SIRPα polymorphisms, but not the prion protein, control phagocytosis of apoptotic cells
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Prnp−/− mice lack the prion protein PrPc and are resistant to prion infections, but variable phenotypes have been reported in Prnp−/− mice and the physiological function of PrPc remains poorly understood. Here we examined a cell-autonomous phenotype, inhibition of macrophage phagocytosis of apoptotic cells, previously reported in Prnp−/− mice. Using formal genetic, genomic, and immunological analyses, we found that the regulation of phagocytosis previously ascribed to PrPc is instead controlled by a linked locus encoding the signal regulatory protein α (Sirpa). These findings indicate that control of phagocytosis was previously misattributed to the prion protein and illustrate the requirement for stringent approaches to eliminate confounding effects of flanking genes in studies modeling human disease in gene-targeted mice. The plethora of seemingly unrelated functions attributed to PrPc suggests that additional phenotypes reported in Prnp−/− mice may actually relate to Sirpa or other genetic confounders.

The cellular prion protein PrPc, encoded by the Prnp gene, is tethered to the membrane of most mammalian cells by a glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchor. Conversion and aggregation of PrPc into a misfolded conformer (termed PrPSc) triggers transmissible spongiform encephalopathies, also termed prion diseases (Aguzzi and Calella, 2009). Disparate functions have been ascribed to PrPc and Calella, 2009). Disparate functions have been reported in congenic B6.129 mice of the C57BL/6 strain (B6; Laurén et al., 2009; Calella et al., 2010; Lledo et al., 1996; Walz et al., 1999; Rangel et al., 2011; Striebel et al., 2013). Here we selected a cell-autonomous phenotype previously reported in congenic B6.129·PrnpZrch/Zrch mice (Büeler et al., 1992): inhibition of phagocytosis of apoptotic cells (de Almeida et al., 2013). We used RNA sequencing to identify polymorphisms, but not the prion protein, control phagocytosis of apoptotic cells.
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genes linked to \textit{Prnp} and expressed in macrophages that may influence this phenotype. We report genetic and functional evidence that the regulation of phagocytosis previously ascribed to \textit{Prnp}^{	ext{+/−}} is instead controlled by the closely linked gene \textit{signal regulatory protein \alpha} (\textit{Sirpa}; Matozaki et al., 2009).

\textbf{RESULTS}

\textbf{RNA sequencing indicates \textit{Sirpa} as a candidate modulator of phagocytosis}

Because hyperphagocytosis was reported in \textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} mice after extensive backcrossing to B6, we reasoned that any genetic confounders leading to this phenotype in B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} mice would need to be physically linked to \textit{Prnp}, polymorphic between 129 and B6 strains, and expressed in macrophages. To identify such genes, we performed RNA sequencing on B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} and B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{wt/wt} peritoneal macrophages (pMΦs). Of 11,586 genes, 305 were differentially expressed between the two groups (Fig. 1 A and Table S1). We then analyzed the reads for the presence of deviations from the B6 reference transcriptome (Fig. 1 B and Table 2) and applied a step-wise filtering strategy to narrow down potential confounding candidate genes (Fig. 1 C). B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} pMΦs showed significantly more deviations from the B6 reference than did B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{wt/wt} (Fig. 1 B and Table 2). By plotting the density of sequence variations in B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} pMΦ mRNA against their physical location, we observed clustering of variants on chromosome 2 (Chr 2) around \textit{Prnp} at 128–156 Mbp (Fig. 1 B).

Of all genes transcribed in pMΦs, 312 showed nonsynonymous coding variants between B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{wt/wt} and B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} (Fig. 1 C and Table S2). Of these, 62 mapped to Chr 2, 4 of which, \textit{Sirpa} (Matozaki et al., 2009; Chao et al., 2012), \textit{Mertk} (Scott et al., 2001), \textit{Tyro3} (Seitz et al., 2007), and \textit{Thbs1} (Gao et al., 1996), had been previously described to impact phagocytosis (Fig. 1 C).

Of these four candidate genes, \textit{Sirpa} (Fig. 1 C) encodes the transmembrane SIRPα, is closely linked to \textit{Prnp} (=2.2 Mbp), is highly polymorphic in laboratory mice (Sanó et al., 1999), and, uniquely among the four candidates, displays polymorphisms with robust modulatory effects on phagocytosis (Takenaka et al., 2007; Legrand et al., 2011; Strowig et al., 2011; Theocharides et al., 2012; Yamauchi et al., 2013). Binding of CD47 on cognate cells to SIRPα on macrophages transmits a “don’t eat me” signal that protects the targets from phagocytosis (Matozaki et al., 2009; Chao et al., 2012). Down-regulation of CD47 cell surface expression on ageing or apoptotic cells enhances their phagocytosis (Barclay and Brown, 2006; Matozaki et al., 2009). Polymorphisms of the Ig-like amino-terminal domain of SIRPα can affect CD47 binding and modulate phagocytosis (Takenaka et al., 2007; Legrand et al., 2011; Strowig et al., 2011; Theocharides et al., 2012). These characteristics led us to prioritize \textit{Sirpa} as the most plausible candidate for modifying the phagocytic phenotype described in B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} versus B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{wt/wt} mice.

