Adenosine signaling promotes hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell emergence
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Hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) emerge from aortic endothelium via the endothelial-to-hematopoietic transition (EHT). The molecular mechanisms that initiate and regulate EHT remain poorly understood. Here, we show that adenosine signaling regulates hematopoietic stem and progenitor cell (HSPC) development in zebrafish embryos. The adenosine receptor A2b is expressed in the vascular endothelium before HSPC emergence. Elevated adenosine levels increased runx1+/cmyb+ HSPCs in the dorsal aorta, whereas blocking the adenosine pathway decreased HSPCs. Knockdown of A2b adenosine receptor disrupted scl+ hemogenic vascular endothelium and the subsequent EHT process. A2b adenosine receptor activation induced CXCL8 via CAMP–protein kinase A (PKA) and mediated hematopoiesis. We further show that adenosine increased multipotent progenitors in a mouse embryonic stem cell colony-forming assay and in embryonic day 10.5 aorta–gonad–mesonephros explants. Our results demonstrate that adenosine signaling plays an evolutionary conserved role in the first steps of HSPC formation in vertebrates.
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gata2, and sox17 also regulate different steps in this developmental transition (Tsai et al., 1994; Porcher et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2007). Hematopoietic transcription factors are activated by extrinsic signals. Growth factors and morphogens such as bone morphogenetic protein 4 (BMP4), Notch, Hedgehog, FGF, Wnt, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), from the surrounding endothelial or mesenchymal cells, control the hematopoietic program (for review see Kaimakis et al. [2013]).

One group of factors that may participate in the induction of HSCs is purinergic signals. Purines (such as adenosine, ADP, and ATP) exhibit specific extracellular signaling activity in the regulation of many diverse functions including autoregulation of blood flow, cell proliferation and differentiation, and stem cell regeneration (Glaser et al., 2012; Rossi et al., 2012). Many of these functions act through cell surface receptors (Rossi et al., 2012). Extracellular adenosine is hydrolyzed from ATP by ectonucleotidases, and its level is elevated as oxygen supply decreases or energy demand increases (Haskó et al., 2008). Adenosine acts at four distinct G-protein–coupled receptors (A1 and A2a adenylyl cyclase–inhibitory and A2b and A3 adenylyl cyclase–stimulatory receptors; for review see Koupenova et al. [2012]) and has been shown to regulate early development, such as modulating embryo cardiac function (Tamplin et al., 2015). In this screen, wild-type embryos were incubated with individual chemicals from 48 h postfertilization (hpf) to 72 hpf and examined at 72 hpf for alterations of runx1+/cmyb+ HSPCs in the CHT. Approximately 2,400 compounds were screened, and three identified chemicals that increased runx1+/cmyb+ cells in the CHT were related to the adenosine signaling pathway: [2-chloro-N6–(3-iodobenzyl)adenosine–5′–N–methylcarboxamidé] (Chloro-IB-MECA), (2S)–N6–[2–endo-Norbornyl]adenosine ((S)–ENBA; adenosine receptor agonists), and erythro-9–(2–Hydroxy-3–nonyl)adenine (EHNA) hydrochloride (an adenosine deaminase inhibitor). Follow-up live-imaging experiments revealed that the increased HSPCs in the CHT after adenosine treatment came from more production in the AGM (not depicted). This observation prompted us to examine the role of adenosine in AGM HSPC formation.

We treated wild-type embryos with different adenosine analogues (including the screen hits) and examined their effect on HSC development in the ventral wall of dorsal aorta. Among the chemicals tested, the most potent enhancer is 5′–N–ethylcarboxamidoadenosine (NECA), a potent adenosine receptor agonist, with preference toward A2a-type adenosine receptors. As shown in Fig. 1, NECA significantly increased runx1+/cmyb expression (Fig. 1, A–B′). Conversely, CGS15943, a potent adenosine receptor nonselective antagonist, decreased runx1+/cmyb+ HSPCs (Fig. 1, C and C′). The effect of these chemicals on hematopoiesis was dose dependent and most potent when continuously treating the embryos from early somitogenesis (5-somite stage) to 36 hpf. It is known that adenosine signaling plays a role in cardiovascular function (Haskó et al., 2008), so we examined whether exposure to adenosine analogues altered vascular formation or blood circulation, but they appeared normal at the stages we studied (Fig. 1, E′–G′; and not depicted).

To examine whether the effect of adenosine is to increase the expression of HSPC genes or the number of HSPCs, we added an adenosine receptor agonist and antagonist to Tg(cmyb: eGFP; flk1:mcherry) embryos, in which HSCs and progenitors are marked by coexpression of both fluorescent proteins (Yue et al., 2012). We found that the number of HSPCs in these embryos was significantly increased after NECA treatment (4.4 ± 0.4/somite vs. 3.6 ± 0.2/somite [con]) and significantly decreased after CGS15943 exposure (3.0 ± 0.2/somite; Fig. 1, D–H). These data indicate that adenosine increases HSPC numbers rather than acting on gene expression alone. Therefore, adenosine regulates definitive hematopoiesis during development, and likely via an effect on an adenosine receptor.

