The mammalian immune system has evolved over many millennia to be best equipped to protect the host from pathogen infection. In many cases, host and pathogen have coevolved, each acquiring sophisticated ways of inducing or protecting from disease. Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is a human herpes virus that infects >90% of individuals. Despite its ubiquity, infection by EBV is often subclinical; this invariably reflects the necessity of the virus to preserve its host, balanced with sophisticated host immune mechanisms that maintain viral latency. However, EBV infection can result in various, and often fatal, clinical sequelae, including fulminant infectious mononucleosis, hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis, lymphoproliferative disease, organomegaly, and/or malignancy. Such clinical outcomes are typically observed in immunosuppressed individuals, with the most extreme cases being Mendelian primary immunodeficiencies (PIDs). Although these conditions are rare, they have provided critical insight into the cellular, biochemical, and molecular requirements for robust and long-lasting immunity against EBV infection. Here, we review the virology of EBV, mechanisms underlying disease pathogenesis in PIDs, and developments in immune cell–mediated therapy to treat disorders associated with or induced by EBV infection.

Introduction
EBV is one of eight human herpesviruses that establish lifelong persistent infection in humans. It is estimated that >90% of the global population are seropositive. It was the first described oncogenic virus, and to date, seven different malignancies are associated with EBV infection. Although primary infection in childhood is generally asymptomatic, acquisition in healthy adolescents can cause infectious mononucleosis (IM). In addition, EBV can induce lymphoproliferation, lymphoma, and hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH) in immunocompromised patients, suggesting continuous immune surveillance is critical for virus–host homeostasis (Young and Rickinson, 2004; Hislop et al., 2007; Rickinson et al., 2014; Cohen, 2015a; Taylor et al., 2015).

EBV virology, infection, and immunology
Although EBV is initially transmitted through saliva, the early events of infection are poorly understood. During primary infection, there is a high degree of viral shedding from the throat. However, the cellular source of these infectious virions remains contentious. Circumstantial evidence implicates oral epithelial cells and possibly some infiltrating B cells at mucosal surfaces as sites for primary viral replication. A possible role for oral epithelial cells gained momentum when full lytic virus replication was observed in lingual epithelium from some EBV micro-RNAs reduce expression of ligands for NK cell activation molecule; SOT, solid organ transplantation; VZV, varicella zoster virus; XLP, X-linked lymphoproliferative disease; PID, primary immunodeficiency; PTLD, posttransplant lymphoproliferative disease; SAP, SLAM-associated protein; SLAM, signaling lymphocytic activation molecule; SOI, solid organ transplantation; VZV, varicella zoster virus; XLP, X-linked lymphoproliferative disease.

patients coinfected with HIV (Hutt-Fletcher, 2017). However, subsequent studies of postmortem biopsies of normal lingual tissues indicated that such replication was infrequent (Herrmann et al., 2002; Frangou et al., 2005). Similarly, whether B cells are required for initial viral infection and the mode of viral entry into B cells remain unclear. Nevertheless, viral glycoproteins (gp’s) facilitate entry and internalization of EBV into host cells: gp350 initiates binding to B cells through interactions with the complement receptor CD21, and fusion with the cell membrane and internalization are triggered by gp42 binding MHC class II and then mediated by the core fusion complex gH/gL/gp42. As epithelial cells lack both MHC class II and CD21, the mechanisms by which EBV infects epithelial cells is distinct from B cells but appears to involve interactions between viral gH and several αV integrins (see Young and Rickinson, 2004; Kutok and Wang, 2006; Hislop et al., 2007; Shannon-Lowe and Rowe, 2011; Rickinson et al., 2014; Cohen, 2015a; Taylor et al., 2015; Hutt-Fletcher, 2017).

Binding of EBV to B cells may also facilitate formation of B cell–epithelial cell conjugates, which allows epithelial cell entry (Kutok and Wang, 2006; Shannon-Lowe and Rowe, 2011). The replicative cycle that follows viral entry results in the sequential expression of >80 lytic proteins involved in producing new viral particles and/or immune evasion. Among these, at least three early lytic proteins—BNLF2A, BILF1, and BGLF5—interfere with antigen (Ag) processing and presentation to CD8+ T cells. BZLF1, another immediate-early lytic protein, down-regulates MHC class II, whereas some EBV micro-RNAs reduce expression of ligands for NK cell presentation.
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cell–activating receptors (Rowe and Zuo, 2010). Together, these immune evasion proteins provide a window of opportunity for the virus to establish infection.

Then, EBV switches to latent infection of B cells, which is critical for colonization of the host. Several models have been proposed for how EBV persists as a latent infection in B cells, including selective infection of memory B cells (Thorley-Lawson, 2015) or, alternatively, infection of naive or activated B cells which traverse through a germinal center reaction to become EBV+ memory B cells (Küppers, 2003). Irrespective of the exact mechanism, latency can take one of at least three forms. Initially, EBV establishes a growth-transforming latent infection (Lat III) of B cells where expression of the full array of latent proteins (EBV nuclear Ags [EBNA] 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 3C, and LP; latent membrane proteins [LMPs] 1 and 2) along with several small noncoding RNAs, various micro-RNAs, and EBV-encoded small RNAs can be detected. This is classed as latency III and is similar to that observed in EBV-transformed B cell lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) in vitro. Among the latent proteins, LMP1 and LMP2 mimic signaling through CD40 and the B cell receptor, respectively. Consequently, latently infected B cells expand rapidly in extralymphatic areas of oropharyngeal lymphoid tissues such as the tonsils, and large numbers of infected B cells can be found in the blood. Most of these infected cells are cleared by the immune system; however, EBV then enters a more restricted form of latency to escape such immune control. In the latency II program, only EBNA1 and LMPs are expressed, whereas only EBNA1 is expressed in latency I. In fact, viral persistence is achieved largely through silent infection of memory B cells where expression of viral Ags is extinguished (latency 0); in healthy carriers, EBV is exclusively found within this population in the blood. These long-lived memory B cells are proposed to recirculate continuously between blood and oropharyngeal lymphoid tissues. Viral reactivation likely occurs when these cells enter oropharyngeal lymphoid tissues, resulting in viral replication at the barrier surface to seed foci of new infection and viral shedding (Fig. 1; Küppers, 2003; Kutok and Wang, 2006; Hislop et al., 2007; Rickinson et al., 2014; Cohen, 2015a; Taylor et al., 2015; Thorley-Lawson, 2015).

