The distinctive germinal center phase of IgE+ B lymphocytes limits their contribution to the classical memory response

Jin-Shu He,1 Michael Meyer-Hermann,2 Deng Xiangying,1 Lim Yok Zuan,1 Leigh Ann Jones,1 Lakshmi Ramakrishna,1 Victor C. de Vries,1 Jayashree Dolpady,3 Hoi Aina,1 Sabrina Joseph,1 Sriram Narayanan,1 Sharrada Subramaniam,1 Manoj Puthia,1 Glenn Wong,1 Huizhong Xiong,3 Michael Poidinger,1 Joseph F. Urban,4 Juan J. Lafaille,3 and Maria A. Curotto de Lafaille1,3

1Singapore Immunology Network (SIgN), Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR), Singapore 138648
2Department of Systems Immunology, Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research and Department of Life Sciences, Technische Universität Braunschweig, D-38124 Braunschweig, Germany
3The Kimmel Center for Biology and Medicine of the Skirball Institute and Department of Pathology, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016
4Beltsville Human Nutrition Research Center, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Beltsville, MD 20705

The mechanisms involved in the maintenance of memory IgE responses are poorly understood, and the role played by germinal center (GC) IgE+ cells in memory responses is particularly unclear. IgE+ B cell differentiation is characterized by a transient GC phase, a bias toward the plasma cell (PC) fate, and dependence on sequential switching for the production of high-affinity IgE. We show here that IgE+ GC B cells are unfit to undergo the conventional GC differentiation program due to impaired B cell receptor function and increased apoptosis. IgE+ GC cells fail to populate the GC light zone and are unable to contribute to the memory and long-lived PC compartments. Furthermore, we demonstrate that direct and sequential switching are linked to distinct B cell differentiation fates: direct switching generates IgE+ GC cells, whereas sequential switching gives rise to IgE+ PCs. We propose a comprehensive model for the generation and memory of IgE responses.
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Zhang et al., 1994; Baskin et al., 1997), but the biological significance of this finding was at that time unknown. Sequential switching in mice entails two recombination events, $\text{S}_{\mu} \to \text{S}_{\gamma 1}$ and $\text{S}_{\mu} \text{S}_{\gamma 1} \to \text{S}_{\epsilon}$, that may be either continuous or temporally separate events. The latter scenario allows for the existence of an intermediate IgG1 cellular phase in which affinity maturation can occur in GCs. Indeed, stimulation of IgG1 cells in the presence of IL-4 either in vivo or in vitro resulted in the production of IgE antibodies (Erazo et al., 2007; Wesemann et al., 2012). Importantly, mice deficient in class switching to IgG1 due to a mutation in the $\gamma 1$ exon (Lorenz et al., 1995) were unable to produce high affinity IgE antibodies (Xiong et al., 2012a,b), indicating that sequential switching is essential for the formation of high affinity IgE.

The recent development of fluorescent reporter mice for IgE has facilitated the identification of IgE GC cells (Figs. 1 B). Only IgE+ cells expressed the mature IgE transcript, whereas the post-switched Cε population allowed for the formation of high affinity IgE.

In the current study, we used a new reporter mouse for class switch recombination (CSR) to IgE, improved methods to functionally study IgE B cells in vivo and in vitro, and in silico modeling to analyze the origin, functional properties, and population dynamics of IgE GC cells and PC. We show that IgE GC cells are unfit to undergo the conventional GC differentiation program and instead undergo apoptosis at a high rate. This “failure to thrive” of IgE GC cells greatly limits their contribution to the memory pool and high affinity PC compartment. Furthermore, we show that the two types of rearrangement to IgE are associated with distinct B cell differentiation fates. Direct $\text{S}_{\mu} \text{S}_{\epsilon}$ rearrangements generate IgE GC cells, whereas sequential switching of IgG1 cells gives rise to IgE PC.

**RESULTS**

**Expression of GFP in CeGFP mice reports all CSR to Ce**

To track immunoglobulin gene CSR to IgE in vivo and in vitro, we generated the CeGFP reporter mice. These mice carry an IRES-GFP cassette insertion in the 3′ untranslated region of the membrane-encoding Ce gene that preserves native polyadenylation signals (Fig. 1 A and Fig. S1 A). In vitro stimulation of naive splenocytes from CeGFP mice with either LPS or anti-CD40 in the presence of IL-4 led to the appearance of GFP+ cells concurrently with production of IgE antibodies, whereas LPS or anti-CD40 stimulation alone did not (Fig. S1, B and C).

To assess GFP expression in vivo, we infected CeGFP BALB/c mice with the parasite *Nippostrongylus brasiliensis*, a known inducer of Th2 responses and IgE production (Urban et al., 1992). Parasite infection resulted in the expected appearance of IgE+GFP+ cells and also elicited a population of IgG1+GFP+ cells in LNs (Fig. 1 B). All GFP+ cells expressed CD95 (Fig. 1 B). Only IgE+ cells expressed the mature IgE transcript, whereas the post-switched Cε transcript was expressed by both IgE+GFP+ cells and IgG1+GFP+ cells, but not by IgG1+GFP− cells (Fig. 1 C). It is well established that CSR can occur in both IgH alleles (Rabbitts et al., 1980; Siebenkotten et al., 1992; Erazo et al., 2007); thus, the expression of both GFP and switched Cε transcript in IgG1+GFP+ indicates that these cells underwent recombination to Ce in the nonproductive IgH allele (Fig. 1 D). These data demonstrate that GFP expression in the novel IgE reporter CeGFP mice uniquely identifies all events of CSR to Ce.

**Marked differences in population dynamics of IgE+ and IgG1+ GC cells**

We next characterized IgG1+ and IgE+ cells in mesenteric LN (mLN) from CeGFP at 10 d after infection with *N. brasiliensis*. IgE+ and IgG1+ cells could each be separated into a B220+CD138/Syndecan-1+ PC population that expressed Pdml, If4, and Xbp1, and a B220+CD138−GC population that instead expressed Bcl6 and Aicda (Fig. 1, E and F). Gene array analysis revealed very similar gene expression patterns within the IgE+ and IgG1+ (IgG1+GFP+ and IgG1+GFP−) GC cells (Fig. 1 G). IgE+ GC cells expressed lower levels of Ig than did IgE+ PC cells (Fig. 1 H), whereas IgG1+ GC cells (both GFP+ and GFP−) expressed higher Ig levels than did their IgG1+ PC counterparts (Fig. 1 H), as previously reported (Erazo et al., 2007; Xiong et al., 2012b; Yang et al., 2012). The predominance of the PC in the IgE+ population (Erazo et al., 2007) was evident at 10 d after infection, at which point IgE+GFP+ PC comprised ~50% of the total IgE+GFP+ cells in mLN, while IgG1+ GC cells greatly outnumbered IgG1+ PC (Fig. 1 E).

