A crucial role for HVEM and BTLA in preventing intestinal inflammation
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Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs) comprise a spectrum of immune-mediated conditions in which the target organ is the intestine. IBD is divided into two primary forms, Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis (1). Although the etiology remains unknown, increasing evidence suggests that IBD is the result of a dysregulated mucosal inflammatory response (2). TNF is a particularly important contributor to intestinal inflammation, and blockade of TNF with anti-TNF antibodies is an effective treatment for a subset of Crohn’s disease patients (3). Several lines of evidence point to the TNF-related cytokine LIGHT (homologous to lymphotixin, shows inducible expression, and competes with HSV glycoprotein D for herpes virus entry mediator [HVEM], a receptor expressed by T lymphocytes) as another important mediator of mucosal inflammation and IBD pathogenesis (4–6). Activated T cells and immature DCs express LIGHT, and it has been described as a proinflammatory cytokine and a potent T cell co-stimulatory molecule (7–10). Mature Th1-type CD4+ T cells and mucosal T cells isolated from patients with IBD express high levels of LIGHT (4). Furthermore, transgenic mice with T cells constitutively expressing LIGHT developed spontaneous multi-organ inflammation that included the intestine (11, 12), and intestinal inflammation could be induced by transfer of LIGHT transgenic T cells (13).

LIGHT signals via two members of the TNF receptor super family, the lymphotoxin/HVEM receptor, predominantly expressed by myeloid lineage, stromal, and epithelial cells (14–17), and the HVEM, broadly expressed by hematopoietic cells as well as by some somatic cells (18–21). LIGHT-mediated IBD pathogenesis in mice has been attributed to its interaction with both of these receptors (6). Whereas the interaction of LIGHT with lymphotixin β receptor is thought to induce transformations in...
the gut mucosa that alter the normal microenvironment of the intestine, its binding with HVEM expressed by T cells promotes T cell activation and the T cell expansion required for the full development of disease (6). In other contexts, engagement of HVEM by LIGHT delivers co-stimulatory signals leading to T cell activation (8, 9, 18, 22) and enhanced T cell–mediated immunity (11, 12, 19, 23, 24). Furthermore, it has been described that engagement of HVEM by LIGHT induced activation of human mucosal T cells and increased IFN-γ release (4). Therefore, it appears that HVEM may contribute to IBD pathogenesis by promoting T cell activation and Th1 responses (4, 6).

It has been recently discovered, however, that HVEM can also deliver inhibitory signals to T cells (25, 26). These inhibitory signals involve a receptor that binds HVEM, a member of the Ig super family called B and T lymphocyte attenuator (BTLA) (25). Once engaged by HVEM, the ITIM motifs in the cytoplasmic tail of BTLA become tyrosine phosphorylated, allowing the recruitment of the phosphatases SHP-1 and SHP-2 (27, 28). Recent studies have shown that HVEM– as well as BTLA-deficient T cells are hyperresponsive to TCR-induced stimulation in vitro (26–28). Additionally, both HVEM– and BTLA-deficient mice presented a higher susceptibility to experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (26, 28), whereas the loss of BTLA dramatically accelerated partially MHC-mismatched cardiac allograft rejection (29) and prolonged airway inflammation (30). Furthermore, the BTLA–HVEM interaction limited T cell activity in vivo, negatively regulating the homeostatic expansion of a subset of CD8+ memory T cells (31). Despite its generally inhibitory role, BTLA has recently been reported to play a role in promoting the survival of T cells activated during a mouse model of graft versus host disease (GVHD) (32).

Therefore, whereas some evidence indicates that HVEM is the co-stimulatory receptor responsible for LIGHT-mediated T cell activation, other data demonstrate that HVEM can be a negative regulator of T cell responses by triggering BTLA-derived inhibitory signals. The actual contribution of HVEM to T cell–mediated immune responses, and to the pathogenesis of T cell–mediated inflammatory conditions such as IBD, remains to be determined. Moreover, most of the studies that investigate the role of HVEM binding to its ligands have emphasized the expression of one or more of these molecules by B and T lymphocytes, and their roles in directly regulating lymphocyte responses. In this study, we investigated the role of HVEM and its binding partners in the development of colitis induced by the transfer of CD4+CD45RB<sup>high</sup> T cells into Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice, and the possible function of HVEM in cells of the innate immune system that are present in the Rag<sup>−/−</sup> hosts.

RESULTS

The role of HVEM expression by T cells in colitis induction

To investigate the participation of HVEM in colitis pathogenesis, we used an experimental mouse model initiated by the adoptive transfer of CD4+CD45RB<sup>high</sup> naive T cells, depleted of CD25+ regulatory T cells, into syngeneic Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice. This leads to a progressive disease, with weight loss and colitis appearing 5–10 wk after the transfer (33). To evaluate if the expression of HVEM in T cells is required for colitis induction, we transferred CD4+CD45RB<sup>high</sup> T cells isolated from Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> or WT mice into Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients. As expected, WT donor CD4+CD45RB<sup>high</sup> T cells induced a gradual weight loss in Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients that correlated with disease progression, as did the transfer of Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>-CD4+CD45RB<sup>high</sup> T cells (Fig. 1 A). Although at early time points after the transfer weight loss in the Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipient mice of Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> T cells was slightly less pronounced, the recipients...
unquestionably became ill, eventually all the transferred Rag\(^{-/}\) recipients showed an equivalent weight loss (Fig. 1 A), and the overall difference between Hvem\(^{-/}\) and WT donor T cells did not reach statistical significance. Microscopic analysis of hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained tissue sections obtained from the large intestine of transferred mice revealed severe inflammation in all of the recipients. However, mice transferred with Hvem\(^{-/}\) T cells had lower mononuclear cell infiltrates in the proximal and distal colon. In addition, these recipients had a higher number of goblet cells in the distal colon, indicating that inflammation in these animals was milder (Fig. 1 B). Scoring of sections obtained from the recipients transferred with Hvem\(^{-/}\) T cells showed a lower average histological score than in the animals that received WT T cells (Fig. 1 C). Overall, these results suggest that HVEM expression on donor T cells contributed to a limited extent to increasing intestinal inflammation; however, HVEM expression in T cells was not absolutely required for colitis development.