Adenosine–regulated HSPC formation is primarily through A2b adenosine receptor

Adenosine signaling exerts its effect by activating G protein–coupled receptors on target cells. Four adenosine receptors,
A1, A2a, A2b, and A3, have been identified. Each receptor has a distinct cellular and tissue distribution and modulates different biological functions (Haskó et al., 2008). We focused on A2a and A2b adenosine receptors (denoted here as A2a and A2b) because of their enrichment in endothelial and hematopoietic cells (Adair, 2005; Rossi et al., 2012) and because of the

Figure 1. An adenosine analogue regulates definitive hematopoiesis. (A–C') In situ hybridization of runx1/cmyb at 36 hpf. Representative images of wild-type embryos treated with DMSO (A and A'), adenosine receptor agonist NECA (20 µM; B and B'), and antagonist (10 µM CGS15943; C and C'). Prime panels depict the AGM region of the embryos in A–C (red arrows). The numbers at the right corner (here and following) indicate embryos with altered HSPC staining over the total number of embryos examined. (D) Bright view of Tg(cmyb:GFP, flk1:mcherry) embryos. The square indicates the live-imaging position. (E–G') Confocal images of double-transgenic embryos at 36 hpf. The embryos were treated with DMSO (E and E'), NECA (F and F'), or CGS15943 (G and G'). The white arrows point to the double-positive HSPCs in the aorta region. The blue arrowheads point to the multiciliate cells of the pronephros. Dashed lines indicate the somite boundaries. (H) Quantification of the number of HSPCs per somite in Tg(cmyb:GFP, flk1:mcherry) embryos at 36 hpf. The results are presented as mean ± SE (Student's t test: *, P < 0.05; n = 5–8 per group). Bars, 100 µm.
During embryo development, A2b is predominantly expressed in the vasculature within the AGM and CHT (Fig. 2, A and B), which is consistent with the previous findings (Boehmler et al., 2009). Real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) above-described effect of NECA (an A2-type adenosine receptor agonist). We designed morpholinos (MOs) to knock down the two receptors and identified A2b as an important player in HSC development.

Figure 2. Adenosine functions through A2b to regulate HSPC formation. (A and B) In situ hybridization of A2b at 24 hpf (A) and 32 hpf (B). A2b is expressed in the vasculature of the AGM (red arrowheads) and the CHT (blue arrowheads). Insets are enlarged images of the AGM. (C) qPCR analysis of A2b expression in flk:GFP+ FACS-sorted cells compared with flk:GFP− cells at 23, 28, and 32 hpf. Results are shown as fold change of GFP+ to GFP− cells and normalized to expression of β-actin mRNA (Student’s t test; *, P < 0.05; n = 3 samples). (D) qPCR analysis of A2b expression in flk:GFP− cells from control or sih morphant embryos at the indicated stages: 16 and 23 hpf (before circulation) and 28 hpf (after circulation starts). Results are shown as fold change relative to A2b level at 16 hpf and normalized to expression of β-actin mRNA (Student’s t test; *, P < 0.05; n = 3 samples). (E) RT-PCR on uninjected or A2b splicing MO (A2b SP MO, here and following)-injected embryos with A2b- or actin-specific primers. Note the absence of correctly spliced A2b transcript after MO injection. (F–I) Expression of runx1/cmyb at 36 hpf in the AGM of control embryos (F), embryos injected with A2b MO (G), and hA2b mRNA–injected (H) and A2b MO- and hA2b mRNA–co-injected embryos (I). The numbers in each panel are combined from multiple experiments. (J and K) Expression of runx1/cmyb at 36 hpf in control embryos (J) and embryos treated with 10 µM BAY 60-6583 (K). (L–O) Expression of runx1/cmyb at 36 hpf in the AGM of control embryos (L) and A2b SP MO–injected (M), zA2b mRNA–injected (N), and A2b, SP MO– and zA2b mRNA–co-injected embryos (O). (P–R) Confocal images of Tg(cmyb:GFP; flk1:mcherry) embryos at 36 hpf. Control embryos (P and P′), embryos injected with A2b MO (Q and Q′), and embryos treated with 10 µM BAY 60-6583 (R and R′) are shown. White arrowheads point to the pronephros. White dashed lines mark somite boundaries. (S) Quantification of the experiments in G and I. The results are presented as the mean percentage of embryos with restored runx1/cmyb staining as in I ± SE (Student’s t test: *, P < 0.05; n = 3 independent experiments, 20–25 embryos per experiment). (T) Quantification of number of HSPCs per somite in Tg(cmyb:GFP; flk1:mcherry) embryos. The results are presented as mean ± SE (Student’s t test: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; n = 5–8 embryos per group). Bars, 100 µm.
analysis confirmed that A_{2b} is enriched in FACS-sorted flk1:GFP+ endothelial cells from 20-somite stage to 40 hpf (Fig. 2 C and not depicted). We found that A_{2b} expression in endothelial cells is elevated shortly before the circulation starts and HSPCs emerge and that A_{2b} expression is strongly reduced in embryos injected with silent heart (shh) MOs that lack a heartbeat and blood circulation (Fig. 2 D). These results suggest that circulation is required to maintain A_{2b} expression, but not for induction of expression.

We used a translation-blocking MO and a splice MO to block the A_{2b} receptor. We confirmed that the splicing MO inhibits A_{2b} splicing (Fig. 2 E). Knockdown of A_{2b}, using either MO did not affect the general development of the embryos (not depicted) but caused a strong reduction of runx1/cmyb in the AGM (Fig. 2, F, G, L, and M). Injection of zebrafish A_{2b} mRNA rescued the expression of runx1/cmyb in A_{2b} splicing MO-injected embryos (Fig. 2, N and O), and injection of human A_{2b} mRNA partially rescued runx1/cmyb in the embryos injected with A_{2b} translation-blocking MO (Fig. 2, H, I, and S), which supports the specificity of MO targeting. In addition, we injected A_{2b} MO into p53^{-/-} mutant embryos to attenuate the off-target effect (Robu et al., 2007), and we saw similar phenotypes in p53 mutant embryos (not depicted), which also supports that the observed HSPC defects are caused by specific loss of A_{2b} function. The robust phenotype after blocking A_{2b} function prompted us to examine the effect of an A_{2b} receptor selective agonist (BAY 60-6583; Koupenova et al., 2012) in zebrafish embryos. As expected, BAY 60-6583 significantly increased the expression of runx1/cmyb (Fig. 2, J and K).