We next sought to track cells carrying rearrangements to Ce during infection with *N. brasiliensis*, which included IgE cells, as well as IgG1 cells expressing GFP. Because the mice were heterozygous for the CeGFP insertion, GFP+ cells comprised approximately half of the total cells carrying Ce rearrangements. Due to the low level of IgE surface expression, IgE+GFP− GC cells could not be reliably tracked. IgG1+GFP− and IgG1+GFP+ GC cells displayed similar kinetics, albeit very different frequency. IgE+GFP+ GC expanded quickly up to 13 d, similarly to IgG1+ GC cells, but declined very rapidly thereafter (Fig. 2 A). The similar frequencies of IgE+GFP+ and IgG1+GFP+ GC cells up to 13 d after infection suggests that switching to IgE occurred at comparable rates in both the productive and nonproductive IgH alleles (Fig. 2 A). As the frequency of IgE+ GC cells began to decline from day 13 onward, the IgG1+GFP+ cells began to outnumber the IgE+GFP+ population. During secondary infection, the increase in IgE+ GC cell frequency was also transient whereas IgG1+ GC cell numbers were more stable (Fig. 2 A).

The frequency of IgE+ PC (both GFP+ and GFP−) at 10 d after infection was similar to that of IgE+GFP+ GC cells, but the IgE+ PC population decreased rapidly thereafter and their decline preceded the loss of IgE+GFP+ GC cells (Fig. 2 A and B). These data are inconsistent with the previously proposed model in which the primary cause of declining IgE+ GC cell numbers was attributed to their differentiation into PC (Yang et al., 2012). After secondary infection...
These kinetic analyses identified two distinct phases of the primary GC B cell response in *N. brasiliensis*-infected mice: an initial infective phase that is characterized by the rapid generation and expansion of IgE+ and IgG1+ GC cells, followed by IgE+ and IgG1+ PC frequency in LN transiently increased (Fig. 2 B). IgE+ PC and IgG1+ PC cells were detected in the BM at 21 d after primary infection and also after secondary infection (Fig. 2 C).

Figure 1. *Cc*GFP mice efficiently report all CSR to *Cc*. (A) Schematic representation of *Cc*GFP KI integration into the *Igh Cc* locus. (B–H) *Cc*GFP BALB/c mice were infected with *N. brasiliensis* and mLNs were collected 10 d later for analysis by flow cytometry and sorting. (B) Left: frequency of GFP+ cells among total lymphocytes; middle: CD95 expression on GFP+ cells and GFP−B220− cells; right: frequency of IgE+ and IgG1+ cells within the gated GFP+ population. (C) QPCR analysis of Ce switched (left) and mature (right) transcripts in sorted IgE+GFP+, IgG1+GFP+, and IgG1∗GFP−B220− cells. Error bars indicate SD of duplicated samples. Data are representative of two independent experiments with *n* = 3 mice pooled per experiment. (D) Schematic representation of mature Ce and switched Ce transcripts driving GFP expression from the productive and nonproductive *Igh* alleles. (E) Numbers indicate frequency of B220−CD138− PC and B220−CD138− GC cells among gated IgE+GFP+, IgG1+GFP+, and IgG+GFP− cells. (F and G) IgE+ and IgG1+ LN cells from day 10 *N. brasiliensis* *Cc*GFP BALB/c-infected mice were sorted into B220−CD138− PC and B220−CD138− GC cell populations. Naive B220+FAS−IgG1−IgE− cells were sorted as a control reference population. Gene expression was analyzed using the Affymetrix Mouse Exon 1.0 ST Array. (F) Graphs showed hybridization intensities for GC genes *Bcl6* and *Aicda* and for PC genes *Prdm1*, *Irf4*, and *Xbp1* within the sorted populations. Error bars indicate SEM of *n* = 3–4 samples per group. (G) Heat-map representation of the 8,120 probes differentially expressed in at least one comparison between group IV and groups I, II, or III in the GC array. Probes have been z-score normalized. Similar patterns of gene expression were observed across groups I, II, and III, but not in group IV. (H) Membrane immunoglobulin levels on gated IgE+GFP+, IgG1+GFP+, and IgG+GFP− GC and PC cells. Data shown are representative of at least five experiments (B, E, and H), *n* = 3–5 mice per experiment.
a second phase commencing after worm expulsion on day 11 after infection (Cambéris et al., 2003), at which time IgE+ GC steeply decline and IgG1+ GC cells persist.

**Functional deficiency in B cell receptor expression and signaling in IgE GC cells**

We next hypothesized that a deficiency in BCR expression and/or signaling could underlie the surprisingly rapid decline of IgE+ GC cells in parasite-infected CeGFP mice. To directly compare BCR expression between IgE+ and IgG1+ cells, we next studied these populations in TBmc mice (Curotto de Lafaille et al., 2001), in which all B cells are specific for the HA peptide. This enabled us to use the same peptide antigen to stain the BCR in both IgG1+ and IgE+ cells. BCR levels were quantified in mLN cells isolated on day 12 after immunization by staining with the peptide HA and with antibodies against IgE and IgG1. Mutations in V(D)J genes are rare at this time point in TBmc mice (Erazo et al., 2007); hence, HA binding was neither compromised, nor did it interfere with the binding of isotype-specific antibodies (Fig. 3, A and B). Analysis of HA binding level (MFI) demonstrated that surface immunoglobulin levels in IgE+ GC cells were three- to fourfold lower than in IgG1+ GC cells (Fig. 3, C and D). In contrast, IgE+ PCs expressed three- to fourfold higher levels of membrane immunoglobulin than did IgG1+ cells (Fig. 3 D).

We next investigated the correlation of surface BCR expression with transcript levels for total Ig, membrane-bound Ig, and secreted Ig (Fig. 3 E). We detected comparable levels of mature steady-state transcripts in both IgE+ and IgG1+ GC cells, as well as in both IgE+ PC and IgG1+ PC, although total transcript expression level was >100-fold higher in PC cells compared with GC cells (Fig. 3 F). IgE+ GC cells produced atypically high levels of secreted IgE transcripts but low levels of IgE membrane transcripts (Fig. 3, G and H), as has previously also been described for IgE+B220+ cells (Karnowsk et al., 2006). In addition, membrane IgE transcripts were up-regulated in IgE+ PC compared with IgE+ GC cells, whereas the reverse was the case for IgG1+ cells (Fig. 3 F), consistent with our earlier flow cytometry data (Fig. 1 H).