**Accelerated colitis in Hvem\(^{-/}\)/Rag\(^{-/}\) recipients**

HVEM expression is not restricted to T cells, and therefore to evaluate if HVEM expressed by non–T cells participates in colitis pathogenesis, we bred HVEM-deficient Rag\(^{-/}\) (Hvem\(^{-/}\)/Rag\(^{-/}\)) animals to use as recipients. Hvem\(^{-/}\)/Rag\(^{-/}\) recipients that were transferred with WT CD4\(^+\)/CD45RB\(^{high}\) T cells exhibited a surprising acceleration of weight loss and colitis, as determined by the presence of diarrhea and other clinical signs, such as reduced mobility and piloerection of the fur. Notably, recipient Hvem\(^{-/}\)/Rag\(^{-/}\) mice showed a reduction of \(\sim20\%\) of their initial starting weight only 2 wk after transfer (Fig. 2 A). The intestinal inflammation in these recipients was progressive and lethal, and in most cases the recipients did not survive beyond 3–4 wk after transfer. This accelerated inflammation was confined, however, predominantly to the large intestine (not depicted). Conversely, in the same brief period, Rag\(^{-/}\) recipients did not show any weight loss (Fig. 2 A). In addition to the faster weight loss, transferred Hvem\(^{-/}\)/Rag\(^{-/}\) mice presented early evidence of

---

**Figure 2.** Colitis acceleration in Hvem\(^{-/}\)/Rag\(^{-/}\) mice. Transfer of CD4\(^+\)/CD45RB\(^{high}\) T cells into Hvem\(^{-/}\)/Rag\(^{-/}\) mice dramatically accelerated colitis. (A) Weight loss curves of Rag\(^{-/}\) (squares) and Hvem\(^{-/}\)/Rag\(^{-/}\) (circles) recipients of \(5 \times 10^5\) WT T cells showed an extremely rapid disease progression in Hvem\(^{-/}\)/Rag\(^{-/}\) animals. Data correspond to the average of five mice per group and are representative of five independent experiments. (B) H&E staining of proximal and distal colon sections are shown. (C) Average scores of the proximal and distal colon sections from individual mice were evaluated 2 wk after the transfer of T cells. Each symbol represents an individual mouse \((n = 5)\), and representative data from four experiments are shown. **, \(P < 0.005\). (D) Weight loss comparison after transfer of WT (open circles) or Hvem\(^{-/}\) (filled circles) CD4\(^+\)/CD45RB\(^{high}\) T cells into Hvem\(^{-/}\)/Rag\(^{-/}\) recipients \((n = 6–7)\). (E) Combined proximal and distal histological analysis of colon sections performed 2 wk after the transfers described in part D. Each symbol represents an individual mouse \((n = 7)\).
severe intestinal inflammation. Examination of H&E-stained sections obtained from Hvem−/−Rag−/− recipients revealed prominent epithelial cell hyperplasia, absence of goblet cells, and a massive infiltration of mononuclear cells in the colons of these animals (Fig. 2 B). Histological analysis performed on samples isolated from large intestine of these recipients 2 wk after transfer had an average pathology score of 8.8 ± 2.2 out of a possible of 14 (Fig. 2 C). Samples from Rag−/− recipients at the same early time after transfer showed almost intact epithelial crypts with absence of epithelial cell hyperplasia and only few mononuclear cell infiltrates (Fig. 2 B). The average score for samples obtained from the transferred Rag−/− mice at this time was only 0.8 ± 1.0 (Fig. 2 C).

We transferred Hvem−/− donor T cells into Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice to analyze the situation in which HVEM is entirely missing. Interestingly, induced colitis and wasting disease in the completely HVEM-deficient situation were still accelerated compared with transfers to Rag−/− animals. Weight loss in Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice transferred with HVEM-deficient T cells was equally accelerated compared with the same recipients transferred with WT T cells (Fig. 2 D). Histological scores in these recipients completely lacking HVEM were also equivalent to those observed in Hvem−/−Rag−/− animals transferred with WT T cells (Fig. 2 E). Overall, these results demonstrate that the absence of HVEM expression in Rag−/− host cells led to a dramatic acceleration of colitis, and they show that this dramatic effect was dominant over any deceleration of disease caused by the absence of HVEM expression by donor T cells.

Rapid accumulation of T cells in the large intestine of Hvem−/−Rag−/− recipients

To characterize the colitis acceleration observed in Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice, we investigated the fate of the transferred T cells. We determined the number of CD4+ T lymphocytes in the spleens and mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) of transferred mice, two sites where T cells expand dramatically after transfer to immune-deficient hosts (34, 35). 1 wk after transfer, the number of CD4+ T cells in the MLNs of Hvem−/−Rag−/− animals was approximately threefold higher than in Rag−/− mice (Fig. 3 A). This difference was highly significant (P = 0.005). The number of T cells in the spleens of Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice was also slightly higher than in Rag−/− recipients, although not statistically significant (Fig. 3 A). Elevated numbers of T cells in the MLNs of Hvem−/−Rag−/− animals were only observed at early time points after the transfer, and by 2 wk after transfer, the number of CD4+ TCR-β+ lymphocytes in the MLNs was essentially equivalent in both recipients (Fig. 3 B). To investigate if the early accumulation of transferred T cells in inductive sites such as MLNs in the Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice also affected the target organ, we assessed the accumulation of CD4+ TCR-β+ T cells in the large intestine of the recipients. As early as 7 d after transfer, T lymphocytes were detectable in the large intestine. At this time, the average number of CD4+ TCR-β+ cells infiltrating the epithelium of the Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice was 2.3-fold higher than in Rag−/− mice (Fig. 3 A). However, by 2 wk after transfer, intraepithelial CD4+ TCR-β+ cell numbers in Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice were 10-fold higher (P < 0.005) (Fig. 3 B). Accordingly, the number of CD4+ TCR-β+ lamina propria lymphocytes (LPLs) was also significantly higher in Hvem−/−Rag−/− recipients at 2 wk (Fig. 3 B). Collectively, these results demonstrate that the absence of HVEM in the Rag−/− mice led to a more rapid accumulation of transferred T cells in the MLNs and large intestine.

