Co-inhibition of NF-κB and JNK is synergistic in TNF-expressing human AML
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Leukemic stem cells (LSCs) isolated from acute myeloid leukemia (AML) patients are more sensitive to nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) inhibition-induced cell death when compared with hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) in vitro culture. However, inadequate anti-leukemic activity of NF-κB inhibition in vivo suggests the presence of additional survival/proliferative signals that can compensate for NF-κB inhibition. AML subtypes M3, M4, and M5 cells produce endogenous tumor necrosis factor α (TNF). Although stimulating HSPC with TNF promotes necroptosis and apoptosis, similar treatment with AML cells (leukemic cells, LCs) results in an increase in survival and proliferation. We determined that TNF stimulation drives the JNK–AP1 pathway in a manner parallel to NF-κB, leading to the up-regulation of anti-apoptotic genes in LC. We found that we can significantly sensitize LC to NF-κB inhibitor treatment by blocking the TNF–JNK–AP1 signaling pathway. Our data suggest that co-inhibition of both TNF–JNK–AP1 and NF-κB signals may provide a more comprehensive treatment paradigm for AML patients with TNF-expressing LC.

NF-κB is a major mediator of immunity, inflammation, tissue regeneration, and cancer promotion signaling. It regulates multiple cell behaviors such as proliferation, survival, differentiation, and migration (Naugler and Karin, 2008; DiDonato et al., 2012; Perkins, 2012). Leukemic cells (LCs), including leukemic stem cells (LSCs), demonstrate increased NF-κB activity, which provides a critical survival signal (Kuo et al., 2013). In vitro studies demonstrated that NF-κB inhibition can largely eliminate LSC with minimal effects on normal hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs), suggesting the potential for NF-κB inhibition as an anti-leukemia therapy (Guzman et al., 2001). However, the use of NF-κB inhibitors alone in vivo does not effectively eliminate the acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cells, indicating that additional survival signals might be compensating for the effects of NF-κB inhibition. In addition, the clinical use of NF-κB inhibitors is also limited by potential side effects, including compromised T/B cell immunity, inflammatory tissue damage, and skin/liver cancer development (Chen et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2004, 2007; Maeda et al., 2005; Sakurai et al., 2006; Luedde et al., 2007; Bettermann et al., 2010; Ke et al., 2010).

TNF, a pro-inflammatory cytokine, has been shown to be a key mediator of inflammatory reactions in tumor tissues and is responsible for elevated NF-κB activity in many tumors. NF-κB levels are significantly increased in most tumor tissues, being produced by tumor-infiltrating hematopoietic cells, tumor cells, and/or tumor stromal cells (Anderson et al., 2004; Balkwill, 2006; Mantovani et al., 2008; Grivennikov and Karin, 2011; Ren et al., 2012). Animal model...
Elevated serum TNF levels have been identified in patients with BM failure, including aplastic anemia and myelodysplastic syndromes (MDSs), suggesting that the hematopoietic-repressive activity of TNF might contribute to the cytopenic phenotype of such patients (Molnár et al., 2000; Dybedal et al., 2001; Dufour et al., 2003; Lv et al., 2007). The observed increased levels of TNF during disease progression in MDS patients imply that TNF might also be involved in the leukemic transformation of mutant HSPC (Tsimberidou and Giles, 2002; Stifter et al., 2005; Li et al., 2007; Fleischman et al., 2011). Increased levels of TNF are detected in the peripheral blood (PB) and BM of most human leukemia patients and are correlated to higher white blood cell counts and poorer prognosis (Tsimberidou et al., 2008). In fact, the importance of TNF in leukemogenesis is further documented in Fancc knockout mice and Bcr-Abl–transduced chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) animal models. In these animals, TNF is required for inducing the leukemic transformation of Fancc mutant cells and promotes the proliferation of CML stem cells (Gallipoli et al., 2013).

TNF can stimulate both survival and death signals within the same type of cells in a context-dependent fashion. TNF-dependent survival signals are mediated primarily by canonical NF-κB signaling (Sakurai et al., 2003; Skaug et al., 2009; Vallabhapurapu and Karin, 2009), whereas the TNF-induced death signal is driven by caspase-8–dependent apoptosis or RIP1/3–dependent necroptosis (Wang et al., 2008; He et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009, 2011; Feoktistova et al., 2011; Günther et al., 2011; Kaiser et al., 2011; Oberst et al., 2011; Tenev et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2011). In addition, TNF can also stimulate the activation of MKK4/7-JNK signaling, although the role of the MKK4/7-JNK signaling pathway is also cell context–dependent (Liu and Lin, 2005; Bode and Dong, 2007; Kim et al., 2007; Chen, 2012). Many studies suggest that TNF-induced MKK4/7-JNK signaling is responsible for most of the side effects associated with NF-κB signal inactivation (Chen et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2004, 2007; Maeda et al., 2005; Sakurai et al., 2006; Luedde et al., 2007; Ke et al., 2010).

The role of MKK4/7-JNK signaling in the regulation of hematopoiesis is not entirely clear. Sustained JNK activation has been reported in many types of AML cells, coordinating with AKT/FOXO signaling to maintain an undifferentiated state (Sykes et al., 2011). In Bcr/Abl–induced CML, JNK1-Ap1 signaling is required for the development of leukemia by mediating key survival signals (Hess et al., 2002). In Fanconi anemia, JNK is required for the TNF-induced leukemic clonal evolution of Fancc mutant HSPC (Li et al., 2007). These studies suggest that the JNK signal promotes the development and progression of leukemia by inducing proliferative and survival activities (Chen et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2004, 2007; Maeda et al., 2005; Sakurai et al., 2006; Luedde et al., 2007; Bettermann et al., 2010; Ke et al., 2010).

In this study, we searched for the survival signals which compensate for the inhibition of NF-κB signaling in AML stem and progenitor cells. We found that TNF stimulates JNK and NF-κB, which act as parallel survival signals in LC, whereas TNF acts through JNK to induce a death signal in HSPC. Inhibition of TNF-JNK signaling not only significantly sensitizes AML stem and progenitor cells to NF-κB inhibitor treatment but also protects HSPC from the toxicity of such treatment.