Consistent with the runx1/cmyb staining, knockdown of A_{2b} in Tg(cmyb:GFP, flk1:mcherry) reduced the double fluorescently labeled HSPCs (1.1 ± 0.2/somite vs. 3.6 ± 0.6/somite [conj]). BAY 60-6583 treatment increased the number of HSPCs in the Tg(cmyb:GFP, flk1:mcherry) embryos (4.6 ± 0.3/somite; Fig. 2, P–R and T). Alterations of adenosine signaling with either treatment did not have an effect on the GFP-labeled cells in the pronephros (Fig. 2, P–R).

Compared with cmyb, runx1 is more specifically expressed in nascent HSCs. We examined embryos using runx1 probe alone and found that knockdown of A_{2b} strongly reduced runx1+ cells (Fig. 3, A and B). We also studied hematopoiesis and subsequent lineage differentiation at later time points after A_{2b} inhibition. A_{2b} morphants harbored runx1+/cmyb+ HSPCs in the CHT at 60 hpf (Fig. 3, C and D), reduced cmyb+ multipotent progenitor cells in the CHT at 4.5 d postfertilization (dpf; Fig. 3, E and F), and reduced rag1+ thymic T cells (Fig. 3, G and H). Together, our results indicate that A_{2b} regulates HSC development.

To determine the specificity of loss of A_{2b}-induced HSPC defects, we examined the development of other tissues. A_{2b} morphant embryos demonstrated normal heart beating and circulation (not depicted). Those embryos developed normal vasculature differentiation, as shown by the normal expression of flk1:mcherry (Fig. 2, P’–Q’), the vessel marker flk1, the artery marker EphB2, and the normal intersegment vessels (flk1 and flk1:mcherry), pronephros (cd11h17), and somite (myoD; Fig. 3, I–P). In addition, A_{2b} MO–injected embryos showed normal primitive erythroid cells (gata1) and angioblasts (sd; Fig. 3, Q–T). The transient expression of cmyb and runx1 in early somite-stage embryos was not affected (Fig. 3, U–X). These results support that the defects in HSPC specification in the absence of A_{2b} are highly specific, not caused by deficits from the nearby tissues.

**Adenosine promotes hematopoietic development in mESC culture and in mouse AGM explant**

To test whether adenosine signaling would have an effect on mammalian hematopoiesis, we assessed the effect of adenosine on the development of mouse HSPC populations. We first used an mESC hematopoietic differentiation system, an accessible in vitro model which recapitulates mouse embryonic hematopoiesis (Kim et al., 2013). In this model, mESCs are aggregated into embryoid bodies (EBs) and differentiated into primitive streak-like mesoderms on day 2, hemangioblasts on day 3.25, and hematopoietic precursors after day 5. A_{2b} receptor is present between days 0 and 6 of EB differentiation (not depicted). Analysis of gene expression data in sorted populations from day 6 EBs (Kim et al., 2013) showed that A_{2b} is high in the VE-cadherin ‘CD41’ endothelial cells and low in the CD41+ populations (Fig. 4 A), indicating that A_{2b} likely regulates VE-cadherin+ cell intermediates, a fraction from which HSCs emerge. Methylcellulose-based colony-forming assay was performed to measure both hematopoietic progenitor types and numbers. Addition of A_{2b} selective agonist BAY 60-6583 to EBs between days 4 and 6 significantly increased the number of hematopoietic colonies, including definitive erythroid (CFU-E), granulocyte/monocyte (CFU-GM), and multipotent granulocyte/erythrocyte/macrophage (CFU-E/GM/GEMM) colonies, at a concentration of 0.5–2.5 µM (Fig. 4 B). Consistently, qPCR analysis revealed that many hematopoietic genes, including cd1, b2m2, runx1, gata1, b611, and b1major (adult globin), were up-regulated on day 6 after BAY 60-6583 treatment (Fig. 4 C). In contrast, BAY 60-6583 did not increase the expression of the mesoderm marker Cerberus, the expression of endothelial gene fli1 (Fig. 4 D). Interestingly, the expression of flk1 was decreased after BAY 60-6583 treatment (Fig. 4 D), consistent with the previous study showing the antagonist effect of runx1 on flk1 (Swiers et al., 2013). These data support a positive role of adenosine and its A_{2b} receptor in regulating mESC hematopoietic differentiation.

Next, we examined whether BAY 60-6583 promotes HSCs in the mouse AGM. A recent study performed expression profiling of the AGM HE at the onset of circulation (Swiers et al., 2013). We analyzed the microarray data and found that A_{2b} expression is high in HE and low in non-HE and hematopoietic progenitors (Fig. 4 E), similar to A_{2b} expression from day 6 EBs. We confirmed A_{2b} expression in VE-Cadherin+ cells from the E10.5 AGM by RT–PCR (Fig. 4 F).

To test the effect of adenosine signaling on AGM HSPCs, AGM explants from E10.5 embryos were cultured with DMSO or BAY 60-6583, and HSC activity was measured by CFU
culture (CFU-C) assay. As shown in Fig. 4 G, the addition of BAY 60-6583 significantly increased the numbers of erythroid, granulocyte/macrophage (GM), and multipotent progenitor (GEMM) colonies. Thus, adenosine promotes AGM HSCs in mouse.

Adenosine is required for the EHT

It is known that HSCs originate from HE via EHT. Recent time-lapse imaging studies have directly captured the emergence of HSPCs from flk1:GFP<sup>+</sup> aortic endothelial cells in live zebrafish embryos (Bertrand et al., 2010; Kissa and Herbomel, 2010). To examine whether adenosine regulates the EHT, we injected A<sub>2b</sub> MO into Tg(flk1:GFP) embryos and used time-lapse imaging to track the formation of HSPCs between 32 and 60 hpf, as previously described (Kissa and Herbomel, 2010). As shown in Fig. 5 and Videos 1 and 2, in A<sub>2b</sub>-deficient embryos, flk1:GFP<sup>+</sup> endothelial cells initiated bending, and sometimes contraction, normally, albeit more rarely than in control embryos. We noticed that as the flk1:GFP<sup>+</sup> cells rounded up to become HSCs, a subset of these cells burst into small cellular pieces (Fig. 5, A–C). The bursting is very similar to the events seen in runx1<sup>-</sup>-deficient embryos, in which the EHT event of flk1:GFP<sup>+</sup> cells abort into cell death (Kissa and Herbomel, 2010). These results suggested that adenosine signaling is required to achieve successful EHT.