Increased T cell cytokine production in Hvem−/−Rag−/− recipients

To further characterize the colitis acceleration observed in Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice, we investigated the profile of cytokines produced in the large intestine of the recipient animals. 2 wk after transfer, total mRNA was purified from the colon and subjected to RT-PCR analysis for several cytokines. RT-PCR revealed the presence of TNF and IFN-γ mRNAs, and lower levels of IL-17 mRNA (Fig. 3 C). Notably, the amount of mRNA for these cytokines, and in particular for TNF, was considerably higher in samples isolated from Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice (Fig. 3 C). The higher level of proinflammatory cytokines in the intestine of Hvem−/−Rag−/− animals correlated with the rapid and severe intestinal inflammation observed. It should be noted that increased mRNA for TGF-β and IL-10 in the Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice was also observed when compared with Rag−/− recipients. The increased presence of these antiinflammatory cytokine mRNAs in the Hvem−/−Rag−/− recipients could reflect a compensatory regulatory mechanism in the face of inflammation, although the amount of IL-10 mRNA was very low in all the samples (Fig. 3 D). The amount of mRNA for IL-12 family cytokines in the colon was not very great, but in accord with the higher levels of IFN-γ mRNA observed in Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice, we also detected an increase in IL-12 mRNA in the colon of these animals (Fig. 3 E). The level of mRNA for IL-23, however, was equivalent in both Rag−/− and Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice (Fig. 3 E).

To determine if the transferred T cells had the capacity to produce proinflammatory cytokines in the colon of the Hvem−/−Rag−/− recipient mice, we evaluated cytokine production by donor-derived LPLs restimulated in vitro. LPLs were purified from the large intestine of Rag−/− or Hvem−/−Rag−/− recipient mice, stimulated with PMA, and ionomycin, and 48 h later cytokines were determined by ELISA. The amounts of IFN-γ, TNF, and IL-2 in the culture supernatants of cells obtained from Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice were considerably higher than those secreted by cells isolated from Rag−/− recipients (Fig. 3 F). Rather than an increased capacity to produce cytokines, the higher amounts of cytokines in the cultures of cells isolated from Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice could have been due to the increased number of T cells in the LPL preparations. Indeed, the percentage of CD4+ TCR-β+ within the LPL suspension isolated from Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice was about twofold higher than that observed in Rag−/− animals (not depicted). The increment in cytokines in the isolated LPLs from Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice was increased more than twofold,
CD11c+ cells freshly isolated from the MLNs of the two types of mice expressed equivalent levels of the co-stimulatory molecules CD86 and CD80, suggesting that the DC maturation state was not greatly affected by the absence of HVEM (Fig. S1 A). To determine if the threshold for stimulation for DCs is altered in the absence of HVEM, CD11c+ cells from MLNs were stimulated with LPS. Upon stimulation, both WT and Hvem+/−/Rag−/− DCs expressed similar levels of CD40 and CD86 (Fig. S1 B). Moreover, after LPS stimulation, the percentage of WT and Hvem−/− MLN DCs expressing IL-12 was essentially equivalent (Fig. S1 B). Collectively, these results demonstrate that the absence of HVEM in DCs did not significantly affect their percentage, maturation state, or their capacity to secrete cytokines. For priming naive CD4+ T cells, freshly isolated DCs from the MLNs of Hvem−/− mice were slightly more effective than their WT counterparts (proliferation indices of 2.6 and 2.1, respectively), although splenic DCs from these mice were not different from WT mice (Fig. S1 C). Likewise MLN DCs from mice deficient for the HVEM ligand BTLA did not prime more CD11c+ cells freshly isolated from the MLNs of the two types of mice expressed equivalent levels of the co-stimulatory molecules CD86 and CD80, suggesting that the DC maturation state was not greatly affected by the absence of HVEM (Fig. S1 A). To determine if the threshold for stimulation for DCs is altered in the absence of HVEM, CD11c+ cells from MLNs were stimulated with LPS. Upon stimulation, both WT and Hvem−/− DCs expressed similar levels of CD40 and CD86 (Fig. S1 B). Moreover, after LPS stimulation, the percentage of WT and Hvem−/− MLN DCs expressing IL-12 was essentially equivalent (Fig. S1 B). Collectively, these results demonstrate that the absence of HVEM in DCs did not significantly affect their percentage, maturation state, or their capacity to secrete cytokines. For priming naive CD4+ T cells, freshly isolated DCs from the MLNs of Hvem−/− mice were slightly more effective than their WT counterparts (proliferation indices of 2.6 and 2.1, respectively), although splenic DCs from these mice were not different from WT mice (Fig. S1 C). Likewise MLN DCs from mice deficient for the HVEM ligand BTLA did not prime more.

however, suggesting that increased proinflammatory cytokines in the LPLs of these recipients could be due to a combination of increased cell number and increased effectors. Collectively, these findings suggest that the presence of increased CD4+ T cells secreting high amounts of proinflammatory cytokines in the intestine of Hvem−/−/Rag−/− recipients contributed to the more rapid development of colitis.

DCs were not greatly affected in Hvem−/− mice

Previous reports have suggested a role for HVEM in DC maturation and cytokine production (36, 37), and therefore the absence of HVEM expression on DCs in the Rag−/− recipients might cause an abnormal development and/or function of these cells. In WT or Hvem−/− mice, however, the percentage of DCs in the spleens and MLNs was essentially equivalent, and the two major subsets of DCs, those expressing high levels of CD11c and CD86, and those expressing CD11b, were similarly represented in the MLNs of WT and Hvem−/− animals (Fig. S1 A, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20071160/DC1, and not depicted).

Figure 3. Increased T cells and cytokines in Hvem−/−/Rag−/− recipients. The number of CD4+ TCR-β+ cells in the spleens, MLNs, and large intestines of recipient mice was assessed at the indicated times. (A) 1 wk after transfer, Rag−/− (squares) and Hvem−/−/Rag−/− (circles) mice, four in each group, were analyzed by flow cytometry for T cells in the spleens, large IELs, and MLNs. (B) Shows same as in A, but including LPLs and analyzed 2 wk after transfer. Each symbol represents an individual mouse with a total of 7–10 mice per group. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.005. (C–E) Real-time PCR performed on tissue samples isolated from the large intestines of individual Rag−/− (filled bars) or Hvem−/−/Rag−/− (open bars) recipients 2 wk after transfer. Error bars represent SD of triplicate measurements. (F) LPLs isolated from transferred Hvem−/−/Rag−/− (open bars) and Rag−/− (filled bars) recipients at 2 wk were stimulated ex vivo with PMA and ionomycin. After 48 h, cytokines in the supernatant were measured by ELISA.
effectively (Fig. S1 C). In summary, HVEM deficiency did not grossly alter DCs, and the small increase in proliferation of naive T cells stimulated with Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> DCs from MLNs is unlikely to account by itself for the accelerated T cell expansion and colitis pathogenesis in Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> recipients.