RESULTS

Many types of AML cells express and produce TNF

We found that TNF levels are significantly higher in the PB of AML patients having subtypes M3, M4, and M5 as compared with healthy donors (Fig. 1 A). To study whether TNF is produced directly by LC, we first examined LC isolated from these patients for TNF transcription and found that TNF mRNA levels correlate with TNF protein levels in PB (Fig. 1 B). We then examined TNF expression by cDNA array in 106 AML patients with four different leukemic fusions. When compared with hematopoietic cells (CD34+ HSPC, CD33+ myeloid progenitors), many types of LC, especially AML subtypes t(15;17) M3, inv(16) M4, and MLL-AF9 (MA9) M5 cells, express higher levels of TNF (Fig. 1 C). We also found elevated TNF expression in many established human AML cell lines (Fig. 1 D). Furthermore, in our murine AML model, MA9-LC generated from transplanted HSPC expressing the MA9 fusion gene in an MSCV retrovirus (pre-LC [PLC]; Fig. 1 E) produces Tnf, as determined by Western blotting and intracellular staining assays (Fig. 1 F). These data suggest that a significant portion of circulating TNF in many AML patients may be produced directly by tumor cells.

Tnf promotes the expansion of clonogenic LC but represses the growth of normal HSPC

To investigate the role of Tnf in LC and normal HSPC, we generated MA9-transduced Tnfr−/− (Tnf receptors 1 and 2 knockout) and Tnffl/fl murine LC by infecting HSPC isolated from Tnfr−/− and Tnffl/fl mice, respectively, with MA9-expressing virus (Fig. 1 E). We found that exogenous Tnf promotes LC growth in methylcellulose colony-forming assays and liquid culture (Fig. 2, A and B) but significantly represses the growth of normal HSPC colonies (Fig. 2 A). Inactivation of Tnf signaling by either Tnf knockout or a neutralizing monoclonal antibody restricts LC growth but has no obvious effect on normal HSPC (Fig. 2 C–E). Furthermore, transplantation studies demonstrated that Tnfr−/− LC-transplanted mice required a longer latency for leukemia development in vivo than Tnffl/fl LC (Fig. 2 F). A similar response to exogenous Tnf was also observed in Cbfβ−Mvh11–transduced murine LC (Tnf-expressing, M4) versus AML-ETO–transduced...
murine LC (Tnf-nonexpressing, M2), suggesting that such effects are not M49-LC specific (Fig. 2 G). All these suggest that in these Tnf-producing leukemias, Tnf might function as an enhancer for leukemia development and a repressor for normal hematopoiesis. For simplicity, WT HSPC will be described as HSPC, and murine WT LC will be described as LC. Other genetic deletions, such as Tnfr−/− LC, will be specified.

To study the mechanism by which Tnf promotes the growth of LC, we compared the proliferation and survival of Tnfr−/− LC and LC. We found that the proliferation of Tnfr−/− LC is significantly reduced when compared with LC (Fig. 2 H). In addition, we also consistently observed slightly but significantly more Tnfr−/− LC undergoing apoptosis compared with LC in our 4 cytokine culture condition. Apoptosis in LC can be enhanced by culturing in the presence of Tnf-neutralizing antibody (Fig. 2 I). We propose that these effects, although significant, are minimal because Tnf is not the only survival signal for LC. There was a slight but significant increase in cell death in LC upon treatment with high concentrations of exogenous Tnf (50 ng/ml). We believed that such cell death is due to necroptosis because it could be prevented by the Rip1 inhibitor Necrostatin-1 (Nec1) or by Rip3 deletion (Fig. 2 J). This concentration of Tnf still stimulated colony formation by LC (Fig. 2 A), suggesting that Tnf might selectively induce necroptosis in partially differentiated, nonclonogenic LC while promoting the proliferation/survival of clonogenic LC.

Consistent with our previous study, we found that Tnf treatment of normal HSPC kills a portion of cells through inducing RIP1/3-mediated necroptosis, which can be prevented by Nec1 treatment (Fig. 2 K) or by Rip3 deletion (Fig. 2 L; Xiao et al., 2011). Finally, we found that Tnf produced by LC is sufficient to induce death in HSPC (Fig. 2 M), suggesting that Tnf produced by LC might contribute to the repressed hematopoiesis observed in leukemia patients. These data suggest that, in contrast to its function in HSPC, Tnf drives a critical survival and proliferative signal in undifferentiated clonogenic LC.

Tnf signal inactivation sensitizes LC to NF-κB inhibition–induced death but protects HSPC from such death

Previous reports showed that NF-κB activity is significantly increased in LC and LSC (Guzman et al., 2001). We confirmed such elevated NF-κB activity in our murine LC compared with HSPC (unpublished data). In addition, we found that NF-κB activity in LC is cytokine-dependent. p65 (NF-κB) activity was turned off when LCs were incubated in a cytokine-free medium and was restored upon Tnf stimulation as measured by both nuclear localization (Fig. 3 A) and p65 phosphorylation (Fig. 3 B). We also found that all hematopoietic cytokines used in our cultures induced NF-κB activity in...
LC while only Tnf stimulated NF-κB in HSPC, suggesting how NF-κB activity can be elevated in subtypes of LC which do not express TNF (Fig. 3 C). Consistent with a previous report (Guzman et al., 2001), our murine LCs are more sensitive to NF-κB inhibition by BAY11-7085 (a reversible small molecule inhibitor of IκBα phosphorylation, BAY hereafter).
in vitro as shown by a reduction in CFU (Fig. 3 D) and an increase in cell death (Fig. 3 E) when compared with HSPC.

Most tissue cells with NF-κB inactivation show sensitivity to Tnf-induced cell death. To study the effects of Tnf signal inactivation on NF-κB inhibition in HSPC and LC, we treated Tnfr−/− LC and LC as well as Tnfr−/− HSPC and HSPC with either BAY or retroviral transduction using a mutant IkBa (IkBaSR: a nonphosphorylatable serine-alanine mutant form of IkBa). We found that NF-κB inhibition-induced cell death by both BAY and IkBaSR is largely protected in Tnfr−/− HSPC compared with HSPC (Fig. 3, F and G). However, both BAY and IkBaSR further significantly decreased the in vitro clonogenic growth of Tnfr−/− LC when compared with LC (Fig. 3 H, I). The addition of exogenous Tnf can also enhance the colony formation of LC, even when the NF-κB signal is inhibited (Fig. 3 J). These data suggest that, as in most other normal tissue cells, NF-κB is required to protect HSPC from Tnf-induced death. However, in LC, the synergistic killing effects of Tnf signal inactivation and NF-κB signaling inhibition suggests that Tnf stimulates survival/proliferation signaling in an NF-κB–independent manner. We speculate that Tnf-stimulated death in HSPC and survival in LC might be mediated by the same signaling pathway (Fig. 3 K).

