Expression of the zinc finger transcription factor zDC (Zbtb46, Btbd4) defines the classical dendritic cell lineage
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Classical dendritic cells (cDCs), monocytes, and plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) arise from a common bone marrow precursor (macrophage and DC progenitors [MDPs]) and express many of the same surface markers, including CD11c. We describe a previously uncharacterized zinc finger transcription factor, zDC (Zbtb46, Btbd4), which is specifically expressed by cDCs and committed cDC precursors but not by monocytes, pDCs, or other immune cell populations. We inserted diphtheria toxin (DT) receptor (DTR) cDNA into the 3' UTR of the zDC locus to serve as an indicator of zDC expression and as a means to specifically deplete cDCs. Mice bearing this knockin express DTR in cDCs but not other immune cell populations, and DT injection into zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras results in cDC depletion. In contrast to previously characterized CD11c-DTR mice, non-cDCs, including pDCs, monocytes, macrophages, and NK cells, were spared after DT injection in zDC-DTR mice. We compared immune responses to Toxoplasma gondii and M04 melanoma in DT-treated zDC- and CD11c-DTR mice and found that immunity was only partially impaired in zDC-DTR mice. Our results indicate that CD11c-expressing non-cDCs make significant contributions to initiating immunity to parasites and tumors.
development in the steady state in vivo (Karsunky et al., 2003; Naik et al., 2005; Waskow et al., 2008). Pre-DC differentiation produces both major cDC subsets in lymphoid tissues (CD8+DEC205+ and CD4+DCIR2+ cDCs), as well as CD103+ cDC and some CD11b+CD103− cDC in nonlymphoid tissues (Naik et al., 2006; Ginhoux et al., 2009; Helft et al., 2010).

Cells with many of the phenotypic characteristics of cDCs, i.e., high levels of CD11c and MHCII expression, can also develop from monocytes cultured with GM-CSF and IL-4 in vitro (Romani et al., 1994; Sallusto and Lanzavecchia, 1994; Sallusto et al., 1995). Furthermore, monocytes can express high levels of CD11c and MHCII when they are activated in the context of several inflammatory conditions in vivo (Serbina et al., 2003; León et al., 2007; Hohl et al., 2009). Like cDCs, activated monocytes can present antigen in vitro and in vivo, especially after stimulation by TLR ligands (Randolph et al., 2008; Kamphorst et al., 2010). This convergence in phenotype between cDCs and monocytes/macrophages has made it difficult to distinguish these cell types and to determine their individual contributions to immune responses in vivo (Hashimoto et al., 2011). For example, the CD11c–diphtheria toxin (DT) receptor (DTR) mouse model, which has been used extensively to study the function of cDCs in vivo, cannot definitively distinguish cDCs from other CD11c-expressing cells including macrophages, activated monocytes, and pDCs (Probst et al., 2005; Zammit et al., 2005; Bennett and Clausen, 2007; Murphy, 2011).

Here, we identify a zinc finger transcription factor, zDC, which is evolutionarily conserved and specifically expressed by cDC but not monocytes or other immune populations. We describe the production of a knockin mouse wherein DTR expression is placed under the control of the zDC locus (zDC-DTR), and we compare the effects of DT treatment in zDC- and CD11c-DTR mice on immune cells and immunization in vivo.

RESULTS

zDC expression is specific to cDCs

To identify gene loci specifically expressed by cDCs, we performed gene array analysis comparing developing and fully differentiated cDCs with monocytes and myeloid cell progenitors (Fogg et al., 2006; Onai et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008).
data, zDC protein was detected in cDCs but not pDCs or monocytes (Fig. 1 D). Furthermore, the expression of human ZDC is also limited to human cDCs (Robbins et al., 2008; Fig. 1 E). Finally, zDC is highly conserved throughout vertebrate evolution but not found in cartilaginous fish (Fig. 1 F). We conclude that zDC expression is up-regulated and maintained after the CDP stage in development when the cDC lineage splits from monocytes and pDCs (Liu et al., 2009), and that among bone marrow–derived cells its steady-state expression is restricted to cDCs.

**zDC-DTR mice**

To further explore zDC regulation and exploit its cDC-specific expression pattern, we introduced a cDNA encoding human DTR into the 3′ untranslated region (UTR) of the zDC locus (Fig. 1 A). We found a previously uncharacterized zinc finger transcription factor we call zDC (Zbtb46, Btbd4), which was specifically expressed by pre-DCs and cDCs. Gene array analysis and quantitative PCR (Q-PCR) validation demonstrated that bone marrow pre-DCs and cDCs from both spleen and lung expressed 10-fold greater levels of zDC transcript compared with bone marrow MPs, MDPs, CDPs, pDCs, steady-state and activated monocytes, and lymphocytes (Fig. 1, B and C). We further confirmed this pattern of cDC-specific expression using public online gene array databases (immgen.org and biogps.org).

To determine whether cDCs express zDC protein, we produced a hamster monoclonal antibody against zDC and performed Western blotting on monocyte/macrophage, pDC, and cDC cell lysates. Consistent with our mRNA expression data, zDC protein was detected in cDCs but not pDCs or monocytes (Fig. 1 D). Furthermore, the expression of human ZDC is also limited to human cDCs (Robbins et al., 2008; Fig. 1 E). Finally, zDC is highly conserved throughout vertebrate evolution but not found in cartilaginous fish (Fig. 1 F). We conclude that zDC expression is up-regulated and maintained after the CDP stage in development when the cDC lineage splits from monocytes and pDCs (Liu et al., 2009), and that among bone marrow–derived cells its steady-state expression is restricted to cDCs.