\textit{Sirpa}^{129} segregates with \textit{Prnp}^{−/−} in seven \textit{Prnp}^{−/−} lines

We then analyzed \textit{Sirpa} alleles in \textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} mice and in six additional, independently generated mouse lines carrying targeted \textit{Prnp} deletions (Table 1, Fig. 2, and Fig. S1). Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis and DNA sequencing revealed that the targeted \textit{Prnp} allele co-segregated with the \textit{Sirpa} allele of the 129 strain (\textit{Sirpa}^{129}) in \textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} mice that had been backcrossed >17 generations to BALB/c (C) or for >12 generations to B6 mice in our colony (Fig. 2). We also observed co-segregation of \textit{Prnp} and \textit{Sirpa} alleles in \textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} mice backcrossed for 12 generations to B6 and in \textit{Prnp}^{Edbg/Edbg} backcrossed for ≤18 generations to B6 mice from four different laboratories (Figs. S1 and S2 and not depicted). Similar results were observed for alleles at \textit{Mertk}, \textit{Tyro3}, and \textit{Thbs1}, with the exception of an independently generated congenic \textit{Prnp}^{−/−} line called “Ngsk” (Table 1 and Table S3). Congenic B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{Ngsk/Ngsk} mice have the genotypes \textit{Sirpa}^{129/129} \textit{Mertk}^{129/129} \textit{Tyro3}^{wt/B6} \textit{Thbs1}^{wt/B6}, likely reflecting a recombination event during repeated backcrossing to B6 mice. Thus, \textit{Sirpa} and/or another of the three genes could affect the hyperphagocytosis phenotype reported in \textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} mice (de Almeida et al., 2005).

\textbf{Functional analysis excludes \textit{Prnp} and points to \textit{Sirpa} as the gene controlling phagocytosis}

We then sought to determine the contribution of polymorphic \textit{Prnp}-flanking genes to the phagocytic phenotype of
mice (Fig. 3 A, right; Manson et al., 1994) displayed similar phagocytic activity as 129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> pMΦs (Fig. 3 B, right). 129-Prnp<sup>Edbg/Edbg</sup> were generated from 129 ES cells and maintained as a co-isogenic 129 line (Fig. 3 A, right). The two strains showed no differences in microsatellite markers linked to Prnp (Fig. 3 C). Consequently, ablation of Prnp was insufficient to drive hyperphagocytosis, suggesting the influence of additional genetic elements in Zrchl and Ngsk mice.

To define the 129-derived regions flanking Prnp, we performed microsatellite analyses of Chr 2 in B6.129-Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> and B6.129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> mice. The phagocytic activity of primary macrophages was compared between Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> and three different strains of Prnp<sup>−/−</sup> mice differing at all four, two, or none of the closely linked polymorphic genes. When offered apoptotic thymocytes as targets, B6.129-Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> pMΦs displayed higher rates of phagocytosis than those from heterozygous B6.129-Prnp<supZW</sup> or WT B6.129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> congenic littermates (Fig. 3 A and B, left) in agreement with a previous study (de Almeida et al., 2005). We also observed this hyperphagocytic phenotype in BMDMs of B6.129-Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> and B6.129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> (Fig. 3 B, middle). In contrast, pMΦs from 129-Prnp<sup>Edbg/Edbg</sup> mice (Fig. 3 A, right; Manson et al., 1994) displayed similar phagocytic activity as 129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> pMΦs (Fig. 3 B, right).
We identified only one nonsynonymous single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in Merk<sub>129</sub> versus Merk<sub>B6</sub> alleles (Chr2: 128776396, Att/Gtt). This SNP, previously reported in CD-1 mice (SNP accession no. rs27446326 from dbSNP Build 137), results in a conservative isoleucine-to-valine substitution within the transmembrane region (I516V) and is predicted by the SIFT algorithm (Ng and Henikoff, 2001; Kumar et al., 2009) to be tolerated and unlikely to impact Merk function. All disease-causing MERTK mutations identified so far in patients suffering from retinitis pigmentosa (Gal et al., 2000), Leber congenital amaurosis (Li et al., 2011), or cancer (Greenman et al., 2007) were located in the extracellular or cytoplasmic but not in the transmembrane region. Moreover, one natural harbored 129–derived genomic material in a region of ≥48 Mbp flanking Prnp on Chr 2 (including Sirpa, Merk, Tyro3, and Thbs1 alleles) despite >12 generations of backcross to B6 (Fig. 3 C and Fig. S2).

Compared with B6.129–Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup>, B6.129–Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> mice contained a smaller 129–derived interval on Chr 2 (Fig. 3 C). These mice were homozygous for 129 alleles at Sirpa and Merk and homozygous for B6 alleles at Tyro3 and Thbs1 (Fig. 3 C). Because B6.129–Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> mice retained the hyperphagocytic phenotype, variations in Tyro3 or Thbs1 alleles did not explain the differential phagocytic activity of Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> and Prnp<sup>−/−</sup> mice, excluding these two genes as candidates (Fig. 3 C).