BCR expression and signaling are essential for the survival of mature B cells (Lam et al., 1997; Kraus et al., 2004), and both BCR/Ag-internalization and BCR signaling are thought to be necessary for effective selection and survival in the GC (Tarlinton and Smith, 2000; Hou et al., 2006; Vassort et al., 2007; Victora and Nussenzweig, 2012). We therefore investigated whether the rapid decline of IgE+ GC cells in CeGFP mice could be due to impaired BCR signaling in this population. A previous report identified that IgM+ GC cells exhibit impaired BCR signaling (except during G2/M) due to a high level of phosphatase activity (Khali et al., 2012), and similarly, we observed that switched IgG1+ and IgE+ GC cells from TBmc mice responded poorly to BCR cross-linking (Fig. 4 A). Treatment of GC cells with increasing concentrations of the phosphatase inhibitor H2O2 led to a dose-dependent phosphorylation of proximal signaling molecules Syk and Blnk (Fig. 4, B and C). Phosphorylation of Erk1/2 occurs downstream of BCR, and CD40 signaling, and high levels of p-Erk1/2 expression were induced even at the lowest H2O2 concentration (Fig. 4, B and C). Phosphorylation of Erk1/2 occurs downstream of BCR, and CD40 signaling, and high levels of p-Erk1/2 expression were induced even at the lowest H2O2 concentration (Fig. 4, B and C). Interestingly, when subjected to suboptimal stimulation, IgE+ GC cells exhibited far lower levels of p-Syk and p-Blnk than did IgG1+ GC cells (Fig. 4, B and C). Phosphorylation of Erk1/2 occurs downstream of BCR, and CD40 signaling, and high levels of p-Erk1/2 expression were induced even at the lowest H2O2 concentration (Fig. 4, B and C). Phosphorylation of Erk1/2 occurs downstream of BCR, and CD40 signaling, and high levels of p-Erk1/2 expression were induced even at the lowest H2O2 concentration (Fig. 4, B and C).
In sum, we demonstrated marked differences in the regulation of membrane and secreted Ig expression between IgG1+ and IgE+ cells, and in particular, that IgE+ GC cells exhibit decreased BCR expression and reduced proximal signaling upon phosphatase inhibition.

CD80, LIGHTR, CD21/CD35, ICOSL, and OX40L. We found that expression of the surface C3d receptor CD21/CD35, and of ICOSL and OX40L, were significantly reduced in IgE+ GC cells compared with IgG1+ GC cells (Fig. 5, A and B).

Figure 3. Dysregulated expression of membrane and secreted immunoglobulin in IgE+ cells. (A–D) TBmc mice were immunized with OVA-HA and the mLNs were harvested 12 d later. Cells were incubated with 0–1,000 ng/ml of labeled HA peptide, along with antibodies. (A) Dot plots show the frequencies of IgE+ and IgG1+ cells among the gated IgD−IgM−B220−CD138− switched GC cells and among CD138+B220+ PC populations. (B) Binding competition between HA peptide and antibodies against membrane immunoglobulin was examined by flow cytometry. Histograms show fluorescence intensity of IgE and IgG1 staining in GC cells costained with increasing concentrations of HA. (C) Histograms show levels of surface-bound HA on IgE+ and IgG1+ GC cells. (D) MFI of bound HA on GC populations (top) and on PC populations (bottom) was determined at different peptide concentrations by subtracting the MFI value of the HA unstained control samples. (E) QPCR primer locations for total IgE, membrane-bound IgE, and secreted IgE transcripts (tr.). (F–H) CxGFP TBmc mice were immunized with OVA-PEP1 and the mLN were harvested 12 d later. (F) QPCR analysis of total VDJ transcript levels in purified IgE+ and IgG1+ GC cells and IgE+ and IgG1+ PC. (G and H) QPCR analysis of secreted and membrane Cε1 transcripts, and secreted and membrane Cy1 transcripts in IgE+ and IgG1+ GC cells and in IgE+ and IgG1+ PC. Error bars indicate SEM of n = 3 mice (D and F–H). *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001. Data are representative of two independent experiments (A–D and F–H).
Differentially expressed LZ and DZ gene sets were then generated by comparison of the LZ and DZ gene expression profiles of IgG1+ cells (Table S2). GeneGo pathway analysis of LZ and DZ genes yielded comparable results to previously reported data (Victora et al., 2010, 2012), although we did observe a novel increase in mitochondria redox activity in DZ cells (Table S2). This pathway was found to be specifically up-regulated in GC cells among all B lymphocyte populations when compared in Immgen (Heng and Painter, 2008).

Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of the LZ and DZ gene signatures of total IgE+ and IgG1+ GC cells (Fig. 6 C and Table S2) revealed enrichment of the DZ gene signature among IgE+ cells (Fig. 6 C), which was consistent with our earlier flow cytometry data. BrdU incorporation identified a higher frequency of proliferating cells in the total IgE+ GC population than in total IgG1+ GC cells, reflecting the low LZ component of IgE+ GC cells (Fig. 6 D).

**Increased dark zone (DZ) apoptosis and reduced light zone (LZ) frequency suggest decreased DZ to LZ output of IgE+ GC cells**

GCs are anatomically and functionally separated into two distinct zones: a DZ, wherein cell proliferation and somatic hypermutation occur, and an LZ, where GC cells undergo selection via interactions with follicular DCs (FDCs) and T follicular helper cells (Tfh cells; Kelsoe, 1996; Victora and Nussenzweig, 2012). The LZ and DZ environments are maintained by differential expression of the chemokines CXCL12 (DZ) and CXCL13 (LZ), and GC B cells in these different zones can be distinguished by the differential expression of CXCR4 and CD86/CD83 (Allen et al., 2004; Victora et al., 2010).

Intriguingly, analysis of the LZ/DZ distribution of IgE+ and IgG1+ cells demonstrated a threefold reduction in the frequency of IgE+ cells exhibiting the characteristic CXCR4−CD86+ LZ phenotype compared with IgG1+ cells (Fig. 6, A and B).
The distribution of LZ and DZ B cells is determined by GC dynamics of cell proliferation, selection, apoptosis, differentiation, and intra-zonal migration (Victora et al., 2010; Meyer-Hermann et al., 2012). To determine the best-fit hypothesis for the IgE DZ/LZ distribution identified here, we next developed a mathematical model of CSR and IgE+ GC B cell properties using previously defined parameters for GC dynamics (including cell migration, division, selection, zonal exit, and antibody production; Meyer-Hermann et al., 2006, 2012; Figge et al., 2008; Garin et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2013). This model also incorporated new parameters for IgM+ GC population kinetics, probability of CSR to IgE and IgG1, and immunoglobulin isotype-specific BCR expression.