To explore the relationship between adenosine signaling and runx1, we used an inducible runx1<sup>Tg</sup> line (hsp70:runx1). After heat shock induction, runx1 was expressed throughout the embryo (Fig. 5 D) and slightly increased the definitive hematopoietic marker cmyb in control embryos (Fig. 5, E and F). In A<sub>2b</sub>-
Adenosine signaling regulates *scl*+ hemogenic vascular endothelium

The abortive EHT of *flk1:GFP* cells could result from a lack of hemogenic potential in these cells. We then examined whether adenosine regulates HE specification, the onset of EHT. The master hematopoietic transcriptional regulator *sd* is critical for establishing the hemopoietic potential in aorta endothelial cells and plays its role before *numb* during definitive hematopoiesis. In zebrafish, an N-terminal truncated *sd*-isoform is essential for HSPC emergence. The *sd* reporter line Tg(*sdβ*:

MO-injected embryos, overexpression of *numb* showed a partial, but statistically significant rescue of *numb* staining (Fig. 5, G–I). Thus, overexpressing *numb* rescued HSPC formation in the absence of *A2b*, suggesting that *numb* acts downstream of *A2b*.

**Figure 4.** Treatment with an *A2b* receptor agonist promotes hematopoietic development in mESC culture and AGM explants. (A) Microarray gene expression analysis of *A2b*, *scl*, and *runx1* in sorted VE-cadherin +CD41+, VE-cadherin +CD41+, and VE-cadherin +CD41− cells from day 6 EBs. (B) CFUs were measured 7 d after culture in M3434 of day 6 whole EBs treated with DMSO or BAY 60-6583 (0.5 µM and 2.5 µM; Student’s *t* test: *, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; *n* = 3–5 per group). (C) qPCR analysis of hematopoietic genes (*scl*, *lmo2*, *gata1*, *bH1*, *bMajor*, *runx1*, and *cmyb*) measured in day 6 whole EBs treated with DMSO or 0.5 µM BAY 60-6583 (Student’s *t* test: *, *P* < 0.05; *n* = 3 per group). (D) qPCR analysis of *flk1*, *cerberus*, and *flk1* measured in day 6 whole EBs treated with DMSO or 0.5 µM BAY 60-6583 (Student’s *t* test: *, *P* < 0.05; *n* = 3 per group). (E) Microarray gene expression analysis of selected genes in nonhemogenic endothelial cells (EC), HE, and hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPC) from Affymetrix microarrays under GEO accession no. GSE52075 (Swiers et al., 2013; *n* = 3). (F) Expression of *A2b* and GADPH genes by RT-PCR in VE-cadherin + cells purified from the E10.5 AGM. (G) CFU-C assay from E10.5 AGM explants. Numbers are per embryo (Student’s *t* test: *, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; *n* = 4 per group). The results are presented as mean ± SE.
Figure 5. Adenosine signaling is required for EHT in zebrafish. (A and B) Still images from in vivo time-lapse confocal imaging of Tg(flk:GFP) embryos between 32 and 48 hpf. Embryos are either uninjected (A) or injected with A_{2b} MO (B). Numbers indicate recording time in hours and minutes. Arrows in A point to three aorta cells undergoing successful EHT. Cells 2a and 2b are the daughter cells of cell 2. The two dorsal aorta cells 1\textsuperscript{a} and 2\textsuperscript{a} in B initiate EHT and burst into pieces (marked by circles). (C) Quantification of the number of flk:GFP\textsuperscript{+} cells per somite undergoing burst during the 16-h time-lapse period. The results are presented as mean ± SE (Student's t test: **, P < 0.01; n = 6–8 embryos per group). (D) Tg(hsp70:runx1) embryos stained for runx1 without heat shock (HS) induction or after heat shock induction. (E–H) Expression of cmyb at 36 hpf in Tg(hsp70:runx1) embryos. Embryos were either uninjected (E and F) or injected with A_{2b} MO (G and H). Embryos either received no heat shock treatment (E and G) or received heat shock induction (F and H).
Adenosine regulates CXCL8 production and mediates hematopoiesis via CXCL8

In many cell types, adenosine promotes the production of cytokines, chemokines, and growth factors and often exerts its cellular effects through or in association with these factors (Adair, 2005). In vascular endothelial cells, A2b activation modulates the production of several angiocrine factors (Feoktistov et al., 2002). One of the major factors is CXCL8 (also known as IL-8). CXCL8 is known as a potent stem cell–mobilizing agent (Laterveer et al., 1995), and it also increases the proliferation of hematopoietic progenitor cells in vitro (Hermouet et al., 2000). Human aortic endothelial cells (HAECs) express the A2b receptor (Iwamoto et al., 1994), and we confirmed A2b expression by RT-PCR (not depicted). We treated HAECs with BAY 60–6583, which increased CXCL8 protein production (Fig. 7 A). To demonstrate that A2b regulates CXCL8 in zebrafish embryos, we examined cxcl8 transcripts. Knockdown of A2b strongly decreased cxcl8 and runx1 expression in flk1:GFP+ endothelial cells, but did not affect the expression of endothelial marker flk1 (Fig. 7 B). We next examined the function of CXCL8 in HSC development. Inhibition of cxcl8 with MO (Stoll et al., 2011) in zebrafish embryos strongly reduced runx1/cmyb+ HSPCs in the AGM (Fig. 7, C–F) and the CHT (Fig. 7, I and J). Loss of CXCL8 did not have an effect on the development of the dorsal aorta at the time when HSPCs start to emerge (Fig. 7, K and L) and did not interfere with primitive hematopoiesis (not depicted), which supports a specific role of cxcl8 in HSPC formation. In addition, we generated a CXCL8 mutation by a transcription activator–like effector nuclease (TALEN) approach. This mutation...
Adenosine regulates HSC formation via cAMP–PKA