Table 2. Summary statistics of RNA sequencing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouse</th>
<th>Total reads</th>
<th>Mapped reads</th>
<th>Mean coverage</th>
<th># SNPs</th>
<th># Het. SNPs</th>
<th># Hom. SNPs</th>
<th># Indels</th>
<th># Nonsyn. variants</th>
<th># Nonsyn. variant genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT 1</td>
<td>58,838,924</td>
<td>56,801,099</td>
<td>96.5%</td>
<td>58.6x</td>
<td>102,465</td>
<td>14,249</td>
<td>88,216</td>
<td>6,860</td>
<td>2,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO 1</td>
<td>71,507,393</td>
<td>69,906,771</td>
<td>97.8%</td>
<td>64.6x</td>
<td>117,397</td>
<td>15,146</td>
<td>102,833</td>
<td>8,164</td>
<td>3,517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO 2</td>
<td>70,456,814</td>
<td>68,728,168</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>62.7x</td>
<td>114,906</td>
<td>14,943</td>
<td>99,963</td>
<td>8,185</td>
<td>3,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KO 3</td>
<td>75,295,650</td>
<td>73,039,778</td>
<td>97.0%</td>
<td>65.4x</td>
<td>117,592</td>
<td>15,539</td>
<td>102,053</td>
<td>8,405</td>
<td>3,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All KO</td>
<td>92,176</td>
<td>9,569</td>
<td></td>
<td>74,670</td>
<td>82,607</td>
<td>6,397</td>
<td>2,682</td>
<td>264</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WT, B6.129–Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup>; KO, B6.129–Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup>; #, number; Het., heterozygous; Hom., homozygous; Indels, insertions/deletions; Nonsyn., nonsynonymous.

Figure 2. Sirpa alleleytype of the Prnp<sup>−/−</sup> lines analyzed in this study. (A) RFLP analysis of Sirpa alleleytypes. The Sirpa<sub>129</sub> allele segregates with the Prnp<sup>−/−</sup> allele in Prnp<sup>−/−</sup> mouse lines stemming from seven independent targeting events (see Table 1). The 8th through 11th lanes show respective reference strain DNA. (B) The red box indicates protein sequence alignment of mouse SIRP<sub>α</sub>Ig-like variable domain (IgV) confirming the 129 Sirpa alleleytype in each one of the seven Prnp<sup>−/−</sup> lines shown in A. The blue box indicates SIRP<sub>α</sub> protein reference sequences for the B6, 129/Sv, and 129/Ola strains. Polymorphisms are highlighted in yellow. For each group one mouse was analyzed.
Figure 3. Ablation of Prnp is not sufficient to enhance phagocytosis of apoptotic cells. (A) Generation of B6.129 congenic (left) and 129 co-isogenic (right) Prnp<sup>−/−</sup> lines. In B6.129 congenic mice, residual 129 genomic material derived from the ES cell (brown) is inevitably present, particularly in the region flanking the targeted locus. (B, left) pMφs from congenic B6.129-Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> mice showed higher phagocytic activity than those from B6.129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> (set as 100%) and B6.129-Prnp<sup>wt/ZrchI</sup> littermates. Blue, black, and gray (here and henceforth) indicate data from independent experiments. (middle) B6.129-Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> and B6.129-Prnp<sup>Ngsk/Ngsk</sup> BMDMs showed higher phagocytic activity than B6-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> macrophages (set as 100%). (right) The phagocytic activity of 129-Prnp<sup>Ergb/Ergb</sup> and 129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> macrophages was similar, even when two different macrophage/thymocyte (M:T) ratios were used (1:10 and 1:1; one-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test). Mean 129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> phagocytic rate at 1:10 M:T ratio was set as 100%. n.s., not significant; **, P < 0.005; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001. Error bars indicate SD. (C) STR analysis of Chr 2. Name and physical position of each STR marker (colored boxes) are indicated on the left. B6.129-Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> and B6.129-Prnp<sup>Ngsk/Ngsk</sup> mice contained 129-derived genetic material flanking Prnp, whereas the markers flanking Prnp in 129-Prnp<sup>Ergb/Ergb</sup> and 129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> mice were identical. Data show representative mice of at least three animals analyzed per group.
Figure 4. \textit{Sirpa}^{B6} allele segregates with enhanced inhibition of phagocytosis in the absence of \textit{Prnp}. (A) Breeding scheme applied to generate B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} mice containing \textit{Sirpa}^{B6} and \textit{Sirpa}^{129} alleles. B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} \textit{Sirpa}^{B6/B6} \textit{tg}^{20} \textit{tg}/\textit{tg} \textit{mice (F0)} were backcrossed to B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} \textit{Sirpa}^{129/129} mice for two generations to produce B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} littermates, with all combinations of \textit{Sirpa}^{B6} and \textit{Sirpa}^{129} alleles (F3) used to assess phagocytic activity as in C. (B) RFLP analysis of \textit{Sirpa} allelotypes (B6 vs. 129). Surprisingly, \textit{tg}^{20} \textit{mice (first through third lanes) displayed combinations of \textit{Sirpa}^{B6} and \textit{Sirpa}^{129} alleles. The fourth through sixth lanes show B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} mice labeled in A (red arrows). Controls are reference DNA. All animals entering the study were analyzed. (C) Phagocytic hyperactivity of B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} mice was associated with the \textit{Sirpa}^{129/129} allelotype. Data from three independent experiments (blue, black, and gray) normalized against mean B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} \textit{Sirpa}^{B6/B6} phagocytic rates. One-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s multiple comparisons test: *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001. Error bars indicate SD. (D) STR analysis documenting the boundaries of B6 versus 129-derived genome in Chr 2 of B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} with different combinations of \textit{Sirpa} alleles (B6 vs. 129). Name and position of each STR marker (colored box) on Chr 2 are indicated on the left. Data show representative mice of at least three animals analyzed per group.