Based on the reduced BCR expression of IgE+ GC cells, two non–mutually exclusive scenarios were considered in silico. In the first scenario, IgE+ GC cells were less competitive than IgG1+ GC cells for antigen capture and were thus impaired in their ability to interact with Tfh cells and undergo selection in the LZ. Inefficient selection of IgE+ GC cells in the LZ was tested by reducing the probability of antigen uptake by IgE GC cells to <0.3 in the in silico model (based on BCR expression level among IgE+ cells being only 30% of that observed in IgG1+ GC, Fig. 3 D). However, this inefficient selection process was not sufficient to reproduce the DZ/LZ ratio of IgE+ cells observed in the wet laboratory (Fig. 6 K). In contrast, in the
Figure 6. Altered LZ/DZ distribution and increased apoptosis of IgE+ GC cells compared with IgG1+ GC cells. Single cell suspensions were prepared from the mLNs of C57BL/6 mice on day 10 of infection with N. brasiliensis. (A) Dot plots show the DZ and LZ phenotypes among IgE+GFP+, IgG1+GFP+, and IgG1+GFP− GC cells. CD86 and CD43 were used as markers to discriminate GC LZ (CD86hiCD43lo) and DZ (CD86loCD43hi) cells. (B) Quantification of DZ to LZ cell ratio among the gated populations. Error bars indicate SEM of n = 6 mice. (C) GSEA analysis showing the enrichment of gene signatures for DZ genes in IgE+ cells and for LZ genes in IgG1+ cells. Gene sets were derived from the DEG of the LZ/DZ array. All nominal P-values and FDR rates were <0.001. (D) Kinetics of BrdU incorporation in total (top) and DZ (bottom) IgE+GFP+ and IgG1+GFP− GC cells as assessed by flow cytometry. Error bars indicate SEM of n = 3 mice. (E) Ratio of LZ/DZ BCR expression levels (MFI) on gated LZ (CD86hiCD43lo) and DZ (CD86loCD43hi) IgE+GFP− GC cells and IgG1+GC (GFP+ and GFP−) cells. Error bars indicate SEM of n = 6 mice. (F) Immunohistology of frozen mLN sections from day 11 after infection with N. brasiliensis to show the distribution of IgE+ and IgG1+ cells (green) in the LZ (CD35+, red) and DZ of the GC. Bar, 50 µm. (G–J) Apoptosis assay performed using the CaspGLOW active Caspase Staining kit. (G) Flow cytometry analysis showing the frequency of apoptotic cells (CaspGLOW+) among the gated populations. (H) Quantification of cell apoptosis (CaspGLOW+). Error bars indicate SEM of n = 5 mice. (I) Apoptotic cell distribution in the CXCR4hi and CXCR4lo compartments of the gated populations. (J) Percentage of apoptotic DZ cells among total apoptotic cells (DZ plus LZ) in IgE+GFP− and IgG1+GFP− GC cells. Error bars indicate SEM of n = 5 mice. Data are representative of at least five independent experiments (A, B, and E), two independent experiments (D and F), and three independent experiments (G–J), **, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.001. (K and L) DZ to LZ ratio in silico. (K) DZ to LZ ratio when selection of IgE+ GC cells in the LZ was reduced to 30%. (L) DZ to LZ ratio when IgE+ DZ cells failed to up-regulate CXCR5 and migrate to the LZ. (M) Frequency of total apoptotic IgE+ and IgG1+ GC cells (left), apoptosis in the DZ (middle), and apoptosis in the LZ (right) resulting from impaired DZ to LZ output in silico. Data represent mean and SD of 30 simulations (K–M).

Second scenario where IgE+ DZ cells failed to respond to LZ-derived CXCL13 and were thus retained in the DZ, the experimentally observed DZ/LZ distribution of IgE+ cells was successfully replicated by the in silico model (Fig. 6 L). In accordance with the experimental data, the reduced DZ to LZ output of IgE+ cells in silico was associated with increased rates of apoptosis among this population and similar percentage of apoptotic IgE+ cells in LZ and DZ (Fig. 6 M). As the DZ/LZ ratio of IgE+ cells is ~6 (Fig. 6 B), this percentage distribution is consistent with most apoptotic IgE+ cells residing in the DZ.
Both the experimental and in silico analyses of IgE⁺ GC B cells reported here support a model in which reduced DZ to LZ output of IgE⁺ cells drives the distinct DZ/LZ distribution of this population. Reduced expression of the BCR and other costimulatory molecules in IgE⁺ GC cells may simultaneously contribute to the impaired selection of these cells in the LZ.

**Direct versus sequential switching origin of IgE⁺ GC cells and IgE⁺ PC**

We previously demonstrated that sequential switching is the main mechanism to generate high affinity IgE antibodies (Erazo et al., 2007; Xiong et al., 2012b), so we next investigated whether direct switching and sequential switching had different roles to play in the generation of IgE⁺ GC cells and IgE⁺ PC. Genetic evidence of Sµ-Sζ remnants can be determined by the presence of Sµ-Sζ DNA clones from IgE⁺ PC harvested during primary and secondary responses (Fig. 7 B). The highest frequency of Sµ-Sζ remnants (∼50%) was found in BM IgE⁺ PC after secondary immunization (Fig. 7 B). It should be noted here that only a fraction of Sµ-Sζ junctions retain Sy1 DNA (Xiong et al., 2012b); hence, the percentages indicated above underestimate the true extent of sequential switching. These results indicated that IgE⁺ GC cells are generated by direct switching of IgM⁺ cells, whereas sequential switching from IgG⁺ GC cells gives rise to IgE⁺ PC, even during primary immunization.

We next investigated the implications of these data by testing different models of CSR under distinct sets of GC kinetic conditions in silico. The population dynamics required to induce a steep decline in IgE⁺ GC cells could only be achieved when the IgE⁺ GC cells originated from IgM⁺ precursor cells (Fig. 7 C) but not IgG⁺ GC precursor cells (Fig. 7 D). In this model, the IgM⁺ cells switched to either IgG⁺ or IgE⁺ with assigned probabilities of $p_{MG} = 0.04$ and $p_{ME} = 0.01$, respectively. IgG⁺ GC cells were assumed to sequentially switch to IgE with probability $p_{GE}$. In simulations with $p_{GE} > 0$, the population kinetics of IgE⁺ GC B cells matched the population kinetics of the IgG⁺ cells, even when IgE⁺ B cells failed selection at a rate of 100%. Thus, the mathematical analyses predicted that IgE⁺ GC B cells are derived from IgM⁺ B cells, and that IgG⁺ GC B cells do not switch to IgE before exiting the GC. Collectively, both the molecular and in silico analyses support a direct switch origin for IgE⁺ GC cells.