The clinical manifestations of primary EBV infection depend on the age and immunocompetent state of the host. In children, EBV is mostly acquired asymptomatically. However, 10% of infected adolescents develop IM, a febrile disease characterized by sore throat, swollen lymph nodes, body aches, and fatigue. Rare complications can lead to chronic active EBV infection, where severe symptoms persist for >6 mo (Crawford et al., 2006; Kütok and Wang, 2006; Hislop et al., 2007; Balfour et al., 2013; Rickinson et al., 2014; Cohen, 2015a; Taylor et al., 2015).
EBV infection is derived from studying IM patients. Innate immune responses, mediated by NK and NKT cells, may be involved during primary EBV acquisition. Studies have shown both direct and inverse correlations between NK cell frequencies, severity of symptoms, and EBV load in IM patients, suggesting NK cells may play a role in either protection against EBV infection or pathogenesis of IM (Williams et al., 2005; Balfour et al., 2013). These disparate findings may reflect the dynamics of responses of specific subsets of NK cells during EBV infection (Azzi et al., 2014; Chijioke et al., 2016).

Despite these opposing findings, numerous studies support a role for NK cells in anti-EBV immunity. NK cells can reduce EBV-mediated growth transformation of B cells (Strowig et al., 2008; Azzi et al., 2014; Chijioke et al., 2016), probably because of their ability to be potently activated by EBV-induced ligands on infected B cells (Lanier, 2015). In vivo depletion of NK cells from humanized mice caused more severe symptoms and a greater incidence of EBV-induced tumors than in NK-sufficient hosts (Chijioke et al., 2013, 2016). Thus, a failure of early viral control by NK cells could lead to IM. A role for NKT cells in immune-mediated control of EB infection has also been posited, as these cells can limit EBV transformation of B cells in vitro (Chung et al., 2013) and are absent from some individuals who are susceptible to EBV-induced disease (Palendira and Rickinson, 2015). The possible contributions of NK and NKT cells to host defense against EBV are discussed in The relative importance of innate versus adaptive immune cells in responses against EBV infection section.

Role of adaptive immunity in EBV immunity. Adaptive immunity is unequivocally required for robust anti-EBV immunity, as shown by severe EBV-induced disease in individuals with broad defects in T cell development or function (Palendira and Rickinson, 2015; Picard et al., 2015; Taylor et al., 2015). During primary EBV infection in IM patients, the absolute number of peripheral blood CD8+ T cells increases 5–10-fold compared with asymptomatic individuals (Callan et al., 2000; Taylor et al., 2015). The majority of activated CD8+ T cells are specific for lytic Ag, with responses to individual epitopes comprising up to 50% of the total CD8+ T cell pool, whereas responses to latent Ag are typically 10-fold lower in magnitude (Callan et al., 2000; Leen et al., 2001; Long et al., 2005; Taylor et al., 2015). Coinciding with the expansion of activated CD8+ T cells are elevated serum levels of proinflammatory and immunoregulatory cytokines (IFN-γ, TNF-α, IL-6, IL-10, and TGF-β; Callan et al., 2000; Panikkar et al., 2015a). In comparison, there is a modest increase in proportions of EBV-specific CD8+ T cells in asymptomatic patients, accounting for up to 15% of all CD8+ T cells, contracting to ∼2–5% of memory CD8+ T cells upon viral control (Hislop et al., 2007).

EBV-specific CD4+ T cells can comprise up to 1% of all circulating CD4+ T cells in IM patients, contracting to ∼0.1% upon infection resolution (Amyes et al., 2003; Long et al., 2013). CD4+ T cell responses are directed toward a broader repertoire of viral Ags, with latent-specific responses dominating over lytic-specific responses; these cells not only secrete cytokines, but also can be cytotoxic (Amyes et al., 2003; Long et al., 2005; Hislop et al., 2007; Ning et al., 2011; Mautner and Bornkamm, 2012; Rickinson et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2015). These observations suggest that although numerically small, CD4+ T cell responses may also be important in controlling EBV infection (Amyes et al., 2003; Long et al., 2013). This is consistent with HIV/AIDS patients having low CD4+ T cell counts, high EBV viral loads, commonly developing EBV-associated lymphomas (Petarra et al., 2013; Cohen, 2015a), and improved outcomes of adoptive T cell therapy for EBV-driven posttransplant lymphoproliferative disease (PTLD) when EBV-specific CD4+ T cells are transferred with CD8+ T cells (Rooney et al., 1998; Haque et al., 2007).

Antibody (Ab)-mediated immune responses are typically required to successfully generate protective immunity against vaccines and many natural pathogen infections (Tangye and Tarlinton, 2009). Patients with IM have lower levels of gp350-specific neutralizing Ab than healthy carriers (Panikkar et al., 2015b), and although vaccination of seronegative adults with a gp350 vaccine did not prevent EBV infection, symptoms of IM were reduced in these individuals (Sokal et al., 2007; Cohen, 2015a). These findings suggest that although specific Abs are generated after EBV infection, these Abs may preferentially influence disease severity rather than prevent viral infection. This may be one explanation for the challenges in generating a successful and durable EBV vaccine (Cohen, 2015a).