**Figure 2.** DTR expression regulated by the zDC locus permits DT ablation of cDCs. (A) Schematic diagram of wild-type and DTR knockin zDC loci. 5′ and 3′ UTR are shown in black, coding sequences in white, and the locations of BTB/POZ and zinc finger domains are indicated. IRES (gray) and DTR-mCherry (red) are inserted immediately after the endogenous zDC stop codon in exon 5. (B) Western blots for zDC, hDTR, and Histone H4 (loading control) on CD11c-enriched splenocytes from zDC+/+, zDC-DTR, and zDC-DTRDT mice. (C) Flow cytometry histograms of DTR staining by CD8+ and CD4+ cDCs (Lin−Ly6C−CD11chi MHCII+), pDCs (Lin−CD11clowPDCA−1+), monocytes (Lin−CD11b+CD115+), B cells (CD3−NK1.1−CD19+), and T cells (CD3−CD19−NK1.1−) from the spleens (spl), skLN, and mLN of zDC-DTR mice (black line) and wild-type littermates (gray shaded). (D) Flow cytometry plots of splenic cDCs (gated on Lin−) and bone marrow pre-DCs (gated on Lin−CD45R−CD11c−MHCII−) in zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras injected with PBS or DT. Numbers indicate percentage of organ. Graphs on right represent three to four experiments with each point representing one mouse and horizontal lines representing the means. (E) CD11chiMHCII+ cDC and CD11c−MHCIIhi mDC abundance in skLN, mLN, lung, and liver in PBS- and DT-treated zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras, gated on Lin− in skLN and mLN, and Lin−CD45R− in liver and lung. (F) Flt3L concentrations in sera of DT-treated zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras determined by ELISA at multiple time points after DT injection. (G and H) cDC abundance in spleen (G) and skLN (H) at multiple time points after DT injection. Results represent two to three experiments with two to three mice per group per experiment. Error bars indicate SEM. Lin−; CD3−; CD19−NK1.1−.
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To determine which bone marrow–derived cells are sensitive to DT, we injected zDC-DTR→C57BL/6 bone marrow chimeras with DT and measured the effects by flow cytometry. Splenic cDCs and bone marrow pre-DCs were ablated as early as 12 h after DT injection (Fig. 2 D). In addition to the spleen, cDCs in the skLN, mLN, lung, and liver were equally sensitive to DT ablation (Fig. 2 E). Furthermore, CD11c+MHCIIhi migratory DC (mDC) found in skLNs and mLNs were similarly decreased after DT treatment. Like CD11c-DTR (Schmid et al., 2011), DT injection into zDC-DTR→C57BL/6 bone marrow chimeras resulted in a rapid fourfold increase in serum Flt3L concentration which returned to steady-state levels after 7 d (Fig. 2 F). Consistent with the increased serum Flt3L and the kinetics of cDC development (Liu et al., 2007, 2009; Waskow et al., 2008), cDC reconstitution in the spleen was apparent as early as 5 d after DT injection and was complete after 7 d (Fig. 2 G), which is similar to the kinetics observed after ablation in CD11c-DTR mice (Jung et al., 2002). cDC reconstitution in the skLNs was similar to splenic cDC reconstitution, whereas mDC kinetics were delayed by about 2 d (Fig. 2 H). We conclude that DT injection into zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras results in efficient ablation of pre-DCs and their progeny in lymphoid and nonlymphoid tissue throughout the organism.

zDC-DTR ablation of cDC, but not other CD11c-expressing cells

We compared zDC-DTR and CD11c-DTR directly by performing ablation experiments in bone marrow chimeras.
Moreover, whereas NK cells and pDCs were unaffected by DT injection in zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras, both of these populations were reduced in DT-treated CD11c-DTR chimeras (Fig. 3, G and H). pDCs activated with the TLR9 ligand CpG, which up-regulates MHCII and co-stimulatory receptor expression (Iparraguirre et al., 2008), were likewise unaffected by DT treatment in zDC-DTR mice (unpublished data). Thus, the intermediate levels of CD11c expressed by NK cells and pDCs must be sufficient to induce depletion of these populations after DT treatment in CD11c-DTR mice.

In addition to NK cells and pDCs, Ly6Clo monocytes also express low levels of CD11c. Consequently, whereas DT treatment in zDC-DTR resulted in a small increase in Ly6Clo monocyte numbers, this monocyte subset is reduced by DT treatment in CD11c-DTR chimeras (Fig. 3 I). As might be expected, the number of Ly6Chi monocytes, which do not express CD11c in the steady state, increased after DT treatment in both zDC- and CD11c-DTR chimeras (Fig. 3 I). We conclude that cDC depletion by DT treatment in the steady state is far more specific in zDC- than in CD11c-DTR mice.

Activation of Ly6Chi monocytes during infection or by stimulation in vitro with cytokines and TLR ligands induces CD11c and MHCII expression (Randolph et al., 1999; Geissmann et al., 2003; Gordon and Taylor, 2005). For example, during infection with *Listeria monocytogenes*, Ly6Chi monocytes accumulate in the spleen and up-regulate CD11c, MHCII, and co-stimulatory markers (Serbina et al., 2003). Because this population also produces TNF and iNOS, they are also referred to as tipDCs. Consistent with the increase in CD11c expression by these activated monocytes, DT treatment in CD11c-DTR chimeras during *L. monocytogenes* infection reduces the proportion of this population in the spleens of infected mice by \( \sim 50\% \) (Fig. 3 J). In contrast, the
number of activated CD11c^+Ly6C^hi monocytes is not altered by DT treatment in zDC-DTR chimeras.