We then determined whether IgE⁺ and IgG⁺ GC and PC cells displayed different rates of somatic hypermutation and affinity maturation. Analysis of the heavy chain VDJ gene

---

**Figure 7. Direct switching origin of IgE⁺ GC cells: molecular and in silico analysis.**

(A) Schematic representation of Sy1 footprint analysis. The switched Sµ-Sζ DNA fragments were PCR amplified from purified cells that contained rearrangements to Sζ (IgE⁺ and IgG⁺GFP⁺) and the PCR products were subsequently cloned. Clones containing Sµ-Sζ switch regions were identified by QPCR analysis of µ-enhancer and Sζ sequences. The presence of Sy1 sequences was determined by QPCR or DNA sequencing. (B) Sy1 DNA repeat “footprints” in the Sµ-Sζ fragment of IgE⁺ cells. Sy1 clones among Sµ-Sζ DNA clones in IgE⁺ cells sorted from mice after primary and secondary infection/immunization. Mice were subjected to secondary infection at 30–32 d after primary infection or secondary immunization at day 35 after primary immunization. IgE⁺ GC cells were sorted from pooled mLN and spleen, and IgE⁺ PCs were sorted from pooled mLN and spleen or BM. n = 3–7 mice per group total pooled from two to three independent experiments. (C) Direct switching model in silico. The graph shows the population kinetics of IgE⁺ GC cells when they were originated from IgM⁺ but not IgG⁺ GC precursor cells. (D) Sequential switching model in silico. The graph shows the population kinetics of IgE⁺ GC cells if they were originated from IgG⁺ but not IgM⁺ GC precursor cells. Data represent mean and SD of 30 simulations (C and D).
mutations in IgE+ and IgG1+ GC cells indicated higher frequencies of nucleotide and amino acid mutations during primary immunizations in IgG1+ GC cells compared with IgE+ GC cells (Fig. 8, A and B). IgE+ and IgG1+ GC cells carried slightly higher numbers of nucleotide and amino acid mutations per sequence than did PC after primary immunization, whereas the reverse was true for IgG1+ cells during secondary immunization (Fig. 8, A and B). The frequency of high affinity amino acid mutations was increased in IgG1+ PC compared with IgE+ PC (Fig. 8 C), consistent with previous observations (Erazo et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2012). Our results demonstrate that IgE+ GCs are not intermediates in the generation of high affinity IgE+ PC through sequential switching, and that IgE+ GC cells are not hampered in their capacity to acquire affinity enhancing mutations.

**IgE−B220+ switched B cells and IgE+ PC are the main contributors to IgE memory**

The impaired GC phase of the IgE response led us to hypothesize that conventional IgE memory cells might not exist (Erazo et al., 2007; Xiong et al., 2012a,b), a concept challenged in recent publications (Lafaille et al., 2012; Talay et al., 2012). Because markers for memory IgE+ cells could potentially differ from markers of other memory B cell populations (Kurosaki et al., 2010), we next established a marker-independent method for determining whether IgE−B220+CD138− cells containing both GC and putative memory cells) could contribute to a secondary antibody response. For this purpose we purified HA-specific, IgE-depleted IgM−IgD−B220+CD138− cells and IgE-containing total IgM−IgD−B220+CD138− cells from TBmice mice immunized 3 wk earlier (Fig. 9 A; and Fig. S2, A and B). The purified B cells were transferred to BALB/c mice together with naive OVA-specific T cells, and the mice were subsequently immunized with OVA-HA. Two weeks later, serum levels of HA-specific IgE were assayed and found to be comparable between the mouse groups that received switched B220+ B cells irrespective of whether the transplanted cells included IgE+ B cells (Fig. 9 B). The two groups of mice also exhibited similar levels of HA-specific IgE transcripts in LN, spleen, and BM (Fig. 9 C). Under these conditions, the contribution of endogenous lymphocytes to the HA-specific IgE and IgG1 responses was undetectable (Fig. 9, B and C). These results indicate that the contribution of IgE−B220+CD138− cells to the IgE recall response is negligible.

We next investigated whether IgE-depleted PC from spleen and LN were capable of generating an IgE response
memory of IgE responses, and the specific role played by IgG1+ cells in the ultimate generation of IgE+ PC.

We observed striking differences between the population kinetics of IgE+ and IgG1+ GC B cells during a primary immune response, similar to previous findings from Yang et al. (2012). However, although these authors proposed that the rapid decline in IgE+ GC cell frequency was likely due to their differentiation to PC, we now provide evidence for an alternative model in which IgE+ GC cells are unfit to engage in the dynamics of the mature GC and are rapidly depleted by high rates of apoptosis. We propose that this phenotype is primarily conferred by impaired BCR expression and function in IgE+ GC cells. In addition, we identified striking differences in the switch origin of IgE+ GC cells and IgE+ PC that further question the ability of IgE+ GC cells to give rise to IgE+ PC.

Our analysis of Sμ-Sε switch junctions revealed that IgE+ GC cells are the product of direct class switching, with virtually no Sy1 remnants evident in the Sμ-Sε junctions after either primary or secondary responses. The IgE+ GC cells present after secondary immunization exhibited more extensive VDJ mutations than after primary immunization. One possibility to explain these findings is that IgM+ memory cells (Dogan et al., 2009; Pape et al., 2011), rather than IgM naive cells, generate IgE+ GC cells in secondary immunization. The IgM memory would respond faster than naive cells to the secondary challenge. In addition (and non–mutually exclusively) the newly generated IgE+ GC cells may rapidly acquire comparable to their CD138− counterparts. Purified IgE− PC or IgE+ PC cells from immunized TBmc mice were transferred into BALB/c mice that received no further treatment (Fig. 10 A; and Fig. S2, C and D). Two weeks later, the mice that had been injected with purified IgE+ PC displayed significantly higher levels of serum HA-specific IgE antibodies and HA-specific IgE transcripts in spleen and BM than mice that had received IgE− PC (Fig. 10, B and C). IgE antibodies were still detected in serum up to 60 d after transfer (Fig. 10 D). IgE− PC transferred production of HA–specific IgG1 antibodies but not production of HA–specific IgE antibodies (Fig. 10 B), confirming that IgG1+ PCs are terminally differentiated and unable to undergo CSR. Although donor IgE+ PC contributed markedly less to HA–specific serum Ig than did donor IgG1+ cells, these data clearly demonstrate that IgE− PCs mediate the long-term production of serum IgE. Our results are consistent with the concept that classical memory IgE cells are mostly absent in mice, and that IgE memory relies predominantly on de novo switching from non-IgE+ cells and on the maintenance of long-lived IgE+ PC.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated CSR to IgE in vivo using a new reporter mouse strain that marks all cells carrying rearrangements to Sε. We described novel findings on the developmental origin, phenotype, and function of IgE+ GC cells that explain their rapid decline and failure to contribute to the memory of IgE responses, and the specific role played by IgG1+ cells in the ultimate generation of IgE+ PC.