non-disease-causing variant in the transmembrane region has been reported in humans (I518V; Gal et al., 2000; Li et al., 2011), which corresponds to the I516V variant in our mice, again suggesting that the variant identified in our mice is unlikely to impact \textit{Mertk} function. Collectively, these findings suggested that \textit{Sirpa}^{129} homozygosity conferred differential levels of phagocytosis in otherwise genetically homogeneous mice and that the presence of at least one \textit{Sirpa}^{B6} allele reduced rates of phagocytosis compared with \textit{Sirpa}^{129} homozygotes.

\textbf{\textit{Sirpa}^{B6} association with inhibition of phagocytosis}

We observed that some of our PrP\textsuperscript{C-}overexpressing \textit{tg}^{20} transgenic mice on a mixed B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} background (Fischer et al., 1996) were segregating \textit{Sirpa}^{B6} and \textit{Sirpa}^{129} alleles, likely reflecting an unintentional cross with B6-\textit{Prnp}\textsuperscript{wt/wt} breeders in the early days of this colony (unpublished data). We crossed B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} \textit{Sirpa}^{B6/B6} \textit{tg}^{20} \textit{tg} \textit{mice to B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} \textit{Sirpa}^{129/129} mice producing B6129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} \textit{Sirpa}^{129/129} \textit{haplotype (Fig. 5 A). Mice carrying the original and the recombinant haplotypes were then bred to generate congenic mice with different \textit{Prnp}-\textit{Sirpa} haplotypes (Fig. 5, B and C).}

\textit{Sirpa} alleles and \textit{Prnp} ablation may impact phagocytosis independently of each other. We therefore asked whether different \textit{Sirpa} alleles could modify phagocytosis in \textit{Prnp}\textsuperscript{wt/wt} mice. We genotyped 103 pups from B6.129-\textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI} \textit{Sirpa}^{B6/129} intercross mice for recombination between \textit{Prnp} and \textit{Sirpa} and observed two events producing a \textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI}-\textit{Sirpa}^{129/129} and a \textit{Prnp}^{ZrchI/ZrchI}-\textit{Sirpa}^{B6} haplotype (Fig. 5 A). Mice carrying the original and the recombinant haplotypes were then bred to generate congenic mice with different \textit{Prnp}-\textit{Sirpa} haplotypes (Fig. 5, B and C).
not observe differences in Sirpa mRNA levels between pMfs from B6.129-Prnp<sup>ZrchI</sup>/ZrchI and B6.129-Prnp<sup>wt</sup>/wt mice (375.60 vs. 356.34 fragments per kilobase of transcript per million).

The various Prnp–Sirpa haplotypes did not affect the frequency of macrophages in peritoneal lavages, nor did Sirpa alleles affect PrP<sup>C</sup> expression (Fig. 6, A–C). Also, we did not observe differences in Sirpa mRNA levels between pMfs from B6.129-Prnp<sup>ZrchI</sup>/ZrchI and B6.129-Prnp<sup>wt</sup>/wt mice (375.60 vs. 356.34 fragments per kilobase of transcript per million).
mapped reads [FPKM], respectively, P = 0.73) or SIRPα protein levels in mice with different Prnp−Sira haplotypes (Fig. 6 D). These results excluded any influence of Prnp or Sirpa alleles on the expression of each other.

In congenic B6.129 mice with different combinations of Prnp and Sirpa alleles (WT and ZrchI, B6 and 129, respectively), hyperphagocytosis segregated with Sirpa129/129 irrespectively of the Prnp status (Fig. 5, D and E). We compared phagocytosis by BMDMs isolated from congenic C.129–PrnpZrchI/ZrchI mice with different combinations of Prnp and Sirpa alleles (Fig. 7, A–C). In line with our findings with congenic B6.129 mice, a higher phagocytosis rate correlated with Sirpa129/129 independently of the Prnp alleles (Fig. 7, D and E). Together, these results exclude a role for PrPC and support Sirpa polymorphisms as a major regulator of phagocytosis in mouse strains carrying either WT or deleted Prnp alleles.