Additional populations of CD11c^+MHCII^+ cells appear in lymphoid organs during inflammation, and it has been difficult to ascertain their origin from pre-DCs or monocytes. For example, LPS injection results in the appearance of CD11c^+MHCII^+DC-SIGN/CD209^+CD14^+ cells in skLNs. Although initially attributed to monocyte origin (Cheong et al., 2010), these cells fail to accumulate after LPS injection in Flt3L^−/− mice (Fig. 4 A) despite the presence of normal blood monocyte numbers (Fig. 4 B), suggesting they arise from cDCs and not from monocytes. Consistent with this hypothesis, zDC-DTR mice treated with DT 24 h before LPS injection lacked CD11c^+MHCII^+CD14^+DEC-205^+ cells in skLNs (Fig. 4 C). However, the lack of CD11c^+MHCII^+DC-SIGN/CD209^+CD14^+ cell accumulation in Flt3L^−/− and DT-treated zDC-DTR mice could also occur as a result of the absence of cDC-derived help. To address this possibility, we looked at CD11c^+MHCII^+DC-SIGN/CD209^+CD14^+ cell accumulation after LPS injection in PBS- and DT-treated CD45.1^+WT:CD45.2^+zDC-DTR mixed bone marrow chimeras which maintain DT-insensitive CD45.1^+ WT cDCs after DT injection. Although DT-treated mixed bone marrow chimeras were able to generate CD45.1^+ WT CD11c^+MHCII^+DC-SIGN/CD209^+CD14^+ cells, few were derived from CD45.2^+ zDC-DTR cells (Fig. 4 D). We conclude that CD11c^+MHCII^+DC-SIGN/CD209^+CD14^+ should be categorized as activated cDCs and that they are not of monocyte origin. Thus, during inflammation, DT treatment in zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras depletes cDCs but spares activated monocytes that express CD11c and MHCII, whereas DT treatment in CD11c-DTR mice depletes both cell types.

Like their monocyte precursors, many macrophage subsets express low levels of CD11c and have been shown to be sensitive to DT ablation in CD11c-DTR mice (Bennett and Clausen, 2007). For example, splenic red pulp macrophages are depleted in DT-treated CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras (Fig. 5 A). In contrast, this population is maintained in zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras. Although a previous study had concluded that F4/80^+ red pulp macrophages are maintained as a result of the presence of CD11b^-cells in the spleen, these cells more likely represent CD11b^+ neutrophil infiltration (Tittel et al., 2012) and not red pulp macrophages which are CD11b^- (Kohyama et al., 2009).

To better characterize macrophage populations, we performed immunohistochemistry experiments on DT-treated zDC-DTR knockin and CD11c-DTR hemizygous mice because reconstitution of macrophage populations is incomplete in bone marrow chimeras (Schulz et al., 2012). In agreement with our analysis by flow cytometry, splenic F4/80^+ red pulp macrophage were unaffected by DT treatment in zDC-DTR mice and absent in CD11c-DTR mice (Fig. 5 B). Similarly, CD169^- marginal zone macrophages in the spleen were present after DT treatment in zDC-DTR mice, whereas this population was almost absent in DT-treated CD11c-DTR mice (Fig. 5 C). In the skLN, F4/80^+ medullar macrophages appeared unaffected by DT treatment in both zDC- and CD11c-DTR mice (Fig. 5 D). Similar to their counterpart in the spleen, LN subcapsular sinus macrophages were intact in DT-treated zDC-DTR mice and reduced in CD11c-DTR mice (Fig. 5 E). Therefore, DT-treated zDC-DTR mice maintain spleen and LN macrophage populations, whereas DT treatment in CD11c-DTR mice results in a substantial loss of multiple macrophage populations. In conclusion, zDC-DTR is equivalent to CD11c-DTR in cDC ablation but spares CD11c^+ macrophage populations affected by DT treatment in CD11c-DTR mice in both the steady state and during inflammation, most notably cells of the monocyte/macrophage lineage.

Immune responses in DT-treated zDC-DTR and CD11c-DTR mice

To examine the relative contribution of cDCs and other CD11c^+ cells to immune responses, we compared DT-treated zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras. As expected, DT treatment of zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras before immunization with soluble OVA abrogated OT-I and OT-II proliferative responses (Fig. 6 A). Similarly, splenocytes from DT-treated zDC- and CD11c-DTR mice failed to stimulate allogenic T cell proliferation in mixed leucocyte reactions (MLRs) in vitro (Fig. 6 B). Thus, cDCs are the primary cells required for antigen presentation to transferred OTI and OTII cells as well as stimulation of the MLR in vitro.

To determine the role of cDCs during the initiation of primary T_{H1} responses, we compared immune responses to HIV-GAGp24 targeted with either α-DEC-205 or α-Treml4 in DT-treated zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras. Mice were immunized with either α-DEC-205-GAGp24 to target CD8^+DEC205^+ cDCs and activated B cells, or with α-Treml4-GAGp24 to target cDCs and macrophages (Inaba et al., 1995; Hemmi et al., 2009, 2012). DT treatment abrogated antigen-specific T_{H1} CD4^+ T cell responses to both immunogens as measured by IFN-γ, IL-2, and TNF production after restimulation with GAGp24 in vitro (Fig. 6 C and D; and not depicted). We conclude that cDCs are the primary initiators of T_{H1} immune responses after HIV-GAGp24 immunization irrespective of whether the antigen is also targeted to B cells or macrophages.

