Gastrointestinal bacterial infections are a common health problem and have serious implications because of their epidemic potential (Tassios and Kerr, 2010). Enteric pathogens raise additional concerns because recent globalization of the food supply increases the chance of outbreaks and the potential for bioterrorism. Most clinically significant enteric pathogens, such as Yersinia, Salmonella, Vibrio, or Shigella, are Gram-negative bacteria which have evolutionarily acquired an ability to evade host immune defenses (Miao and Miller, 1999; Brodsky and Medzhitov, 2008; Arnold et al., 2010). The strategies used for immune evasion mainly target innate immunity, highlighting the importance of the innate immune system in intestinal host defense mechanisms. Although extensive studies have revealed the virulence factors of these pathogens, it is still unclear how the host immune cells formulate effective intestinal defense to these pathogens.

Pathogens are recognized by the host innate immune system through Toll-like receptors (TLRs), which induce intracellular signaling mainly through two adapter molecules, myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88) or toll/interleukin 1 receptor domain-containing adapter inducing IFN-β (TRIF). Although MyD88 is used by most TLRs, the TRIF pathway can only be recruited by TLR4 and TLR3. The MyD88 pathway strongly induces NF-κB activation and proinflammatory cytokine secretion associated with pathogen clearance (Lebeis et al., 2009). The TRIF pathway, in contrast, induces type I IFNs and slower NF-κB activation and has largely been associated with antiviral and antifungal responses (Lebeis et al., 2009).

Molecules, such as Yersinia enterocolitica, Salmonella enterica, and Shigella flexneri, are Gram-negative bacteria which have evolutionarily acquired an ability to evade host innate immune defenses (Miao and Miller, 1999; Brodsky and Medzhitov, 2008). The strategies used for immune evasion mainly target innate immunity, highlighting the importance of the innate immune system in intestinal host defense mechanisms. Although extensive studies have revealed the virulence factors of these pathogens, it is still unclear how the host immune cells formulate effective intestinal defense to these pathogens.

Host innate recognition of an intestinal bacterial pathogen induces TRIF-dependent protective immunity
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Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), which signals through the adapter molecules myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88) and toll/interleukin 1 receptor domain-containing adapter inducing IFN-β (TRIF), is required for protection against Gram-negative bacteria. TRIF is known to be important in TLR3-mediated antiviral signaling, but the role of TRIF signaling against Gram-negative enteropathogens is currently unknown. We show that TRIF signaling is indispensable for establishing innate protective immunity against Gram-negative Yersinia enterocolitica. Infection of wild-type mice rapidly induced both IFN-β and IFN-γ in the mesenteric lymph nodes. In contrast, TRIF-deficient mice were defective in these IFN responses and showed impaired phagocytosis in regional macrophages, resulting in greater bacterial dissemination and mortality. TRIF signaling may be universally important for protection against Gram-negative pathogens, as TRIF-deficient macrophages were also impaired in killing both Salmonella and Escherichia coli in vitro. The mechanism of TRIF-mediated protective immunity appears to be orchestrated by macrophage-induced IFN-β and NK cell production of IFN-γ. Sequential induction of IFN-β and IFN-γ leads to amplification of macrophage bactericidal activity sufficient to eliminate the invading pathogens at the intestinal interface. Our results demonstrate a previously unknown role of TRIF in host resistance to Gram-negative enteropathogens, which may lead to effective strategies for combating enteric infections.
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with antiviral responses (Yamamoto et al., 2003; Guo and Cheng, 2007). Although TLR4 can signal through either pathway, the majority of LPS-induced genes in macrophages appear to use a MyD88-independent pathway, suggesting that the TRIF pathway may play a role in responses to Gram-negative pathogens (Björkbacka et al., 2004). These observations led us to question whether TRIF signaling has been overlooked as a mediator of host defense against enteric pathogens. Emerging evidence has demonstrated that TRIF is required for host resistance against pulmonary infection with multiple Gram-negative pathogens (Jeyaseelan et al., 2007; Cai et al., 2009), whereas intestinal protective immunity mediated through the TRIF pathway has not been studied.