JNK mediates a TNF-stimulated survival signal in LC but a death signal in HSPC

To search for the signaling pathway which mediates the contradictory effects of Tnf stimulation in LC and HSPC, we compared the activities of Jnk1/2, Erk1/2, p38, and Akt, all known Tnf-stimulated signaling mediators, between LC and...
during NF-κB inhibition in both LC and HSPC, suggesting independence from NF-κB signaling (Fig. 4 B). Akt and Erk signals were significantly repressed by NF-κB inhibition in LC but were unchanged or enhanced after BAY treatment in HSPC (Fig. 4 B). To study whether the p38 or the Jnk signal mediates Tnf-induced cell death in HSPC and survival/proliferation in LC, we examined whether their inhibition could prevent Tnf-induced death in HSPC and repress the growth of LC. We found that p38 inhibition promotes the colonial

HSPC after stimulation with either Tnf or the individual hematopoietic cytokines used in our cultures. We found that although all of these signaling mediators can be activated by Tnf and some other cytokines in both LC and HSPC, Jnk is only activated by Tnf but not any of the other cytokines (Fig. 4 A). To study which of these signals accounts for Tnf-induced death in HSPC and NF-κB–independent survival/proliferation in LC, we looked for the signals that are activated by Tnf independently of NF-κB. Jnk and p38 activities were sustained during NF-κB inhibition in both LC and HSPC, suggesting independence from NF-κB signaling (Fig. 4 B). Akt and Erk signals were significantly repressed by NF-κB inhibition in LC but were unchanged or enhanced after BAY treatment in HSPC (Fig. 4 B). To study whether the p38 or the Jnk signal mediates Tnf-induced cell death in HSPC and survival/proliferation in LC, we examined whether their inhibition could prevent Tnf-induced death in HSPC and repress the growth of LC. We found that p38 inhibition promotes the colonial...
JNK inhibition by treatment with either SP600125 (an inhibitor targeted to both JNK1 and 2, SP6 hereafter) or JNKi-1(L) (a small peptide of the JNK-binding domain) represses the clonogenic capacity of LC in a dosage-dependent manner but has fewer effects on HSPC. Treatment of LC with 10 μM SP6 and JNKi-1(L) was able to completely block Jnk phosphorylation (Fig. 4 D) and was also able to repress the clonogenic ability of LC (Fig. 4, E and F) and significantly induce cell death with no effects on HSPC (Fig. 4 G). The significant increase in cell death and reduction of clonogenic ability in HSPC were only observed when SP6 was used at >20 μM, suggesting an

Figure 5. Tnf receptor endocytosis and Mkps regulate Jnk activity in LC and HSPC. (A) HSPC and LC were stimulated with TNF for the indicated times (in minutes). Jnk activity was examined by Western blot and the normalized ratios of p-Jnk levels were quantified in bar graph to the right. Arbitrary units are normalized to vehicle-treated lane. (B) Basal expression of Mkps was compared between HSPC and LC by qRT-PCR. (F) HSPC and LC were treated with Tnf for the indicated times. Expression of Jnk-specific Mkps were examined by qRT-PCR. Transcript levels were normalized to the corresponding basal levels. (G) shRNA knockdown of Mkps 1, 3, and 5 in LC was evaluated by qRT-PCR. (H) LCs were transduced with shRNA-specific Mkp1, Mkp3, or Mkp5, respectively. The transduced cells were treated with the indicated dosages of Tnf, and Jnk activity was examined by Western blotting of p-Jnk. Quantification of p-Jnk Western blot analysis is shown to the right. Arbitrary units are normalized to vehicle treated lane. * indicates P < 0.05 when compared with vehicle-treated/shSCR control as determined by Student’s t test two-tailed analysis. # indicates P < 0.05 significant difference when compared with the indicated conditions. A, B, C, and H are representative results from three independent trials.
We found that Jnk signal duration is limited in LC compared with HSPC in response to TNF stimulation (Fig. 5A). We predicted that extending the duration of Tnf–induced Jnk activation might convert the survival signal into death signal in LC.

It was known that Tnf-induced Jnk signaling can be turned off by endocytosis of Tnf receptor and/or MAP kinase phosphatase (Mkps)–mediated negative regulation (Kamata et al., 2005). We found that LCs endocytose Tnf receptors in response to Tnf stimulation (Fig. 5B). Blocking endocytosis of Tnf receptors by Dynasore, a dynamin-specific inhibitor, slightly enhances Jnk signal strength and can also slightly prolong the Jnk signal in LC (Fig. 5C). Although repression of Tnf receptor endocytosis by Dynasore partially enhances cell death in LC, treatment with additional Tnf does not significantly

Endocytosis of Tnf receptors and negative regulation of Jnk by Mkps determines the response of LC and HSPC to Tnf stimulation by restricting Jnk signal duration

Studies show that the contradictory functions of the Jnk signal stimulated by Tnf are in part determined by the duration of Jnk activity. A short duration (<2 h) promotes survival/proliferation, whereas longer duration (>2 h) promotes cell death (Sakon et al., 2003; Liu and Lin, 2005). We found that Jnk signal duration is limited in LC compared with HSPC in response to TNF stimulation (Fig. 5A). We predicted that extending the duration of Tnf–induced Jnk activation might convert the survival signal into death signal in LC.