**HVEM expression in radioresistant cells is required to prevent colitis acceleration**

Because there was not an obvious APC defect in the Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> mice, to begin to identify the critical cell type(s) that must express HVEM only in irradiation-resistant cells, or only in cells derived from BM progenitors. To construct the chimeras, after lethal irradiation, Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice were reconstituted with Rag<sup>−/−</sup> BM cells (Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup>), and reciprocal chimeras were constructed in Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice given Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> BM cells (Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Rag<sup>−/−</sup>) (Fig. 4 A). To verify that the chimeric mice were properly reconstituted, 8 wk after BM transplantation HVEM expression in peripheral blood cells from these animals was monitored. As expected, blood cells isolated from Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice expressing the hematopoietic lineage marker CD45 did not have detectable surface HVEM, indicating reconstitution by the Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> donor BM cells (Fig. 4 B). In contrast, in Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> chimeric mice the vast majority of the hematopoietic cells expressed intermediate or high levels of HVEM, indicating that they derived from the Hvem<sup>+/+</sup> donors (Fig. 4 B). A similar degree of reconstitution was observed when organs containing immune cells from the chimeras were analyzed (not depicted).

Surprisingly, the transfer of CD4<sup>+</sup>CD45RB<sup>high</sup> T cells into Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> chimeric recipients induced a
rapidly developing disease (Fig. 4 C). Similar to the intact
Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice, Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients lost >20% of their initial weight by 2 wk after T cell transfer. Conversely, Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Rag<sup>−/−</sup> chimeric recipients that received CD4<sup>+</sup>CD45RB<sup>hi</sup>T lymphocytes did not lose body weight in the same period of time (Fig. 4 C). Consistent with the difference in weight loss, histological scoring performed in large intestine samples collected from the transferred chimeric recipients revealed a more severe inflammation and tissue damage in Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice than in the Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients (average score = 10.4 ± 2.0 in Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> animals as opposed to 0.3 ± 0.5 in Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup>→Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice) (Fig. 4 D). These results indicate that HVEM prevents colitis acceleration principally when expressed by radiosensitive cells in the Rag<sup>−/−</sup> hosts.

A radiation-resistant cell that might prevent accelerated inflammation through HVEM expression is the intestinal epithelial cell (IEC). In fact, human epithelial carcinoma cell lines (not depicted) and freshly isolated mouse colonic epithelial cells express HVEM (Fig. S2 A, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20071160/DC1). A feature of IBD, in particular Crohn’s disease, is increased intestinal permeability arising from a defect in the intestinal epithelium. Permeability to D-[<sup>14</sup>C]-mannitol therefore was measured across tissues from proximal and distal large intestine, as well as the cecum, which were stripped of underlying smooth muscle and mounted in Ussing chambers. Although the trend was toward increased permeability in the distal colon of Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> mice, the difference was not significant when compared with WT tissues. However, permeability in the distal colon of both WT and Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> mice was significantly lower than that observed in inflamed tissues isolated from WT mice with colitis that had been induced by dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) (Fig. S2 B). Similar to the results from the distal large intestine, permeability in the proximal colon and cecum of WT and Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> animals was essentially equivalent (not depicted). Therefore, epithelial permeability is not compromised under noninflammatory conditions in the absence of HVEM expression. Colitis induced by DSS represents a well-established epithelial injury model of intestinal inflammation. Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> mice also displayed no increase in susceptibility to DSS-induced colitis when compared with WT mice (Fig. S3, A and B).

**Effects of HVEM ligand expression by T cells**

An appealing hypothesis for explaining the accelerated colitis in Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients is that enhanced disease is caused by the absence of an interaction between HVEM expressed by a cell type in the Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients with an HVEM binding partner expressed by the donor T cells. Recent publications have suggested that expression of LIGHT by T cells is able to regulate innate immune responses by interacting with the HVEM receptor (36, 38–41). Therefore, the absence of interactions between T cell–derived LIGHT and HVEM on host cells might lead to a dysregulated innate immune response in Rag<sup>−/−</sup> animals and accelerated development of disease. We therefore performed transfer experiments using Light<sup>−/−</sup> donor T cells. The results showed similar weight loss in Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice transferred with either Light<sup>−/−</sup> or WT CD4<sup>+</sup>CD45RB<sup>hi</sup>T lymphocytes (Fig. 5 A). However, histological analysis performed in samples obtained from the large intestine of Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients 12 wk after the transfer revealed lower levels of inflammation in animals transferred with Light<sup>−/−</sup> T cells (Fig. 5 B). This is consistent with other data indicating that LIGHT is a co-stimulatory molecule for T lymphocytes. Because the outcome after transfer of Light<sup>−/−</sup> T cells was opposite to the results after transfer to Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients, we conclude that the accelerating effects of host HVEM deficiency were not due to the absence of interactions with LIGHT expressed by the donor T cells.

Importantly, the transfer of Light<sup>−/−</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup>CD45RB<sup>hi</sup>T cells into Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients induced a dramatic acceleration of weight loss (Fig. 5 C) and colitis progression assessed by the presence of diarrhea and other clinical signs. The disease acceleration was similar to that observed in Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice transferred with WT T cells. Furthermore, the histological scores obtained with samples isolated from these Hvem<sup>−/−</sup>Rag<sup>−/−</sup> animals 2 wk after the transfer showed equivalent levels of intestinal inflammation, regardless of the presence of LIGHT expression by the donor T cells (Fig. 5 D).

![Figure 5. Light<sup>−/−</sup> T cells do not cause accelerated colitis.](attachment:image.png)
Therefore, rapid colitis induction in the absence of HVEM expression is dominant over the decreased inflammation observed when Light−/− T cells were transferred.

The inhibitory HVEM ligand BTLA is expressed by activated T cells, and therefore interactions between Rag−/− host HVEM and T cell BTLA could alter the acceleration of colitis. To test this, we evaluated BTLA expression by naive CD4+ T cells and by CD4+ T cells after transfer. Consistent with previous reports (42), we detected BTLA expression on naive and activated CD4+ T lymphocytes. In vitro TCR stimulation enhanced BTLA expression on CD4+ T cells, with the highest level of BTLA observed 2–3 d after stimulation (Fig. 6 A). Likewise, we found BTLA expression on CD4+ T cells isolated from the spleens, MLNs, and LPLs of transferred Rag−/− recipients (Fig. 6 B). Although BTLA expression on LPLs was slightly lower than that observed on T cells from the spleens or MLNs, this was likely a consequence of the reduced surface protein expression caused by the collagenase digestion during LPL isolation. Consistent with this, the amount of CD4 was also reduced (mean fluorescence intensity = 7,456 for LPL vs. mean fluorescence intensity = 26,183 for MLNs). Surprisingly, although BTLA is an inhibitory receptor, Btlal−/− T cells transferred into Rag−/− animals induced colitis similar to WT donors when weight loss was measured (Fig. 6 C). Histological analysis performed 2 wk after transfer revealed higher average scores for recipients transferred with Btlal−/− T cells, but there was no difference at 5 wk (Fig. 6 D).