Primary immunodeficiencies (PIDs) reveal cell types and signaling pathways critical for host defense against EBV infection and disease

PIDs are generally caused by monogenic mutations that compromise immune cell development, differentiation, or function. Consequently, affected individuals are highly susceptible to infection by a diverse array of pathogens. Whereas some immunodeficient individuals exhibit susceptibility to a broad range of bacterial, viral, and fungal infections, others are vulnerable to a surprisingly narrow range of pathogens (Picard et al., 2015). Thus, the study of PIDs not only identifies genetic defects underlying infectious disease, but also provides mechanistic insight into nonredundant cellular, molecular, and biochemical pathways required for host defense against specific pathogens.

Several PIDs have been described in which chronic EBV viremia and/or EBV-induced disease (e.g., fulminant IM, HLH, lymphoproliferation, lymphoma, and dysgammaglobulinemia) are common features of the clinical presentation of affected individuals (Parvaneh et al., 2013; Cohen, 2015b; Palendira and Rickinson, 2015). Studies of these patients have provided great insight into the functional requirements for efficacious immune responses against EBV. Although up to 18 different genetic mutations can predispose affected individuals...
to EBV-induced disease (Parvaneh et al., 2013; Cohen, 2015b; Palendira and Rickinson, 2015), we will focus on those PIDs where EBV is predominantly responsible for the most severe clinical features of these conditions. We will also summarize recently described EBV-susceptible PIDs (Table 1).

**X-linked lymphoproliferative disease (XLP) 1: mutations in SH2D1A.** XLP-1 was initially reported in 1974–1975 in 13 otherwise healthy males from three unrelated families who developed an often-fatal immune-deficient condition precipitated by EBV infection (Bar et al., 1974; Provisor et al., 1975; Purtilo et al., 1975). Remarkably, XLP-1 patients have normal responses to other childhood viruses including other herpesviruses (HSV, varicella zoster virus [VZV], and CMV; Cohen, 2015b; Palendira and Rickinson, 2015). This established that individuals with XLP-1 are uniquely sensitive to diseases caused by EBV, which otherwise runs a fairly benign course in most healthy individuals.

XLP-1 is caused by mutations in SH2D1A, encoding signaling lymphocytic activation molecule (SLAM)–associated protein (SAP). SAP is an SH2 domain–containing intracellular adaptor protein that regulates signaling downstream of the SLAM family of surface receptors expressed on hematopoietic cells (Coffey et al., 1998; Nichols et al., 1998; Sayos et al., 1998). Analyses of >150 patients revealed that the most common clinical features of XLP-1 are EBV-induced fulminant IM/HLH (∼45–70%), B cell lymphoma (∼25%), and hypogammaglobulinemia (∼50%). Lymphoma and Ab defects occur equally in EBV-naive and EBV+ XLP patients (Sumegi et al., 2000; Booth et al., 2011; Pachlopnik Schmid et al., 2011). The current survival rate in XLP-1 is 71.4%, a marked improvement over the 25% reported in the 1980s (Table 1; Booth et al., 2011; Pachlopnik Schmid et al., 2011). This reflects improved detection of affected individuals and patient management in the time since the molecular lesion underlying XLP-1 was identified in 1998. It is important to note that XLP-1 is unique among all currently identified PIDs, as it is the only condition where affected individuals are susceptible to severe disease caused by only EBV infection and not other pathogens. This indicated

