Multiple sclerosis (MS) is characterized by repeated episodes of inflammation and demyelination in the central nervous system (CNS) with varying degrees of axonal loss (1). Although chronic disability in MS was traditionally attributed to demyelination, axonal loss is now regarded as the major pathological correlate to the development of permanent neurological deficits (2, 3). Axonal injury is most pronounced in regions of active inflammation and demyelination (4, 5), but it is currently unknown whether this axonal pathology is caused by loss of trophic support of the oligodendrocyte, toxic inflammatory mediators, or a specific immune response against axonal antigens (6–10).

The presence of Ig's and complement activation products in active MS lesions (11, 12) and the efficacy of therapeutic plasma exchange, or treatment with B cell–depleting antibodies, in some patients (13–15) provide circumstantial evidence for the involvement of antibodies in MS. However, the identity of antigens targeted by clinically relevant antibodies in MS remains obscure. Most studies have focused on the role of myelin-specific autoantigens such as myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG), galactosyl ceramide, or sulphogalactosyl ceramide that provide targets for autoantibody-mediated demyelination in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; MOG, myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein; MS, multiple sclerosis; NF155 and NF186, neurofascin 155 and 186, respectively; OIND, other inflammatory neurological disease.

Axonal injury is considered the major cause of disability in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS), but the underlying effector mechanisms are poorly understood. Starting with a proteomics–based approach, we identified neurofascin–specific autoantibodies in patients with MS. These autoantibodies recognize the native form of the extracellular domains of both neurofascin 186 (NF186), a neuronal protein concentrated in myelinated fibers at nodes of Ranvier, and NF155, the oligodendrocyte–specific isofrom of neurofascin. Our in vitro studies with hippocampal slice cultures indicate that neurofascin antibodies inhibit axonal conduction in a complement–dependent manner. To evaluate whether circulating antineurofascin antibodies mediate a pathogenic effect in vivo, we cotransferred these antibodies with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein–specific encephalitogenic T cells to mimic the inflammatory pathology of MS and breach the blood–brain barrier. In this animal model, antibodies to neurofascin selectively targeted nodes of Ranvier, resulting in deposition of complement, axonal injury, and disease exacerbation. Collectively, these results identify a novel mechanism of immune–mediated axonal injury that can contribute to axonal pathology in MS.
encephalomyelitis (EAE), an animal model of MS (16–21). Despite several studies that have documented autoantibody responses to neuronal and axonal antigens in MS, their functional importance has gone largely unexplored (9, 22–25).

Our present study was inspired by findings obtained using a proteomic approach to explore the specificity of the myelin-reactive autoantibody repertoire in MS patients. We identified several patients who showed a conspicuous antibody response to neurofascin present in our myelin preparations.

Neurofascin exists in two isoforms: neurofascin 155 (NF155) is a myelin protein localized at the paranodal axo–glial junction, whereas NF186 is a neuronal protein exposed on the surface of myelinated axons at the axonal initial segment and node of Ranvier (26). NF186 associates with the β1 and β3 chains of voltage-gated sodium channels (27) and other nodal proteins to maintain the unique molecular architecture of the node of Ranvier necessary for salutary conduction (26).

The neurofascin-specific autoantibody response in MS patients recognized the extracellular domain of both NF155 and NF186, prompting us to investigate the functional effects of such a panneurofascin-specific antibody response both in vitro and in an animal model. Cotransfer of a panneurofascin mAb together with MOG-reactive T cells demonstrated that antineurofascin antibodies can exacerbate disease severity in EAE by binding selectively to NF186 at the node of Ranvier. This results in acute, but reversible, axonal injury and is associated with codeposition of C9 and mouse mAb at nodes of Ranvier. In vitro, the neurofascin-specific antibody was able to induce an electrophysiological deficit in hippocampal slices only in the presence of fresh sera, indicating that its pathogenic effect in vivo is complement dependent. These observations identify NF186 as a target for autoantibody-mediated axonal injury, a novel pathomechanism that may contribute to the development of axonal pathology in MS.