Reduced number of BTLA-deficient T cells in Rag−/− recipients

It has been recently shown that BTLA plays a role in the survival of T cells activated in a mouse GVHD model (32). In light of our finding that Rag−/− recipients of BTLA-deficient T cells did not develop accelerated colitis, we hypothesized that BTLA-deficient T cells transferred into Rag−/− recipients might have a survival defect, leading to a reduced number of effector T cells that ultimately might affect the onset of colitis. To address this possibility, we performed cotransfers of equal numbers of WT CD45.1+ congenic and Btla−/− CD45.2+ CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells into Rag−/− recipients. Btla−/− T cells did not accumulate as much as WT T cells. The percentages (Fig. 7 A) and absolute numbers (Fig. 7 B) of CD45.1+ CD4+ TCR-β+ cells in the spleens, MLNs, LPLs, and intestinal epithelial lymphocytes (IELs) were considerably higher than those for Btla−/− (CD45.1+) T cells 2 wk after transfer. Although the Btla−/− T cells did not accumulate as much as WT donors, the BTLA-deficient T cells in Rag−/− mice were capable of secreting proinflammatory cytokines to a similar extent (Fig. 7 C). Based on these findings, we hypothesize that transfer of Btla−/− T cells did not recapitulate the results in Hvem−/−Rag−/− recipients in part because the lower numbers of effector T cells prevented disease acceleration.

Signaling through BTLA prevented colitis acceleration

To further explore the role of the BTLA inhibitory receptor, we evaluated colitis development in recipient Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice treated with an anti-BTLA mAb (clone 6F7). When added to T cell–APC co-cultures stimulated with an anti-CD3e antibody, the 6F7 antibody attenuated T cell responses. The expression of CD25 and the production of IL-2 by anti-BTLA–treated T cells were reduced compared with the IgG-treated control (Fig. S4, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20071160/DC1). Importantly, the inhibitory effect on immune responses mediated by the 6F7 antibody was specific to BTLA–expressing T cells, as the activation and proliferation of Btla−/− T cells was essentially equivalent in cultures treated with the 6F7 mAb or an irrelevant IgG control (Fig. S4 B). The anti-BTLA mAb reduced IL-2 and CD25 induction even when Hvem−/− T cells and APCs were co-cultured (Fig. S4 D), indicating that the mAb acts directly by engaging BTLA, as opposed to blocking interactions with HVEM. These results indicate that the anti-BTLA mAb 6F7 has agonistic activity that stimulates BTLA-mediated inhibitory signals leading to decreased T cell–immune responses in vitro.
We found that the anti-BTLA mAb also has agonistic activity in vivo. Injection of the anti-BTLA mAb every 3 d beginning at the time of T cell transfer greatly delayed weight loss and disease progression (Fig. 8 A). Furthermore, Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients treated with the anti-BTLA mAb had lower average histological scores (Fig. 8 B). Collectively, these results demonstrate that BTLA engagement was required to prevent colitis acceleration, and they suggest that the rapid development of disease in Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice is likely to be caused by the absence of BTLA signaling.

To evaluate if the anti-BTLA mAb prevents colitis by acting on donor T cells, we transferred Btla<sup>−/−</sup> CD4<sup>+</sup>CD45RB<sup>high</sup> T cells into Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients that were then treated with the anti-BTLA mAb. Hvem<sup>−/−</sup> Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice transferred with Btla<sup>−/−</sup> T cells showed faster weight loss regardless of treatment with the anti-BTLA mAb (Fig. 8 C). In addition, all the transferred animals presented with severe intestinal inflammation and similar histological average scores (Fig. 8 D). These results demonstrate that the anti-BTLA mAb prevents colitis acceleration when the donor T cells express BTLA. Therefore, we conclude that BTLA signaling on T cells mediated by HVEM expressed by an irradiation-resistant cell is crucial to prevent colitis acceleration.

**Accelerated colitis in Btla<sup>−/−</sup> Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients**

Although the effect of the agonistic anti-BTLA mAb required BTLA expression by T cells, the experiments presented above prove only that T cell expression of BTLA is necessary, but not sufficient, and therefore it remained possible that BTLA expression by host cells in the Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice may also be required for preventing colitis acceleration. To investigate this possibility, we used Btla<sup>−/−</sup> Rag<sup>−/−</sup> animals as recipients of WT CD4<sup>+</sup>CD45RB<sup>high</sup> T cells. The transfer of T cells into Btla<sup>−/−</sup> Rag<sup>−/−</sup> recipients led to faster weight loss (Fig. 9 A). Furthermore, histological analysis performed 2 wk after the transfer on samples isolated from the large intestine revealed a more severe intestinal inflammation in Btla<sup>−/−</sup> Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Fig. 9 B). To determine if disease acceleration in Btla<sup>−/−</sup> Rag<sup>−/−</sup> mice was comparable to that observed in HVEM-deficient mice, we treated all the transferred animals with the anti-BTLA mAb. Each circle represents a single mouse in a total of eight mice per group. *, P < 0.05.
hosts, WT CD4+CD45RBhigh were simultaneously transferred into Btla−/−Rag−/− or Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice. In the two groups of recipients, colitis development was essentially equivalent. Although the trend was toward slightly less weight loss and less severe histological scores in Btla−/−Rag−/− mice than in Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice, the difference did not reach statistical significance (Fig. 9, C and D). Similar results were obtained when Btla−/−Rag−/− recipients were transferred with Btla−/− CD4+CD45RBhigh, a situation where BTLA was absent in both donor and host cells (Fig. 9, C and D). Collectively, these results demonstrate that BTLA expression by Rag−/− host cells also prevents accelerated colitis in the T cell transfer model.

DISCUSSION
HVEM is a unique TNF family receptor because it has the ability both to transmit positive signals and to induce negative signals. Subsets of T cells constitutively express HVEM, and these cells or some other radioresistant HVEM-expressing cell type could be most responsible for preventing accelerated colitis. Conditional ablation of HVEM expression in different cell types in Rag−/− mice with a floxed Hvem allele ultimately will be required to identify the cell type(s) that must express this protein to prevent disease acceleration.