It was known that Tnf-induced Jnk signaling can be turned off by endocytosis of Tnf receptor and/or MAP kinase phosphatase (Mkps)–mediated negative regulation (Kamata et al., 2005). We found that LCs endocytose Tnf receptors in response to Tnf stimulation (Fig. 5B). Blocking endocytosis of Tnf receptors by Dynasore, a dynamin-specific inhibitor, slightly enhances Jnk signal strength and can also slightly prolong the Jnk signal in LC (Fig. 5C). Although repression of Tnf receptor endocytosis by Dynasore partially enhances cell death in LC, treatment with additional Tnf does not significantly

off-target effect of SP6 at high concentration (Fig. 4, E and G). Blocking Jnk by SP6 partially prevents Tnf-induced cell death and rescues CFU reduction in HSPC but represses Tnf-stimulated LC colony growth as shown by CFU assay and Annexin V staining (Fig. 4, H and I), suggesting that Jnk mediates the Tnf-mediated death signal in HSPC but a survival/proliferation signal in LC.

Figure 6. c-Jun mediates the Tnf-Jnk survival signal in LC. (A) LC and Tnfr−/− LC, grown in medium containing 10% FBS, were pretreated with BAY or SP6 before addition of growth factors and Tnf. Anti-apoptotic and proliferation-related signals were examined by Western blotting. (B) HSPC and LC were pretreated with BAY followed by Tnf stimulation, p-c-Jun and c-Jun expression were examined by Western blotting. (C) HSPC and Tnfr−/− HSPC as well as LC and Tnfr−/− LC were transduced with DN-AP1 and sorted for CFU assay. (D and E) LC and Tnfr−/− LC were transduced with DN-AP1-GFP and were observed in suspension culture for reduction of GFP+ cell percentage (D) or sorted for GFP with or without 20 ng/ml Tnf treatment (E). (F) DN-AP1–transduced LCs were treated with Tnf or vehicle and assayed for cell death. (G) c-Jun knockdown by specific shRNA was verified by Western blotting. (H) c-Jun shRNA-transduced HSPC and LC were treated with Tnf or vehicle and plated in methylcellulose for CFU assay. (I) c-Jun knockdown in LC enhances cell death when treated with Tnf. (J) Experiment model, a mechanism by which Jnk signal promotes survival of LC. Results shown are mean values ± 1 SD from three independent trials. * indicates P < 0.05 significant difference when compared with vehicle in E, F, H, and I, vector-only (Migr1) in C as determined by Student’s t test two-tailed analysis. # indicates P < 0.05 significant difference when compared with indicated conditions. A, B, D, and G are representative results from three independent trials.
increase cell death (Fig. 5 D). Because blocking Tnf receptor endocytosis cannot completely prolong the Jnk signal, we next studied the role of Mkps in restricting the Tnf-stimulated Jnk signal in LC.

To investigate which of the Mkps’s restrict Tnf-mediated Jnk signaling in LC, we compared the basal levels of Mkps 1, 3, 5, and 7 (Jnk-specific Mkps) between LC and HSPC (Fig. 5 E) and their expression after Tnf stimulation (Fig. 5 F). We determined that LCs express higher levels of Mkp1 but lower levels of Mkps 3, 5, and 7 than do HSPC (Fig. 5 E). Tnf stimulation induces a significant increase in Mkp and 7 expression in both LC and HSPC; however, Mkp7 levels are comparable between LC and HSPC; however, Mkp7 levels are comparable between LC and HSPC. Although Mkp3 and Mkp5 did not increase upon Tnf induction, they were sustained in LC but reduced in HSPC 2 h after Tnf treatment (Fig. 5 F). To determine which Mkp was responsible for negatively regulating the Jnk signal, we knocked down Mkp 1, 3, and 5 using retroviral-mediated shRNA transduction (Fig. 5 G). We found that although Mkp1 and 5 knockdown can increase the duration of Jnk activity (Fig. 5 H), only Mkp5 knockdown results in both the complete prevention of Jnk dephosphorylation and the reversal of Tnf-stimulated colony formation (Fig. 5 I). This study suggested that sustained Mkp5 might be responsible for limiting the duration of Tnf-stimulated Jnk signaling in LC.

Tnf promotes LC growth via the Jnk–Ap1 signaling pathway, up-regulating survival genes

To determine whether Tnf–Jnk stimulates survival/proliferation activities in LC by inducing survival/proliferation-related gene expression, we cytokine-starved LC to reset the internal signaling to an unstimulated basal rate and then pretreated the cells with either SP6 or BAY to block Jnk or NF–kB activity, respectively. After SP6 or BAY treatment, we stimulated the cells with Tnf plus four hematopoietic cytokines (five total growth factors, SGF) to examine the expression of which survival/proliferation-related genes are regulated through Jnk. We found that c-Jun, JunB, c-Flip, Mcl1, and cyclin D1 are downregulated in Tnfr−/− LC compared with TnfrWT LC, suggesting that these are Tnf target genes. Among them, cytokine-induced c-Jun, Mcl-1, and c-Flip expression were repressed by Jnk inhibitor treatment but not by NF–kB inhibitor, suggesting they are Tnf-Jnk–specific targets. We found that xap1 was not reduced in Tnfr−/− LC but was reduced by the addition of SP6, suggesting that xap1 is a Jnk-specific, but Tnf–independent, survival gene. JunB and Cyclin D1 were unaffected by inhibitors of either Jnk or NF–kB. The elevated levels of Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL in Tnfr−/− LC compared with TnfrWT LC likely indicate the presence of a feedback mechanism due to the lack of Mcl-1, c-Flip, and xap1. (Fig. 6 A).