RESULTS
Identification of neurofascin as a candidate autoantigen in MS
To quantitatively identify minor myelin glycoproteins recognized by autoantibodies in patients with MS, we used a glycoprotein fraction isolated from human myelin by lentil-lectin affinity chromatography that is highly enriched for known myelin antigens such as MOG, as well as other as yet poorly characterized myelin-associated glycoproteins (Fig. 1 A). Western blotting after SDS-PAGE with sera from 22 MS patients and 21 control patients (10 with other neurological diseases and 11 with other inflammatory neurological diseases [OINDs]) revealed a marked interpatient variability in the immunoreactive band pattern (not depicted); however, in ~20% of MS samples we observed a prominent response to components migrating in the molecular mass range of 150–180 kD (Fig. 1 A). To identify this protein, myelin glycoproteins were separated by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis, and were probed after Western blotting using purified IgG (28) obtained from five MS patients and two control donors (one with an autoimmune peripheral neuropathy and the other with cardiomyopathy). This approach identified a series of spots migrating with an apparent molecular mass of 155 kD that was recognized by the IgG fractions from all five MS patients but neither of the controls (Fig. 1 B). Two spots were excised from the gels, and the immunoreactive target was identified as neurofascin by mass spectrometry (sequence coverage of 23% and a probability of 1.9 e−39).

Having identified NF155 as a candidate autoantigen in MS, we reexamined the disease specificity of this serum antibody response in additional MS patients (n = 26), OIND patients (n = 17), and control blood donors (n = 21) by ELISA (Fig. 1, C and D). Approximately one third of MS patients and occasional OIND patients and control donors had a high titer response to the extracellular domain of NF155 (NF155ED; Fig. 1, C and D). When MS patients were stratified according to disease course, it became evident that the highest levels of NF155ED-specific antibodies were found in the sera of patients with chronic progressive disease (median OD = 0.69) as compared with relapsing-remitting MS (median OD = 0.10), OIND (median OD = 0.10), and blood donor controls (median OD = 0.25). We next purified anti-NF155ED antibodies by immunoaffinity chromatography from the plasma of three representative high titer MS patients to determine whether these antibodies recognized the native protein when expressed at the surface of NF155-transfected cells. This was indeed the case, but purified NF155ED-specific antibodies also bound to NF186-transfected cells, as demonstrated by flow cytometry (Fig. 1 E). This cross-reactivity was confirmed by Western blotting of total brain homogenate (Fig. 1 F) and led us to speculate that recognition of NF186 at the node of Ranvier by these antibodies might exacerbate axonal injury and accelerate disease progression.

Antineurofascin antibodies exacerbate clinical disease in EAE, an animal model of MS
To investigate this hypothesis, we developed a cotransfer model of T cell–mediated EAE (16) in which rats were injected at disease onset with the panneurofascin IgG2a mAb A12/18.1. This antibody mimics the properties of NF155ED-specific antibodies purified from MS patients in that it recognizes the extracellular domains of both NF155 and NF186, as demonstrated by flow cytometry of live neurofascin-transfected cell lines (unpublished data). Treatment with 500 μg A12/18.1 i.p. at disease onset resulted in a rapid and substantial increase in disease severity within 24 h, by which time the clinical score of antineurofascin–treated animals was 2.5 ± 0.18 (mean ± SEM; n = 23). In comparison, control animals injected with an irrelevant mouse IgG2a antibody had a clinical score of 1 ± 0.12 (mean ± SEM; n = 23; Fig. 2 A). All animals survived this acute exacerbation of disease and began to show signs of clinical recovery 5 d after antibody transfer. The majority of animals subsequently regained complete motor function within 2 wk and exhibited no residual signs of disease. However, despite exhibiting some initial signs of clinical improvement, a proportion of the animals...
Transfer of the panneurofascin-specific antibody results in acute axonal damage in the absence of demyelination or increased inflammation in the CNS

We performed extensive histological and immunopathological analysis of the CNS to determine how A12/18.1 exacerbated clinical disease in animals with EAE. We could find no evidence that the mAb had any significant effect on either (27%; n = 11) treated with A12/18.1 died suddenly during this recovery period (Fig. 2 B). This was not seen in animals treated with the control mouse IgG2a mAb, nor has it been reported in other cotransfer models of EAE. In the absence of a preexisting inflammatory response in the CNS, the transfer of the neurofascin-specific mAb into naive recipients (n = 7) resulted in no clinical deficit.
neurofascin-specific antibody. This was not observed in control IgG2a-treated animals with EAE in which β-APP immunoreactivity was minimal and restricted to sites of perivascular and sub-pial inflammation. After complete clinical recovery, β-APP immunoreactivity had returned to background levels indicating resolution of axonal damage. Occasional degenerating myelin figures were observed in only one animal, and we observed no obvious reduction in axonal density in the spinal cord after clinical recovery.