In this report, we analyzed the role of HVEM in intestinal inflammation induced by the transfer of naïve CD4+ T cells into Rag−/− mice. This experimental system is well suited to the analysis of complex networks of receptor–ligand interactions because disease development is synchronous and the genotypes of the donor T cells and the immune-deficient host can be independently varied. The most striking finding in the work presented here was the dramatic acceleration of colitis observed in Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice transferred with WT CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells. Clinical signs correlated with an extremely fast weight loss and elevated histological scores in the colon within 2 wk after T cell transfer. Colitis acceleration in Hvem−/−Rag−/− recipient mice was characterized by a rapid accumulation of activated T cells producing Th1 cytokines and IL-17 in the large intestine of these recipients. This suggests that colitis acceleration is probably induced by an exacerbated proinflammatory response mediated by CD4+ T cells in the large intestine.

Because of the surprising acceleration of disease in Hvem−/−Rag−/− mice, we performed experiments to identify the critical cell type(s) that must express HVEM. Although APCs were considered the most promising candidate, we could find little evidence for a global alteration in APC function in Hvem−/− mice, although T cells cultured in vitro with Hvem−/− MLN DCs and a soluble anti-CD3e mAb did exhibit a slightly enhanced proliferative response. Surprisingly, transfer experiments using BM chimeric mice revealed that HVEM expression on a radioresistant cell population in the inhibitory receptor programmed cell death 1 (46). Nevertheless, although epithelial cell expression of HVEM is intriguing, IEC permeability was not altered in Hvem−/− mice, and these mice were more susceptible to DSS colitis. Therefore, the absence of HVEM does not alter IEC physiological function in the absence of T cell–induced inflammation, and it remains to be proven that HVEM expression by IECs is required to prevent colitis acceleration. A radioresistant, tissue-specific APC expressing CD70, and required for the proliferation of mucosal T cells after oral infection, was recently identified (47), and therefore these cells or some other radioresistant HVEM-expressing cell type could be most responsible for preventing accelerated colitis.
Although HVEM is expressed by the transferred T cells (not depicted), the absence of HVEM in the donor T lymphocytes slightly reduced the severity of colitis development. Therefore, HVEM expression by T cells apparently contributed to the enhancement of T cell effector function, opposite to the effect of HVEM expression by cells in the RAG-deficient hosts. By binding to the TNF family ligand LIGHT, HVEM mediates co-stimulatory signals (11, 12, 19, 23, 24), and it was recently shown that the severity of colitis induced by the transfer of LIGHT-transgenic MLN cells into RAG-/- recipients was considerably decreased when the MLN cells were obtained from Hvem-/- mice (6). The authors concluded that HVEM expression on T cells is necessary for optimal LIGHT-mediated T cell activation and expansion in this system (6). Therefore, T cell–derived LIGHT interacting with HVEM expressed by the same or an adjacent T cell may be required for the full Th1 and/or Th17 differentiation of gut-homing effector T cells (4). Evidence suggesting a potential for T–T interactions between LIGHT and HVEM on donor T cells also has been developed in a GVHD model system (48). Consistent with the co-stimulatory role of LIGHT, we found that mice transferred with Light-/- CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells also had lower histological scores. It is noteworthy that if the effect of HVEM deficiency in the RAG-deficient host were mediated predominantly by interactions with T cell–expressed LIGHT, colitis should have been accelerated, rather than delayed, after transfer of Light-/- CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells. When either Light-/- or Hvem-/- CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells were transferred into Hvem-/- Rag-/- animals, however, the disease-accelerating effects of the absence of HVEM in the Rag-/- host were dominant over the more subtle disease-delaying effects when these molecules were not expressed by the gene-deficient donor T cells. Therefore, the predominant effect of HVEM in this colitis model system is antiinflammatory, and it is related to its expression by radiation-resistant innate cells in the Rag-/- hosts.

Because BTLA is an inhibitory receptor for T cells, it was a good candidate for mediating the antiinflammatory effect of HVEM expression in the Rag-/- recipients. Consistent with this hypothesis, treatment of Hvem-/-Rag-/- recipients of CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells with an anti-BTLA mAb with agonistic properties was able to reverse the accelerated colitis, but only when the T cells expressed BTLA. Although our data clearly demonstrate that a major function of the anti-BTLA mAb is to attenuate T cell responses, BTLA expression by host cells is also relevant. The transfer of WT T cells into BTLA-deficient Rag-/- recipients also led to accelerated colitis, indicating that T cell expression of BTLA is necessary but not sufficient, and that there also is a function for BTLA expression by host cells in preventing colitis acceleration. BTLA expression has been detected on myeloid and lymphoid cells, including CD11c+ DCs, a subpopulation of CD11b+ macrophages and also DX5+ NK cells (27, 42). Interestingly, NK cells have been shown to prevent accelerated colitis development in the T cell transfer model of colitis (49). Therefore, engagement of BTLA on one or more of these innate immune cell types could contribute to moderating colitis progression.

During the review of this paper, it was shown in human cells that HVEM binds to CD160, a glycoprophosphatidylinositol-anchored member of the Ig super family (50). The authors of this report found that the binding of CD160 by HVEM delivered inhibitory signals to human CD4+ T cells, leading to reduced T cell activation and cytokine production (50). Although it is not known if this interaction takes place in mice, we cannot rule out the possibility of an antiinflammatory role for the HVEM–CD160 interaction in the experimental colitis model. Consistent with a possible role for CD160, when Btla-/-Rag-/- mice were transferred with CD4+ T cells, weight loss and histological scores were less severe than in Hvem-/-Rag-/- recipients. Although the differences were not statistically significant, the trend was toward milder disease in Btla-/-Rag-/- mice. This was also observed when Btla-/-Rag-/- mice were transferred with BTLA-deficient T cells, a situation where the HVEM–BTLA interaction is completely ablated. The difference in colitis between HVEM and BTLA deficiency is not very great, however, suggesting that most of the disease-accelerating effects of HVEM deficiency are due to interaction with BTLA as opposed to the absence of a hypothetical interaction of mouse HVEM with CD160.