**DISCUSSION**

In the 1990s the Prnp gene became an extremely popular target among mouse geneticists and rapidly advanced to one of the most frequently inactivated elements of the mouse genome. As a result, we have indeed identified at least seven published mouse lines derived from independent gene-targeting events, all of which were analyzed in the present study. Remarkably, we discovered that all of these lines suffer from the same set of confounders when kept as congenic: a 129-derived genomic region sporting functionally impactful polymorphisms.

The alleged control of phagocytosis of apoptotic cells by Prnp was critically questioned when we found that, in contrast to congenic B6.129– or C.129–PrnpZrchI/ZrchI and B6.129–PrnpNsgk/Nsgk mice, co-isogenic 129–PrnpEdbg/Edbg mice did not display a hyperphagocytic phenotype. Moreover, microsatellite-assisted breeding of B6.129–PrnpZrchI/ZrchI mice revealed the existence of a 129-derived Chr 2 segment which, when homozygous, correlated with a higher rate of phagocytosis independent of Prnp. These data suggested that Prnp does not impact phagocytosis and that such conclusions in previous studies resulted from unrecognized polymorphic flanking genes (de Almeida et al., 2005). Detailed genomic, transcriptomic, and functional analysis of candidate genes in the introgressed 129–derived region in B6.129–PrnpZrchI/ZrchI mice supported a focus on the well-characterized inhibitor of phagocytosis Sirpa, whose polymorphisms alter this phenotype (Takenaka et al., 2007; Legrand et al., 2011; Strowig et al., 2011). Indeed, Sirpa129, rather than Prnp+, segregated with increased phagocytosis.

B6.129–PrnpZrchI/ZrchI and B6.129–PrnpNsgk mice displayed sequence variations, or differential expression, of several other genes in addition to Sirpa. In particular, Merk (Scott et al., 2001), Tyro3 (Seitz et al., 2007), and Tlhs1 (Gao et al., 1996), which participate in phagocytosis and are linked to Prnp, were polymorphic between the two strains. However, the genetic and functional considerations detailed above suggested that they were unlikely candidates.

In our study, we have used mouse genetics to investigate the role of PrPC and its polymorphic flanking genes in modulating phagocytosis of apoptotic cells. Compared with other experimental approaches, including gene silencing and overexpression of exogenously introduced genes, formal genetics has the advantage of assessing the differential impact of physiological versus absent expression of the gene under scrutiny. Moreover, silencing or overexpressing Sirpa, or other modulators of phagocytosis, could alter the delicate balance between pro- and antiphagocytic signals (Hochreiter-Hufford and Ravichandran, 2013) and impact phagocytosis independently of whether this modulator is responsible for the differences between Prnp−/− and Prnp+/+ macrophages.

Enzymatic removal of PrPC from macrophage surface through phosphoinositide phospholipase C was reported to result in an increased phagocytosis of apoptotic cells, seemingly confirming the role of PrPC in this process (de Almeida et al., 2005). However, this treatment generically affects all glycosphingolipid-containing membranes, many of which are involved in the control of phagocytosis (Dorahy et al., 1996; Cebecauer et al., 1998; Oliferenko et al., 1999; Kellner-Weibel et al., 2000; Peyron et al., 2000; Chimini, 2001; de Almeida and Linden, 2005). Therefore, it is questionable whether this effect is directly mediated by loss of surface PrPC.

Our study focused on the presumed role of PrPC in phagocytosis of apoptotic cells. Phagocytosis of zymosan particles and latex beads were also reported to be influenced by Prnp using primary macrophages or immortalized cell lines derived from Prnp−/− and Prnp+/+ mice (de Almeida et al., 2005; Nitta et al., 2009; Uraki et al., 2010). Our results raise the question of whether Sirpa polymorphisms may affect the responses to zymosan or phagocytosis of latex beads as well.

The co-segregation of Sirpa129 with Prnp−/− in all the Prnp−/− lines examined has implications beyond the control of phagocytosis. Because the Sirpa sequence of most mouse strains used for backcrossing of Prnp−/− (B6, BALB/c, and FVB) differs from 129 mice (Sano et al., 1999; Takenaka et al., 2007), Sirpa is a potential confounder in most studies of Prnp−/− mice. Importantly, the expression pattern of Sirpa mirrors that of Prnp and includes myeloid cells, neurons, and pancreatic β cells (Linden et al., 2008; Matozaki et al., 2009). Finally, PrPC−/− mice share numerous subtle phenotypes with Sirpa- ablatted mice, including neuroimmunological (Tomizawa et al., 2007; Tsutsui et al., 2008), behavioral (Nico et al., 2005; Ohnishi et al., 2010; Gadotti et al., 2012), and metabolic phenotypes (Kobayashi et al., 2008; Strom et al., 2011). These observations suggest that additional phenotypes attributed to Prnp may actually reflect the effects of Sirpa polymorphisms.