**Table 1. Human PIDs manifesting as severe EBV-induced disease**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutated gene</th>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>Infections</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SH2D1A (XLP-1); n &gt; 100</td>
<td>age of onset: 0.5–40 yr; EBV viremia (65%); severe FIM/HLH (∼50–60%); hypogammaglobulinemia (40–50%); B lymphoma (Burkitt’s/NHL; often EBV+) (∼25%)</td>
<td>EBV</td>
<td>∼30–75% mortality (depends on study in relation to discovery of SH2D1A gene mutation in 1998); successfully treated with HSCT</td>
<td>Coffey et al., 1998; Nichols et al., 1998; Sumegi et al., 2000; Booth et al., 2011; Pachlopnik Schmid et al., 2011; Tangye, 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIAP (XLP-2); n &gt; 100</td>
<td>age of onset: 0.5–40 yr; EBV viremia (50–90%); severe FIM/HLH (∼50–90%); splenomegaly/hepatitis (50–80%); inflammatory bowel disease (10–25%); cytopenias; transient hypogammaglobulinemia (10%)</td>
<td>EBV; CMV, HHV6</td>
<td>EBV; CMV, HHV6</td>
<td>EBV; CMV, HHV6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIX; n = 13</td>
<td>age of onset: 3–13 yr; EBV viremia (100%); EBV-induced lymphoproliferation (∼70%); EBV+ B cell lymphoma (mostly Hodgkin’s; 1 NHL; 1 Burkitt’s); EBV+ T cell lymphoproliferation (∼65%); splenomegaly; progressive hypogammaglobulinemia (∼50%); autoimmunity (infrequent; ∼20%)</td>
<td>EBV; CMV, VZV</td>
<td>∼60–65% mortality; 2/3 patients successfully treated with HSCT</td>
<td>Huck et al., 2009; Linka et al., 2012; Mansouri et al., 2012; Ghosh et al., 2014; Bienemann et al., 2015; Cipe et al., 2015; Çagdas et al., 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGT1; (X-MEN) n = 11</td>
<td>age of onset: 3–45 yr; chronic EBV viremia (100%); EBV-induced lymphoproliferation (not HLH); EBV+ B lymphoma (Burkitt’s; Hodgkin’s); EBV+ T cell lymphoproliferation (∼50%); splenomegaly; progressive hypogammaglobulinemia (∼50%)</td>
<td>EBV; VZV, HSV, CMV, KSHV, molluscus contagiosum</td>
<td>∼30% mortality; poor outcomes after HSCT</td>
<td>Li et al., 2011; Chaigne-Delalande et al., 2013; Dhalla et al., 2015; Patioglu et al., 2015; Brigida et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD27; n = 17</td>
<td>age of onset: 1–22 yr; EBV viremia (∼90%); severe HLH (∼25%); EBV-induced lymphoproliferation (∼50%); EBV+ lymphoproliferation (∼70%)</td>
<td>EBV; VZV, CMV (&lt;15%); recurrent bacterial/viral infections</td>
<td>EBV; VZV, CMV (&lt;15%); recurrent bacterial/viral infections</td>
<td>EBV; VZV, CMV (&lt;15%); recurrent bacterial/viral infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD70; n = 5</td>
<td>age of onset: 1–5 yr; EBV viremia (100%); EBV+ lymphoproliferation (80%); EBV+ B lymphoma (Hodgkin’s; 80%); hypogammaglobulinemia (60–80%)</td>
<td>EBV; VZV, CMV (50%); recurrent bacterial/viral infections</td>
<td>all patients currently alive</td>
<td>EBV; recurrent viral/fungal infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFKB1; n = 2</td>
<td>age of onset: 14–15 yr; EBV viremia; lymphoproliferation, hepatosplenomegaly, hypogammaglobulinemia</td>
<td>EBV; recurrent viral/bacterial infections</td>
<td>EBV; recurrent viral/bacterial infections</td>
<td>EBV; recurrent viral/bacterial infections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RASGRF1; n = 1</td>
<td>age of onset: 12 yr; EBV+ B lymphoma; CD4+ T cell lymphopenia</td>
<td>EBV; recurrent viral/bacterial infections</td>
<td>EBV; recurrent viral/bacterial infections</td>
<td>EBV; recurrent viral/bacterial infections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations used: DLBCL, diffuse large B cell lymphoma; FIM, fulminant IM; HHV6, human herpesvirus 6; KSHV, Kaposi’s sarcoma herpes virus; NHL, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma.
that SLAM/SAP signaling is critical for protective immunity against EBV infection, but it is redundant for immunity against other infections.

**XLP-2: mutations in XIAP.** In 2006, Rigaud et al. (2006) reported 12 males presenting with EBV-induced HLH. These individuals had inactivating mutations in XIAP, encoding X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis (Rigaud et al., 2006). The commonality of EBV-induced disease caused by mutations in SH2D1A and XIAP (affecting \( \sim 60\% \) of cases and triggering HLH in \( >80\% \) of cases) led to the naming of these conditions as XLP-1 and XLP-2 (Filipovich et al., 2010; Pachlopnik Schmid et al., 2011). Over the past decade, \( >100 \) patients with XIAP deficiency have been identified, and their detailed clinical descriptions have clearly revealed marked differences in disease pathogenesis, severity, and outcomes for XLP-1 and XLP-2. Thus, splenomegaly, cytopenias, fever, recurrent HLH, and inflammatory bowel disease are common in XIAP but not SAP deficiency. Unlike XLP-1, B lymphoma rarely occurs in XIAP deficiency, and when hypogammaglobulinemia is noted in XLP-2, it is usually transient (Filipovich et al., 2010; Marsh et al., 2010; Pachlopnik Schmid et al., 2011; Speckmann et al., 2013; Aguilar and Latour, 2015). Mortality caused by XIAP deficiency is \( \sim 40\% \), with approximately one third of deaths resulting from HLH (Marsh et al., 2010; Pachlopnik Schmid et al., 2011). However, a study of patients identified since 2010 indicated that \( >95\% \) of patients were alive (Speckmann et al., 2013), suggesting that, like XLP-1, survival has greatly improved since the discovery of the molecular lesion causing this condition (Table 1).

**IL-2-inducible T cell kinase (ITK) deficiency.** ITK encodes ITK, a member of the tyrosine kinase expressed in hepatocellular carcinoma (TEC) family of nonreceptor kinases that is expressed by hematopoietic cells involved in proximal TCR signaling. By regulating PLC\( \gamma 1 \) phosphorylation, ITK coordinates T cell activation and function (Readinger et al., 2009). 13 individuals from eight unrelated families have been identified with loss-of-function biallelic mutations in ITK, 12 of whom presented with EBV viremia, EBV-induced lymphoproliferation that frequently developed into lymphoma, CD4\(^+\) T cell lymphopenia, hepatosplenomegaly, and progressive hypogammaglobulinemia (Huck et al., 2009; Stepenksy et al., 2011; Linka et al., 2012; Mansouri et al., 2012; Ghosh et al., 2014; Bienemann et al., 2015; Cipe et al., 2015; Çağdaş et al., 2017). Interestingly, one ITK-deficient patient was identified who presented at the age of 6 yr with recurrent respiratory tract infections, CD4\(^+\) T cell lymphopenia, and progressive hypogammaglobulinemia but remained EBV naive until 17 yr of age (Serwas et al., 2014). This infers that CD4\(^+\) T lymphopenia and hypogammaglobulinemia occurs in ITK deficiency independently of EBV infection. Of the 13 reported ITK-deficient patients, eight died, whereas two of three survived hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT; Table 1; Huck et al., 2009; Stepenksy et al., 2011; Linka et al., 2012; Mansouri et al., 2012; Ghosh et al., 2014; Bienemann et al., 2015; Cipe et al., 2015; Çağdaş et al., 2017).