### Binding of antibody to neurofascin in vivo is restricted to the node of Ranvier

Our data indicate that the clinical exacerbation induced by A12/18.1 is mediated by a direct effect of the antibody on the axon. This suggests that the primary target is NF186 at the node of Ranvier rather than the myelin/oligodendroglial isoform of NF155. This was confirmed by identifying sites at which A12/18.1 was bound within the CNS of animals with EAE by laser scanning confocal microscopy (Fig. 4).

30 h after antibody transfer, deposition of A12/18.1 was restricted to distinct bands in longitudinal sections (Fig. 4 B) or appeared as occasional circular profiles in transverse sections. No deposition of mouse IgG2a was detected in the CNS of animals injected with the control antibody (Fig. 4 A). Triple staining using antibodies to specific nodal and paranodal antigens demonstrated that deposition of the mouse neurofascin-specific mAb was restricted to nodes of Ranvier, where it colocalized with voltage-gated sodium channels (Fig. 4, C–F). We observed no colocalization of adoptively transferred A12/18.1 with NF155 within the paranodal domain of myelinated fibers (Fig. 4 B), whereas the mAb will bind both isoforms of neurofascin in vitro and can be used to identify NF155 within the paranodal domains of myelinated fibers in fixed tissue sections (not depicted).

In animals treated with A12/18.1, we observed a distinct halo of C9 deposition restricted to the immediate vicinity of antibody bound to the node (Fig. 4, G and H). This was not observed in animals with EAE treated with the control mouse IgG2a antibody and suggests that complement may play an important role in antibody-mediated axonal injury in this model system.

### Antineurofascin antibodies inhibit neurotransmission in vitro

The ability of the neurofascin-specific mAb to disrupt nerve conduction was examined in rat hippocampal slices in vitro. Perfusion of hippocampal slices with 20 μg/ml A12/18.1 in the presence of 2.5% fresh rat serum had a considerable effect on axonal conduction as measured by the presynaptic fiber volley (essentially a compound action potential) recorded from the Schaffer collateral-commissural fibers. After a variable delay of between 15 and 50 min (Fig. 5, A and B), we observed a >50% reduction in the amplitude of the fiber volley (Fig. 5 C). This effect was abolished if the serum was heat inactivated before the experiment at 56°C for 30 min to destroy complement (Fig. 5 C). In addition, there was no effect
disease remain obscure. Previous studies demonstrated that axonal injury is most pronounced in areas of inflammatory demyelination. This led to the concept that axonal loss was a nonspecific “bystander” response to inflammatory demyelination mediated by soluble factors generated by activated macrophages such as nitric oxide or T cells (10, 29). However, although inflammatory demyelination is a ubiquitous feature

when the hippocampal slices were perfused with fresh serum and a control mouse IgG2a mAb (Fig. 5 C).

**DISCUSSION**

Axonal loss is responsible for the development of chronic neurological deficits in MS, but the pathomechanisms that initiate or exacerbate axonal injury in this inflammatory demyelinating