In the current study, we used a well-established animal model of oral *Yersinia enterocolitica* infection, which recapitulates many features of the human disease (Trülzsch et al., 2007). We found that TRIF-deficient (TrifLPS2) mice, which carry a frameshift mutation in the Trif gene, have impaired resistance to oral *Y. enterocolitica* infection. The TrifLPS2 mice showed defective inflammatory responses in Peyer’s patches (PPs) and rapid systemic dissemination of *Y. enterocolitica*. Mechanistically, we found an unexpectedly important role for TRIF in the bactericidal function of macrophages against Gram-negative pathogens. During *Y. enterocolitica* infection, TRIF-dependent protective immunity is mediated through an induction of IFN-β from infected macrophages in the mesenteric LN (MLN). This induction of IFN-β accelerates bactericidal activity of macrophages directly as well as indirectly via inducing IFN-γ from adjacent NK cells. Exogenous stimulation of the TRIF pathway through polyinosinic:polyribocytidylic acid (poly I:C) evoked protective immunity against *Y. enterocolitica* infection in WT mice. The protective effect of poly I:C was also observed in an oral infection with another Gram-negative enteric pathogen *Salmonella typhimurium*. Thus, TRIF-mediated protective immunity against enteric bacterial infection is mainly mediated by macrophages, which act as regional filters of infectious enteropathogens. Elucidation of TRIF-dependent intestinal immune regulation could lead to more effective immune-based prevention and/or treatments for enteric infectious diseases.

RESULTS

**TRIF-deficient mice have increased susceptibility to oral infection with *Y. enterocolitica***

To examine the role of the TRIF pathway in the host response to *Y. enterocolitica* infection, WT and TrifLPS2 mice were orally inoculated with 2 × 10⁷ CFU *Y. enterocolitica*. TrifLPS2 mice showed greater body weight loss than WT mice (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, 10 out of 15 (66.7%) TrifLPS2 mice died, whereas 10 out of 11 (90.9%) of WT mice survived throughout the experiment (Fig. 1B). Almost half of the TrifLPS2 mice died within 3 d of infection, indicating a specific role for TRIF in the early stage of infection.

To explore the cause of early mortality in TrifLPS2 mice, histology of the infected mice was evaluated daily during the first 3 d of infection. Multiple abscesses were found in the spleens and livers of TrifLPS2 mice starting 48 h after infection, which were not observed in WT mice (Fig. 1C). The number of colonies detected in the spleens of TrifLPS2 mice was strikingly higher than in WT mice (P = 0.0002), supporting bacterial dissemination and *Yersinia* sepsis as the cause of death (Fig. 1D).

Consistently, there were significantly higher numbers of *Y. enterocolitica* in the MLNs and PPs of TrifLPS2 mice compared with WT mice, indicating that there is a greater regional burden of *Y. enterocolitica* in TrifLPS2 mice leading to more efficient escape from the intestine and dissemination to distant organs. TRIF may be particularly important after oral infection. This is a result of the fact that intravenous *Y. enterocolitica* infection of WT and TrifLPS2 mice showed similar *Y. enterocolitica* titers in the spleen 5 d after infection (WT 3.9 ± 0.1 vs. TrifLPS2 4.1 ± 0.2 Log10 CFU/g, n = 8 each, P = 0.39). Therefore, the TRIF pathway may play a significant role in establishing a regional defense mechanism in the intestine during the first 48 h of infection.

TRIF is required for initiation of protective immune responses to *Y. enterocolitica* in PPs