We next examined immunity to pathogen challenge with Toxoplasma gondii. Clearance of this protozoan parasite depends on IFN-γ production by CD4^+ T cells (Denkers and Gazzinelli, 1998; Subauste and Remington, 2001; Lieberman and Hunter, 2002). zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras were injected with DT 1 d before infection and every 3rd d thereafter. 8 d after T. gondii infection, we measured IFN-γ production by CD4^+ T cell by flow cytometry and pathogen burden in the lung by Q-PCR. cDC depletion was equivalent in DT-treated zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras 8 d after T. gondii infection (Fig. 6 E). Furthermore, IFN-γ^+ CD4^+ T cells were detectable but significantly reduced in the mLNs and spleens of both types of mice.
To examine the role of cDCs and other CD11c-expressing cells in antitumor immune responses, zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras were vaccinated with replication-deficient OVA-expressing adenovirus (Ad-OVA) and challenged 30 d later with MO4 (OVA-expressing B16 melanoma). cDC ablation was maintained for 2 wk after Ad-OVA immunization, and neither zDC- nor CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras showed any adverse side effects during this extended DT treatment. After challenge with MO4 melanoma,

**Figure 5.** Macrophage populations in the spleen and skLNs are intact after DT injection in zDC-DTR mice. (A) Splenic Lin<sup>−</sup>CD11c<sup>−</sup>CD11b<sup>+</sup>F4/80<sup>+</sup> red pulp macrophages measured by flow cytometry in PBS- or DT-treated zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras. Results are representative of three independent experiments with each point on the graph representing one mouse and the horizontal bars representing the means. (B–E) Spleen and skLNs from DT-treated WT, zDC-DTR, and CD11c-DTR mice were stained with B220 (green) to visualize B cell zones and appropriate macrophage markers (red). Bars, 100 µm. In the spleen, F4/80 identifies red pulp macrophages (B) and CD169<sup>+</sup> marginal zone macrophages (C) which outline B cell zones. (D) F4/80<sup>+</sup> medullar macrophages in the skLN. Diagram of LN included with merged image to represent region of LN imaged (dashed box) relative to entire LN (solid outline). (E) CD169<sup>+</sup> subcapsular sinus macrophages located on the outer border of LN sections. Images represent results from three independent sets of experiments.

(Fig. 6 F and not depicted). However, the reduction was more profound in CD11c- than in zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras. Accordingly, CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras displayed higher pathogen burdens than zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras (Fig. 6 G), indicating that CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras mounted decreased overall levels of immunity to the pathogen. We conclude that DT treatment in CD11c-DTR mice impairs immune responses to *T. gondii* infection more so than in zDC-DTR.
zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras that had received DT at the time of immunization did not survive as long as untreated controls (Fig. 6, H and I). However, DT-treated zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras survived longer than control mice receiving no vaccination, indicating a significant residual immune response to the tumor. Similar results were obtained by immunization with irradiated MO4 melanoma cells instead of Ad-OVA, and therefore the results are not

Figure 6. Comparison of DT treatment in zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras during immune responses. (A) CFSE-labeled CD45.1+ OT-I and OT-II cells were transferred into CD45.2+ recipients, treated with DT 24 h later, and injected with 20 µg OVA i.v. another 24 h later. CFSE dilution of CD45.1+ OT-I and OT-II cells was measured by flow cytometry 3 d after OVA injection. These experiments were repeated twice with two to three mice per group per experiment. The horizontal bar shows the mean per group. (B) 500,000 bulk splenocytes from DT-treated CD45.2+ C57Bl/6 bone marrow chimeras were cocultured with 50,000 CFSE-labeled CD45.1+ BALB/c T cells. CFSE dilution of CD45.1+ BALB/c T cells was measured by flow cytometry after 5 d. Results represent three experiments with two mice per group per experiment. The horizontal bar shows the mean per group. (C and D) PBS- and DT-treated zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras were immunized with poly I:C plus α-CD40 and α-DEC-205-GAGp24 or α-Trem1-GAGp24, and IFN-γ and IL-2 production by splenic CD3+CD4+ T cells was measured after restimulation in vitro with p24 or p17 control peptide. This was repeated twice with four to five mice per group per experiment. Error bars indicate SEM. (E–G) Mice were treated with DT before infection with 15 T. gondii cysts by gavage and DT ablation was maintained until 8 d after infection when mice were euthanized. (E) The abundance of Lin−CD11c+ cDCs in the spleens from DT-treated T. gondii-infected WT, zDC-DTR, and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras demonstrated by flow cytometry. (F) The percentage of CD3+CD4+ T cells producing IFN-γ in the mLN quantified by intracellular cytokine staining after restimulation in vitro. Uninfected mice were included as naive controls. Statistical significance was determined using a Student’s t test. Results were pooled from three experiments with two to three mice per group per experiment. The horizontal bar shows the mean of each group. (G) q-PCR of whole lung cDNA for T. gondii tachyzoite-specific SAG2 expression normalized to GAPDH. Uninfected mice were included as naive controls. ND indicates not detected. Error bars indicate SEM. Statistical significance was determined by Student’s t test: *, P < 0.01; **, P < 0.005. (H and I) Mice were injected with DT before i.v. immunization with Ad-OVA plus poly I:C and α-CD40, and DT ablation was maintained for 15 d. 1 mo after immunization, mice were challenged with 105 MO4 cells i.v. and followed for survival. Each group contained 5–10 mice per group per experiment and was repeated three times. (J) As in H, but immunization was with 20 × 10⁶ irradiated MO4 melanoma cells (7,500 rad) plus poly I:C and α-CD40, and challenge was with 10⁵ MO4 cells s.c. 1 mo later. The experiment was repeated twice with five mice per group per experiment.
specific to immunization with adenovirus (Fig. 6 J). In contrast, DT-treated CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras survived only as long as unvaccinated control mice (Fig. 6 I). The number of OVA-specific CD8+ T cells 30 d after Ad-OVA immunization did not correlate with the duration of survival after MO4 melanoma challenge because both DTR models demonstrated similar defects in this population. Similarly, the number of lung tumor nodules was not different in zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras that had received DT treatment at the time of Ad-OVA immunization when the mice were euthanized after losing 20% of their initial body weight. Therefore, DT treatment in CD11c-DTR prevents the development of antitumor memory responses, but immune responses are partially spared in DT-treated zDC-DTR mice.