Figure 3.  **Pathology associated with autoantibody-mediated axonal injury in adoptive transfer EAE.** Representative histopathology of the spinal cord 2 d after transfer of either antineurofascin antibody (B, D, F, and H) or an IgG2a control antibody (A, C, E, and G). (A and B) Hematoxylin and eosin staining reveals the presence of similar numbers of inflammatory infiltrates (arrows) in animals injected with (A) control mAb and (B) NF155/186-specific mAb. Arrowheads indicate areas in A and B that are shown in immunohistochemical staining for β-APP (E and F) at a higher magnification. (C and D) Luxol fast blue staining for myelin (blue/turquoise) reveals that demyelination is not enhanced by the antineurofascin mAb. In E–H, β-APP is stained brown by immunohistochemistry, and cell nuclei are counterstained blue with hematoxylin. This staining for β-APP, which indicates acute axonal injury, reveals numerous injured axons (brown dots in cross sections, F; brown fibers in longitudinal sections, H) in animals treated with the NF155/186-specific mAb. Note that in comparison with animals treated with the NF155/186-specific mAb (F and H), axonal injury is virtually absent in animals receiving the control IgG2a mAb (E and G). Arrows in H indicate brown β-APP-positive axons. Bars: (A–D) 220 μm; (E–H) 30 μm; (E and F, insets) 13 μm.
These isoforms are derived from a single gene by alternative splicing, and their extracellular domains contain six identical Ig domains and a variable number of identical fibronectin-like repeats, differing only in that NF155 uses an alternative fibronectin type III repeat and lacks a mucin-like domain (33). As a consequence, mAbs raised against these proteins are commonly cross-reactive. This is also the case for the autoantibody response to neurofascin in patients with MS, which recognizes the intact extracellular domains of both NF155 and NF186, as we demonstrate by flow cytometry.

Figure 4. The panneurofascin-specific mAb A12.18/1 binds selectively to the node of Ranvier in vivo in animals with EAE. Confocal microscopy of representative spinal cord tissue from animals with EAE 30 h after transfer of anti-NF155/NF186 mAb A12.18/1 (B–H) or IgG2a control antibody (A). Cotransfer of anti-NF155/NF186 mAb resulted in deposition of the antibody within discreet regions of the CNS when visualized with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG2a antibody (green). Double staining with a rabbit antibody to NF155 (red) identified the paranodal domains of myelinated axons and demonstrated that the injected mAb did not colocalize with NF155 but was deposited between adjacent paranodes (B). There was no deposition of mouse antibody in the CNS of animals injected with the isotype control antibody when visualized with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG2a antibody (green), as shown in relation to NF155 staining at the paranode (red, A). Triple staining (C–F) for voltage-gated sodium channels (blue, C), bound anti-NF155/NF186 mAb (green, D), and NF155 (red, E) confirm that the adoptively transferred mAb binds selectively at the node of Ranvier. (F) Merged image of (C–E). Deposition of the in vivo-injected antineurofascin mAb at the node of Ranvier is accompanied by the deposition of complement C9. Sections were stained with an Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG2a antibody to identify bound antineurofascin mAb (green), and a rabbit anti-rat C9 antibody (red, G and H). Bars: 5 μm.
exacerbate clinical disease. The inability of A12/18.1 to recognize NF155 in these animals is presumably related to its localization within the paranodal axo–glial junctional complex. The antibody may be unable to penetrate into the junctional complexes or, alternatively, access to the target epitope is blocked owing to local protein–protein interactions. How antibody binding to the node leads to loss of function in EAE remains to be clarified. Unlike autoantibody-mediated demyelination, the clinical deficit in this model is associated with neither enhanced inflammation nor demyelination. However, the codeposition of C9 with antibody suggests involvement of complement, as is the case in other autoantibody-mediated neurological diseases (34, 35). This supposition is supported by our demonstration that A12/18.1 only mediates a functional deficit in vitro in the presence of fresh serum, and that this effect is abolished if the serum is heated at 56°C before the experiment. However, irrespective of the effector mechanisms involved, antibody-mediated axonal injury and the resulting functional deficit are reversible. Not only did the majority of animals recover clinically, but β-APP-immunoreactivity also returns to background levels with recovery, and there was no evidence of axonal loss. This suggests that the node of Ranvier is relatively resistant to antibody-mediated damage. This may involve clearance of antibody–complement complexes by endocytosis or ectocytosis (36), or up-regulation of complement inhibitors such as CD55 by neurons in EAE lesions (37).