Based on studies with Prnp−/− mice, PrPC has been recently reported to either induce or inhibit autophagy (Oh et al., 2008, 2012; Korom et al., 2013) and to be indispensable or dispensable to mediate Aβ toxicity (Lauren et al., 2009; Balducci et al., 2010; Calella et al., 2010; Gimbel et al., 2010; Kessels et al., 2010; Cissé et al., 2011; Larson et al., 2012; Ün et al., 2012). At least some of these controversies could reflect
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**Figure 7.** Phagocytic activity level is associated with Sirpα<sup>129/129</sup> allelotype but not with Prnp gene dosage also in C.129 congenic mice. (A) Breeding scheme to generate recombinant congenic C.129 mice with different combinations of Prnp (WT and ZrchI) and Sirpa (C and 129) alleles. C.129-Prnp<sup>wt/ZrchI</sup> mice (F<sub>1</sub>) were intercrossed, and the occurrence of meiotic recombination between Prnp and Sirpa was assessed in the F<sub>2</sub> offspring. 243 F<sub>2</sub> mice with different combinations of Prnp genotypes and Sirpa allelotypes were obtained. Four mice (red frames) were found to carry a recombinant haplotype, and selected ones were bred to generate C.129-Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> and C.129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> mice homozygous for Sirpa<sup>C</sup> or Sirpa<sup>129</sup> alleles. (B) RFLP analysis used to discriminate Sirpa<sup>129</sup> from Sirpa<sup>C</sup> alleles. C.129-Prnp<sup>wt/wt</sup> (first, third, and fifth lanes) and C.129-Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> (second, fourth, and sixth lanes) mice with different combinations of Sirpa<sup>129</sup> and Sirpa<sup>C</sup> alleles. Controls are reference DNA. All animals entering the study were analyzed. (C) Protein sequence alignment of mouse SIRPα Ig-like variable domain (IgV) illustrates recombinant congenic C.129 mice with different combinations of Prnp and Sirpa<sup>129</sup> versus Sirpa<sup>C</sup> allelotype. Blue boxes are SIRPα protein reference sequences for the B6, 129/Sv, and C strains (yellow: polymorphisms). For each group one mouse was analyzed. (D) Sirpα<sup>129/129</sup> allelotype, but not the absence of Prnp, was associated with hyperphagocytosis of BMDMs in congenic C.129 mice. Data are from three independent experiments (blue, black, and gray). Mean phagocytosis rates of C.129 Prnp<sup>ZrchI/ZrchI</sup> Sirpα<sup>B6/B6</sup> were set as 100%. One-way ANOVA, Bonferroni’s multiple comparison post-test: #, P = 0.075; ****, P < 0.0001. Error bars indicate SD. (E) STR analysis documents the result of trans-allelic meiotic recombination between Prnp and Sirpa. Blue dashed line indicates the location of Prnp and Sirpa. For each STR marker (colored box), name and position on Chr 2 are indicated on the left. Data show representative mice of at least three animals analyzed per group.
for breeding schemes and genetic quality control to avoid this problem were formulated (Wolfer et al., 2002; Ridgway et al., 2007; Crusio et al., 2009) but rarely implemented (Holmündal and Malissen, 2012). Consequently, reports of flanking gene effects are anecdotal and rely on the demonstration that a KO mouse phenotype is lost upon crossing to other strains backgrounds and reduction in length of the 129-derived region flanking the targeted locus (Canagawa et al., 2000; de Ledesma et al., 2006; Eisener-Dorman et al., 2010). Our findings provide an alternative mechanism underlying prior specific claims in the prion field and strengthen the requirement for rigorous genetic, genomic, and functional analyses to support the assignment of specific phenotypes to targeted mutations in mice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice. The following mice were analyzed: Prnp−/−/ZrchI mice (Büeler et al., 1992) on a mixed B6129 background or backcrossed to B6 for >17 generations or to C for >17 generations and congenic WT B6 and C mice purchased from Harlan or Charles River or bred in house; Prnp+−/−/ZrchI mice (Sakaguchi et al., 1995) extensively backcrossed to B6; Prnp+−/−/ZrchI mice on a pure 129/Ola background (Manson et al., 1994) and co-isogenic WT 129/Ola mice; Prnp−/−/ZrchI mice (Koss et al., 2001) on a mixed B6129 background; Prnp−/−/ZrchI mice (Heikenswalder et al., 2008) backcrossed to B6 for 10 generations; tg20 mice (Fischer et al., 1996) on a mixed B6129 Prnp−/−/ZrchI background; and SHPS-1 (Inagaki et al., 2000) backcrossed to B6 for >5 generations. Genotype of mice was verified as indicated in the original description of each line (Table 1). To introduce Sirpaβ into B6129-Prnp−/−/ZrchI mice, B6129-Prnp−/−/ZrchI/Sirpaβ−/−B6 tg20 mice were crossed with B6129-Prnp−/−/ZrchI/Sirpaβ−/−/−B6 mice (Fig. 4 A). To generate congenic B6-129-Prnp−/−/ZrchI and Prnp+−/−/ZrchI mice with all possible combinations of Sirpaβ−/−/− and Sirpaβ+−/−/− alleles, B6-129-Prnp−/−/ZrchI/Sirpaβ−/−/−B6 mice were intercrossed, and recombination events between Prnp and Sirpa loci were identified by Prnp genotyping and RFLP analysis of Sirpa exon 2 in the offspring (Fig. 5, A and B). Mice with a recombinant haplotype (referred to as obligate carriers) were further crossed. The same approach was followed to generate congenic C129-Prnp−/−/ZrchI and Prnp+−/−/ZrchI mice with all possible combinations of Sirpaβ−/−/− and Sirpaβ+−/−/− alleles (Fig. 7, A and B). All animal experiments were performed in compliance with the Swiss Animal Protection Law, under the approval of the Veterinary office of the Canton Zurich.