**DISCUSSION**

zDC is an evolutionarily conserved, previously uncharacterized zinc finger transcription factor expressed specifically by cDCs and their immediate precursors but not by monocytes or other bone marrow–derived cells. We have inserted a human DTR cDNA into the 3′ UTR of the zDC gene such that cell surface DTR expression is a reporter of zDC expression, and DT injection results in specific ablation of cDCs throughout the organism.

DC ablation in CD11c-DTR mice has been used extensively to study the role of DCs in immune responses in vivo. This was first accomplished by Jung et al. (2002), who used CD11c-DTR mice to demonstrate that DCs are responsible for cross-presentation of cell-associated OVA, and for priming cytotoxic T cell responses to *L. monocytogenes* and *Plasmodium yoelii*. CD11c-DTR mice have also been used to study immunity to many viral and bacterial pathogens including HSV-1 (Kassim et al., 2006), *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Tian et al., 2005), and *T. gondii* (Liu et al., 2006). However, DT ablation is not entirely specific in CD11c-DTR mice because many leukocytes other than DCs also express CD11c (Probst et al., 2005; Zammit et al., 2005; Bennett and Clausen, 2007; Bradförd et al., 2011). For example, macrophages are sensitive to DT ablation in CD11c-DTR mice, and these cells have also been implicated in the restimulation of primed T cells (Mellman et al., 1998; Trombeta and Mellman, 2005; Landsman and Jung, 2007) as well as the control of viral and bacterial infections (Aderem and Underhill, 1999; Gordon and Taylor, 2005). In addition, CD11c is expressed on populations of resting and activated monocytes, NK cells, and pDCs, and these populations are partially ablated by DT treatment in CD11c-DTR mice. In contrast, all of these CD11c-expressing non-cDCs were resistant to DT treatment in zDC-DTR mice. Therefore, zDC-DTR can be used to study the role of cDCs as opposed to CD11c-expressing cells in immunity.

There are two major subpopulations of cDCs in lymphoid and nonlymphoid organs: CD8+/CD103+ and CD8−/CD103− (Hashimoto et al., 2011). These two subsets originate from the same pre-DC precursor (Naik et al., 2006; Ginhoux et al., 2009; Helft et al., 2010) but differ in their antigen-presenting activities. CD8+/CD103+ cDCs are specialized for cross-presentation, whereas CD8−/CD103− cDCs are more efficient at presenting antigens on MHCI (Dudziak et al., 2007; Kamphorst et al., 2010). Although there is no specific genetic tool for depleting CD8−/CD103− cDCs, loss of the transcription factor Batf3 results in specific loss of CD8−/CD103− cDCs and Batf3−/− mice have been used to investigate the relative roles of the two types of cDCs in immune responses (Hildner et al., 2008). Batf3−/− mice are unable to cross-present cell-associated antigens or mount CD8+ T cell responses to West Nile Virus (WNV) but produce normal anti-WNV antibody and CD4+ T cell responses. Although Batf3−/− mice show significant defects in antitumor immunity, they can still develop some tumor-specific CTL responses (Hildner et al., 2008). Finally, their IL-12 and CD8+ T cell responses to *T. gondii* were decreased but not completely abrogated. Whether these residual immune responses in Batf3−/− mice were a result of CD8−/CD103− cDCs or other antigen-presenting cells, including macrophages (Gazzinelli et al., 1994), could not be determined in part because CD11c-DTR is not entirely cDC specific.

We included CD11c-DTR in our characterization of zDC-DTR mice to compare which populations of myeloid cells are ablated in each of the two models, and how these differences impact immune responses. Interestingly, similar to CD11c-DTR mice, DT treatment in zDC-DTR knockin mice is lethal, which necessitates the use of bone marrow chimeras for long-term experiments. Lethality in zDC-DTR knockin mice is most likely a result of the expression of zDC in some nonhematopoietic population because C57BL/6→zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras also die 24–48 h after DT injection. zDC-DTR→C57BL/6 bone marrow chimeras, however, survive continued DT treatment for up to 2 wk without adverse side effects.