Our experiments demonstrate that neurofascin-specific antibodies mediate axonal injury after recognition of NF186, but we cannot exclude they do not have other pathological effects. The molecular architecture of the paranode is disrupted after both experimental demyelination (38) and in MS plaques (39–41), indicating that NF155 may become accessible to bind antibody in demyelinating lesions. Neurofascin-specific antibodies may also inhibit remyelination by binding to NF155 reexpressed on the surface of remyelinating oligodendrocytes/oligodendrocyte processes (42). Moreover, NF186 is not lost from the axonal surface after demyelination but is simply more diffusely distributed (40), suggesting that it will continue to provide a target for autoantibody-mediated attack in demyelinated lesions. Neurofascin is also expressed on myelinated fibers in the peripheral nervous system, suggesting that it could also be involved in the pathogenesis of autoimmune-mediated peripheral neuropathies. In the current study, we did not observe any enhanced β-APP immunoreactivity or C9 deposition in the peripheral nervous system, although some antibody was detected bound to nodes in root entry zones. The blood–nerve barrier is known to have a limited permeability to serum Ig’s at these sites (43), and in the absence of a local inflammatory response, diffusion of antibody into the nerve is unable to initiate a functional deficit (44). In the absence of peripheral nerve damage, the sudden death of some animals during the recovery phase of the disease cannot be explained satisfactorily, although it might reflect central autonomic dysfunction.

We demonstrate that neurofascin-specific antibodies mediate axonal damage, impair neuronal conduction, and exacerbate clinical disease. The inability of A12/18.1 to recognize NF155 in these animals is presumably related to its localization within the paranodal axo–glial junctional complex. The antibody may be unable to penetrate into the junctional complexes or, alternatively, access to the target epitope is blocked owing to local protein–protein interactions. How antibody binding to the node leads to loss of function in EAE remains to be clarified. Unlike autoantibody-mediated demyelination, the clinical deficit in this model is associated with neither enhanced inflammation nor demyelination. However, the codeposition of C9 with antibody suggests involvement of complement, as is the case in other autoantibody-mediated neurological diseases (34, 35). This supposition is supported by our demonstration that A12/18.1 only mediates a functional deficit in vitro in the presence of fresh serum, and that this effect is abolished if the serum is heated at 56°C before the experiment. However, irrespective of the effector mechanisms involved, antibody-mediated axonal injury and the resulting functional deficit are reversible. Not only did the majority of animals recover clinically, but β-APP-immunoreactivity also returns to background levels with recovery, and there was no evidence of axonal loss. This suggests that the node of Ranvier is relatively resistant to antibody-mediated damage. This may involve clearance of antibody–complement complexes by endocytosis or ectocytosis (36), or up-regulation of complement inhibitors such as CD55 by neurons in EAE lesions (37).

Our experiments demonstrate that neurofascin-specific antibodies mediate axonal injury after recognition of NF186, but we cannot exclude they do not have other pathological effects. The molecular architecture of the paranode is disrupted after both experimental demyelination (38) and in MS plaques (39–41), indicating that NF155 may become accessible to bind antibody in demyelinating lesions. Neurofascin-specific antibodies may also inhibit remyelination by binding to NF155 reexpressed on the surface of remyelinating oligodendrocytes/oligodendrocyte processes (42). Moreover, NF186 is not lost from the axonal surface after demyelination but is simply more diffusely distributed (40), suggesting that it will continue to provide a target for autoantibody-mediated attack in demyelinated lesions. Neurofascin is also expressed on myelinated fibers in the peripheral nervous system, suggesting that it could also be involved in the pathogenesis of autoimmune-mediated peripheral neuropathies. In the current study, we did not observe any enhanced β-APP immunoreactivity or C9 deposition in the peripheral nervous system, although some antibody was detected bound to nodes in root entry zones. The blood–nerve barrier is known to have a limited permeability to serum Ig’s at these sites (43), and in the absence of a local inflammatory response, diffusion of antibody into the nerve is unable to initiate a functional deficit (44). In the absence of peripheral nerve damage, the sudden death of some animals during the recovery phase of the disease cannot be explained satisfactorily, although it might reflect central autonomic dysfunction.