The proliferative and survival activities of the Jnk signal are primarily mediated by its nuclear protein substrates. One of the key nuclear substrates of Jnk is c-Jun, which has been identified as a major tumor-promoting gene in many types of cancer (Shaulian, 2010). We found that LCs express higher levels of c-Jun compared with HSPCs, and that Tnf-induced Jnk/c-Jun activation is NF–kB independent (Fig. 6 B). Genetic repression of c-Jun by a dominant-negative AP1 (DN-AP1) has no discernible effects on HSPC but does result in a significant decrease in CFU in LC (Fig. 6 C). Overexpressing DN-AP1 resensitizes LC to Tnf-induced death signaling, as demonstrated by a dynamic reduction in GFP percentage over time with Tnf treatment in suspension culture (Fig. 6 D), a reduction in CFU in the presence of Tnf (Fig. 6 E), and increased cell death (Fig. 6 F). We confirmed the necessity of c-Jun to prevent Tnf-mediated cell death in LC by knocking down c-Jun with a specific shRNA (Fig. 6 G). We found that, similar to overexpression of DN-AP1, c-Jun knockdown resulted in a restored sensitivity of LC to Tnf-induced death signaling as demonstrated by a decrease in CFU (Fig. 6 H) and showed a moderate increase in cell death when stimulated with Tnf (Fig. 6 I). These data suggest that the Jnk signaling pathway is critical for Tnf-stimulated proliferation/survival activities in LC and that Jnk’s survival signal is primarily mediated through c-Jun/AP1 (Fig. 6 J). We proposed then that Tnf, through Jnk, promotes a survival signal that is auxiliary to NF–kB. Therefore, we tested the combined inhibition of both NF–kB and Jnk in LC and HSPC.

Jnk–AP1 signal inactivation sensitizes LC to NF–kB inhibition–induced cell death but protects HSPC from death

We observed that LCs lacking Tnf receptors are more sensitive to NF–kB inhibition than their WT counterparts (Fig. 3). We then found that Tnf stimulates Jnk as an NF–kB–independent survival signal in LC, but a death signal in HSPC (Fig. 4), through short Jnk signal duration (Fig. 5) and activation of c-Jun/AP1 in LC (Fig. 5 F). Therefore, we hypothesize that Jnk inhibition should sensitize LC to NF–kB inhibition while protecting HSPC from the effects of NF–kB inhibition. To investigate this, we treated LC and HSPC with BAY and SP6 individually or in combination. We found that SP6 treatment can partially prevent death and rescue the decrease in CFU of HSPC resulting from high concentrations of BAY (Fig. 7 A). However, in LC, addition of SP6 can sensitize LC to BAY-induced cell death at the same rate as in Tnfr−/− LC (Fig. 7 B). We found that inhibition of both signals eliminated more clonogenic LC than inhibiting either individual signal. Such additive inhibitory effects were also observed in the Tnf-expressing CALM–MYH11 LC but not in Tnf-nonexpressing AML–ETO LC (Fig. 7 C). To confirm our inhibitor specificity, we genetically inactivated NF–kB or Jnk–AP1 signaling by transducing LC with IkBαSR or Jnk–AP1 shRNA. We found that genetic inactivation of NF–kB or Jnk–AP1 c-Jun was able to sensitize LC to SP6 or BAY, respectively, reproducing the conclusion from our inhibitor combination studies (Fig. 7 D–F).

Leukemia stem cells (LSCs) are a small population of LCs which can regenerate leukemia in recipient mice upon transplantation and are proposed to be the key type of cells responsible for cases of leukemia relapse. To study whether inhibition of Tnf–Jnk–AP1 and NF–kB can eliminate LSC, we treated LC in vitro for 12 h with anti-Tnf Antibody, SP6,
or BAY individually or in combinations, and then evaluated leukemogenicity by in vivo transplantation. Compared with vehicle-only treatment, anti–Tnf, SP6, or BAY treatment significantly delayed the development of leukemia. The combinations of anti–TNF or SP6 plus BAY further increased latency to the development of leukemia (Fig. 7 G). To test the in vivo suitability of a combination of treatments, we engrafted LC into sublethally irradiated recipient mice. After leukemia engraftment (20 d after transplantation), we treated the mice with BAY, anti–TNF antibody, SP6, or JNKi-I(L) individually or in combination. We found that BAY treatment can prolong the lifetimes of the mice. Although anti–TNF antibody treatment failed to extend the lifetime of the mice, it further prolonged the lifetime of the mice when combined with BAY (Fig. 7 H). However, we did not obtain data for co-inhibition of both NF–κB and JNK signaling due to the toxicity of SP6 and JNKi-I(L) in vivo. Nevertheless, we found that overexpression of DN–Ap1 can largely repress the leukemogenic capacity of LC (only 1/5 of recipient mice developed leukemia; unpublished data). These data suggest that both NF–κB and JNK signals are required for the expansion of LSC in vitro, and that inhibition of both pathways provides better anti-leukemic effects in vivo.

TNF-expressing human LCs are sensitive to a treatment combination of NF–κB and JNK signaling inhibitors

To study whether combination treatment using both NF–κB and JNK signaling inhibitors is also additive in eliminating human LC, we compared the responses of human AML cell lines to the treatments. By incubating the LC with NF–κB and JNK inhibitors individually or in combination for 12 h and then seeding for CFU to examine the reduction of clonogenic LC, we observed additive inhibitory effects of the two inhibitors in almost all AML cell lines. We noted that the sensitivity of AML cell lines to such additive inhibitory effects is correlated to levels of TNF expression (Fig. 7 D). Cell lines RS4:11 (B-ALL), K562 erythroid LC, and ML-2 that had a reduced response also express lower levels of TNF (Fig. 8 A), whereas the TNF-expressing cell lines, including HL-60 (M2/3), ML-2 (M5), Molm-13 (M5), U937 (M5), NB4 (M3), and THP-1 (M5), showed exceptional sensitivity to the combined inhibitor treatment. In addition, we found that although all the sensitive cell lines showed TNF-stimulated NF–κB–independent JNK–c-JUN activation (Fig. 8 C), the most sensitive AML cell lines (U937, NB4, and THP1) had increased basal levels of NF–κB and JNK–c-JUN activities as shown by elevated nuclear p65 and total p-JNK/p-c-JUN.
malignancies (Naugler and Karin, 2008; DiDonato et al., 2012; Perkins, 2012). However, mutations of the key regulators of NF-κB signaling have been detected only in some B cell lymphomas and multiple myeloma. In these B lymphocytic malignancies, abnormal activation of NF-κB signaling is the result of active mutations of upstream regulatory components which are less dependent on cytokine stimulation.