zDC-DTR mice provide a model to ablate pre-DC–derived cDCs while sparing phenotypically similar monocyte-derived populations. In contrast, both cDCs and monocyte-derived macrophages/activated monocytes are sensitive to DT ablation in CD11c-DTR mice. Our comparison of DT-treated zDC- and CD11c-DTR mice confirmed that the absence of antigen presentation to transgenic T cells (Steinman, 2007) and stimulation of the MLR (Steinman and Witter, 1978) is a result of cDC depletion. DT treatment preceding OVA immunization abrogated OT-I and OT-II responses in both zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras. Similarly, splenocytes from both types of DT-treated mice failed to induce allogeneic T cell proliferation in MLRs. Furthermore, the loss of T111 CD4+ T cell responses after immunization with DEC-205− or Treml4− targeted antigen with poly I:C and α-CD40 in DT-treated zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras demonstrates the importance of cDCs in priming these responses. Although B cells and macrophages are also targeted with antigen by α-DEC-205-GAGp24 and α-Treml4-GAGp24, respectively (Inaba et al., 1995; Hennuni et al., 2009, 2012), these populations were not sufficient to prime detectable T111 responses in DT-treated zDC-DTR mice.
However, there were significant differences between DT-treated zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras in the steady state and during infection or immunization. For example, DT treatment in both zDC-DTR and CD11c-DTR results in impaired IFN-γ responses by CD4+ T cells during T. gondii infection, but zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras showed significant residual immune responses despite the absence of cDCs. zDC-DTR mice produced more IFNγ+CD4+ T cells and suffered lower pathogen burden relative to CD11c-DTR. IL-12 is necessary to induce Th1 IFN-γ responses to T. gondii (Gazzinelli et al., 1994; Yap et al., 2000), and CD8+ cDCs are required for optimal IL-12-dependent Th1 responses in vivo (Liu et al., 2006; Mashayekhi et al., 2011). Nevertheless, cDC-deficient mice were able to mount a significant immune response. Irrespective of mechanism, our results confirm that cDCs are critical to induce optimal IFN-γ production by CD4+ T cells but suggest that additional CD11c+ non-cDCs, possibly gut-resident CD11b+ DCs, macrophages (Gazzinelli et al., 1994), activated monocytes, pDCs, or NK cells, can also contribute to T. gondii responses.

Likewise, zDC- and CD11c-DTR differed in their ability to produce protective immunity against MO4 melanoma. Whereas CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras were entirely unable to respond, zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras mounted a significant immune response and survived longer than DT-treated CD11c-DTR or unimmunized controls. Therefore, a CD11c+ non-cDC accessory population present during immunization in zDC-DTR, but absent in CD11c-DTR, can contribute to the initiation of antitumor immunity after immunization with Ad-OVA or MO4.

In conclusion, we have generated a new DTR model in which cDCs can be ablated while sparing other CD11c-expressing cells. By comparing zDC- and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras, we show that CD11c-expressing, bone marrow–derived non-cDCs can contribute to the initiation of immunity against tumors and parasites.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microarray. MDP, CDP, pre-DC, and monocytes were isolated from the bone marrow of C57BL/6 mice. Total RNA extraction and hybridization on MOE-430 2.0 arrays (Affymetrix) were performed at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY. Microarray data were analyzed using GeneSpring 10.0 software (Affymetrix). Triplicates of each population were collected and averaged in Genepix 6.0. MDP, CDP, pre-DC, and monocyte gene array data (Gene accession no.: GSE37566) were compared with previously obtained splenic B cell, T cell, and differentiated cDC array data (Gene accession no.: GSE6259; Dudzak et al., 2007).

Cell isolation. MP (Lin-CD3-CD19-NK1.1+CD45R-), CD11b+CD11c+ Sca-1-CD115-Fc3+CD117+, MDP (Lin-CD11b+CD11c+Sca-1+CD115-Fc3+CD117+), CDP (Lin-CD11b+CD11c+Sca-1+CD115-Fc3+CD117+), and cDC precursor (pre-DC, Lin-I-A/E+CD11c+Fl3+CD172a+) were sorted from bone marrow of C57/B6 mice after MACS enrichment with Fl3-biotin and anti-biotin microbeads. Similarly, monocytes (Lin-CD11c+Ly6G+CD11b+CD115+Ly6C+), CD8+ cDCs (CD8a+ cDC, Lin-CD11c+I-A/E+CD8a+), CD11b+ cDC (Lin-CD11c+I-A/E+CD11b+), and pDCs (CD3-CD19+CD11c+) were sorted from spleen of C57/B6 mice after MACS enrichment with CD11c microbeads.

Antibodies and other reagents. The following reagents were from BD or eBioscience: anti-CD16-CD32 (2.4G2), anti-I-A/E (M5/114.15.2), anti-CD45R (RA3-6B2), anti-CD115 (AF598), anti-Fkh1 (A2F10), anti-CD3 (145-2C11), anti-CD4 (3L34), anti-CD8 (53-6.7), anti-CD19 (1D3), anti-CD34 (PK136), anti-Ter119 (TER-119), anti-Sc-1a (D7), anti-CD11b (M1/70), anti-CD103 (2E7), anti-CD45.2 (A20), anti-CD14 (S2-8), anti-CD169 (MOMA-1), anti-F4/80 (B93), anti-CD11c (N418), anti-CD172a (P84), anti-CD117 (2B8), anti-PDCA-1 (eBio927), anti-Ly6C (HK1-1.4), anti-Ly6G (IA8), and anti-IFN-γ (XM1G12). Anti–Dec-205 (NLD1C145) was produced and provided by C. Cheong. Biotin-conjugated anti-hDTR (hHB-EGF; R&D Systems) was used at a final concentration of 1 µg/ml in PBS containing 2% FBS and 0.1% sodium azide, and streptavidin–PE (eBioscience) was used as a secondary.

