Prostaglandin (PG)I₂ (prostacyclin [PGI₂]) and PGE₂ are abundantly present in the synovial fluid of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients. Although the role of PGE₂ in RA has been well studied, how much PGI₂ contributes to RA is little known. To examine this issue, we backcrossed mice lacking the PGI receptor (IP) to the DBA/1J strain and subjected them to collagen-induced arthritis (CIA). IP-deficient (IP⁻/⁻) mice exhibited significant reduction in arthritic scores compared with wild-type (WT) mice, despite anti-collagen antibody production and complement activation similar to WT mice. IP⁻/⁻ mice also showed significant reduction in contents of proinflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin (IL)-6 in arthritic paws. Consistently, the addition of an IP agonist to cultured synovial fibroblasts significantly enhanced IL-6 production and induced expression of other arthritis-related genes. On the other hand, loss or inhibition of each PGE receptor subtype alone did not affect elicitation of inflammation in CIA. However, a partial but significant suppression of CIA was achieved by the combined inhibition of EP2 and EP4. Our results show significant roles of both PGI₂-IP and PGE₂-EP2/EP4 signaling in the development of CIA, and suggest that inhibition of PGE₂ synthesis alone may not be sufficient for suppression of RA symptoms.
various mouse strains with rapid onset compared with that of CIA. However, the lesions of CAIA are milder and its symptoms last for a shorter duration than CIA (9). Furthermore, an acute induction of CIA by LPS injection may not mimic the natural course and mechanisms in RA development. On the other hand, although the induction of CIA is limited to a few mouse strains such as DBA/1J and takes about a month to develop, its lesions last for a long time and its histopathology, characterized by synovitis, pannus formation, cartilage erosion, and bone destruction in joints, is quite similar to that of human RA (10). Therefore, CIA is an arthritis model suitable for analyzing chronic joint inflammation.

Prostanoids, including prostaglandin (PG)D2, PGE2, PGF2α, prostacyclin (PGI2), and thromboxane A2, are lipid mediators produced by sequential catalysis of cyclooxygenase (COX) and the respective synthase (11). They are produced in large amounts at inflammatory sites in response to various stimuli. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) that inhibit COX and suppress PG production have been long and widely used for the treatment of RA. NSAIDs reduce the extent and number of tender or swollen joints in RA patients, implicating PGS in pain and inflammation of RA. Consistently, an inducible form of COX, COX-2, is expressed in the inflammatory synovium of RA patients (12). Among PGS, PGE2 has been suggested as a main PG species working in RA development. Although the induction of CIA has not been tested extensively. Prostanoids exert their actions via a family of G protein–coupled receptors, (11), which include PGD receptor (DP), four subtypes of PGE receptor (EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4), PGF receptor (FP), PGI receptor (IP), and thromboxane A receptor (TP). We have generated mice deficient in each of the PG receptor types and subtypes individually and subjected them to various disease models to define the roles of each PG (16–19). Using mice lacking the IP, we previously showed the role of PGI2 as a mediator of acute inflammation induced by bradykinin or carrageenin, and of acute pain response to acetic acid (16). In this study, we have subjected IP-deficient mice (IP−/−) to CIA and examined the roles of PGI2 in this model. We have further compared the phenotype of IP−/− mice with those of mice lacking one of the PGE receptor subtypes (EP1, EP2, or EP3) and/or mice administered with an EP4-selective antagonist.