Contrary to our expectations, the transfer of Btla-/- CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells into Rag-/- mice did not replicate the severe disease phenotype in the Hvem-/-Rag-/- recipients. Surprisingly, we found that there was a significant reduction in the number of T cells in different lymphoid organs and in the large intestine after transfer of Btla-/- CD4+ T cells. In accordance with this finding, it has recently been shown that under conditions of chronic stimulation in a GVHD model system, Btla-/- T cells did not survive as well and failed to sustain GVHD pathology (32). Therefore, decreased T cell survival could partially explain the absence of a dramatic colitis acceleration after transfer of Btla-/- T cells into Rag-/- mice. It should be noted that after transfer of Btla-/- T cells into Rag-/- mice, HVEM can still induce inhibitory signals by interacting with BTLA expressed by host cells. However, when the stromal cells cannot deliver the critical antiinflammatory HVEM-mediated signals to either the transferred T cells or to Rag-/- host cells, as is the case in Hvem-/-Rag-/- recipients, accelerated disease occurs, even after transfer of Btla-/- CD4+ T cells.

In summary, HVEM can act as a receptor to enhance immune responses through binding LIGHT and as a ligand to suppress immune response by binding BTLA. It is therefore not surprising that HVEM plays a dual role in the development of colitis. By analyzing Hvem-deficient mice and cells, previous studies and the work presented here indicate that the lack of HVEM expression by T cells decreased T cell activation and the development of effector functions. The effects of HVEM deficiency are cell type dependent; however, the lack of HVEM expression by an irradiation-resistant cell type(s) in the immune-deficient recipients caused an acceleration of intestinal inflammation. Hvem-/- mice do not spontaneously develop colitis, however, and it is likely that in immune-competent mice CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells or
other mechanisms prevent gut inflammation. Moreover, the counterbalancing effects of HVEM expression by different cell types, and the dual engagement of proinflammatory LIGHT and antiinflammatory BTLA by HVEM, may explain the absence of spontaneous colitis in Hvem−/− mice. Our findings therefore depended critically on the power of the T cell transfer model of colitis in analyzing cell–cell interactions and the interplay of the innate adaptive immune systems, as we could separate the proinflammatory and antiinflammatory effects of HVEM expression by comparing Hvem−/− T cell donors and Hvem−/−/Rag−/− recipients to their WT counterparts. Although the majority of studies on HVEM have addressed the participation of this molecule when expressed by T cells or APCs, our studies demonstrate the unexpected and important role that this molecule plays to prevent inflammation when expressed by irradiation-resistant nonlymphoid cells. The expression of HVEM and BTLA on both innate and adaptive cells, along with the findings presented here, suggest that this ligand–receptor system could play diverse roles in immunity and inflammation in both the innate and adaptive immune systems that have yet to be fully elucidated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Mice. C57BL/6, Rag−/− (C57BL/6 background), and C57BL/6-SJL CD45.1 congenic mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory and maintained in our facility. Bla−/− (28), Light−/− (37), and Hvem−/− mice (26) have been described previously. All gene-deficient mice were backcrossed for at least six generations onto the C57BL/6 background. Hvem−/− or Bla−/− mice were crossed to Rag−/− mice to generate Hvem−/−/Rag−/− and Bla−/−/Rag−/− recipient animals, respectively. Mice were maintained at the La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology under specific pathogen-free conditions, and sentinel from the mouse colony tested negative by PCR detection of Helicobacter spp. and Citrobacter rodentium. Mice were used at 7–12 wk of age. Animal care and experimentation were consistent with the National Institutes of Health guidelines and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the La Jolla Institute for Allergy and Immunology.

Antibodies and reagents. The following mouse antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences as conjugated to FITC, PE, PE-Cy5, PerCP-Cy5.5, or allophycocyanin: CD4 (L3T4), CD45RB (16A), CD45.2 (104), TCR β chain (H57-597), and rat anti-hamster IgG (cocktail). A PE-conjugated and purified anti-mouse BTLA mAb (6F7) were purchased from eBioscience and Bio Express, Inc., respectively. Anti-mouse HVEM antibody (26) was provided by Y.X. Fu (University of Chicago, Chicago, IL). Anti-mouse CD16/32 used for Fc receptor blocking was purified from our laboratory. Purified mouse IgG1 was purchased from Millipore.

CD4+ CD45RBhigh T cell isolation and transfers. Splenocytes were removed from the donor mice (C57BL/6, Light−/−, Hvem−/−, Bla−/−, or B6-SJL CD45 congenic) and teased into cell–single suspensions in HBSS media (Invitrogen). Cell suspensions were filtered through a 70-μm cell strainer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and then CD4+ cells were enriched by positive selection using anti-CD4 (L3T4) microbeads according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Miltenyi Biotec). The CD4+–enriched cells were washed twice and stained with PE–Cy5-conjugated anti-CD4 and PE-conjugated anti-CD45RB antibodies. After staining, the cells were washed, and the CD4+CD45RBhigh T cell population was sorted by flow cytometry using a FACS-DIVA cell sorter (Becton Dickinson). Purified CD4+CD45RBhigh naive T cells were washed twice and resuspended in PBS, and 5 × 10^6 cells in 200 μl of PBS were injected i.v. into the different recipient mice. Transfered mice were monitored regularly for signs of disease, including weight loss, hunched over appearance, piloerection of the coat, and diarrhea. For cotransfer experiments, CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells were isolated from congenic CD45.1+ and CD45.2+ Bla−/− mice, mixed in equal numbers and injected i.v. into Rag−/− recipients.

Preparation of IELs and LPLs. Mucosal lymphocytes were isolated and prepared as described previously (34). In brief, the large intestine was removed and placed in chilled HBSS media containing 5% FCS. The intestines were carefully cleaned from their mesenteries and flushed of fecal content. Intestines were opened longitudinally and cut into 1-cm pieces. The intestinal tissue was transferred to 250-ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 25 ml of preheated HBSS supplemented with 2% FBS and 1 mM DTT (Sigma-Aldrich) and shaken at 200 rpm for 40 min at 37°C. The tissue suspensions were passed through a stainless steel sieve into 50-ml conical tubes, and the cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1,200 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The cell pellets were resuspended in complete HBSS, layered over a discontinuous 40/70% Percoll (GE Healthcare) gradient, and centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 30 min. The cells from the 40/70% interface were collected, washed, and resuspended in complete RPMI media (Invitrogen). These purified cells constituted the IEL population. To isolate LPLs, the remaining intestinal tissue in the stainless steel sieve was minced and transferred to conical tubes. The minced pieces were resuspended in 20 ml of complete RPMI containing 1 mg/ml of type IV collagenase (Sigma-Aldrich) and shaken at 200 rpm for 40 min at 37°C. The tissue suspension was collected and passed through a 70-μm cell strainer, and then the cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1,200 rpm. The cells were then resuspended and layered onto a 40/70% Percoll gradient, centrifuged, and processed as described above for the IEL preparation.