Inhibition of NF-κB signaling in these malignancies has been demonstrated to be an effective treatment option, inducing disease remission and significant improvement in patient survival (Hernandez-Ilizaliturri et al., 2011; Lim et al., 2012). In AML, there is generally a lack of identified mutations in the components of NF-κB signaling, suggesting that enhanced NF-κB activity might be induced by microenvironmental factors. Our studies suggest that TNF produced by LC, promotes growth of these cells through autocrine stimulation of

**DISCUSSION**

Increased NF-κB activity has been detected in a variety of types of human cancers including nearly all types of hematopoietic malignancies (Naugler and Karin, 2008; DiDonato et al., 2012; Perkins, 2012). However, mutations of the key regulators of NF-κB signaling have been detected only in some B cell lymphomas and multiple myeloma. In these B lymphocytic malignancies, abnormal activation of NF-κB signaling is the result of active mutations of upstream regulatory components which are less dependent on cytokine stimulation. Inhibition of NF-κB signaling in these malignancies has been demonstrated to be an effective treatment option, inducing disease remission and significant improvement in patient survival (Hernandez-Ilizaliturri et al., 2011; Lim et al., 2012). In AML, there is generally a lack of identified mutations in the components of NF-κB signaling, suggesting that enhanced NF-κB activity might be induced by microenvironmental factors. Our studies suggest that TNF produced by LC, promotes growth of these cells through autocrine stimulation of

(Fig. 8, B and C). We also confirmed the correlation of additive effects of our combination treatment to TNF expression in primary human LC isolated from newly diagnosed AML patients. TNF expression accurately predicted sensitivity to combined BAY and SP6 inhibitor treatment in each case. Interestingly, one AML patient sample was sensitive to combined inhibitor treatment but did not express TNF (Fig. 8 D). We are currently investigating the role of proinflammatory cytokines other than TNF in promoting NF-κB–independent survival signals in this AML patient sample. Collectively, our studies suggest that the combination treatment of NF-κB and JNK inhibitors might be a useful pharmacological strategy for AML patients whose LC produce TNF.
NF-κB and JNK–AP1 as parallel proliferation/survival signals. Therefore, the anti-leukemic effects of NF-κB inhibition in AML in vivo are likely compensated by TNF-activating anti-apoptotic genes operating through JNK. Additionally, we showed that paracrine TNF released from LC represses the growth of normal HSPC, suggesting a link to the hematopoietic repression observed in AML patients. Therefore, we speculate that co-inhibition of both TNF-JNK and NF-κB signals might be a more comprehensive treatment for TNF-expressing AML by synergistically repressing the growth of LC and simultaneously protecting HSPC.

In many solid tumors, inflammation is one of the key components of the tumor environment which promotes tumor development and progression (Naugler and Karin, 2008; DiDonato et al., 2012; Perkins, 2012). In these tumors, TNF promotes tumor cell growth by directly stimulating proliferative/survival signals in tumor cells, inducing the transformation of BM-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) and myeloid progenitors to tumor-supportive cancer associated fibroblasts and macrophages, and/or inducing a tumor-promoting microenvironment by stimulating the production of tumor-promoting cytokines (Anderson et al., 2004; Balkwill, 2006; Mantovani et al., 2008; Grivennikov and Karin, 2011; Ren et al., 2012). In addition, TNF also causes the inflammation-related damage observed in noncancerous surrounding tumors (Anderson et al., 2004; Balkwill, 2006; Mantovani et al., 2008; Grivennikov and Karin, 2011; Ren et al., 2012). However, the role of inflammation in AML has not been well studied, despite the fact that AML cells develop from the progenitors of inflammatory cells, are normally surrounded by inflammatory cells and MSC, and produce inflammatory cytokines. We found that TNF is significantly increased in the BM and PB of AML patients, especially in the M3, M4, and M5 subtypes. LC in such patients may generate an inflammatory environment by secreting TNF. Our studies support the notion that TNF directly promotes the growth of tumor cells by stimulating pro-proliferative/survival signals. Interestingly, despite the consistent observation of necroptotic cell death in a small proportion of LC upon exogenous TNF treatment, such treatment also increases clonogenic capacity of LC. Therefore, we speculate that TNF promotes the growth of LC primarily by inducing the expansion of the function of leukemic stem and progenitor cells but kills some of the partially differentiated LC. Whether TNF also induces BM MSC and stromal cells to generate a tumor-promoting microenvironment in AML patients requires further study.

In skin and liver tissues, TNF-induced tissue damage is primarily mediated by JNK signaling inducing apoptosis/necroptosis. Normally, TNF-induced damage in these tissues is prevented by NF-κB. NF-κB signal inactivation results in severe inflammation-related tissue damage due to the excessive sensitivity of signal-inactivated cells to TNF-JNK–induced death signaling (Chen et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2004, 2007; Maeda et al., 2005; Sakurai et al., 2006; Luedde et al., 2007; Bettermann et al., 2010; Ke et al., 2010). The tumor-promoting effects of TNF in these tissues are also mediated by JNK signaling through a “complementary proliferation” and/or senescence-repressing mechanisms (Chen et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2004, 2007; Maeda et al., 2005; Sakurai et al., 2006; Luedde et al., 2007; Bettermann et al., 2010; Ke et al., 2010). NF-κB functions as a tumor suppressor in these tissues by repressing TNF-JNK activity. Therefore, blocking the TNF-JNK signal can largely repress both tissue damage and tumor generation in NF-κB signal-inactivated animals. These studies provide a strong empirical basis for our combination treatment approach consisting of inhibition of both signals simultaneously. Doing so should not only enhance the anti-leukemic effects but may also reduce the side effects in other tissues usually induced by NF-κB inhibitors.