RESULTS
Involvement of PGI2-IP signaling in CIA
To examine how PGI2 contributes to the development of CIA, we backcrossed mice deficient in IP to the DBA/1J background for 10 generations. CIA was induced by intradermal injection of CII with CFA on day 0, followed by a booster injection of CII with IFA on day 21. Arthritic lesion of each paw was evaluated from day 21 for the extent of swelling, erythema, and deformity, and graded on a scale of 0–4. The sum of scores obtained from four paws was used as the arthritic score of each mouse. The arthritic lesions appeared around day 25 in WT mice, and by day 35 ~90% of the mice developed arthritis. Although the incidence of mice showing more than 1 arthritic score was not different between WT and IP−/− mice (Fig. 1 A), the arthritic score in IP−/− mice patients (13), whether PGI2 contributes to the development of arthritis has not been tested extensively. Prostanoids exert their actions via a family of G protein–coupled receptors, (11), which include PGD receptor (DP), four subtypes of PGE receptor (EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4), PGF receptor (FP), PGI receptor (IP), and thromboxane A receptor (TP). We have generated mice deficient in each of the PG receptor types and subtypes individually and subjected them to various disease models to define the roles of each PG (16–19). Using mice lacking the IP, we previously showed the role of PGI2 as a mediator of acute inflammation induced by bradykinin or carrageenin, and of acute pain response to acetic acid (16). In this study, we have subjected IP-deficient mice (IP−/−) to CIA and examined the roles of PGI2 in this model. We have further compared the phenotype of IP−/− mice with those of mice lacking one of the PGE receptor subtypes (EP1, EP2, or EP3) and/or mice administered with an EP4-selective antagonist.
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Figure 2. Histological analysis of arthritic lesions in IP−/− mice.
(A) Representative H&E and toluidine blue sections of the knee joint of WT and IP−/− mice on day 35 of CIA. Top- and bottom-middle panels show higher magnification views of areas indicated by white arrows in the top panels. Arrow in the left top-middle panel indicates inflammatory cell infiltration. Arrows in the left bottom-middle panel indicate destructive pannus formation, and white arrowheads in the right bottom-middle panel indicate less proliferative synovium in IP−/− mice. Arrowheads in the left bottom panel indicate proteoglycan depletion in WT mice. Scale bars, 500 μm (top panels) and 100 μm (middle and bottom panels). (B) Pathological scores in WT (black bars, n = 14) and IP−/− (white bars n = 10) mice. Sections were blindly examined for lining hyperplasia, inflammatory cell infiltration, and tissue destruction as described in Materials and methods. Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM from two independent experiments. * P < 0.05 versus WT mice. (C) Complement deposition on the cartilage surface. Sections of the knee joint from control naive WT and IP−/− mice (top left and right, respectively) and WT and IP−/− mice on day 35 of CIA (bottom left and right, respectively) were stained for C3b deposition (brown). Arrows indicate the surface of cartilages. Data shown are representative of five independent experiments. Scale bar, 100 μm.

Histology of CIA in IP−/− mice
To evaluate arthritic lesions in WT and IP−/− mice histologically, we prepared sections of knee joints from WT and IP−/− mice on day 35 and stained them with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) or toluidine blue (Fig. 2 A). The arthritic lesion in CIA is characterized by three parameters: cell infiltration, synovial lining hyperplasia, and tissue destruction. On day 35, joints of WT mice showed characteristic features of CIA in all three parameters: marked infiltration of inflammatory cells in the cavity, lining hyperplasia and invasive pannus formation, and bone and cartilage destruction. Compared with these findings in WT mice, IP−/− mice showed markedly reduced infiltration of inflammatory cells, less lining hyperplasia, and less destruction of bone and cartilage. When these parameters were graded from 0 to 3 (0, normal; 1, minimal; 2, mild; 3, severe), the score of IP−/− mice in each parameter was significantly lower than that of WT mice (Fig. 2 B). These results suggest that the PGI2-IP signaling acts in promotion of joint inflammation in CIA.