Given the striking differences in *Y. enterocolitica* dissemination between WT and TrifLPS2 mice at 48 h after infection, we examined local events in the intestine after oral infection.
Although the majority of the macrophages in PPs of WT mice were red fluorescent (Y. enterocolitica) positive, few macrophages in Trif<sup>Lps2</sup> mice were positive for Y. enterocolitica and there appeared to be more extracellular Y. enterocolitica foci in PPs of Trif<sup>Lps2</sup> mice than in WT mice. In addition, real-time PCR for the chemokines IP-10 (CXCL10), KC (CXCL1), and MIP-2 (CXCL2), which can be induced by TRIF-mediated TLR signaling in innate immune cells (Jeyaseelan et al., 2007; Power et al., 2007; De Filippo et al., 2008; Kelly-Scumpia et al., 2010), demonstrated impaired expression of IP-10 but similar expression of MIP-2 and KC mRNA in PPs from Trif<sup>Lps2</sup> mice compared with WT mice (Fig. 2E). IP-10 has been shown to be a macrophage early response gene and a potent chemoattractant for activated T cells, NK cells, and neutrophils in the face of bacterial pathogens (Jeyaseelan et al., 2007; Power et al., 2007; De Filippo et al., 2008; Kelly-Scumpia et al., 2010). In our system, TRIF-mediated IP-10 would be important for neutrophil recruitment to PPs because treatment of WT mice with a blocking anti–IP-10 antibody decreased Gr1<sup>+</sup> cell population in PPs to

| Figure 2. | Trif<sup>Lps2</sup> mice exhibit a defective immune response in PPs in response to Y. enterocolitica infection. (A) H&E sections of PPs of WT and Trif<sup>Lps2</sup> mice 48 h after infection. Representative pictures from six mice of each genotype. A PP from an uninfected WT mouse is shown for comparison (left). Bars, 200 µm. Bacterial foci are indicated by circled area (right). Bars, 50 µm. (B) Cell population analysis in PPs of Y. enterocolitica–infected WT and Trif<sup>Lps2</sup> mice. Single cell suspensions of PPs were analyzed by FCM 48 h after infection (gathered data from two independent experiments, n = 4 each; *, P < 0.05; error bars, SEM). (C) Histological scoring in WT and Trif<sup>Lps2</sup> mice 48 h after infection (combined data from three independent experiments, n = 6 each; *, P < 0.05; error bars, SEM). (D) Interaction of macrophages in PPs (F4/80: FITC, green) with infected Y. enterocolitica (SYTO83, red) 48 h after infection. Representative pictures are of PPs from WT and Trif<sup>Lps2</sup> mice taken from one of the two independent experiments (n = 3 each). Macrophages positive for Y. enterocolitica are indicated by arrowheads. Extracellular Y. enterocolitica foci in Trif<sup>Lps2</sup> PPs are indicated by the arrow. Bars, 50 µm. (E) Real-time PCR analysis of IP-10, MIF-2, and KC expression in PPs taken 48 h after infection (n = 6 each, averages of samples from three independent experiments; *, P < 0.05). Control mice did not receive infection (n = 6 each). NS, not significant. Error bars, SEM. (F) FCM analysis of Gr1<sup>+</sup> cells in PPs 48 h after infection. Data from WT and Trif<sup>Lps2</sup> mice that were treated with anti–IP-10 antibody and isotype control antibody are shown. |
the levels in Trif<sup>−/−</sup> mice at 48 h after infection (Fig. 2 F). This treatment did not alter Gr1<sup>+</sup> cell number in PPs of Trif<sup>−/−</sup> mice, indicating the effect was TRIF dependent. These results indicate that Trif<sup>−/−</sup> mice have defects in regional macrophage response to invading <i>Y. enterocolitica</i> and chemokine IP-10 expression in PPs, which may be responsible for the impaired local immune responses at the primary infection site.

**TRIF-deficient macrophages are defective in phagocytosis and intracellular killing of Gram-negative pathogens**

To examine macrophage pathways involved in the elimination of <i>Y. enterocolitica</i>, we isolated peritoneal macrophages from mice deficient in TRIF, MyD88, TLR3, and TLR4 and infected them with <i>Y. enterocolitica</i> in vitro at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 (Wiley et al., 2006). A striking increase of <i>Y. enterocolitica</i> proliferation was found in Trif<sup>−/−</sup> macrophages compared with WT macrophages, indicating impaired bactericidal function of Trif<sup>−/−</sup> macrophages (Fig. 3 A). TLR4<sup>−/−</sup> but not TLR3<sup>−/−</sup> macrophages also had impaired bactericidal function, suggesting that TLR4 was the dominant TLR upstream of the TRIF pathway in this process (Fig. 3 A). MyD88<sup>−/−</sup> macrophages had a partial bactericidal function of TrifLps<sup>2</sup> macrophages (Fig. 3 A). TRIF-mediated IFN-β is critical for the bactericidal function of <i>Y. enterocolitica</i>-infected macrophages