**X-MEN disease: MAGT1 mutations.** A syndrome of chronic EBV viremia, EBV\(^+\) B lymphoma, and CD4\(^+\) T cell lymphopenia in seven males was first reported in 2011 (Li et al., 2011). Because of the clinical features of this condition, it was named X-MEN disease (X-linked immunodeficiency with magnesium defect EBV and neoplasia). Some individuals also presented with recurrent infections with pathogens other than EBV (e.g., HSV and VZV). Molecular studies identified mutations in MAGT1, encoding a ubiquitously expressed magnesium transporter controlling basal levels of intracellular Mg\(^{2+}\), in all affected individuals (Li et al., 2011). Since the initial identification of X-MEN patients, four additional individuals have been reported (Dhalla et al., 2015; Paticoglu et al., 2015; Brigida et al., 2016). All 11 patients experienced extremely high levels of EBV viremia, with EBV-induced B cell lymphoproliferation or malignancy occurring in \( >70\% \) of cases (Li et al., 2011; Dhalla et al., 2015; Paticoglu et al., 2015). Three patients died of complications of EBV infection (Table 1). The discovery of mutations in MAGT1 revealed an unappreciated and nonredundant role for magnesium as a second messenger, upstream of Ca\(^{2+}\), in TCR-mediated activation and immunity against EBV-induced infectious disease and malignancy.

**CD27 and CD70 deficiency.** In 2012 and 2013, two papers reported 10 patients from four kindreds presenting with a combined immunodeficiency characterized by EBV viremia, lymphoproliferative disease, HLH, EBV\(^+\) B cell lymphoma, hypogammaglobulinemia, and impaired Ab responses (van Montfrans et al., 2012; Salzer et al., 2013). Molecular analysis revealed homozygous mutations in CD27 (van Montfrans et al., 2012; Salzer et al., 2013), a TNFR superfamily member expressed by naive and central memory T cells, germinal center and memory B cells, plasma cells, and some NK cells (Hamm et al., 1997; Lens et al., 1998; Jung et al., 2000; Borst et al., 2005; Vosen et al., 2008; Tangye and Tarlinton, 2009). A total of 16 patients from eight unrelated families have now been reported with biallelic loss-of-function mutations in CD27 (van Montfrans et al., 2012; Salzer et al., 2013; Alkhairy et al., 2015). One individual with a heterozygous mutation has also been identified (Alkhairy et al., 2015). As heterozygous parents and siblings of patients with homozygous CD27 mutations are unaffected, this patient probably has an unidentified mutation on the other CD27 allele. EBV-induced disease was evident in 88\% (15/17) of patients, manifesting as HLH (23.5\%), lymphoproliferative disease (53\%), and B cell lymphoma (47\%), and was fatal in 30\% of cases (Table 1). A few CD27-deficient patients were infected with other herpesviruses (CMV and VZV), and several had recurrent bacterial infections (van Montfrans et al., 2012; Salzer et al., 2013; Alkhairy et al., 2015), possibly caused by humoral immune defects, as engaging CD27 promotes human B cell differentiation.
and most developing EBV-associated lymphoproliferation or CD27 deficiency, with all patients experiencing EBV viremia. The clinical features of CD70 deficiency resembled those of CD27 deficiency except in CD70, affecting either expression of CD70 or its ability to bind CD27 (Abolhassani et al., 2017; Izawa et al., 2017). The clinical features of CD70 deficiency resembled those of CD27 deficiency, with all patients experiencing EBV viremia and most developing EBV-associated lymphoproliferation or B cell malignancy, hypogammaglobulinemia, and impaired Ag-specific Ab responses; infection with other herpesviruses also occurred in a few patients. However, unlike CD27 deficiency, all patients with CD70 deficiency are currently alive (Table 1; Abolhassani et al., 2017; Izawa et al., 2017), suggesting mutations in CD70 cause more severe disease than those in CD70. Although this seems paradoxical, as CD70 is the only ligand for CD27 (Lens et al., 1998; Borst et al., 2005), this may reflect a ligand-independent function of CD27. Other members of the TNFR superfamily, such as CD95, TNF-R1, CD40, and TACI (transmembrane activator and CAML interactor), form homotrimers independently of interacting with cognate ligands (Chan et al., 2000; Siegel et al., 2000; Garibyan et al., 2007). Thus, preassembled trimers may provide a qualitatively different signal from that initiated by ligand-induced receptor multimerization (Golstein, 2000). Although this has not been formally established, one possibility is that, in the absence of CD70, only ligand-dependent signals are compromised, whereas in the absence of CD27, both ligand-dependent and ligand-independent signals are impaired, thus resulting in a more severe phenotype. The identification of additional CD70-deficient patients will facilitate investigating this hypothesis.

Mechanisms underlying susceptibility to EBV-induced disease in PIDs

Analyses of defects in these PID patients have not only revealed mechanisms of disease pathogenesis, but also have defined unique requirements for host defense against EBV and identified pathways that could be targeted to improve anti-EBV immunity in immunocompromised hosts.

**XLP-1.** Early studies of XLP-1 reported defects in NK cell cytotoxicity (Sullivan et al., 1980). Subsequent studies revealed that the ability of SAP-associated receptors 2B4 and NTB-A to activate NK cell cytotoxicity was abolished in the absence of SAP (Nakajima et al., 2000; Parolini et al., 2000; Tangye et al., 2000; Bottino et al., 2001). Activation of Ag-specific CD8+ T cells by B cells, but not other APCs (monocytes, DCs, and fibroblasts), was also impaired by SAP deficiency (Dupré et al., 2005; Hislop et al., 2010; Palendira et al., 2011). Consistent with these findings, SAP-deficient T and NK cells exhibited intact responses when activated through SAP-independent receptors (Nakajima et al., 2000; Parolini et al., 2000; Tangye et al., 2000; Bottino et al., 2001; Dupré et al., 2005). Thus, successful engagement of CD8+ T cells by cognate B cells requires interactions between SLAM receptors and SAP-dependent signaling in responding CD8+ T cells.

