transcription (SuperScript II kit; Invitrogen) or using the Revert Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Fermentas) and amplified on a 7300 Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using TaqMan probes for Irf8. Gene expression was normalized to β-actin (AB1-4352341E). Alternatively, qRT-PCR reactions were performed using Platinum SYBR green (Invitrogen) mix on the LightCycler 2.0 (Roche) system according to the manufacturer’s instructions. At least triplicate reactions were performed for each gene. Melting curve analysis was performed after each run to control for the nonspecific PCR products and primer dimers. Ct values were related to copy numbers using standard curves. For each gene examined, a specific PCR fragment was synthesized that was extended at least 20–30 bp upstream and downstream of the amplicon generated by the primers used for the qRT-PCR. Normalization was performed using β-actin as an internal control. Primers for quantitative RT-PCR are listed in Tables S1 and S2.

Protein analysis. Lineage-depleted BM cells were lysed in buffer A (10 nM Hepes, pH 7.5, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, and 0.1% NP-40) and subfractionated into cytosolic and nuclear membrane fractions by
centrifugation at 2,000 g for 1 min. Each fraction was loaded onto SDS-PAGE gel, blotted (PVDF membrane; Millipore), and detected by a monoclonal mouse anti-β-catenin (BD), monoclonal mouse anti-β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich), coupled with ECL detection system (GE Healthcare). For detection of Irf8, lineage-depleted BM cells expressing stabilized β-catenin were lysed with RIPA buffer and detected by immunoblotting with polyclonal goat antibody to mouse Irf8 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and monoclonal antibody to mouse α-tubulin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.).

**Irf8** promoter analysis, cloning, and site-directed mutagenesis of Irf8 promoter constructs. Upstream mouse and human genomic sequences for Irf8 were obtained from the UCSC Genome Bioinformatics Site (http://genome.cse.ucsc.edu/). Sequences were aligned using mVISTA, a set of programs for comparing DNA sequences from two or more species, available at http://genome.lbl.gov/vista/index.shtml.

To investigate whether Wnt/β-catenin signaling is involved in the transcriptional regulation of Irf8, the promoter region of Irf8 was analyzed. Three unique binding elements for Tcf/Left1 (TBEs, CTTTGAT and TCAAG, respectively) were found in a region 1.8 kb upstream from transcriptional start site.

Irf8 promoter fragments were PCR amplified from mouse genomic DNA using a proofreading Polymerase (Pfu; Fermentas) and primers with Sacl or HindIII overhangs. Digested and purified fragments were inserted into the pG3L basic reporter vector (Promega). Amplified Irf8 promoter fragments were F1/F0 (−1710 to +33 bp), F2/F0 (−1582 to +33 bp), and F3/F0 (−1318 to +33 bp). Mutation of the −1370 kb Tcf binding site (CTTGAT to CTTTGGC) of the F2/F0 Irf8 promoter fragment was introduced by site-directed mutagenesis (Quick change site-directed mutagenesis kit; Stratagene) to create D1 and D2 constructs. The fold change cutoff was set to |1.5|, and the multiple testing corrected (Benjamini-Hochberg) p-value cutoff was selected as 0.05. For functional analysis, we used GSEA v2.0 algorithm (http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea) using the computed t-statistic from limma as pre-ranking. Microarray data (PSS) were uploaded to the Gene Expression Omnibus under accession no. GSE49054, showing the list of 638 genes (EntrezID, 394 UP, 244 DN) that were up- and down-regulated in Irf8+/− Ctnnb1(F38)−/+ GMPs from BP as compared with control GMPs.

Gene expression profiles of CML patient samples have been described previously (Radich et al., 2006). The data were downloaded from GEO (GSE4170) and analyzed for expression of Irf8 and Wnt target genes (Roel Nuse, the Wnt Homepage) in BM and PB samples from 42 chronic, 17 accelerated, and 32 BP CML patients using R/Bioconductor. For preprocessing, quantile normalization was applied. We did not repeat the statistical analysis, but noted a statistically significant difference between Irf8 expression in the chronic group (CP) and the progression group (BP+AP) with a moderated test (limma, P < 0.05). The same procedure was applied to a set of genes involved in Wnt target genes here. We compared samples from the progression group (BP) with the samples from the chronic group (CP) based on their Irf8 expression status.

We computed statistical significance of experimental results using two-tailed paired or unpaired Student’s t tests. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant and marked by an asterisk. Two asterisks represent p-values of <0.001, whereas three asterisks represent p-values of <0.0001.

**Online supplemental material.** Table S1 shows primers for RT-PCR. Table S2 shows primers for TaqMan. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20130706/DC1. We gratefully acknowledge donation of mouse strains by W. Birchmeier (MDC, Berlin), Makoto M. Taketo (Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan), and I. Weissman (School of Medicine, Stanford, Stanford, CA). The authors thank A. Schulze and N. Haritonow for technical assistance; S. Eiglmeier for help with studies, and M. Milanovic for help with study in vitro; T. Reya (Duke University, North Carolina), J. Huelskens (EPFL, Lausanne), A. Burchert (UKGM, Marburg), and D. Carstianen for the generous gift of retroviral constructs; B.-P. Rahn (MDC, Berlin) and D. Kuncl (BCRT-Charité, Berlin) for flow cytometry; and Susann Foerster (MDC, Berlin) for help with evaluation of the profiling data.
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