Next, we addressed whether the impaired bactericidal ability of Trif<sup>−/−</sup> macrophages is associated with defective cytokine expression in response to <i>Y. enterocolitica</i>. IL-12p35, TNF, and IFN-γ have been implicated in host defense against <i>Y. enterocolitica</i> infection, and IFN-β is a primary inducible cytokine by the TRIF pathway (Autenrieth and Heesemann, 1992;
Autenrieth et al., 1994; Bohn and Autenrieth, 1996). In vitro infected WT macrophages exhibited striking induction of IFN-β, but this induction of IFN-β was completely absent in TLR4−/− and TrifLps2 macrophages (Fig. 4 A). In contrast, induction of IL-12p35, TNF, and IFN-γ in response to Y. enterocolitica was not observed in those macrophages (Fig. 4 A).

IFN-β can enhance phagocytic activity in paracrine and autocrine ways (Vogel et al., 1983; Ellermann-Eriksen, 1993). We tested the hypothesis that the defect in bactericidal function in TrifLps2 macrophages was a result of the impaired IFN-β production. Addition of recombinant IFN-β improved Y. enterocolitica bactericidal activity of TLR4−/− and TrifLps2 macrophages to WT level (Fig. 4 B). These results highlight an important role of IFN-β induction by TLR4-mediated TRIF activation to elicit macrophage bactericidal activity during Y. enterocolitica infection.

TRIF promotes early induction of IFNs in the MLN during Y. enterocolitica infection

Although PPs are the main portal of entry for many enteric pathogens, the MLN has been implicated in prevention of systemic bacterial dissemination in murine models of enteric infection (Macpherson and Uhr, 2004; Westphal et al., 2008; Voedisch et al., 2009). Because there was a striking Y. enterocolitica dissemination in TrifLps2 mice but not in WT mice, we examined in vivo cytokine gene expression in the MLN, taken 48 h after infection. TrifLps2 mice exhibited significantly lower mRNA levels of IFN-γ and IFN-β in the MLN than WT mice, whereas the mRNA levels of IL-12p35 and TNF was similar between WT and TrifLps2 mice (Fig. 5 A). We confirmed these differential inductions of IFNs between WT and TrifLps2 mice by examining protein levels in MLN cell suspensions harvested 48 h after infection (Fig. 5 B). The mRNA expression of these cytokines in PPs was very low and there were no differences between WT and TrifLps2 mice (Fig. S3).

Immunofluorescent labeling of IFN-β demonstrated that F4/80+ cells, a phenotype indicative of macrophages, were the main source of IFN-β in the MLN of infected WT mice (Fig. 5 C). A small portion of these IFN-β–expressing F4/80+ cells was also CD11c+, a phenotype attributed to myeloid DCs (Fig. 5 C). Flow cytometric (FCM) analysis demonstrated that 23.3 ± 1.0% of total IFN-β–expressing cells were F4/80+CD11c+ DCs (Fig. 5 C). In contrast, the majority of IFN-γ–expressing cells in the MLN were CD3+CD161+ (NK1.1) NK cells (Fig. 5 C).