In CIA, anti-CII antibody production makes an immune complex with collagen on the cartilage surface of the joint and triggers complement activation, an essential process leading to joint inflammation in CIA (20). Large complement fragments such as C3b and C5b accumulate on the cartilage surface, and small complement fragments such as C3a and C5a promote inflammatory cell infiltration to the joint. Because the joints of IP−/− mice on day 35 showed markedly reduced inflammation, we next examined whether the complement activation had occurred on the cartilage surface in IP−/− mice. We examined deposition of C3b on the cartilage surface in the knee joints by immunohistochemistry. No C3b deposition was found on the cartilage surface of naïve WT and IP−/− mice (Fig. 2 C, top left and top right, respectively).
Figure 3. Concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines in arthritic paws and production by arthritic cell suspension. (A) Cytokine concentrations in arthritic paws. WT (n = 10) and IP−/− (n = 10) mice were killed on day 35 of CIA, and paws were isolated. The concentrations of IL-1β and IL-6 in the supernatants of the paw homogenates were measured by ELISA. The values were normalized with total protein concentration in the supernatant. Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM. *, P < 0.05 versus WT mice. (B) Effects of indomethacin and cicaprost on production of IL-1β and IL-6 by arthritic cell suspension. Cells were incubated in the absence or presence of 1 μM indomethacin (indo.) with or without 1 μM cicaprost as indicated. LPS was added at 1 μg/ml in the experiment for IL-1β production. After 24 h, concentrations of IL-1β and IL-6 in the supernatants were measured by ELISA. Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM (n = 3). *, P < 0.05 versus the vehicle-treated group; #, P < 0.05 versus the indomethacin-treated group. Data shown are representative of at least two experiments. (C) MCP-1 concentrations in arthritic paws on day 35 of CIA (WT, n = 10 and IP−/−, n = 10). The concentration of MCP-1 was measured by ELISA as described above. Results are expressed as the mean ± SEM. *, P < 0.05 versus WT mice.

The deposition of C3b was clearly detected in the WT joint on day 35 (Fig. 2 C, bottom left). The joint of IP−/− mice also showed C3b deposition to the extent that in the WT joint (Fig. 2 C, bottom right). These results suggest that the loss of IP suppressed the progression of the inflammatory process despite the equivalent extent of antibody production and complement activation.

Reduced concentrations of proinflammatory cytokines in the arthritic paws of IP−/− mice

The results described above indicate that the PGI2-IP signaling functions in progression or enhancement of the inflammatory process in CIA. Because proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-6 have been suggested to play important roles in RA (2), we measured the concentrations of these cytokines in the paws of arthritic mice. Paws were collected on day 35 and homogenized. The homogenates were then centri-

fuged and the supernatants obtained were subjected to ELISA for IL-1β, TNF-α, and IL-6. The concentrations of IL-1β and IL-6 were significantly lower in IP−/− mice than in WT mice (Fig. 3 A). The concentration of TNF-α was below the detection limit and could not be determined in both groups. To clarify whether the PGI2-IP signaling can directly regulate production of these cytokines within the inflammatory joint, we next prepared arthritic cell suspension, a mixture of synovial cells and various inflammatory cells from the knee joints of arthritic WT mice on day 35. The effects of indomethacin and an IP agonist on IL-1β and IL-6 production by these cells were examined (Fig. 3 B). As the production of IL-1β by these cells was too low to detect by ELISA under the basal condition, we stimulated them with LPS. LPS induced significant production of IL-1β. However, no significant effects of either indomethacin or an IP agonist, cicaprost, were observed on the LPS-induced IL-1β production. On the other hand, the arthritic cell suspension produced a significant amount of IL-6 on a 24-h incubation. The addition of indomethacin significantly suppressed IL-6 production, and the further addition of the IP agonist completely reversed the suppressive effect of indomethacin. These results indicate that although endogenous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster (IL-1β)</th>
<th>(IL-1β, indo.)</th>
<th>(IL-1β, indo., IP agonist)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>increase 0</td>
<td>increase 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>decrease 18</td>
<td>decrease 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>no change 1</td>
<td>no change 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>increase 138</td>
<td>decrease 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>decrease 34</td>
<td>increase 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>decrease 44</td>
<td>no change 198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>no change 287</td>
<td>no change 198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Clusters of genes induced by IL-1β in synovial fibroblasts

Genes whose expression levels are increased by IL-1β stimulation are clustered first to three groups based on the effect of indomethacin (indo.) treatment (increase, decrease, and no change), and then further grouped into three based on the IP agonist (iloprost) actions.
PGs do not directly regulate IL-1β production in arthritic cell suspension, they contribute to IL-6 production, and the PGI2-IP signaling can mediate this process. IL-1β and IL-6 are released mainly by inflammatory cells and synovial cells, respectively (1). To access whether a reduced IL-1β concentration in IP−/− mice was due to impaired inflammatory cell infiltration caused by reduced chemokine production in IP−/− mice, we measured MCP-1 in the arthritic paws of WT and IP−/− mice in the same manner as described above. MCP-1 is one of the chemokines that promotes RA (7, 21). IP−/− mice showed a significant reduction in the MCP-1 concentration compared with that of WT mice (Fig. 3 C).