Allele discrimination analysis. To distinguish strain-specific alleles, PCR-RFLP analysis (Sirpa, Tyno3, and Thio3) or sequencing (Merit) were performed using primers and restriction enzymes as detailed in Table S4.

Phagocytosis assay with pMΦs. On day 0, peritoneal cells were collected from age- and gender-matched adult mice according to standard methods (Fortier and Falk, 2001), resuspended to 2.2 × 106 in 5 ml DMEM (Gibco) with 10% FBS (Gibco), 1% GlutaMAX (Gibco), and antibiotics, plated in one-well slide chambers (Falcon; BD), and incubated at 37°C. After 2 h, nonadhering cells were removed and remaining cells (referred to as cultured pMΦs) were kept overnight in culture. On day 1, thymocytes were harvested from 3–8-wk-old mice of the same background as the genotypes under investigation, incubated for 3 h at 37°C in the presence of 1 μM dexanmethasone to induce apoptosis, washed, and added to the cultured pMΦs at different ratios for 1 h. For each experimental group, technical replicates (at least duplicates) were performed. Cells were washed, fixed with formalin, and stained with May–Grünwald–Giems. Slides were randomized and scanned with NanoZoomer (Hamamatsu Photonics), random fields (50 fields/slide) were generated, and phagocytosis rate was assessed using in-house–developed software. The same operator blinded to experimental groups validated all software–analyzed slides. For each technical replicate, >800 cells were evaluated (indicated as a dot in the graphs). Phagocytosis rate was defined as the percentage of macrophages having at least one apoptotic body.

Phagocytosis assay with BMDMs. On day 0, femurs were collected from age- and gender-matched adult mice and flushed with RPMI1640 medium (Gibco) containing 10% FBS (Gibco), 1% GlutaMAX (Gibco), and antibiotics. BM cells were plated into 6-well plates at 2 × 106/well in 3 ml of culture medium containing 10 ng/ml of macrophage colony stimulation factor (Invitrogen) and cultured overnight at 37°C. On day 1, cells were transferred to new 6-well plates to remove loosely adherent stroma-like cells. On day 6, cells were harvested, adjusted to 5 × 105 cells in 500 μl, and plated into 24-well plates. Apoptotic thymocytes (see above) were suspended at 104/ml in PBS and labeled with 20 ng/ml of the pH-sensitive dye pHrodo red, SE (Invitrogen) for 30 min at room temperature and washed, and 500 μl of cell suspension was added to BMDM culture for 1 h at 37°C. After washing, BMDMs were harvested with Accutase (Invitrogen) and gentle scraping and stained with FITC-labeled anti-CD11b or isotype control antibody (BD), and rate of phagocytosis was analyzed by flow cytometry as the percentage of pHrodo positivity among CD11b+ cells. At least 10,000 events were acquired in the living gate.

Genome-wide and Chr 2 short tandem repeat (STR) analysis. Genome-wide STR analysis was performed as previously described (Bremer et al., 2010). In brief, purified genomic DNA (gDNA) was amplified using multiplex PCR with fluorescently labeled primers (FAM, NED, and VIC; Applied Biosystems), diluted, denatured, and subjected to capillary electrophoresis on a 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Various mouse strains were used for calibration. Peak detection, binning, and allele calling were performed using the GeneMapper software (Applied Biosystems) in combination with in–house–developed software.

High-resolution STR genotyping on Chr 2. Novel microsatellite markers were generated as previously described (Ivakine et al., 2006; primer sequences in Table S4). DNA was amplified for 35 cycles: 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C using Multiplex Master Mix (QIAGEN). The PCR product was diluted 1:20, and 1 μl mixed with a 10-μl mixture containing formamide and a 500LIZ size standard. Samples were resolved on the 3730xl DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Alleles were sized, in comparison with standards, by viewing electropherograms in GeneMapper.