The decrease of IFN-β production in TrifLps2 mice was reflected even in the serum levels of IFN-β, suggesting a possible contribution of IFN-β to the systemic immune responses during enteric Y. enterocolitica infection (Fig. 5 D). In contrast, serum IFN-γ was not detectable in infected TrifLps2 or WT mice (unpublished data). Because innate cytokine responses may differ between regional and systemic compartments, mRNA expression of IL-12p35, TNF, IFN-γ, and IFN-β in the MLN and spleen was kinetically compared after infection. We could only follow up to day 5 after infection as a result of the high mortality of TrifLps2 mice during the infection (Fig. 1 B). The expression of these cytokines showed a peak at 72 h of infection and was greater in the MLN than the spleen. Striking induction of IFN-β was seen in the MLN and spleen of WT mice during 48 to 72 h after infection, but this induction was defective in TrifLps2 mice (Fig. 5 E). Again, IFN-β–expressing cells in the spleen were F4/80+ cells, but this time the population did not contain the CD11c+ subset (Fig. 5 F). In contrast, major induction of IFN-γ was seen typically in the MLN of WT mice from 48 h after infection and continued afterward (Fig. 5 E). This induction of IFN-γ was defective in TrifLps2 mice. IFN-γ expression in the spleen was about half of its expression in the MLN and there were no differences between WT and TrifLps2 mice at any time points (Fig. 5 E). IL-12p35 induction in the MLN was similar between WT and TrifLps2 mice throughout this time course. The expression pattern of TNF in both MLN and spleen was similar to the IL-12p35 expression in WT mice, but significantly higher expression of splenic TNF was found in surviving TrifLps2 mice compared with WT mice after 72 h of infection (Fig. 5 E). These results suggest that regional TRIF signaling in macrophages robustly induces both local and systemic.
Figure 5. Defective induction of IFNs in the MLNs of Trif\textsuperscript{Δlps2} mice after Y. enterocolitica infection. (A) Real-time PCR analysis of IFN-γ, IFN-β, IL-12p35, and TNF expression in the MLNs taken from control and 48 h after infection of WT and Trif\textsuperscript{Δlps2} mice. Averages of samples from three independent experiments (n = 6 each; *, P < 0.0001). Error bars, SEM. NS, not significant. (B) IFN production by MLN cell suspensions harvested 48 h after infection. The protein levels of IFN-β and IFN-γ in the supernatants were measured by ELISA assays after an additional 48 h of incubation in vitro. Combined data from three independent experiments (n = 6 each; *, P < 0.001). Error bars, SEM. (C) Immunofluorescent and FCM analyses of IFN-γ– and IFN-β–expressing cells in the MLN taken from infected WT mice 48 h after infection. IFN-β–expressing cells positive for F4/80 are indicated by the arrowhead. IFN-β–expressing cells positive for CD11c are indicated by the arrow. Bars: (top and middle) 10 µm; (bottom) 5 µm. Representative pictures from infected WT mice (n = 4 from two independent experiments). FCM analysis shows that 76.2 ± 1.1 and 23.3 ± 1.0% of IFN-β–expressing cells are macrophages and myeloid DCs, respectively. Isotype control data (biotin rat IgG with PE streptavidin and APC-hamster IgG within IFN-β–positive cells) used for flow setting is shown (right). In addition, 71.5 ± 2.8% of IFN-γ–expressing cells are NK cells. 4.1 ± 0.3% of IFN-γ–expressing cells are CD3\textsuperscript{+} NKT cells. Isotype control data (FITC–mouse IgG and APC–hamster IgG within IFN-γ–positive cells) used for flow setting is shown (right). Representative data from infected WT mice (n = 3 each from two independent experiments). (D) Serum IFN-β measured by ELISA 48 h after infection. Combined data from three independent experiments (n = 6 each; *, P < 0.05). Error bars, SEM. (E) Kinetic analysis of cytokine gene expression represents regional (MLN) and systemic (spleen) cytokine responses during Y. enterocolitica infection (real-time PCR: averages of samples from three independent experiments, n = 6 each; *, P < 0.05; error bars, SEM). (F) FCM analysis of splenocytes expressing IFN-β in infected WT mice (n = 3 each from two independent experiments).
IFN-β responses during the initial 72 h of *Y. enterocolitica* infection, and that it is associated with sustained IFN-γ expression by NK cells within the MLNs to establish a TRIF-dependent intestinal protective immunity.