As EBV is a B cell tropic-virus, these findings explain the (a) selectivity of XLP-1 patients to infection with EBV but not human herpesviruses with other cell tropisms, (b) increased incidence of B cell lymphoma in XLP-1, and (c) EBV independence of lymphoma development in these patients; i.e., SAP-deficient, lymphoma-specific CD8+ T cells would be unresponsive to activating signals provided by B cell lymphomas via SLAM/SAP complexes. Interestingly, ligands of 2B4 (CD48) and NTB-A (NTB-A itself) are highly expressed on EBV-infected B cells (Thorley-Lawson et al., 1982; Parolini et al., 2000). This suggests that EBV-induced up-regulation of CD48 and NTB-A on B cells acts as a signal to activate NK cells as a first line of defense against viral infection and, subsequently, functions to activate EBV-specific CD8+ T cells.

SAP-deficient T cells are also resistant to reactivation-induced cell death, a process considered to regulate T cell contraction during responses to specific Ags (Snow et al., 2009). Enhanced survival of SAP-deficient cells was NTB-A dependent and resulted from impaired induction of proapoptotic Bim and Fas ligand (Snow et al., 2009). SAP-deficient human CD8+ T cells also exhibited enhanced proliferation to polyclonal stimulation relative to SAP+ CD8+ T cells (Palendira et al., 2012). Sustained proliferation and persistence of highly activated CD8+ T cells may contribute to HLH in XLP-1 (Filipovich et al., 2010; Marsh et al., 2010).

**XLP-2.** In contrast to XLP-1, functional responses of cytolytic lymphocytes from XIAP-deficient patients are normal (Rigaud et al., 2006; Aguilar and Latour, 2015). XIAP prevents apoptosis by binding and inactivating caspases (Aguilar and Latour, 2015). Thus, T cells with XIAP mutations exhibit increased death after TCR stimulation (Rigaud et al., 2006; Filipovich et al., 2010; Speckmann et al., 2013). It is possible that activation-induced loss of effector T cells compromises effective control of viral infection, thereby predisposing XIAP-deficient individuals to EBV infection and HLH. However, the exact mechanisms underlying disease in XIAP deficiency remain unclear, particularly as SAP deficiency protects T cells from restimulation-induced cell death allowing prolonged proliferation (Snow et al., 2009; Palendira et al., 2012), with HLH characterized by dysregulated proliferation of cytotoxic lymphocytes (Filipovich et al., 2010; Marsh et al., 2010).

**X-MEN.** MAGT1 mutations abrogate TCR-mediated PLCγ1 activation and subsequent Ca2+ flux, thereby impairing T cell...
function (Li et al., 2011). However, it was unclear how a global defect in TCR-induced activation would render affected individuals susceptible to EBV infection. Insight into this came from the finding that MAGT1-deficient NK and CD8+ T cells failed to express NKG2D (Chaigue-Delalande et al., 2013; Dhalla et al., 2015; Patiroglu et al., 2015; Brigida et al., 2016), a C-type lectin that potently activates effector function of cytotoxic lymphocytes (Lanier, 2015). Thus, despite individuals with MAGT1 mutations being able to generate EBV-specific CD8+ T cells, these cells could not kill autologous, EBV-transformed B cells (Chaigue-Delalande et al., 2013).

The functional requirement for NKG2D on MAGT1-deficient NK and CD8+ T cells was elegantly demonstrated by the ability to restore both expression of NKG2D and NKG2D-dependent cytotoxicity by in vitro treatment with magnesium (Chaigue-Delalande et al., 2013). Remarkably, in vivo administration of magnesium to X-MEN patients restored NKG2D expression on CD8+ T cells, and this correlated with reductions in proportions of EBV-infected B cells (Chaigue-Delalande et al., 2013). Thus, recognition of EBV-infected B cells by NK and CD8+ T cells critically depends on interactions between NKG2D on cytolytic lymphocytes and its cognate ligands on EBV-B cells.

**CD27/CD70 deficiency.** Analysis of CD8+ T cells from patients with CD70 mutations also revealed an impaired ability to kill autologous EBV-infected B cells. Interestingly, CD27/CD70 interactions were critical for initial priming and expansion of EBV-specific CD8+ T cells, rather than activating cytotoxic function (Abolhassani et al., 2017; Izawa et al., 2017). Importantly, cytotoxicity of effector CD8+ T cells from CD70-deficient individuals against autologous EBV-B cells could be greatly improved by restoring CD70 expression on the target B cells (Izawa et al., 2017). Thus, consistent with CD70 being more prominently expressed on APCs than on T cells, CD27 delivers the activating signal to T cells for their effector function, with CD70 on APCs—predominantly B cells—acting as the ligand in this interaction (Lens et al., 1998; Borst et al., 2005; Izawa et al., 2017). Notably, memory and EBV-specific CD8+ T cells from CD70-deficient individuals failed to up-regulate expression of NKG2D and 2B4 (Abolhassani et al., 2017). Thus, mutations in CD70, and by inference CD27, compromise the ability of CD8+ T cells to be activated by EBV-infected B cells by at least two pathways—directly via CD27 and indirectly by crippling 2B4- and NKG2D-induced cytotoxicity (Fig. 2).