Adenosine A2b receptor is commonly coupled to adenylyl cyclase via G protein subunit Gs and increases intracellular cAMP that activates PKA (Fredholm, 2007). We treated HAECs with BAY 60-6583 and performed chemiluminescence assay for cAMP activity. BAY 60-6583 induced cAMP production (Fig. 8 A). In addition, treatment of HAECs with H89 reduced BAY 60-6583–induced CXCL8 production (Fig. 8 B). To examine the role of cAMP in A2b-mediated hematopoietic induction in embryos, we applied H89 to zebrafish embryos. H89 at 1 µM decreased runx1+/cmyb+ HSPCs in the wild-type embryos and abolished the enhancement effect of NECA on leads to the deletion of two amino acids in the conserved CXC domain (Fig. 7 M; Fernandez and Lolis, 2002), which has been shown to be important for its tertiary structure. We found that the HSPC staining is reduced in CXCL8 mutant embryos compared with those in control siblings (Fig. 7, N and O), in accordance with the defects we observed in CXCL8 MO knockdown embryos. Similar to loss of A2b, loss of CXCL8 also reduced scl+/hemogenic vascular endothelium (Fig. 7, P–Q). More importantly, cxcl8 mRNA restored HSCs and progenitors in the embryos that lack the A2b (Fig. 7, G and H). These results suggest that adenosine signaling regulates CXCL8 production to mediate HSPC development.

Figure 7. Adenosine mediates HSPC development by regulating CXCL8. (A) BAY 60-6583 induces CXCL8 secretion in HAECs (Student’s t test: *, P < 0.05; n = 3). (B) Relative mRNA expression of cxcl8, runx1, and flk1 in FACS-sorted flk1:GFP* cells in A2b MO–injected compared with control MO–injected embryos (Student’s t test: **, P < 0.01; n = 3). (C–H) Expression of runx1/cmyb in the CHT of control embryos or embryos injected with cxcl8 MO (D), injected with cxcl8 mRNA (E), co-injected with cxcl8 MO and cxcl8 mRNA (F), injected with A2b MO (G), and co-injected with A2b MO and cxcl8 mRNA (H) are shown. (I and J) Expression of cxcl8/mRNA in the CHT of control embryos and CXCL8 mutant embryos. (K and L) Control or cxcl8 MO–injected embryos stained for dorsal aorta (ephrinB2). (M) A CXCL8 TALEN mutation leads to the deletion of two amino acids (in yellow) in the conserved CXC domain. (N and O) Expression of cmyb at 36 hpf in control sibling embryos and CXCL8 mutant embryos. (P–Q) Confocal imaging of Tg(sclβ:GFP, flk1:mCherry) embryos at 30 hpf. Control embryos (P and P') and embryos injected with cxcl8 MO (Q and Q') are shown. Arrowheads indicate the hemogenic endothelial cells marked by sclβ.GFP*. Dashed lines mark the somite boundaries. (R) Summary of the number of sclβ.GFP* hemogenic endothelial cells per somite (Student’s t test: **, P < 0.01; n = 5 per group). The results are presented as mean ± SE. Bars, 100 µm.
HSPCs (Fig. 8, C–F). We also applied forskolin, an activator of adenyl cyclase commonly used to raise levels of cAMP, to A$_{2b}$ MO–injected embryos. At 1 µM, forskolin increased runx1/cmyb staining in the wild-type embryos and partially restored runx1+/cmyb+ HSPCs in A$_{2b}$-deficient embryos (Fig. 8, G–J). In addition, inhibition of PKA activity with H89 reduced runx1/cmyb+ HSPCs in A$_{2b}$MO–injected embryos and partially restored runx1+/cmyb+ HSPCs in A$_{2b}$-deficient embryos (Fig. 8, K–L). Confocal imaging of Tg(scl:td2eGFP; flk1: mcherry) embryos at 30 hpf. Embryos treated with DMSO (K and K') or 1 µM H89 (L and L') are shown. Arrowheads indicate the hemogenic endothelial cells marked by scl:GFP. Dashed lines mark the somite boundaries. (M) Summary of the number of scl:GFP–hemogenic endothelial cells per somite (Student’s t test: *, P < 0.05; n = 5 per group). The results are presented as mean ± SE. Bars, 100 µm.

Figure 8. Adenosine-regulated HSPC emergence is a cAMP–PKA-dependent pathway. (A) cAMP luminescence assay in HAECs (Student’s t test: *, P < 0.05; n = 3). (B) H89 inhibited CXCL8 level induced by BAY 60–6583 in HAECs (Student’s t test: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; n = 3). (C–F) Expression of runx1/cmyb at 36 hpf. Wild-type embryos were treated with DMSO (C), 20 µM NECA (D), or 1 µM H89 (E) or co-treated with NECA and H89 (F) from 5-somite to 36 hpf. (G–J) Expression of runx1/cmyb at 36 hpf. Uninjected wild-type embryos treated with DMSO (G) or 1 µM forskolin (H) and embryos injected with A$_{2b}$ MO treated with DMSO (I) and forskolin (J) are shown. (K–L) Confocal imaging of Tg(scl:β-gal2eGFP; flk1: mcherry) embryos at 30 hpf. Embryos treated with DMSO (K and K') or 1 µM H89 (L and L') are shown. Arrowheads indicate the hemogenic endothelial cells marked by scl:GFP. Dashed lines mark the somite boundaries. (M) Summary of the number of scl:GFP–hemogenic endothelial cells per somite (Student’s t test: *, P < 0.05; n = 5 per group). The results are presented as mean ± SE. Bars, 100 µm.
turn, CXCL8 promotes the emergence of HSPCs from the endothelium that is mediated by a transcriptional program including sel and nux1.