**TRIF-induced IFN-β elicits IFN-γ production from NK cells, an additive effect on macrophage elimination of the pathogens**

We demonstrated earlier that the impaired bactericidal activity of TrifLPS2 macrophages was a result of the defective induction of IFN-β in response to *Y. enterocolitica* infection (Fig. 4 B). We also found a significant difference in IFN-γ expression between WT and TrifLPS2 mice in the MLN during *Y. enterocolitica* infection in vivo. IFN-γ is known to be a potent activator of macrophages to enhance their bactericidal function (Gordon et al., 2005; Matteoli et al., 2008). Because NK cells are the major source of IFN-γ in the MLN, we addressed whether the reduction of NK cell–induced IFN-γ is associated with the impaired ability of TrifLPS2 macrophages to eliminate enteric infections, especially in the context of macrophage bactericidal function. To approach this issue, we tested whether macrophages from WT and TrifLPS2 mice could prime NK cells to enhance the bactericidal activity of macrophages (Fig. 6 A).

First, we examined whether TRIF signaling in macrophages promotes IFN-γ production from NK cells in an IFN-β–dependent fashion. WT NK cells produced a substantial amount of IFN-γ after co-culturing with poly I:C–stimulated WT macrophages (Fig. 6, A and B). This IFN-γ production was significantly reduced when NK cells were co-cultured with poly I:C-stimulated TrifLPS2 macrophages or WT macrophages with anti-IFNAR1 antibody (Fig. 6, A and B). Therefore, TRIF signaling induces IFN-β production by macrophages, which then promotes IFN-γ production by NK cells. Next, we tried to determine if the NK cell–mediated IFN-γ further enhances the bactericidal function of TRIF-activated macrophages. WT and TrifLPS2 macrophages were preincubated with poly I:C, followed by co-culturing with WT NK cells in the presence or absence of neutralizing anti–IFNAR1 antibody, and bactericidal activity was analyzed after removing of NK cells (Fig. 6, A and C). Co-culture of NK cells with poly I:C-stimulated WT macrophages showed a significant increase in bactericidal activity, but this increase was abolished by neutralization of IFN-γ (Fig. 6 C). We did not see these changes of the bactericidal activity in TrifLPS2 macrophages, indicating that TRIF signaling in macrophages induces NK cells to produce IFN-γ, which in turn amplifies bactericidal activity of macrophages. Collectively, TRIF-mediated IFN-β induction in macrophages is important to eliminate pathogens, not only to maintain homeostatic bactericidal function but also to summon an additive enhancement by NK cells through IFN-γ induction.

**Early IFN-β induction is required for expression of IFN-γ in the MLN and for preventing dissemination of *Y. enterocolitica***

To examine the importance of the rapid IFN-β induction in host defense against *Y. enterocolitica* dissemination in vivo, we injected TrifLPS2 mice with recombinant mouse IFN-β (10,000 U i.p./d) during the first 3 d of infection. This IFN-β treatment significantly protected TrifLPS2 mice from infection, as all IFN-β–treated mice survived throughout the experiment (Fig. 7 A). None of the IFN-β–treated mice had detectable *Y. enterocolitica* colonies in the spleen at day 7 of infection. The bacterial titer in the MLN, but not in PPs, was significantly reduced in these IFN-β–treated mice compared with PBS treated control TrifLPS2 mice (Fig. 7 B). At 48 h after infection, significant induction of IFN-γ gene expression in the MLN was found in the IFN-β–treated TrifLPS2 mice compared with nontreated TrifLPS2 mice (Fig. 7 C). We also did the complementary experiment and found that blocking IFN-β signaling with an anti-IFNAR1 antibody...
numbers of *Y. enterocolitica* in PPs from the mice that received poly I:C either the day before or the same day of infection (Fig. 8 B). Examination of *Y. enterocolitica* dissemination to