**Converging mechanisms underlying EBV susceptibility in genetically distinct PIDs**

There are several points of intersection in the molecular pathogenesis of severe EBV-induced disease caused by mutations in SH2D1A, ITK, MAGT1, and CD27/CD70, despite these molecules functioning in distinct signaling pathways (Fig. 2). First, phosphorylation of PLCγ1, a substrate of ITK, induces the intracellular Ca2+ flux necessary for TCR-mediated T cell activation (Readinger et al., 2009; Ghosh et al., 2014). Mutations in ITK or MAGT1 largely abolished TCR-induced PLCγ1 activation (Readinger et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011; Linka et al., 2012; Brigida et al., 2016). Thus, ITK can function downstream of the TCR and MAGT1 signaling pathways, thereby explaining common clinical outcomes of mutations in MAGT1 or ITK (Table 1).

Second, a key feature of X-MEN patients is a lack of NKG2D expression on cytolytic cells, which compromises their ability to lyse EBV-infected B cells (Chaigue-Delalande et al., 2013; Dhalla et al., 2015; Patiroglu et al., 2015). In contrast, SAP is required for 2B4- and NTB-A–mediated activation of NK and T cells by B cells (Hislop et al., 2010; Palendira et al., 2011). Thus, reduced expression of NKG2D and 2B4 on CD8+ T cells from CD70-deficient individuals likely contributes to their impaired responsiveness to EBV-infected B cells, akin to that observed for MAGT1- and SAP-deficient CD8+ T cells in X-MEN disease and XLP-1, respectively (Fig. 2). Third, co-engagement of 2B4 and NKG2D on human NK cells yields a greater response than engaging either receptor alone (Fig. 2; Kwon et al., 2016). Such synergy between 2B4 and NKG2D potentially explains previous puzzling observations of why an inability to signal through 2B4 in the absence of SAP could not be compensated by other pathways, including NKG2D, and vice versa. It is likely that co-signaling through NKG2D and SLAM receptors is...
required to induce activation of Ag-specific CD8+ T cells and acquisition of cytotoxic function to lyse EBV-infected B cells. Fourth, it is striking that expression of ligands of CD27, 2B4, NKT cells in these individuals was secondary to EBV infection in EBV-susceptible PIDs from a primary absence of NKT cells. Second, individuals with mutations in RORC completely lack NKT cells and are susceptible to infection with mycobacteria and candida but not EBV (Okada et al., 2015), arguing against a central role for NKT cells in anti-EBV immunity. Despite these observations, it cannot be entirely ruled out that a deficiency of NKT cells may contribute to disease pathogenesis in these PIDs. Indeed, NKT cells are polyfunctional and can influence the behavior of numerous cell types, including DCs, granulocytes, macrophages, B cells, and T cells (Brennan et al., 2013). Thus, it is possible that a lack of NKT cell–mediated activation of these immune cells underlies some of the additional clinical features typical of PIDs resulting from SH2D1A, XIAP, ITK, or CD27 mutations.

Similar arguments have been made for essential roles of NK cells in protection against EBV. Many examples exist of PIDs characterized by compromised development or function of NK cells and overwhelming infections with viruses, including EBV (Orange, 2013), consistent with a role for NK cells in EBV immunity (Chijioke et al., 2013, 2016; Orange, 2013). However, most PIDs characterized by defects in NK cell development or function usually have defects in other cell lineages, such as T cells (Orange, 2013; Palendira and Rickinson, 2015). Thus, susceptibility to infection in these settings probably results from the combined effect of impaired T and NK cell–mediated immunity. Indeed, a recent study reported that the T cell, but not NK cell, compartment is restored in individuals with SCID because of mutations in IL2RG or JAK3 after HSCT, thus rendering them NK cell deficient (Vély et al., 2016). Remarkably, NK cell deficiency in these transplanted individuals did not result in any adverse clinical phenotype with respect to increased frequency of pathogen infection, including with herpesviruses (Vély et al., 2016). These data suggest T cells are predominantly responsible for long-term protection against EBV infection, and NK cells play a complementary, rather than exclusive, role in host defense. This is consistent with the finding that, in XLP-1 patients, somatic reversion of SH2D1A, resulting in expression of functional SAP, is largely restricted to memory CD8+ T cells and is infrequently detected in NK cells (Palendira et al., 2012), inferring that the pressure exerted on the immune system by EBV preferentially drives responses by CD8+ T cells. A predominant role of CD8+ T cells in host defense against EBV is also evident by a lack of severe EBV-induced disease in individuals with mutations in RFXANK, which dramatically reduces expression of MHC class II causing severe CD4+ T cell lymphopenia (Ouederni et al., 2011). Cellular therapy for treatment of EBV-induced disease

Studies of patients with EBV-associated pathologies, including those with specific PIDs, have demonstrated the requirement for intact cellular immunity in controlling EBV.

**The relative importance of innate versus adaptive immune cells in responses against EBV infection**

Detailed studies of PIDs allow identification of redundant and nonredundant roles of particular cell types in anti-EBV immunity. A common feature of individuals with mutations in SH2D1A, XIAP, ITK, and CD27 is a paucity of NKT cells (Nichols et al., 2005; Pasquier et al., 2005; Rigaud et al., 2006; Huck et al., 2009; Stepsenny et al., 2011; Salzer et al., 2013; Ghosh et al., 2014; Serwas et al., 2014). This, together with additional experimental evidence (Chung et al., 2013), suggests that NKT cells may play a fundamental role in anti-EBV immunity. However, although this is a tempting hypothesis, it has important caveats. First, assessment of EBV-naive XIAP-deficient patients revealed normal frequencies of NKT cells, with studies indicating that the loss of NKT cells in these individuals was secondary to EBV infection (Filipovich et al., 2010; Marsh et al., 2010; Speckmann et al., 2013). Thus, although a diminution in the frequency of NKT cells after EBV infection may compromise host defense, EBV-induced disease in XLP-2 does not result per se from a primary absence of NKT cells. Second, individuals with mutations in RORC completely lack NKT cells and are susceptible to infection with mycobacteria and candida but not EBV (Okada et al., 2015), arguing against a central role for NKT cells in anti-EBV immunity. Despite these observations, it cannot be entirely ruled out that a deficiency of NKT cells may contribute to disease pathogenesis in these PIDs. Indeed, NKT cells are polyfunctional and can influence the behavior of numerous cell types, including DCs, granulocytes, macrophages, B cells, and T cells (Brennan et al., 2013). Thus, it is possible that a lack of NKT cell–mediated activation of these immune cells underlies some of the additional clinical features typical of PIDs resulting from SH2D1A, XIAP, ITK, or CD27 mutations.
has facilitated the development of treatment protocols using EBV-targeted cellular therapy.