We demonstrate that neurofascin-specific antibodies mediate axonal damage, impair neuronal conduction, and exacerbate clinical disease. The inability of A12/18.1 to recognize NF155 in these animals is presumably related to its localization within the paranodal axo–glial junctional complex. The antibody may be unable to penetrate into the junctional complexes or, alternatively, access to the target epitope is blocked owing to local protein–protein interactions. How antibody binding to the node leads to loss of function in EAE remains to be clarified. Unlike autoantibody-mediated demyelination, the clinical deficit in this model is associated with neither enhanced inflammation nor demyelination. However, the codeposition of C9 with antibody suggests involvement of complement, as is the case in other autoantibody-mediated neurological diseases (34, 35). This supposition is supported by our demonstration that A12/18.1 only mediates a functional deficit in vitro in the presence of fresh serum, and that this effect is abolished if the serum is heated at 56°C before the experiment. However, irrespective of the effector mechanisms involved, antibody-mediated axonal injury and the resulting functional deficit are reversible. Not only did the majority of animals recover clinically, but β-APP-immunoreactivity also returns to background levels with recovery, and there was no evidence of axonal loss. This suggests that the node of Ranvier is relatively resistant to antibody-mediated damage. This may involve clearance of antibody–complement complexes by endocytosis or ectocytosis (36), or up-regulation of complement inhibitors such as CD55 by neurons in EAE lesions (37).

Our experiments demonstrate that neurofascin-specific antibodies mediate axonal injury after recognition of NF186, but we cannot exclude they do not have other pathological effects. The molecular architecture of the paranode is disrupted after both experimental demyelination (38) and in MS plaques (39–41), indicating that NF155 may become accessible to bind antibody in demyelinating lesions. Neurofascin-specific antibodies may also inhibit remyelination by binding to NF155 reexpressed on the surface of remyelinating oligodendrocytes/oligodendrocyte processes (42). Moreover, NF186 is not lost from the axonal surface after demyelination but is simply more diffusely distributed (40), suggesting that it will continue to provide a target for autoantibody-mediated attack in demyelinated lesions. Neurofascin is also expressed on myelinated fibers in the peripheral nervous system, suggesting that it could also be involved in the pathogenesis of autoimmune-mediated peripheral neuropathies. In the current study, we did not observe any enhanced β-APP immunoreactivity or C9 deposition in the peripheral nervous system, although some antibody was detected bound to nodes in root entry zones. The blood–nerve barrier is known to have a limited permeability to serum Ig’s at these sites (43), and in the absence of a local inflammatory response, diffusion of antibody into the nerve is unable to initiate a functional deficit (44). In the absence of peripheral nerve damage, the sudden death of some animals during the recovery phase of the disease cannot be explained satisfactorily, although it might reflect central autonomic dysfunction.

We demonstrate that neurofascin-specific antibodies mediate axonal damage, impair neuronal conduction, and exacerbate clinical disease. The inability of A12/18.1 to recognize NF155 in these animals is presumably related to its localization within the paranodal axo–glial junctional complex. The antibody may be unable to penetrate into the junctional complexes or, alternatively, access to the target epitope is blocked owing to local protein–protein interactions. How antibody binding to the node leads to loss of function in EAE remains to be clarified. Unlike autoantibody-mediated demyelination, the clinical deficit in this model is associated with neither enhanced inflammation nor demyelination. However, the codeposition of C9 with antibody suggests involvement of complement, as is the case in other autoantibody-mediated neurological diseases (34, 35). This supposition is supported by our demonstration that A12/18.1 only mediates a functional deficit in vitro in the presence of fresh serum, and that this effect is abolished if the serum is heated at 56°C before the experiment. However, irrespective of the effector mechanisms involved, antibody-mediated axonal injury and the resulting functional deficit are reversible. Not only did the majority of animals recover clinically, but β-APP-immunoreactivity also returns to background levels with recovery, and there was no evidence of axonal loss. This suggests that the node of Ranvier is relatively resistant to antibody-mediated damage. This may involve clearance of antibody–complement complexes by endocytosis or ectocytosis (36), or up-regulation of complement inhibitors such as CD55 by neurons in EAE lesions (37).