Histology. At various time points after cell transfer, animals were killed for histological analysis. Tissue samples of 3–5 mm obtained from distal and proximal portions of the large intestine were fixed in 4% formalin. Fixed tissues were later embedded in paraffin and 3-μm sections were prepared and stained with H&E. To evaluate the severity of the inflammation, samples were coded and scored by a pathologist in a blinded fashion using a previously described scoring system (34). Scores from the two parts of the intestine were averaged to represent the severity of disease. Higher scores (maximum 14) indicate greater pathology. Categories scored are the following: degree of inflammatory cell infiltrate in the lamina propria, goblet cell depletion, epithelial cell reactive atypia/hyperplasia, number of IELs in epithelial crypts, and number of inflammatory foci.

Flow cytometry analysis. Spleens and MLNs were removed and then washed through a 70-μm cell strainer, and red blood cells in the cell suspension were removed using a lysing buffer (Sigma-Aldrich). IELs and LPLs were isolated as described above. Before staining, cells were washed and resuspended in staining buffer containing 1× PBS, 2% BSA, 10 mM EDTA, and 0.01 NaN3. To block nonspecific staining, anti-CD16/32 antibody was added. Antibodies for cell surface markers were added, and cells were incubated for 20 min on ice. After staining, the cells were washed twice with staining buffer, analyzed the same day or fixed in PBS containing 1% paraformaldehyde and 0.01 NaN3, and analyzed later in a FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences).

Detection of cytokines by ELISA. 2 wk after the transfer of CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells, LPLs were isolated from the recipients and 109 cells were cultured in RPMI complete medium in the presence of PMA and ionomycin for 48 h. The amounts of IFN-γ, IL-4, TNF, and IL-2 in the culture supernatants were quantified by ELISA. Antibodies and recombinant cytokine standards were purchased from BD Biosciences.

RNA isolation and real-time PCR. 2 wk after the transfer of CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells, 1.5 cm from the large intestines of the recipients was removed and resuspended in TRIzol (Invitrogen). The samples were
then frozen at −80°C. For RNA isolation, the total tissue was homogenized, DNA and proteins were removed by precipitation with chloroform, and RNA was extracted with isopropanol. cDNA was synthesized from the total RNA using the Superscript II system (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Subsequently, the cDNA was subject to real-time PCR using SYBR green (Bio-Rad Laboratories) and the following mouse primers: TNF forward, 5′-CATCTTCTCATAAACCTTGGAT-GCACA-3′; TNF reverse, 5′-TGAGGATGACATGCTCAGGGC-3′; IFN-γ forward, 5′-TCAAGTGCCATAGTGGAGAAAGA-3′; IFN-γ reverse, 5′-TGGCTCTGAGAAGTTTTCTG-3′; IL-4 forward, 5′-ACAGAACGAGCGACCATTACAGAGGAC-3′; IL-4 reverse, 5′-GGTCAACCTAAAGTCTTTTAACTC-3′; IL-17 forward, 5′-GGTCAACCTAAAGTCTTTTAACTC-3′; IL-17 reverse, 5′-TAAAACGCAAGTAACTTTGCTG-3′; IL-12p35 reverse, 5′-TGAAGACGCCAGAGAAGAAC-3′; IL-12p35 forward, 5′-AAGGAACCTCCTAGAGTCTTAC-3′; IL-23p19 forward, 5′-AGCGGAGCATAGTCACTAACTAGAAGA-3′; IL-23p19 reverse, 5′-GTCTCTAGTGAGACTGTGAATGG-3′; IL-10 forward, 5′-GGTTGCGCAAGCCTTATCGGA-3′; IL-10 reverse, 5′-ACCTGCTC-CACTGCTTCTTCTG-3′; TGF-β forward, 5′-TGAGCTCACTGGAAGTTGTACGG-3′; TGF-β reverse, 5′-GGTTCTAGTCTGGATGTGGCTC-3′; L32 forward, 5′-CAAGGGAAACCCCA-3′; L32 reverse, 5′-GGATCTGCGCCCTTGAACCTT-3′. Gene expression was normalized to L32.

Data were collected and analyzed on an iCycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

Generation of BM chimeras. Rag−/− or HvemA−/−/Rag−/− hosts were lethally irradiated with 11 Gy that were applied in two irradiations of 5.5 Gy separated by a 3-h interval. After irradiation, HvemA−/−/Rag−/− mice were transplanted with 5 × 10⁶ total BM cells isolated from Rag−/− mice to generate HvemA−/−/Rag−/− mice. Reciprocal HvemA−/−/Rag−/−→Rag−/−→HvemA−/−/Rag−/− chimeric animals were generated by transplanting HvemA−/−/Rag−/− BM cells into irradiated Rag−/− hosts. After transplant, mice were maintained under antibiotic treatment for 4 wk and an additional 6 wk without antibiotic before transfer of the CD4+CD45RBhigh T cells.

Statistics. Differences between groups were evaluated for statistical significance by the two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test, except for histological scoring that was evaluated using Wilcoxon’s two-group test. Results are expressed as mean ± SD. p-values of <0.05 were considered significant.

Online supplemental material. Fig. S1 (A and B) shows, respectively, the phenotype of freshly isolated or LPS-stimulated CD11c+ cells isolated from the MLNs of WT or HvemA−/− mice. Fig. S1 C shows proliferation assessed by CFSE dilution of CD4+CD25− T cells cultured with WT or HvemA−/−/CD11c+ and an anti-CD3ε mAb. Fig. S2 A shows HVEAM expression on purified primary colonic epithelial cells. Fig. S2 B shows the results from in-testinal permeability experiments performed on distal colons isolated from untreated WT and HvemA−/− mice or WT animals treated with 4% DSS. Fig. S3 (A and B) shows, respectively, weight loss curves and histological scores of WT and HvemA−/− mice treated with 2.5% DSS. Fig. S4 (A–D) shows the effect of an anti-BTLA mAb (6F7) on T cell activation, in particular CD25+ T cell-mediated immunity in tumor and NK cells in the human gut and can be induced by CD2−mediated signaling, J. Immunol. 174:46–653.
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