We observed striking differences between the population kinetics of IgE+ and IgG1+ GC B cells during a primary immune response, similar to previous findings from Yang et al. (2012). However, although these authors proposed that the rapid decline in IgE+ GC cell frequency was likely due to their differentiation to PC, we now provide evidence for an alternative model in which IgE+ GC cells are unfit to engage in the dynamics of the mature GC and are rapidly depleted by high rates of apoptosis. We propose that this phenotype is primarily conferred by impaired BCR expression and function in IgE+ GC cells. In addition, we identified striking differences in the switch origin of IgE+ GC cells and IgE+ PC that further question the ability of IgE+ GC cells to give rise to IgE+ PC.
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...and Nussenzweig, 2012). BCR signaling is also believed to be necessary during the GC reaction, although it is not yet clear how and when this occurs. Both processes (BCR-mediated antigen uptake and BCR signaling) share a requirement for signaling molecules such as Syk (Hou et al., 2006; Vascotto et al., 2007). It has been suggested that BCR signaling-dependent checkpoints take place in the G2/M phase during each replication cycle (Khalil et al., 2012). Because GC cells in G2/M are in the DZ (Victora et al., 2010), BCR signaling would occur in the DZ. Thus, impaired signaling in IgE+ DZ cells may drive the higher incidence of apoptosis that we observed among these cells.

DZ cells may also require BCR function (antigen capture, signaling, or antigen presentation) to successfully up-regulate CXCR5 and transition into the LZ. We observed that strikingly few IgE+ cells in the GC exhibited a characteristic LZ phenotype. The DZ and LZ composition of GCs is determined by rates of B cell proliferation, selection, differentiation, and interzonal migration (Meyer-Hermann et al., 2006, 2012; Figge et al., 2008; Garin et al., 2010; Victora et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2013). LZ cells are selected to differentiate into memory or PCs, or to recycle to the DZ, whereas nonselected cells undergo apoptosis. In the DZ these cells instead mutate and undergo rapid clonal expansion. A higher proportion of DZ than LZ cells engages in intra-zonal migration, producing a net migration of cells from DZ to the LZ (Victora et al., 2010).

...mutations due to enhanced T cell help and the presence of preexisting GC structures. Alternatively, it is also possible that a few IgE+ GC cells survive after the primary immunization and can transiently expand during a recall response.

In contrast to IgE+ GC cells, Sy1 remnants were identified in 20–30% of the Spµ-Sε junctions in IgE+ PC in spleen and LN, and in up to 50% of IgE+ PC in BM, indicating a sequential switching origin for these populations. Because Sy1 sequences may be lost during Spµ-Sy1-Sε switching, the frequency of sequential switching is always partially underestimated by the quantification of Sy1 remnants. Sequentially switched PC cells can never be generated by directly switched GC cells because the entire Sy1 DNA is deleted upon direct Spµ-Sε switching. A substantial fraction of the IgE-producing PC cells must therefore have distinct origins from those of IgE+ GC cells. Based on the population kinetics of these subsets, similar conclusions on the precursor origins of IgE+ GC cells and IgE+ PC were also reached via our mathematical modeling approaches.

We report here that IgE+ GC cells express three- to fourfold lower levels of BCR than do IgG1+ GC cells, as well as exhibiting a comparatively reduced BCR signaling response to H2O2. We propose that these deficiencies contribute to the high levels of apoptosis observed among IgE+ GC cells. BCR-mediated antigen uptake is essential for antigen presentation to Tfh cells and subsequent clonal selection in the GC (Victora and Nussenzweig, 2012). BCR signaling is also believed to be necessary during the GC reaction, although it is not yet clear how and when this occurs. Both processes (BCR-mediated antigen uptake and BCR signaling) share a requirement for signaling molecules such as Syk (Hou et al., 2006; Vascotto et al., 2007). It has been suggested that BCR signaling-dependent checkpoints take place in the G2/M phase during each replication cycle (Khalil et al., 2012). Because GC cells in G2/M are in the DZ (Victora et al., 2010), BCR signaling would occur in the DZ. Thus, impaired signaling in IgE+ DZ cells may drive the higher incidence of apoptosis that we observed among these cells.

DZ cells may also require BCR function (antigen capture, signaling, or antigen presentation) to successfully up-regulate CXCR5 and transition into the LZ. We observed that strikingly few IgE+ cells in the GC exhibited a characteristic LZ phenotype. The DZ and LZ composition of GCs is determined by rates of B cell proliferation, selection, differentiation, and interzonal migration (Meyer-Hermann et al., 2006, 2012; Figge et al., 2008; Garin et al., 2010; Victora et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2013). LZ cells are selected to differentiate into memory or PCs, or to recycle to the DZ, whereas nonselected cells undergo apoptosis. In the DZ these cells instead mutate and undergo rapid clonal expansion. A higher proportion of DZ than LZ cells engages in intra-zonal migration, producing a net migration of cells from DZ to the LZ (Victora et al., 2010).
Using mathematical analyses, we tested whether the low frequency of IgE+ LZ cells could be accounted for by impaired LZ selection into the recycling pool, or by decreased DZ to LZ migration. We found that the best-fit model for the observed DZ/LZ distribution of IgE+ GC cells was generated by a decreased DZ to LZ output. It has previously been reported that low-affinity GC B cells are retained in the LZ during competition with high affinity B cells (Victora et al., 2010). The phenotype of IgE+ GC cells therefore appears to result from an intrinsic defect in fitness/survival rather than a lack of affinity maturation, or differentiation into PC.

The high apoptosis of IgE+ GC cells may partially explain the dominance of the PC component in IgE responses. However, as previously suggested, an increased tendency of IgE+ cells to differentiate into PC may also play a role, and we have shown that sequential switching from IgG1+ cells is coupled to IgE+ PC differentiation. IgE+ PC comprise ~20–40% of the total PC population in spleen and LN, similar to IgG1+ PC. Because class switching to IgE occurs with much lower probability than class switching to IgG1 (Siebenkotten et al., 1992; Hackney et al., 2009), the high frequency of IgE+ PC suggests a higher rate of differentiation to PC than IgG1+ cells.

The observation in a recent report that the steep decline in IgE+ GC cells was not prevented that by Bcl2 transgene overexpression was considered as proof that IgE+ GC cell numbers decrease due to differentiation into PC (Yang et al., 2012). However, earlier investigations in Bcl2 transgenic mice reported that despite excluding normal GC size, these animals also display restricted proliferation of GC cells in addition to impaired GC apoptosis (Smith et al., 1994, 2000). Furthermore, Bcl2 overexpression led to an increase in the number of memory B cells and PC cells with low antigen affinity (Smith et al., 1994, 2000). It was concluded that the Bcl2 overexpression rescued low affinity GC cells into the memory and PC compartments. These complex effects of Bcl2 overexpression thus make it difficult to interpret its role in IgE+ GC cells. In the current report, we demonstrate that IgE+ GC cells undergo affinity maturation, but their numbers are decreased in the LZ where Th3-mediated selection into memory cells and high affinity PC occurs. Thus, IgE+ GC cells in the LZ may not reach the differentiation stage at which they might benefit from transgenic Bcl2 expression.