Our experiments demonstrate that neurofascin-specific antibodies mediate axonal injury after recognition of NF186, but we cannot exclude they do not have other pathological effects. The molecular architecture of the paranode is disrupted after both experimental demyelination (38) and in MS plaques (39–41), indicating that NF155 may become accessible to bind antibody in demyelinating lesions. Neurofascin-specific antibodies may also inhibit remyelination by binding to NF155 reexpressed on the surface of remyelinating oligodendrocytes/oligodendrocyte processes (42). Moreover, NF186 is not lost from the axonal surface after demyelination but is simply more diffusely distributed (40), suggesting that it will continue to provide a target for autoantibody-mediated attack in demyelinated lesions. Neurofascin is also expressed on myelinated fibers in the peripheral nervous system, suggesting that it could also be involved in the pathogenesis of autoimmune-mediated peripheral neuropathies. In the current study, we did not observe any enhanced β-APP immunoreactivity or C9 deposition in the peripheral nervous system, although some antibody was detected bound to nodes in root entry zones. The blood–nerve barrier is known to have a limited permeability to serum Ig’s at these sites (43), and in the absence of a local inflammatory response, diffusion of antibody into the nerve is unable to initiate a functional deficit (44). In the absence of peripheral nerve damage, the sudden death of some animals during the recovery phase of the disease cannot be explained satisfactorily, although it might reflect central autonomic dysfunction.

We demonstrate that neurofascin-specific antibodies mediate axonal damage, impair neuronal conduction, and exacerbate
clinical disease in an animal model of MS; however, are they relevant in human disease? For a circulating autoantibody response to mediate tissue damage within the CNS, two criteria must be met. First, the blood–brain barrier must be breached so that autoantibodies circulating in the blood can gain access to the CNS parenchyma (12, 16, 45), and second, once within the CNS compartment, the antibody must be able to access and bind to its target antigens. In the case of the neurofascin-specific autoantibody response in MS, these criteria are both met. First, MS is associated with an increased permeability of the blood–brain barrier to serum Ig’s (46). This indicates that antineurofascin antibodies in the periphery will gain access to the CNS parenchyma. Second, the polyclonal neurofascin-specific autoantibody response recognizes the native extracellular domain of NF186. As we demonstrate using mAb A12/18.1, these antibodies will bind to NF186 in vivo to exacerbate axonal injury and associated functional deficits in patients with MS. These effects will be most pronounced in patients with high titer antibody responses to NF155/186 and may have a considerable impact on disease progression. This may be the case in the 20–30% of MS with high titer antibody responses, but it should be noted that neurofascin-specific antibodies were also detected in occasional control donors. This lack of absolute disease specificity is not unexpected and is similar to that reported for autoimmune responses to other CNS autoantigens in MS (46). In summary, we identify neurofascin as a novel autoantigen in a subset of MS patients and demonstrate that neurofascin-specific antibodies can induce reversible axonal injury and conduction block in inflammatory demyelinating diseases of the CNS. These findings should open new perspectives for therapeutic inhibition of antibody-mediated axonal injury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and control donors. Sera from 74 patients were analyzed. These included 26 patients with MS, 17 patients with OINDs, 10 patients with noninflammatory other neurological diseases, and 21 healthy blood donors. The MS patient group included 13 patients with definite relapsing-remitting MS, 4 patients with a clinical isolated syndrome suggestive of MS, and 9 patients with chronic progressive MS. The IgG fractions used to identify antigen-specific response were plotted as (mean OD − SD) − (mean BSA OD + 3 BSA SD).

Affinity purification of anti-NF155SE antibodies. 500 μg of recombinant rat NF155SE was bound to an activated NHS column (GE Healthcare), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Plasma was applied to a 1 mL column followed by extensive washing with PBS. Bound Ig’s were eluted with 0.1 M glycine, pH 2.7, and neutralized immediately with 1 M Tris, pH 9.