Library preparation for RNA sequencing. Total RNA was isolated from cultured pMΦs using the RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN), snap frozen, and kept at −80°C until further analysis. RNA quality was determined with Qubit (1.0) Fluorometer (Life Technologies) and Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies). Only samples with a 260/280 nm ratio between 1.8 and 2.1 and a 28S/18S ratio between 1.5 and 2 were further processed. The TruSeq RNA Sample Prep kit v2 (Illumina) was used in the succeeding steps. In brief, total RNA samples (1 μg) were poly A enriched and reverse transcribed into double-stranded cDNA. TruSeq adapters were ligated to double-stranded cDNA. Fragments containing TruSeq adapters on both ends were selectively enriched with PCR. Quality and quantity of enriched libraries were validated using Qubit (1.0) Fluorometer and Caliper GX LabChip GX (Caliper Life Sciences). The product is a smear with a mean fragment size of ~260 bp. Libraries were normalized to 10 nM in Tris-Cl 10 mM, pH 8.5, with 0.1% (vol/vol) Tween 20.

Cluster generation and RNA sequencing. TruSeq PE Cluster kit v3–cBot-HS (Illumina) was used for cluster generation using 2 μM of pooled normalized libraries on the cBOT. Sequencing was performed on Illumina HiSeq 2000 paired-end at 2 × 101 bp using the TruSeq SBS kit v3–HS (Illumina). Sequences have been deposited in NCBI Sequence Read Archive under SRA identifier no. SRP029761.

RNA sequencing data analysis. Reads were quality–checked with FastQC. Low-quality ends were clipped (3 bases from the start, 10 bases from the end). Trimmed reads were aligned to the reference genome and transcriptome (FASTA and GFF files, respectively, downloaded from the UCSC mm10 database) with TopHat version 2.0.6. TopHat was run with the following options: mate inner distance set to 30, the corresponding SD to 100, and
maximum 10 multi-hits were allowed in the alignment. Reads that did not align at the first attempt were split into 25-base-long sections on which a second attempt of alignment was performed. In B6.129-Prnp+/−/Zdf/−/− pM mice, all reads mapping to Prnp between B6EI and ErocI restriction sites in exon 3 and in the 3' UTR, respectively, possibly representing a fused mRNA containing no and residual Prnp, reported in the brain of these mice (Bückler et al., 1992), were excluded from the analysis. Polymorphisms were detected using GATK version 2.1.8 using the following options: bqk Gap open penalty (whole-genome analysis) set to 30; minimum consensus coverage to genotype type indels set to 8 (default); 5 minimum base quality score and minimum variant phred score set to 15. Variants were annotated using snpEFF version 3.0, and distribution of the reads across genomic isoform expression was quantified using RSEM (Li and Dewey, 2011). Cufflinks version 2.0.2 and differentially expressed genes listed with its utility Cuffdiff using default options were used.

All remaining data and statistical analyses, formatting, and picture generating were produced via in-house R-scripts (R version 2.15.2). The SIFT algorithm with default settings was used to predict the impact of a nonsynonymous SNP in Merk (Kumar et al., 2009).

Sirpa open reading frame sequencing. Cultured pMPrp cDNA and gDNA were used to PCR amplify and sequence coding and untranslated regions of mouse Sirpα as previously described (Takanaka et al., 2007). Primers (Microsynth) are listed in Table S4. For Prnp+/+/Rkn mice, sequencing was performed on gDNA obtained from Institute of Physical and Chemical Research, Japan, and from cDNA isolated from Prnp+/+/Rkn–derived hippocampal-like cells (HP3-4; Kusawara et al., 1999). For Prnp+/−/Rkn mice, only gDNA from one single mouse was available, and sequencing was performed only on the highly polymorphic exon 2 of Sirpα.

Western blotting. Western blotting on pMPrp cell lysates was performed according to standard methods using polyclonal anti-Sirpα cytoplasmic tail (CT) antibody (IMGENEX).

Prp+/−ELISA. Prp+/− was quantified in cultured pMPrp lysates by sandwich ELISA as described previously using POM1 and POM2 antibodies (Polymendou et al., 2008).

Flow cytometry analysis. Freshly isolated peritoneal cells were incubated with FITC-labeled anti-F4/80 or isotype control antibody (BD). Thymocyte apoptosis was assessed using the FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection kit II (BD). Flow cytometry was performed using a FACSCalibur or a FACSCanto, and the analysis of flow cytometry was performed using CellQuest Pro or FACSDiva software, respectively, and analyzed by Flojo software (Tree Star).

Statistical analysis. Comparisons of phagocytic activity of macrophages of different genotypes were performed using one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni’s multiple comparison post-test (α level 0.05) or two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test using Prism software (GraphPad Software). The statistical test, p-values, for each statistical analysis are indicated in each of the corresponding figure legends.

Online supplemental material. Figs. S1 and S2 illustrate the genomic characterization of experimental mice. Tables S1 and S2, included as separate PDF files, list relevant genes identified by RNA sequencing. Table S3 lists allelotypes of experimental mice. Table S4 lists primer sequences and restriction enzymes used for genetic analysis. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20131274/DC1.
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