**DISCUSSION**

Adenosine signaling via its receptors has been studied in tissue regeneration. For example, adenosine promotes the regeneration of pancreatic β cells (Andersson et al., 2012). In the hematopoietic system, adenosine enhances hematopoietic cell repair in adult mice after irradiation (Pospisil et al., 1993). Although components of adenosine signaling are expressed in the early embryo (Massé and Dale, 2012), the role of adenosine during embryogenesis, particularly at progenitor/stem cell development, has not been characterized previously. Our work has discovered an unrecognized role of adenosine in regulating HSPC development. We demonstrate that adenosine promotes HSPC emergence in zebrafish embryos and promotes hematopoietic differentiation in mESCs and in E10.5 AGM explants.

In the developing embryo, HSPCs derive from HE, a crucial intermediate population generated from endothelial cells. However, the signaling pathways that induce the hemogenic commitment of endothelial cells remain largely unknown. sel is one of the early molecular determinants for HE (Lancrin et al., 2009). But little is known regarding how an sel event is regulated. Our experiments demonstrate that adenosine A$_2b$ is expressed in vascular endothelial cells in zebrafish embryos and in VE-cadherin$^+/+$/+23runx1-GFP$^+$ HE in the mouse AGM. Adenosine signaling modulates sel-marked HE, and ectopic expression of sel partially rescues HSPC development in the absence of A$_{2b}$. These findings support that adenosine through A$_{2b}$ regulates sel-mediated hematopoietic commitment from aortic endothelium.

It is well known that adenosine stimulates the production of multiple growth factors and cytokines (Feoktistov and Biaggioni, 2011). In the AGM, endothelial cells are a probable source of the environmental factors that could affect HSPC specification. We show that adenosine regulates CXCL8 production in the vascular endothelial cells. CXCL8 is often associated with neutrophil chemotaxis (Bagnoli and Clark-Lewis, 1992). It also has other cellular functions such as inducing rapid mobilization of HSCs in rodents (Laterveer et al., 1995). But its role during developmental hematopoiesis remains unexplored. We demonstrate that CXCL8 regulates sel$^+$ HE and subsequent HSPC development during embryogenesis, similar to adenosine A$_{2b}$. Moreover, cxcl8 restores HSPC formation in A$_{2b}$-deficient embryos. Although adenosine may induce hematopoiesis through additional growth factors, our data suggest that adenosine acts at least partly through stimulating CXCL8 to promote HE and HSPC specification.

In addition, we show that cAMP–PKA is a downstream effector of adenosine signaling, and it underlies adenosine-induced CXCL8 production in endothelial cells, which is consistent with a previous report that the CXCL8 gene promoter has a cAMP-responsive element (CRE)–like site (Iourgenko et al., 2003). An accompanying study in this issue by Kim et al. demonstrated that shear stress activates the PKA–CREB pathway in VE-cadherin$^+$ cells, which promotes AGM HSC emergence. Recent studies indicate that shear stress can lead to the release of ATP, which is quickly converted to adenosine (Yegutkin et al., 2000; Wen et al., 2011). In our study, we found that the expression of A$_{2b}$ in vascular endothelial cells is maintained by blood flow. Therefore, it is possible that during HSC development, shear stress induces adenosine release, and its effect via A$_{2b}$ contributes to the activation of the cAMP–PKA pathway in endothelial cells. We propose that cAMP–PKA–CREB regulates the production of growth factors and cytokines, which in turn promotes HSPC development controlled by a transcriptional program. It is also possible that cAMP–PKA–CREB may directly regulate sel and CXCL8 and other factors may act to reinforce the transcriptional program. In any case, our experiments together highlight the critical role of the cAMP–PKA signaling pathway during vertebrate HSPC emergence.

It remains unknown how CXCL8 regulates HSPC emergence. Since it has been suggested that CXCL8 enhances cell growth and survival in different types of cells (Hermouet et al., 2000), it is possible that CXCL8 production in the AGM microenvironment affects the survival and/or proliferation of newly formed sel$^+$ hemogenic endothelial cells and HSPCs. We found that CXCL8 receptors cxr1 and cxr2 are expressed in flk1$^+$ vascular endothelial cells in zebrafish embryos before HSPC emergence (unpublished data), which supports an autocrine signaling of CXCL8. The precise mechanism underlying CXCL8-mediated HSPC induction awaits further study.

In addition to acting in the formation of HE, our time-lapse imaging experiments suggest that adenosine might also regulate the transition of endothelial cells to hematopoietic cells. nux1 is a central factor critical for the generation of HSCs from HE. It has been suggested that sel might activate nux1, directly or indirectly, to promote the conversion of endothelial cells to hematopoietic cells. In zebrafish embryos that lack nux1, sel$^+$ HE remains intact (Zhen et al., 2013). In A$_{2b}$- and CXCL8-deficient embryos, nux1 expression was decreased, and sel-marked hemogenic endothelial cells were also reduced. Our data support that the A$_{2b}$–CXCL8 axis acts upstream of sel rather than nux1. It is intriguing that we found that overexpression of nux1 restored HSCs in A$_{2b}$-deficient embryos. This finding supports the idea that overexpression of nux1 may bypass the requirement of sel-induced hematopoietic potential in endothelium.

Collectively, our experiments have discovered that adenosine regulates the generation of HSPCs in the early embryo. We provide evidence that it acts through A$_{2b}$ in endothelial cells to regulate HE and subsequent HSPC emergence, partly through up-regulation of CXCL8. These results provide novel insights into the signaling pathways that will be needed to derive HSCs from ESCs or pluripotent cells.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals.** Mice and zebrafish were maintained at Boston Children’s Hospital according to institutional animal care and use committee protocols. The zebrafish wild-type Tubingen and transgenic lines flk1:GFP, flk1:mcherry, cMyb:GFP, cdxB:de2GFP (Z. Wen, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong, China), and p53$^{−/−}$ were used in this study.
Embryo chemical treatment. Wild-type and transgene embryos were treated from 3-somite to 36 hpf. 20–50 µM NECA, 10–20 µM CGS15943, and 5–10 µM BAY 60-6583 were obtained from Tocris Bioscience.