The two contradictory activities of TNF-JNK signaling, pro-death and pro-survival/proliferation, in normal/benign tissue cells and malignant cells have been reported in other systems. In Fanconi anemia, JNK is required for TNF-induced leukemic clonal evolution of Fanca mutant HSPC by inducing apoptosis in mutant cells and stimulating proliferation/survival activities in LC (Li et al., 2007). In Drosophila, TNF represses tumor growth of scribble (a tumor suppressor) mutant cells by stimulating a JNK-mediated death signal. However, via JNK, TNF promotes tumor progression and metastasis in scribble mutant cells when either oncprotein RAS or Notch is expressed (Cordero et al., 2010). In skin epidermis, via the Tnfr1–Jnk2–Ap1 signaling pathway, TNF promotes epidermal cell proliferation by up-regulating the cell cycle positive regulator CDK4 and down-regulating cell cycle negative regulators such as P16ink4a. Such signals which prevent growth restraint and the induction of senescence are required for epidermal neoplasia induced by NF-κB inactivation, chemicals, UV irradiation, or oncogenic Ras (Zhang et al., 2004, 2007; Ke et al., 2010). In hepatocytes, TNF-JNK induces apoptosis in large numbers of cells and stimulates compensatory proliferation in remaining cells, which are required for chemical or genetic lesion (such as Nemo deletion)–induced hepatocellular carcinoma (Maeda et al., 2005; Sakurai et al., 2006; Luedde et al., 2007; Bettermann et al., 2010). These studies suggest that a combination of NF-κB and JNK inhibitors might also be useful in the treatment of these cancers. However, in certain types of cancer cells, the pro-survival activities of JNK might be dependent on NF-κB signaling activity. In such cells, inactivation of the NF-κB signal will convert the pro-survival activity of JNK signaling to pro-death activity (Papa et al., 2004). Therefore, to best use such combination treatment in cancer therapy, it is important to distinguish whether or not the pro-survival/proliferative activity of JNK is NF-κB signal dependent.

Evidence also suggests that whether a cell undergoes death or proliferation/survival fate in response to TNF-JNK signaling is determined by the duration of JNK activity. Elongated JNK activity (>2 h) induces cell death (as shown in HSPC), whereas limited JNK activity (<2 h) promotes cell proliferation/survival (as shown in LC). We found that the duration of JNK in murine LC is primarily limited by MPK5 which is independent of NF-κB signal. Thus, experiments to
determine whether expression of MKP5 is elevated in primary human LC will be necessary in future studies. Such studies will allow us to evaluate if inactivation of MKP5 could be a treatment for AML patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Mice and genotyping. All experiments using mice were performed according to the guidelines of Loyola University Medical Center and were approved by the Loyola University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Tnfr1−/−/− mice (B6.129S-Tnfrsf1aTnfrsf1b) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. BM hematopoietic cells from Rip3−/−/− (Rip3-knockout) mice were provided by J. Zhang (Thomas Jefferson University, Philadelphia, PA). Genotypes of all mice were determined by PCR assay using the following primers (5′→3′): Tnfr1-1, GGATGTCACCGGTGCTTGAGG (WT = 120 bp); Tnfr1-2, TGACAAGGACACGGTGTGTGCC (Mut = 155 bp); Tnfr1-3, TGCTGATGGGATACATCCATC; Tnfr1-4, CCG-GTGGATGTGGAAATGTGG; Tnfr2-1, CCGGTGATGTGGAATGTGGTGTG (WT = 257 bp); Tnfr2-2, AGGCTCTACCCACACGCCGGC (Mut = 160 bp); Tnfr2-3, AACGGGGCAACCTCGGTT; Rip3-1, GCCGTT-CATTGTGGAGGTAACGTGAGA (WT = 280 bp); and Rip3-2, GAA-GCCCTGTAATGCACCTGAAT (Floxed = 320 bp).

Generation of murine leukemia cell lines. CD117+ HSPCs were isolated from WT, Tnfr1−/−/− (knockout of both Tnfr1 and 2), and Rip3−/−/− mice and infected with MA9-neoexpressing retrovirus. Infected cells were selected with 418 for 2 wk in four-cytokine medium (10 ng/ml IL-3, 25 ng/ml IL-6, 100 ng/ml SCF, and 20 ng/ml GM-SCF) to generate MA9-immortalized cells (PLC in the text) with different genetic mutations. Such PLCs were transplanted into lethally irradiated recipient mice to generate leukemic mice. WT, Tnfr1−/−/−, and Rip3−/−/− LCs isolated from spleens and BM of the corresponding leukemia mice were used in our studies.

To generate NF-κB signal-inactivated MA9-LC, WT-LC, or Tnfr1−/−/− LCs generated from the above experiments were infected with iKeBoSR-GFP–expressing virus and selected by FACS; these were used in our inhibitor treatment studies. iKeBoSR is a mutant form of iKeBo with S32A/S36A substitutions. The protein product of iKeBoSR is stable and has more effective NF-κB inhibitory ability than does WT iKeBo. To generate AP1-repressed MA9-LCs, WT-LCs generated from the above experiments were infected with DN-AP1-GFP–expressing virus. Infected LCs were purified by FACS and subsequently used in our studies.

Reagents. Rip-1 inhibitor Necrostatin (Nec1) was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. Recombinant murine IL-3 (rm-IL-3), rm-IL-6, rm-SCF, and rm-GM-CSF were purchased from eBioscience. TNF was purchased from BD. BAY11-7085 and SP600125 small molecule inhibitors were purchased from Millipore. LY294002 was purchased from LC Laboratories. JNK1 i peptide and negative control were obtained from EMD Millipore. Cell lysis buffer (10×) was obtained from Cell Signaling Technology and JNKi I peptide and negative control were obtained from EMD Millipore. p-AktT308, Mcl-1, xIAP1, and Cyclin D primary and requisite secondary antibodies were also obtained from Cell Signaling Technology. Cell lysis buffer (10×) was obtained from Cell Signaling Technology and JNKi I peptide and negative control were obtained from EMD Millipore. Cell lysis buffer (10×) was obtained from Cell Signaling Technology and JNKi I peptide and negative control were obtained from EMD Millipore.

Cell counting. Cell number was determined by trypan blue exclusion using 0.4% trypan blue (Gibco). At each 24-h interval, all cells were harvested from the plate, centrifuged, resuspended in 1 ml of complete medium, and a sample was taken for counting. All cell counting was performed using trypan blue exclusion as visualized in a hemocytometer under 40× magnification. After counting, cells were resuspended in a total of 3 ml of medium and replated. This was repeated every 24 h for four repetitions.

Colony-forming unit assay. Indicated genotypes of murine LC or BM cells were seeded into MethoCult GF M3434 (STEMCELL Technologies) at 1,000 cells/ml (LC) or 20,000 cells/ml (BM HSPC), incubated at 37°C, 100% humidity, and 5% CO2 for 7 d (LC) or 10 d (HSPC cells). Numbers of colonies were counted according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Triplet experiments were performed in all of our studies. All data were verified by three individual experiments.