activation of TRIF by poly I:C induces intestinal protective immunity in WT mice by enhancing IFN-β expression in the MLN and macrophage bactericidal activity. (A) Immunoblot analysis of IRF-3 activation (phosphorylation) in the MLNs. Poly I:C–treated (24 and 48 h after injection) and nontreated WT mice are shown. Representative pictures are from three repeated experiments (n = 3). (B) *Y. enterocolitica* titers in the PPs and MLNs taken 7 d after infection. Pooled data are from three independent experiments (*, P < 0.001 for PPs; *, P < 0.0001 for MLN). Horizontal bars indicate mean. (C) Real-time PCR analysis of IFN-β expression in the MLNs taken 48 h after infection. Combined data from three independent experiments (n = 6; *, P < 0.05). Error bars, SEM. (D) Kaplan Meier survival curve for Trif Lps2 mice that received poly I:C (50 µg/mouse s.c) the day before (d-1) *Y. enterocolitica* infection. Combined data from two independent experiments (n = 6; NS, not significant). (E) Spleen titer results 7 d after orogastrical inoculation with 10⁸ CFU *S. typhimurium*. Pooled data from two independent experiments (n = 6 each; *, P < 0.001). Error bars, SEM. Horizontal bars indicate mean. (F) Kaplan Meier survival curve for poly I:C–treated mice (d-1) with or without anti-IFNAR antibody. Combined three independent experiments (n = 7 for control, n = 9 each for anti-IFNAR–treated and nontreated groups, n = 5 no-infection; *, P < 0.05). (H) Bactericidal assay of peritoneal macrophages (MOI: 1) with or without 5 µg/ml poly I:C. Combined data from three independent experiments (n = 6 each; *, P < 0.001). Error bars, SEM.
the MLN on day 7 of infection showed significant reductions in the colony numbers of *Y. enterocolitica* in all poly I:C–treated mice regardless of the timing of injection (Fig. 8 C). None of the mice that received poly I:C had detectable *Y. enterocolitica* colonization in the spleen.

To determine whether poly I:C treatment altered IFN-β expression during the early stage of the infection, we examined the expression of IFN-β in the MLN 48 h after infection (Fig. 8 C). All poly I:C–treated mice showed a significant increase in IFN-β expression in the MLN compared with control mice. The protective effect of poly I:C (Fig. 8 D, d-1, poly I:C given 1 d before infection) was not observed in TrifLPS2 mice, confirming that the effect of poly I:C is mediated through the TRIF pathway. The protective effect of poly I:C is not restricted to *Y. enterocolitica* infection, because poly I:C treatment (Fig. 8 E, poly d0, poly I:C treatment same day as infection) also reduced dissemination of *S. typhimurium* to the spleen at the 7 d of oral infection.

To further examine whether poly I:C treatment alters the natural history of *Y. enterocolitica* infection, we orally infected WT mice with a higher dose (3 × 10⁸ CFU/mouse) of *Y. enterocolitica* and examined the effect of poly I:C on mortality at 28 d. The mortality rates of the mice that received poly I:C (Fig. 8 F, poly d-1 and poly d0) were significantly lower than the mortality in control mice. The mice that received poly I:C 1 d after infection (Fig. 8 F poly d+1) demonstrated reduced mortality, but the difference between control mice did not reach statistical significance. Blocking IFN-β by the IFNAR1 antibody administered 1 d before infection abolished the protective effect of poly I:C (d-1) on the mortality (Fig. 8 G). In vitro poly I:C treatment significantly enhanced bactericidal activity of WT peritoneal macrophages against *Y. enterocolitica* as well as *S. typhimurium* (Fig. 8 H). Collectively, systemic activation of the TRIF pathway protects the host against enteric *Y. enterocolitica* infection by enhancing IFN-β expression in the MLN and macrophage bactericidal activity.

**DISCUSSION**

The development of effective strategies to protect the host against multiple types of pathogens is challenging. Because innate immune responses are antigen nonspecific, we became interested in how the innate immune response in the intestine naturally protects against pathogens and whether strategies could be developed to enhance innate immunity against enteric pathogens. Although TRIF is known as an antiviral pathway in enteric bacterial infection. Although MyD88 has been implicated in phagocytic function through activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (Blander and Medzhitov, 2004), involvement of TRIF signaling in phagocytic function has not previously been described. Others have suggested that TLR-mediated phagocytosis depends on MyD88-independent p38 activation (Kong and Ge, 2008). TLR2 x TLR4 double-deficient macrophages seem to have a more profound phagocytic defect than MyD88−deficient macrophages (Blander and Medzhitov, 2004). Because the TRIF pathway can also activate p38, these results strongly support our observation that the phagocytosis of Gram-negative pathogens by macrophages involves the TRIF pathway.