Confocal imaging. Live embryos were anesthetized in 0.04 mg/ml Tricaine, mounted in 1% low-melting agarose, and imaged with Leica confocal microscopes. Mphi+/fli1c cells were counted in projections of z-stack images (n = 5–10 embryos per group).

In situ hybridization. In situ hybridization was performed as previously described (Thise and Thise, 2008). The images were taken with a Leica stereo- scope and processed using Adobe Photoshop.

FACS sort cells and qPCR analysis. Fli1-GFP embryos were manually dissociated with 0.9% PBS containing Liberase (Sigma-Aldrich). GFP+ cells were sorted into LS-TRIzol (Sigma-Aldrich). Total mRNA was extracted according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Genomic DNA was removed using TURBO DNA-free kit (Life Technologies). cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript First-Strand synthesis system (Life Technologies). qPCR was performed using SYBR green supermix on a CFX96 real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad Laboratories) with gene-specific primers listed in Table S1. Relative expression level was determined.

MO and mRNA injection. MOs were ordered from Gene Tools and injected into 1- to 2-cell stage embryos. A9, translation blocking MO (5’-CAAT-GGGCATGTAGAGCGAACTCATCAGGG-3’), 5 ng was injected at 1- to 2-cell stage. A9p, splice-blocking MO (5’-AAAGCGAGAAGACTCACCAGGG-3’), 2 ng was designed against the splice donor site of exon 3. For RT-PCR analysis, cDNA templates were synthesized from five 24-hpf embryos. PCR primers were 5’-TCCTCAACATTTCTGCTGTGC-3’ (forward) and 5’-TCCTTC-ATCCTCTATTGGGGGATC-3’ (reverse). IL-8 MO targeting exon 2–intron 2 junction sequence (5’-CGATTAGTTTGAAAACTCACATGA-3’ [Stoll et al., 2011]) was injected at 0.5 ng/embryo. Standard control MO was purchased from Gene Tools.

mRNA was in vitro transcribed from linearized constructs using SP6 mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit (Ambion) and injected into the embryos at the 1-cell stage. Zebrafish A2b mRNA was injected at 50 pg/embryo. Human A2b mRNA was injected at 400 pg/embryo. cxcl8 mRNA was injected at 150 pg/embryo, and cxcl8 mRNA was injected at 200 pg/embryo.

Methylcellulose colony formation. mESC maintenance and differentiation were modified from Nostro et al. (2008). The Brk-GFP cells were maintained in serum-free culture system for 3 days and trypsinized and aggregated to EBs using aggreWell (STEMCELL Technologies). After 24 h, EBs were grown in 6-well ultra-low attachment plate (Costar) with serum-free medium containing 2 ng/ml Activin, 3 ng/ml Wnt3a, 3 ng/ml BMP4, and 1 µM GSK269962A for 48 h. After that, EBs were switched to serum-free medium containing 5 ng/ml VEGF and 3 ng/ml BMP4 for 72 h. BAY 60-6583 was added between day 4 and day 6 during EB expansion. On day 6, EBs were dissociated to single cells and plated on M3434 methylcellulose. Colonies were counted on days 7–9 by morphology. For qPCR analysis, total RNA was extracted from 6 day EBs, and cDNA synthesis, qPCR was performed using gene-specific primers as in Table S1.

AGM explant. Whole AGM region from day 10.5 embryos were cultured in IMDM (Invitrogen) containing 2% fetal calf serum and 20 µL penicillin/streptomycin overnight in the presence of 0.1% DMSO or 0.5 µM BAY 60-6583. Tissues were maintained on a shaker in 5% CO2 at 37°C in a humidiﬁed incubator. After 14 h (overnight) culture, AGM tissues were dissociated in 0.1% collagenase at 37°C for 1 h and plated using M3434 medium (STEMCELL Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Colonies were counted on days 7–9 by morphology.

Time-lapse video. Confocal microscopy was performed according to Kissa and Herboldt (2010). Embryos were mounted in 1% LMP agarose with E3 media and tricaine as described previously (Bertrand et al., 2010). Embryos were imaged in MatTek glass-bottom multi-well plates (no. 1.5 coverslip). Time-lapse recording was performed at 28°C. Microscopy was performed using a Yokogawa spinning disk confocal and Nikon inverted Ti microscope. The objective was a Nikon 20× air Plan-Apo differential interference contrast NA 0.75. Images were acquired with dual Andor iXon x3 EMCCD cameras (512 × 512 pixels) and NIS-elements software. Confocal z-stacks were acquired every 5 min over 16 h (between 32 hpf to 48 hpf) in 17 planes spaced by 2 µm. Multiple stage-matched embryos were imaged in parallel using a moving XY stage.

Heat shock of Tg(hsp70:runx1). The embryos of hsp70:runx1, either un injected or with injected with A9, MO, were heat-shocked twice at 38.5°C for 30 min at 3-somite stage and at 22 hpf. Embryos were then fixed at 36 hpf for analysis.

Cell culture. Primary HAECs were cultured in EBM-2 medium–supplemented EGM-2 medium containing hEGF, hydrocortisone, hFGF-β, VEGF-R3-IGF-1, ascorbic acid, Heparin, fetal bovine serum, and Gentamicin/Amphotericin-B [GA] (Lonza). The cells were plated on gelatin-coated plates and kept under a humidified atmosphere of air/CO2 (9:1) at 37°C.