Human AML cell lines were seeded into MethoCult base medium without cytokines at 1,000 cells/ml and incubated and read as murine LC. Primary AML patient samples were seeded into MethoCult 4015 Optimum without EPO and incubated at 37°C, 100% humidity, and 5% CO2. Colonies were read 14 d after seeding.

Cell death assay. HSPCs or LCs were incubated in medium with or without inhibitors for 24 h. Cells were then stained with allophycocyanin-conjugated Annexin V in Annexin binding buffer according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BD). Cell death was determined by the percentages of Annexin V+ cells.

Detection of nuclear localization of NF-κB by Annnis ImageStream. Cells were fixed and permeabilized using the Fix/Perm kit (BD) as described by the manufacturer. Intracellular staining was performed using p65 antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology), Alexa Fluor 647 secondary (eBioscience) and DAPI, and was visualized using Annnis ImageStream X. Nuclear localization of p65 was determined using a similarity dilate algorithm determined by ImageStream IDEAS software (EMD Millipore) and analyzed per 5,000 cells. Significant differences were determined using mean and SD values provided by ImageStream Ideas and compared using Student’s t test.

Retroviral infection. High titer retrovirus was produced by co-transfecting Phoenix cells with a retroviral vector containing the indicated genes together with packaging vectors using the Calphos Mammalian Transfection kit (Takara Bio Inc.). Retroviral supernatants were harvested 24 and 48 h after transfection. Retroviral plasmids MSCV-GFP and MSCV-Cre-GFP were provided by C. Niu (University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA); MSCV-MLL-AF9-neo was provided by N. Zeleznik-Le (Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL), and MSCV-IκBαzα-GFP was generated by subcloning the IκBαzα gene from pBabe-IκBαzα-puro plasmids (provided by M. Denning, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL) into the MSCV-ires-GFP vector. pMieg-DN-AP1 was obtained through Addgene. Viruses were generated using these retroviral vectors. LCs or c-kit+ HSPCs were transduced with such virus-expressing genes of interest by spinoculation at 32°C, 2,000 rpm for 4 h. Cells were then incubated under standard culture conditions as described above, and GFP percentage of representative samples was read by flow cytometry every 24 h. This assay allowed us to evaluate the functions of genes on cell growth with both internal control (GFP+ nontransduced cells) and external control (MSCV-GFP infection). Transduced cells were also purified by FACS for GFP assay.

Western blot analysis. 104 LCs/HSPCs were plated in 6-well plates for 5 h in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% FBS. FBS was present at all times during experiments and did not show any effect on the measured signals. Growth factors were removed during this phase to reset the cell signaling to an unstimulated, basal rate. After 5 h of growth factor withdrawal,
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inhibitors were added if required for 1.5 h. After inhibition, cells were stimu-
lated if required for 10 min and removed from the plates and then lysed using
cell lysis buffer (Cell Signaling Technology) supplemented with protease
and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Roche). Contents were resolved on 10% SDS-
PAGE and visualized after transfer to nitrocellulose membranes.

Ex vivo transplantation. 10^4 LCs (CD45.2^+^) were plated in each well
in a suspension culture and treated with indicated doses of BAY11-7085,
SP600125, and anti-TNF antibody (Amgen) in indicated combinations for
12 h. All cells in each well were harvested and mixed with 10^5 support BM
cells (CD45.1^+^) and then equally transplanted into five lethally irradiated
recipient mice (CD45.1^+^). Mice were monitored for leukemia development
by observing for symptoms: hunched body, significant weight loss, or hind-
limb paralysis. Leukemia was confirmed by examining CD45.2^+^ LC in PB,
spleen, and BM, as well as liver and kidney infiltration.

In vivo transplantation and treatment. 2 × 10^4 LCs were transplanted
into sublethally irradiated C57BL/6/J mice with 2 × 10^6 support BM cells via
tail vein injection. 20 d after transplantation, mice were treated with 10^8 pg
L(t)/nTNF anti-m-TNF (Bio X Cell) and 10 mg/kg BAY11-7085 indi-
vidually or in combinations every other day for 10 d. Mice were monitored for
leukemia development by lethargy, paralysis, significant weight loss, and/or
enlarged abdomen. Leukemia was verified after the mice were sacrificed by
examining infiltration of LC in livers, lungs, and spleens.

shRNA knockdown. LCs were transduced with retrovirus-expressing
shRNAs (OriGene) specifically targeted to c-Jun (TG511393), or Mkps
(1:TG514083; 3:TG514782; 5:TG513100). The transduced cells were selected for
1 wk using puromycin to obtain stably transduced cells. Knockdown effi-
ciency was examined by Western blot (-c-Jun) or RT-PCR (Mkps). Scrambled
shRNAs were transduced and studied in parallel as controls.

Primary human samples. PB samples from AML patients were obtained
from the clinic at Loyola University Medical Center in accordance with the
IRB protocol. Leukemic blasts in PB of all patients were 30–90% when sam-
ples were collected. Samples were processed for mononuclear cells (MNCs)
in accordance with the IRB protocol. Leukemic blasts in PB of all patients
were 30–90% when samples were collected. Samples were processed for mononuclear cells (MNCs) by Ficoll-Paque gradient centrifugation. A portion of MNC was used for
in vivo transplantation and treatment.

ShRNA knockdown. LCs were transduced with retrovirus-expressing
shRNAs (OriGene) specifically targeted to c-Jun (TG511393), or Mkps
(1:TG514083; 3:TG514782; 5:TG513100). The transduced cells were selected for
1 wk using puromycin to obtain stably transduced cells. Knockdown effi-
ciency was examined by Western blot (-c-Jun) or RT-PCR (Mkps). Scrambled
shRNAs were transduced and studied in parallel as controls.

Primary human samples. PB samples from AML patients were obtained
from the clinic at Loyola University Medical Center in accordance with the
IRB protocol. Leukemic blasts in PB of all patients were 30–90% when samples
were collected. Samples were processed for mononuclear cells (MNCs) by
Ficoll-Paque gradient centrifugation. A portion of MNC was used for
in vivo transplantation and treatment.

Statistical analysis. Significant differences were determined by Student’s t
test unless otherwise noted.
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