To determine whether IgE+ GC cells contribute to the memory pool and long-lived PC compartments, we performed adoptive transfer experiments using B220+ switched B cells either containing or lacking IgE+ cells. We determined that IgE+B220+ cells did not significantly contribute to secondary IgE antibody responses intransplanted animals. Rather, IgE antibodies were generated by the sequential switching of IgG1+ cells. It was previously reported that the production of IgE, but not IgG1, during secondary immune responses requires IL-4, suggesting that de novo switching to IgE is necessary for recall responses (Finkelman et al., 1988). Contrasting with these results, Talay et al. (2012) used an IgE-IRES-GFP reporter mouse to determine that IgE+ memory cells, and not other B cells, mediated secondary IgE responses. However, the model used by these authors included the addition of a human membrane exon and the replacement of key polyadenylation sites in the IgE locus that may have modified antibody expression and/or altered the biology of IgE-producing cells in these animals (Lafaille et al., 2012).

The existence of long-lived IgE+ PC has been controversial (Achatz-Strausberger et al., 2008; Luguer et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2012), and our current findings now demonstrate that IgE+ PC contribute to long-term production of serum IgE, albeit less efficiently than do IgG1+ PC. This may be due to increased apoptosis or inefficient BM homing of IgE+ PC (Achatz-Strausberger et al., 2008), the lower half-life of serum IgE (Vieira and Rajewsky, 1988), and cytolysisic removal of serum IgE through binding to FcεRI and FcεRII/CD23 (Gould and Suton, 2008).

On average, IgE+ PC exhibited fewer high affinity amino acid replacements than did IgG1+ PC, which was in agreement with a delayed affinity maturation of the IgE response compared with the IgG1 response (Erazo et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2012). If most IgE+ PC cells are generated from IgG1+ cells, it may be that the IgG1+ cells that become IgG1+ PC are different (of higher affinity or different differentiation stage) than the IgG1+ cells that become IgE+ PC.

In sum, the data presented here on IgE GC cell phenotype and function, and on the distinct roles of direct and sequential switching in IgE+ GC and IgE+ PC differentiation, can be integrated with previous findings to construct a model of T cell–dependent IgE responses. In the initial phase of a response, direct Sp-εε switching from IgM+ cells generates a transient wave of IgE+ GC cells. A population of IgE+ PC is also generated at least in part through sequential switching of IgG1+ cells. As total antigen levels decrease and antigen becomes restricted to FDC depots, the generation of IgE+ GC cells is halted. Reduced BCR function renders IgE+ GC cells unfit to engage in the GC dynamics, resulting in higher rates of apoptosis and a rapid decline of the entire IgE+ GC population. Consequently, IgE+ GC cells are unable to contribute to the memory and high affinity PC compartments. In contrast, IgG1+ cells can successfully engage in continuous cycles of proliferation/mutation and selection in the GC. Interactions of IgG1+ GC cells or IgG1+ memory cells with IL-4–producing T helper cells lead to sequential switching to IgE and rapid formation of IgE+ PC. This model offers an explanation as to why the high affinity IgE response depends on sequential switching from IgG1+ B cells despite the earlier generation of IgE+ GC cells. It is tempting to speculate that these features of the IgE response may result from evolutionary pressure to restrict the development of IgE memory cells and yet maintain the capacity to produce limited amounts of high-affinity IgE antibodies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. C57B16 mice were generated by gene targeting in mouse embryonic stem (ES) cells. The DNA targeting vector contained an IRES-GFP cassette inserted into the 3′ UTR region of the Cε gene, downstream of the M2 membrane exon and upstream of the polyadenylation sequences (Fig. S1 A). The targeting construct also contained a neo-loxP cassette inserted...
in the intron between the CH4 and MI exons, and an HSI-TK (Herpes Simplex Virus thymidine kinase) cassette at the 3′ end of the construct. The linearized vector was transfected into a clone of the 129-derived J1 ES cell line. The ES cells were then selected in medium containing G418 and gancyclovir for positive and negative selection, respectively. Colonies carrying homologous integrations were first identified by PCR using primers 5′-GAAC-ACCCCAAGAGAGACA-3′ (INT5F) and 5′-GCTTGAGAC- GAGATCAGC-3′ (INT5R), and 5′-CCACCTACACAGGGGCTA-3′ (UpM-R), and integration was confirmed by Southern blotting. Retention of the IRES-GFP cassette downstream of the membrane exons was confirmed by PCR using the primers 5′-CTGTTCTAGCCCAAAGTGC-3′ (INT5R) and 5′-TGGATTCTCTGTGCCAG-3′ (IRE5R2). The neo-locP cassette was then removed by Cre-mediated recombination before injection of the ES cells into blastocysts. Deletion of the neo-locP cassette was confirmed by PCR using primers 5′-GTATATGGTTCCACACACCA-3′ (INT5F4) and 5′-CTGTTCTAGCCCAAAGTGC3′ (INT5R4), and by the loss of resistance to G418. Mice carrying the IRES-GFP cassette were then backcrossed to BALB/c mice (Curotto de Lafaille et al., 2001). All the mice used in the current study were C57B6GFP heterozygous.

BALB/c mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Mice were bred and housed in the specific pathogen-free animal facility of the Biological Research Center (BRC) A*STAR, Singapore. All animal procedures were approved by the BRC/A*STAR Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. 6–8-wk-old mice were used in all experiments.

Isolation of mouse naive B cells and in vitro induction of IgE production. Naive B cells were isolated from the spleens and mLNs of untreated C57B6GFP mice, using anti-CD34 MicroBeads (Milteny Biotech) and magnetic cell sorting. A total of 0.25 × 10⁷/ml of purified cells were stimulated with 20 µg/ml LPS (Sigma Aldrich) and 10 ng/ml IL-4 (R&D Systems) or with 3 µg/ml anti-CD40 antibodies (eBioscience) and 5 ng/ml IL-4 for 4–5 d in vitro.

Immunizations and infections. C57B6GFP TBMc mice and WT BALB/c mice were immunized by i.p. injection of 100 µg OVA-PEP1 (chicken ovalbumin cross-linked to HA peptide) or 100 µg OVA-PEP1 and 300 µg recombinant Human Interleukin 5 (rIL-5) in alum (Erazo et al., 2007). C57B6GFP TBMc and WT BALB/c mice were infected with 500 L3 larvae of N. brasiliensis via subcutaneous injection. Immunizations and infections.