PTLD. Allogeneic HSCT and solid organ transplantation (SOT) can treat a myriad of human conditions. However, the success of these treatments can be curtailed by susceptibility of transplant recipients to viral infections after immunosuppression (Moss and Rickinson, 2005). Recipients are particularly vulnerable to complications associated with primary EBV infection or reactivation of latent infection, either arising naturally or transmitted from the donor graft (Green, 2013). During immunosuppression, surveillance of EBV-infected B cells is compromised resulting in EBV viremia and uncontrolled lymphoproliferation. This manifests as PTLD, a potentially life-threatening complication in ∼1–30% of transplant recipients (Rooney et al., 1995, 1998; Lucas et al., 1998; Gustafsson et al., 2000; Nalesnik, 2002; van Esser et al., 2002).

EBV-induced PTLD can be treated by combination chemotherapy (Heslop, 2009) or B cell depletion (Kuehlne et al., 2000; van Esser et al., 2002). However, because most PTLD lesions are EBV+ (Bollard and Heslop, 2016), cellular immunotherapy targeting EBV Ags expressed by infected B cells has become an attractive strategy for prophylaxis and treatment (Fig. 3). Indeed, >75% of PTLD patients undergoing adoptive cellular therapy using EBV-specific CD8+ T cells achieved complete remission (Heslop et al., 1996, 2010; Gustafsson et al., 2000; Doubrovina et al., 2012) including some with rituximab-resistant disease (Tables 2 and 3; Comoli et al., 2007). Furthermore, when administered prophylactically, none of the patients developed PTLD compared with 11.5% of HSCT recipients not receiving T cell immunotherapy (Heslop et al., 2010). Thus, adoptive cell therapy is a viable means of controlling EBV-driven lymphoproliferation in immunosuppressed individuals.

Combining HSCT and adoptive cellular therapy in PIDs. HSCT can cure ∼70–90% of patients with PIDs (Slatter and Cant, 2011). However, HSCT often fails to provide rapid protection against viral infections (Moss and Rickinson, 2005). For this reason, HSCT has been combined with adoptive cellular therapy to enhance immune-mediated control of infection by EBV and other viruses. A retrospective study of 36 high-risk PID patients who received prophylactic virus-specific T cells to treat viral infections either before or after HSCT found that 81% remained free of EBV, CMV, and adenovirus. Although the remaining 19% exhibited reactivation of EBV or

Table 2. Prophylaxis for EBV-induced PTLD (or treatment for increased EBV DNA levels)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EBV-specific T cell activator</th>
<th>T cell source</th>
<th>Prophylaxis for</th>
<th>EBV-specific pathology</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LCL</td>
<td>Transplant donor derived</td>
<td>Post-HSCT PTLD</td>
<td>0/101 developed PTLD</td>
<td>Rooney et al., 1995, 1998; Heslop et al., 1996, 2010; Gustafsson et al., 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allogeneic</td>
<td>Post-HSCT PTLD</td>
<td>5/6 exhibited a decrease in EBV DNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/4 decrease in EBV DNA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCS + LCLs transduced with ADV</td>
<td>Transplant donor derived</td>
<td>Post-HSCT PTLD</td>
<td>0/1 showed evidence of viral activation (EBV, CMV, ADV)</td>
<td>Comoli et al., 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCS + LCLs transduced with ADV + CMV pp65</td>
<td>Transplant donor derived</td>
<td>Post-HSCT PTLD</td>
<td>0/2 showed evidence of viral activation (EBV, CMV, ADV)</td>
<td>Naik et al., 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral Ags from EBV, CMV, ADV</td>
<td>Transplant donor derived</td>
<td>Post-HSCT PTLD</td>
<td>0/2 showed evidence of viral activation (EBV, CMV, ADV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations used: ADV, adenovirus.
EBV infection is a remarkable example of host–pathogen evolution as the majority of the adult population has been exposed to EBV, yet only a minor proportion develops severe disease. Consequently, EBV infection can manifest in a variety of ways: asymptomatic/mild infection, IM, HLH, or a variety of malignancies. These clinical features are most prominent in immunocompromised individuals resulting from coincident infections, iatrogenic therapies, or gene mutations. Studies of monogenic immunodeficient patients with a predisposition to EBV-induced disease have been extremely instructive in revealing the cellular, biochemical, and molecular requirements for robust immunity against EBV infection. These analyses have also highlighted redundancies in immune control of EBV. Exploiting such findings, combined with further investigation into the etiology of EBV infection, immunity, and disease, will pave the way for ongoing development of improved methodologies for treating EBV-induced disease, such as adoptive cellular therapy, and the realization of a successful prophylactic vaccine. The study of EBV-induced disease in PIDs is a stark illustration of how insights from basic and clinical investigations of rare individuals can yield substantial outcomes for the treatment of disease resulting from a persistent virus in the general population.

Conclusions and perspectives
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