Pharm Lyse lysis buffer, Cytoperm/Cytotox solution, and Perm/Wash buffer were purchased from BD. Anti-biotin, anti-CD11c, and anti-CD11b microbeads, and pDC isolation kit, were from Miltenyi Biotec. Other reagents included PBS, HBSS, FBS, ACK lysis buffer, and EDTA (Invitrogen), Collagenase IV (Roche) for spleen, skLN, mLN, lung, and liver digestion (Ginhoux et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2009), and Collagenase VIII (Sigma-Aldrich) for small intestine digestion (Mucida et al., 2007).

Quantitative real-time PCR. Total RNA was isolated from at least 5 × 10^6 FACSc-sorted C57BL/6 primary cells with TRIzol (Invitrogen), from which cDNA libraries were reverse transcribed using Superscript II (Invitrogen) and random primers. Murine zDC (Zbtb46) cDNA was amplified with primers in exon 4 (forward: 5′-TCAATACCTGGAGACGGGC-3′) and exon 5 (reverse: 5′-CTCTTCACTTCACTCACA-3′). GAPDH cDNA was also amplified to normalize zDC mRNA levels (forward: 5′-TGAAGCCATGCTGCCGGG-3′; reverse: 5′-CGAAGGTGGGAGGTGGGAGG-3′). All quantitative PCR reactions were performed with Brilliant SYBR Green (Agilent Technologies) on an Mx3005P system (Agilent Technologies).

Monoclonal antibody. Recombinant mouse zDC was produced as fusion protein to GST in BL21 competent cells (Promega) transformed with pGEX-6p-1 vector (GE Healthcare) containing the mouse zDC cDNA sequence. Glutathione Sepharose beads and PreScission Protease (GE Healthcare) were used to purify zDC without the GST tag using the manufacturer’s protocols.

Armenian hamsters were immunized with recombinant mouse zDC to produce specific antibodies by the Monoclonal Antibody Core Facility at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center. Hybridomas were serially diluted and screened for zDC reactivity by ELISA. Antibodies were purified from hybridoma supernatants with Protein G (GE Healthcare).

Dendrogram. Mouse (NP_081932.1), rat (NP_001101278.1), human (NP_079500.2), chimpanzee (XP_003371158.1), macaque (XP_001084247.1), cow (NP_001179093.1), chicken (XP_417431.2), frog (NP_001087163.1), zebra fish (XP_699124.4), and pufferfish (CAI11269.1) protein sequences were acquired from the NCBI protein sequence database and were assembled into a neighbor joining tree using MacVector software.

Mice. zDC-DTR knockin mice were generated by homologous recombination in C57BL/6 albino embryonic stem cells at The Rockefeller University Gene Targeting Resource Center and maintained on a C57BL/6 background. The targeting construct, assembled by PCR and cloning, consisted of two arms of homology—one 19.7-kb fragment spanning exon 4 up to the stop codon located in exon 5, and a second 8.25-kb fragment containing the 3′ UTR of exon 5 and intergenic sequence—introduced into the pCON-ACN vector.

C57BL/6, C57BL/6.SJL, and CD11c-DTR mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Bone marrow chimeras were reconstituted for at least 8–10 wk after lethal irradiation (two doses of 525 rad, 3 h apart) and i.v. transfer of 5–10 × 10^6 bone marrow cells. zDC-DTR and CD11c-DTR hemizygous mice were bred at The Rockefeller University for use in experiments and as bone marrow donors. C57BL/6 mice purchased from The Jackson Laboratory were used as controls in experiments and as control bone
mature bone marrow donors. All mice were housed in The Rockefeller University
Comparative Bioscience Center under specific pathogen-free conditions.
All experiments were performed in accordance with National Institutes of
Health guidelines and approved by The Rockefeller University Animal Care
and Use Committee.

DT. DT was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, and every new batch of DT
was titrated in zDC-DTR mice, due to variability between batches, to
determine the lowest effective dose and limit DT toxicity. For transient DT
ablation, C57BL/6 (WT) and zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras were
injected i.p. with 20 ng p24 per gram of body weight, whereas CD11c-
DTR bone marrow chimeras received 4 ng p24 per gram. Mice were eutha-
nized 12–24 h after DT injection for analysis. To maintain DT ablation,
mice received 4 ng p24 per gram of body weight on the 3rd d after the initial
DT injection and every 3rd d thereafter.

L. monocytogenes. WT, zDC-DTR, and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras
were injected i.v. with 5 × 10^5 L. monocytogenes CFU and injected with
DT i.p. 24 h after injection. Spleens were collected 12 h after DT
administration and the abundance of CD11c^+Ly6C^-CD11c^+MHCII^- activated
monocytes was determined by flow cytometry.

Immunofluorescent staining. Tissues were fixed in 3% PFA/25% sucrose
overnight, frozen in O.C.T Compound (Tissue-Tek; Sakura), and stored at
−80°C. Frozen tissue was cut into 20-µm-thick sections, fixed for 10 min in
ice-cold acetone, and rehydrated in PBS for 30 min. Tissue sections were
outlined with a Pap pen and stained for 2 h at room temperature in 1% FCS
in PBS. Antibody cocktail contained anti-CD16/CD32 blocking Ab (2.4G2,
1:100; BD), FITC anti-CD45R/B220 (RA3-6B2, 1:200; BD), and either
APC anti-F4/80 (BM8, 1:100; eBioscience) or Alexa Fluor 647 anti-CD169
(MOMA-1, 1:100; AbD Serotec). Sections were washed for 10 min three
times and mounted using ProLong Gold Antifade Reagent with DAPI
(Invitrogen). Images were acquired on a wide-field fluorescent microscope
(Carl Zeiss) using MetaVue acquisition software (Molecular Devices) and a
digital camera (Orcas ER B/W; Hamamatsu Photonics) at the Rockefeller
University Bio-Imaging Resource Center.