Microarray analysis. NA was isolated from sorted B cell subsets using Arcturus Picopure (Life Technologies) RNA Purification kits. RNA quality was assessed by Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). SPIA cDNA were prepared from 2 ng of total RNA using the Ovation Pico WTA system (v2; NuGEN) kit protocol before fragmentation and labeling according to the Encore Biotin kit (NuGEN) protocol.
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VDJ sequence analysis. Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis were performed using standard procedures with sorted cells isolated from OVA-PEP1-immunized TBmc mice. PCR analysis of cDNA was performed using ExTaq Polymerase (Takara Bio Inc.) with the forward primer 5’UTR and reverse primers specific for IgE and IgG1 constant regions, as previously described (Erazo et al., 2007).

Adaptive transfer experiments. Switched B cells were isolated from pooled spleen and mLN of TBmc mice three weeks after immunization with OVA-HA in alum. Cells were preenriched by incubating with negative selection cocktail including CD3ε-biotin, CD11c-biotin, Gr-1-biotin, and TER-119-biotin, followed by addition of streptavidin MicroBeads and magnetic depletion using the Miltenyi Biotec system. Unbound cells were then stained with Alexa Fluor 647 anti-IgE (R1E4); PerCP-eFluor710 anti-IgG1, PE anti-CD138, APC-eFluor780 anti-B220, PE.Cy7 anti-CD8, and eFluor450 anti-CD3. Total switched GC/memory cells were sorted as IgM+IgD−CD138+ B220− cells. IgE-switched GC/memory cells were sorted as IgM−IgD−IgE+CD138+ B220+. At 3 wk after immunization, IgE comprised ~1% of the B220+ switched GC/memory pool. Naive OVA-specific CD4+ T from untreated TBmc mice were first enriched by negative selection using a CD4+ T cell isolation kit (Miltenyi Biotec) and then stained with antibodies against B220 and CD4 for further purification using an Aria II cell sorter. Sorted B cells (~2 × 10^9) together with 10^9 naive OVA-specific CD4+ T cells were injected i.v. into γ-irradiated BALB/c mice (150 rad low dose exposure). The recipient mice, as well control mice that were either untransplanted or received T cells only, were subsequently immunized with 100 μg OVA-HA in alum. IgE-depleted CD138+ plasmablasts/pre-PCs and IgE+ CD138+ cells were also sorted from immunized TBmc mice and injected i.v. into γ-irradiated naive BALB/c mice (150 rad exposure). PC recipient mice were not immunized. Spleen, mLN, BM, and serum were collected on day 60 after transfer. Levels of HA-specific IgE and IgG1 anti-bodies were quantified by ELISA as previously described (Curotto de Lafaille et al., 2001). To measure HA-specific IgE, serum samples were first de-complexed with 4 M urea and 1% SDS. Bound HA was revealed by an antibody directed against HA (Berenson et al., 2005). The samples were normalized to β-actin.

RNA purification, cDNA synthesis and quantitative PCR analysis (QPCR). Tissue RNA was extracted using RNeasy mini kits (QiAGEN); cDNA was synthesized with SuperScript II reverse transcription (Invitrogen) using standard protocols. QPCR analysis was performed as previously described to assess the expression of the Cε switched transcript and Cε and Cy1 mature transcripts for the 17/9 VDJ KI genes of TBmc mice (Erazo et al., 2007). The following primers were used for quantification of secreted and membrane Ig: secreted IgE, 5′-GTCGCCTAGAGGTGCCTAGCAG-3′ and 5′-CATCACCCTTCCCCACACAGC-3′; membrane IgE, 5′-CGCCCGTAGAGGCACCAAGAC-3′ and 5′-AGCTCACCTGAGGCAAGAAC-3′; secreted IgG1, 5′-TGCAAAACCCATGACTGAG-3′ and 5′-GGGGAGGAGGTAGTCTGAGA-3′; membrane IgG1, 5′-TGCAAAACCCATGACTGAG-3′ and 5′-CTCTCACAGGTCCTGC-3′. The VDJ primers 5′-TGAAGTCTCCCTGTACGCTC-3′ and 5′-TGCAGACACGACTTCTGAG-3′ were used to quantify total mature IgH transcripts in purified IgE+ and IgG1+ cells from TBmc mice. Expression values in each sample were normalized to β-actin.

Antigen-specific IgE and IgG1 ELISA. HA-specific IgE and IgG1 antibodies were quantified by ELISA as previously described (Curotto de Lafaille et al., 2001). To measure HA-specific IgE, serum samples were first de-picted of IgG antibodies by incubation with GammaBind Plus Sepharose (GE Healthcare).

Mathematical model of GC B cell class switch and implications. An agent-based model was developed in which every B cell displayed individual dynamics of interaction and development in 3D space and time, with a particular emphasis on B cell class switching. The parameters of B and T cell migration were set based on data from intravital multi-photon imaging experiments (Fige et al., 2008). B cell selection in the LZ relies on affinity-dependent collection of antigen from follicular DCs and subsequent processing and presentation to TFH; hence, affinity-dependent competition for TC help is an integral component of the selection process (Meyer-Hermann et al., 2006; Victora et al., 2010). Zoning of the GC is derived from distinct patterns of chemokine distribution (Allen et al., 2004; Meyer-Hermann et al., 2012). B cell division and fate decision relies on asymmetric distribution of previously collected antigen between the daughter cells (Meyer-Hermann et al., 2012; Thaumat et al., 2012). All parameters used in these simulations have been described in detail elsewhere (Meyer-Hermann et al., 2012).

The model developed in the current report added a more complex motility concept to apoptotic B cells. The phagocytotic rate of apoptotic cells was determined by the overall frequency of apoptotic B cells in the experiments. The migration model of apoptotic LZ B cells (of any Ig class) was configured to match the experimentally observed DZ/LZ ratio of apoptotic IgG1+ cells. The switch model used in the current report includes the addition of a property of the C+ + B cell class. After every division event, class switch occurs with a probability given by a transformation matrix (the precise parameters are discussed in the main text). Because IgE+ GC cells were found to express threefold less BCR molecules than IgG1+ cells, the probability of binding antigen was correspondingly reduced for the IgE+ population. Non-linear changes of the binding probability were also tested. The lack of BCR signaling observed in vivo was implemented in silico by defining the failure of IgE+ DZ B cells to become sensitized to CXCL13 upon differentiation to the LZ phenotype. This assumption was capable of replicating the DZ/LZ ratio of IgE+ GC cells observed in the experimental data. Each set of simulation conditions was tested 30 times with different random number seeds and then evaluated by calculation of mean and standard deviation.

Statistics. Statistical significance was determined using Student’s t tests (unpaired, two-tailed) in Prism 5 (GraphPad Software).

Online supplemental material. Fig. S1 describes the CreGFP KI construct and the validation of GFP expression during in vitro induction of CSR to IgE. Fig. S2 shows sorting gates and Ig transcript analysis in sorted donor IgE+ and IgE− cells. A list of antibodies used is provided in Table S1. D2 and LZ gene signatures derived from IgG1+ GC cells (related to Fig. 6) are provided in Table S2. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20131539/DC1.
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