Flow cytometry analysis. HeLa cells were transfected with NF186 in pEGFP-N1 (Clontech) or NF155 in pCDNA3.1 (Invitrogen; provided by P. Brophy, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK) using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Stable high expressing cell lines were selected using G418. Surface expression of NF155 and NF186 was confirmed by immunocytochemistry and flow cytometry using the panneurofascin mAb A12/18.1. For flow cytometry, NF155-transfected, NF186-transfected, or untransfected HeLa cells were incubated with FACS buffer (PBS/3% FCS) and plated out in 96-well plates at a density of 10⁵ cells per well in the presence of mAb A12/18.1 or human antibody diluted to a concentration of 10 μg/ml. After incubation for 30 min on ice, the cells were then washed with FACS buffer and incubated for a further 30 min on ice with anti-mouse IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (dilution 1:1250; Invitrogen) or anti-human IgG Alexa Fluor 488 (dilution 1:250; Invitrogen). After washing with FACS buffer, the staining was analyzed immediately on a flow cytometer (FACS-Calibur; BD Biosciences) using CellQuest Pro software (BD Biosciences).

Animal experiments. All animal experiments were performed in Aberdeen with institutional (University of Aberdeen) and British Home Office approval. EAE was induced in female DA rats (6–8 wk old; Harlan) by adoptive transfer of 2 × 10⁵ activated MOG³⁵-⁶₉-specific T cells, as previously described (51). At the onset of clinical disease, rats were injected i.p. with either the NF155/186-specific mAb A18/12 or a control mouse IgG₂a antibody (Sigma-Aldrich). Animals were weighed and examined daily for clinical signs of EAE, which was scored using the following scale: 0.5, partial loss of tail tone; 1, complete tail atony; 2, hind limb weakness; 3, hind limb paralysis; 4, fore limb paralysis; and 5, moribund. In addition, seven naive DA rats were injected i.p. with 500 μg antineurofascin mAb to investigate any possible effects mediated in the absence of a preexisting CNS inflammation.

Immunohistology. Animals were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde via cardiac perfusion. Brains and spinal cords were left in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min before immersion in 30% sucrose. Tissue was then embedded in
optimal cutting temperature compound and snap frozen in isopentane/liquid nitrogen. To evaluate inflammation, sections were incubated with the antibodies W3/13 (Serotec) and ED1 (Serotec) to stain infiltrating T cells and macrophages, respectively. The inflammatory index was calculated as the mean number of perivascular inflammatory infiltrates derived from an average of 15 complete cross sections of spinal cord of an individual animal. Axonal injury was visualized by β-APP staining (Chemicon).

For paranodal staining, sections were fixed for 1 min in Bouin’s fixative. Before staining, 10-µm longitudinal and transverse spinal cord cryosections were incubated in 10% normal goat serum/0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 h. Primary antibodies were applied for 1 h at room temperature or at 4°C overnight. After washing, the secondary antibodies were applied for 1 h at room temperature, followed by washing and mounting in VECTA-SHIELD Hardset (Vector Laboratories). The antibodies used in this study were as follows: rabbit NF155-F3 (1:1,000, specific for the third fibronectin type III domain of NF185; a gift from P. Brophy) (52), mouse pan-antisodium channel (1:100, IgG1; Sigma-Aldrich), and rabbit anti-γ-CAT C9 (1:1,000; gift from P. Morgan, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK). Anti–mouse IgG2a, Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500), anti–mouse IgG, Alexa Fluor 633 (1:500), and anti–rabbit Alexa Fluor 568 (1:500) were obtained from Invitrogen. Paraffin sections were prepared and evaluated as previously described (53).

Confocal microscopy. Images were captured using a laser scanning confocal system (LSM510 META; Carl Zeiss MicroImaging, Inc.) coupled to an images were captured using a laser scanning confocal microscopy.

Electrophysiology. Young adult female Lewis rats (4–6 wk old) were killed, and the brains were removed and placed in chilled (4–5°C) oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF). The aCSF contained 124 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 26 mM NaHCO3, 1.25 mM NaH2PO4, 10 mM d-glucose, 1 mM MgSO4, and 2 mM CaCl2 and was continuously bubbled with 95% O2/5% CO2. After dissecting free the hippocampus, 350-μm transverse slices were cut using a tissue chopper (Mcllwain). Slices were stored in a holding chamber at room temperature before being transferred to an interface-type recording chamber. Within the interface chamber, aCSF was continuously perfused below the slice at a rate of 1–2 ml/min and at a constant temperature of 27–29°C.
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