Measurement of CXCL8 secretion. After HAECs were cultured to confluence, cells were serum starved overnight and suspended in serum-free medium containing 1 µ/ml adenosine deaminase. Cells were treated with chemicals at the desired concentration and incubated for 6 h. At the end of incubation, the culture media were collected, and the cells were lysed for total protein concentration measurement (DC protein assay; Bio-Rad Laboratories). CXCL8 concentration was measured using an ELISA kit (BioLegend).

cAMP luminescence assay. After cultured to confluence, HAECs were serum starved overnight. The cells were resuspended in fresh serum-free medium containing 1 µ/ml adenosine deaminase and incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Before chemical treatment, cells were treated with 200 µM papaverine and incubated at 37°C for 10 min to block phosphodiesterase. Chemicals were added at the desired concentrations, and cells were incubated at 37°C for 15 min. At the end of incubation, cells were lysed for cAMP ELISA assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Enzo Life Sciences). A small portion of cell lysates was used to measure protein concentration.

Generation of CXCL8 mutant. The cxcl8 mutant was generated with TALENs using backbones containing heterodimer endonucleases pCS2T-AL3RR and pCS2TAL3DD (Dahlem et al., 2012) and the Golden Gate TALEN kit (Cermak et al., 2011). The two TALEN arms are designed to target Danio rerio CXCL8 (NCBI Gene ID: 100002946) at 5’-TGAGG- GTCTGGTGGATATG-3’ and 5’-TGAACAGAAAGCCGAGG-3’. CXCL8 mutant fish were identified by high-resolution melt analysis (Roche 490963101G). Primers used were forward, 5’-GAAGGGAGCTTG-AGAGGAGCTGCTCACTT-3’; and reverse, 5’-GGAGAAAAGCTCCATCTT-3’. In sequence individual F0 fish, genomic DNA was isolated from a fin clip using 50 mM NaOH. A PCR was run using the above HRMA primers, and the product was cloned into pCR4-TOPO (Life Technologies) and then transformed into DH5α competent cells. Six to eight colonies were grown up and sequenced using the M13 primer (5’-CAGGAAACAGCTATGAC-3’) to identify any mutations or deletions in the fish. The F2 heterogeneous and homozygous mutant embryos were genotyped using the following primer pair: forward, 5’-GAAGATGGAGCTT-CAGAGGAGCTGCTCACTT-3’; and reverse, 5’-GGAGAAAAGCTCCATCTT-3’. The PCR product was then sequenced to confirm the deletion of TCGCTG at positions 214 to 219.

Online supplemental material. Table S1 provides the primers sequences used in this study. Videos 1 and 2 are the time-lapse imaging of a control wild-type zebrafish embryo and an embryo in which A9p expression was knocked down; the two videos demonstrate that in A9p–deficient embryos, fewer


SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Jing et al., http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20141528/DC1

Table S1. qPCR primer sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>Forward primers</th>
<th>Reverse primers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zebrafish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actin</td>
<td>5'-CGACGAGAGATGGGAAAC-3'</td>
<td>5'-CAACCGAAACGCTATTGC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>runx1</td>
<td>5'-TCTCCCTGAGTGAGTGAC-3'</td>
<td>5'-TTTTCTGAGCCCTCAA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2b</td>
<td>5'-GATATCTGCCGTCAAAATCC-3'</td>
<td>5'-CTCTCTGCCCCCTACCTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flk1</td>
<td>5'-AACAGTGACACATTGAGGG-3'</td>
<td>5'-ATGGCCCAGCTAACACTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>il8</td>
<td>5'-AGAGATTGCACCTTGTAGA-3'</td>
<td>5'-TGATCCGGCGATTCTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>5'-AATGCATCTGCACCACACCTC-3'</td>
<td>5'-AGTATGGCATGGACTTGTCAT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>runx1</td>
<td>5'-CCAGCAAGCTGAGGCGC-3'</td>
<td>5'-CCGACAAACCTGAGGTCGTCG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cmyb</td>
<td>5'-GAGCTTTGCTGAGAAATTGCTGAGAG-3'</td>
<td>5'-GGCTCGCCGAGCGCGGCTGAGGAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adora2b</td>
<td>5'-GAGCTCCATCTTTAGCCCTT-3'</td>
<td>5'-TGCCCCATGGAAACCT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scl</td>
<td>5'-TCCCATATGAGATGAGATCTT-3'</td>
<td>5'-ATTGATAGCTACTGCTGGCAAGG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gata1</td>
<td>5'-CATTGGCCCCTTTGAGGGCAG-3'</td>
<td>5'-CGCTCCAGGCAATGGCAACC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bH1</td>
<td>5'-AGTCCCATGGCATAAGA-3'</td>
<td>5'-CTCAAGGAGCCTTCTGCTCA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bMajor</td>
<td>5'-CTGACAGATGCTCTCTGAGG-3'</td>
<td>5'-CACAAGCCAACAGACACAGACA-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flk1</td>
<td>5'-ATGGACCAGCATATTAAAGAGG-3'</td>
<td>5'-GAAGCATCATATCGCTGTGG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lmo2</td>
<td>5'-ATGCTCTCGCCCATCAGAAGG-3'</td>
<td>5'-AGATGCCAATGTCGCTGG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flk1</td>
<td>5'-CACCTTGCACTCTCCACCTT-3'</td>
<td>5'-GATTTCTATCCCAACATCGAAAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerberus</td>
<td>5'-AGAGGTCTCTGGCATCCTGTAGT-3'</td>
<td>5'-GTCTTCTATGGCAATGCTGTT-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Video 1. Time-lapse confocal imaging of a control Tg(flk:GFP) embryo. This video shows time-lapse spinning disk confocal imaging of the AGM region of an flk1:GFP embryo between 32 and 44 hpf (1 frame/5 min). Numbers appear in the video to point to endothelial cells that undergo EHT. Select frames of the video are shown in Fig. 5A.

Video 2. Time-lapse confocal imaging of a Tg(flk:GFP) embryo injected with A2b MO. This video shows time-lapse spinning disk confocal imaging of the AGM region of an flk1:GFP embryo injected with A2b MO between 32 and 44 hpf (1 frame/5 min). Numbers appear in the video to point to endothelial cells that initiate EHT and then fragment. Select frames of the video are shown in Fig. 5B.