At the center of the defect seen in TrifLPS2 mice is impaired IFN-β production by infected macrophages. The hallmark of IFN-β is its rapid production as a result of fast transcriptional turnover and mRNA accumulation mediated through a feed-forward mechanism (Levy et al., 2003; Hall and Rosen, 2010). We found that the rapid induction of IFN-β is important for inducing NK cells to produce IFN-γ, a potent antimicrobial cytokine which has previously been implicated in macrophage activation and host resistance against a variety of pathogens including *Y. enterocolitica* (Autenrieth et al., 1996). We show that, in spite of normal TNF and IL-12p35 levels in the MLN of TrifLPS2 mice during the first 48 h after infection, TrifLPS2 mice do not produce optimal levels of IFN-γ. The major cell sources of IFN-β and IFN-γ in the MLNs during *Y. enterocolitica* infection were macrophages and NK cells, respectively. These data indicate that macrophage TRIF signaling, and not just MyD88 signaling, is required for optimal induction of IFN-γ by NK cells to acquire the regional defense mechanism in the
Kinetic analyses of regional versus systemic cytokine responses to enteric Y. enterocolitica infection show robust IFN-β induction both regionally and systemically. This induction of IFN-β was transient, as it was only observed between 48 and 72 h after infection. Yet, this induction of IFN-β is necessary and sufficient to establish protective immunity downstream of TRIF. To substantiate this statement, we show that exogenous IFN-β during the first 72 h of infection can restore TrifLPS2 mice to a nearly normal ability to survive infection with Y. enterocolitica. Interestingly, a late increase of regional and systemic TNF expression was observed in the minority of TrifLPS2 mice that survived Y. enterocolitica infection. No systemic induction of TNF was found in WT mice, presumably because IFN-β was protective. TNF is a potent antimicrobial mediator but can have a systemic toxicity (Autenrieth and Heesemann, 1992; Bhatia et al., 2009). These results suggest that TRIF-mediated IFN-β orchestrates effective immunity against enteric Y. enterocolitica infection, which regionally facilitates macrophage elimination of the pathogen and minimizes the needs for a systemic inflammatory response.

We show in this study a novel role of the TRIF pathway in induction of intestinal protective immunity against Gram-negative bacterial infection. Our results are particularly exciting because the pathway can be manipulated, and we show that it applies to more than one Gram-negative pathogen. Our results provide an important way to activate the host innate immune response without causing systemic toxicity. We envision that targeting this pathway can be operationalized to treat patients within a window of infection.

**Materials and Methods**
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Western blot analysis. 10 µg of lysate was subjected to 10% SDS–PAGE and transferred to Immobilon-P PVDF membranes (Millipore). After blocking, the membrane was blotted with antibodies against phospho-IRF-3 and IRF-3 (Cell Signaling Technology), followed by horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat anti–mouse (Sigma-Alrich) and goat anti–rabbit IgG (Invitrogen), respectively. The membrane was exposed on radiographical film using SuperSignal West Dura kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Immunohistochemistry. The 5-µm cryosections were incubated with 5% milk for 1 h before the incubation overnight at 4°C. Sections were incubated with 5% milk for 1 h and stained with rabbit anti–IFN-γ (1:100; Sigma-Alrich) in combination with Alexa Fluor 488 anti–rabbit IgG (1:400; Invitrogen), or rat anti–mouse IFN-γ (1:80; BD) in combination with Alexa Fluor 568 anti–rat IgG (1:480; Invitrogen) by overnight incubation at 4°C. To identify macrophages and DCs, sections were serially incubated with rat anti–mouse F4/80 (1:100; Serotec) and biotin anti–mouse CD11c (1:80; eBioscience) overnight at 4°C, followed by Alexa Fluor 568 anti–rat IgG and Alexa Fluor 647 streptavidin (1:800; Invitrogen), respectively. To identify NK cells and NKT cells, sections were serially incubated with FITC anti–CD161 (1:80; eBioscience) and APC anti–mouse CD3 (1:80; eBioscience) overnight at 4°C. Sections were incubated with 5% milk for 1 h before the incubation with each primary antibody. The specificity of staining was confirmed using isotype-matched control antibodies. Slides were examined using a confocal microscope (TCS-SP5; Leica).