OT-I and OT-II proliferation in vivo. 2–5 × 10^6 CD45.1^+ CD45.1^+ OT-I and
OT-II cells purified with CD8^+ T cell and CD4^+ T cell isolation kits (Miltenyi
Biotec), respectively, were labeled with 2 µM CFSE for 10 min at 37°C and
received 20 µg LPS-free soluble OVA (Sigma-Aldrich) i.v. another 24 h
after DT treatment. 3 d after OVA immunization, spleens and skLN were
collected to analyze CFSE dilution of transferred CD45.1^-OT-I and OT-II
cells by flow cytometry.

MLR. 5 × 10^4 bulk CD45.2^+ C57BL/6 splenocytes from DT-treated WT,
zDC-DTR, and CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras were co-cultured in
complete RPMI with 5 × 10^4 CFSE-labeled T cells isolated by negative
selection with Dynabeads (Invitrogen) from CD45.1^+ BALB/c mice. CFSE
dilution caused by proliferation of BALB/c T cells was measured by flow
cytometry after 5 d in vitro. Bulk CD45.2^-C57BL/6 splenocytes were
lethally irradiated (1,000 rad) before co-culture.

Antigen-targeted immunization. zDC-DTR bone marrow chimeras received
DT 24 h before immunization and DT ablation was maintained for
14 d. Mice were immunized with 5 µg α-DEC-205-GAGP24 or
α-TREM1-4-GAGP24, plus 50 µg poly I:C and 25 µg α-CD40. Bulk spleno-
cytes were restimulated 14 d later in complete RPMI plus 5 µg/ml brefeldin
A (Sigma-Aldrich) with p24 or p17 in vitro, and the production of IFN-γ,
TNF, and IL-2 were measured in CD3^+CD4^+ T cells by intracellular cyto-
kine staining (ICS).

T. gondii. 24 h after DT treatment, WT, zDC-DTR, and CD11c-DTR
bone marrow chimeras were infected with 15 T. gondii cysts by gavage in
whole brain lysates from T. gondii-infected mice. During the course of infec-
tion, mice received DT every 3rd d to maintain ablation.

After 8 d of infection, the mLNs and spleens of the infected mice were
collected for analysis. Single cell suspensions were restimulated at 10^7 cells/ml
in vitro with 50 ng/ml PMA and 500 ng/ml ionomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) and
GolgiStop (BD) in complete RPMI for 5 h, and the proportion of CD4^+
T cells producing IFN-γ was determined by ICS.

For T. gondii pathogen quantification, whole lung was homogenized in
TRIZol (Invitrogen) to extract RNA for cDNA synthesis as described ear-
lier. SAG2 levels were quantified by RT-PCR (Subauste and Remington,
2001) and normalized to GAPDH as before.

Ad-OVA and MO4 immunization. 24 h after DT treatment, zDC-DTR and
CD11c-DTR bone marrow chimeras were immunized with 10^7 PFU
replication-deficient Ad-OVA i.m. or 20 × 10^3 irradiated (7,500 rad) MO4
i.v. with 50 µg poly I:C and 50 µg α-CD40. To maintain ablation, mice re-
ceived DT every 3rd d for 15 d. 30 d after immunization (i.e., 15 d after the
final DT injection), mice were challenged with 10^3 MO4 either i.v. or s.c.
(for Ad-OVA or irradiated MO4 immunization, respectively). The OVA-
transfected MO4 cells were provided by R. Steinman (Rockefeller Univer-
sity, New York, NY) and were cultured in DME plus 10% FCS and 100 U/ml
penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen). Mice were monitored after MO4 chal-
lenge and euthanized when they had lost 20% of their starting body weight.

We are grateful to members of the Nussenzweig laboratory for helpful discussion,
reagents, or critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant
number AI051573. M.C. Nussenzweig is an HHMI investigator. M.M. Meredith was
supported by an NIH Immunity and Infectious Disease Training Grant. K. Liu was
supported by Dana Neuroimmunology grant and a Pilot Grant funded by P30
AR044535/NIA/NIAMS/NIH Columbia University Medical Center Skin Disease
Research Center.

The authors report no competing financial interests.

Submitted: 16 December 2011
Accepted: 2 May 2012

REFERENCES

.1146/annurev.immunol.17.1.593

Banchereau, J., and R.M. Steinman. 1998. Dendritic cells and the control of

Bennett, C.L., and B.E. Clausen. 2007. DC ablation in mice: promises, pit-
1j.it.2007.08.011

Bogunovic, M., F. Ginhoux, J. Helft, L. Shang, D. Hashimoto, M. Greter,
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2009.08.010

the anatomical localisation of subsets of the murine mononuclear
phagocyte system using integrin alpha X (ligax, CD11c) and colony
stimulating factor 1 receptor (Csf1r, CD115) expression fails to discrimi-
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.immuno.2011.08.006

Microbial stimulation fully differentiates monocytes to DC-SIGN/
stimulating factor 1 receptor (Csf1r, CD115) expression fails to discrimi-
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajpath.2010.09.039

Denkers, E.Y., and R.T. Gazzinelli. 1998. Regulation and function of
T. gondii. 216:1228–1237.


Zinc finger ZDC defines classical dendritic cells | Meredith et al. 2007