**Enhancement of IL-6 production in synovial fibroblasts by PGI2-IP signaling**

The results described above suggest that the PGI2-IP signaling facilitates the activation of synovium in inflammatory joints. Synovial fibroblasts are a major cell population in the synovium and have recently been recognized as one of the pivotal effectors in the inflamed joint because of their ability to produce various inflammatory mediators (1). To obtain mechanistic insights into the role of IP signaling in synovial activation, we prepared synovial fibroblasts from normal knee joints of WT and IP−/− mice and expanded them by culture. They were then activated by IL-1β for 24 h in the absence or presence of indomethacin and cicaprost, and the effects of these compounds on the IL-1β–induced IL-6 production were examined (Fig. 4 A). IL-1β stimulation significantly increased IL-6 production by synovial fibroblasts from both WT and IP−/− mice. However, the IL-6 production by the IP−/− cells was significantly less than that by the WT fibroblasts, indicating that PGI2 was endogenously formed by IL-1β stimulation and worked for IL-6 production. This suggestion was supported by an experiment examining the effects

---

**Table II. Classification of genes whose expression level was potentiated by an IP agonist**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Unigene code</th>
<th>Gene symbol</th>
<th>Gene profiles</th>
<th>Vehicle vs. II-1β Log2(fold)</th>
<th>II-1β vs. II-1β + Indo Log2(fold)</th>
<th>II-1β + Indo vs. II-1β + Indo + IP agonist Log2(fold)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>Mm.35814</td>
<td>Il11</td>
<td>Interleukin 11</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.35692</td>
<td>Il1r1</td>
<td>Interleukin 1 receptor–like 1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.896</td>
<td>Csf2rb1</td>
<td>Colony stimulating factor 2 receptor, β1, low-affinity</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.14595</td>
<td>Pla2g4a</td>
<td>Phospholipase A2, group IVA(cPLA2) (cytosolic, calcium-dependent)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>−0.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.4186</td>
<td>Cxcr4</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-X-C motif) receptor 4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>−1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.1401</td>
<td>Cxcl7</td>
<td>Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.157750</td>
<td>Vegfa</td>
<td>VEGF-A</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.57094</td>
<td>Fgf2</td>
<td>FGF-2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>−2.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.57177</td>
<td>Fgf7</td>
<td>FGF-7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>−0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.20424</td>
<td>Fgf18</td>
<td>FGF-18</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.3879</td>
<td>Hif1a</td>
<td>Hypoxia inducible factor 1, α subunit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.27969</td>
<td>Uap1</td>
<td>UDP-N-acetylgalactosamine pyrophosphorylase 1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.2580</td>
<td>Sdc1</td>
<td>Syndecan 1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>−0.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.4575</td>
<td>Cspg2</td>
<td>Chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.2542</td>
<td>Has1</td>
<td>Hyaluronan synthase 1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>−2.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.5148</td>
<td>Has2</td>
<td>Hyaluronan synthase 2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>−1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferation</td>
<td>Mm.6426</td>
<td>Tnfsf11 (RANKL)</td>
<td>Tumor necrosis factor (ligand) superfamily, member 11</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>−3.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.1421</td>
<td>Adamts1</td>
<td>A disintegrin-like and metalloprotease (reprolysin type) with thrombospondin type 1 motif, 1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>−1.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.39718</td>
<td>Adamts5</td>
<td>A disintegrin-like and metalloprotease (reprolysin type) with thrombospondin type 1 motif, 5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.15969</td>
<td>Adam8</td>
<td>A disintegrin and metalloprotease domain 8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>−0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mm.44170</td>
<td>Vdr</td>
<td>Vitamin D receptor</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>−3.2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22 genes are chosen from cluster 4 of Table I and are classified based on their functions. The change in expression level is indicated as a logarithm of the fold-change. Indo, indomethacin.
of indomethacin and cicaprost in WT cells. Indomethacin significantly inhibited IL-6 production in the WT fibroblasts, and the further addition of the IP agonist reversed the inhibition by indomethacin. Cicaprost, on the other hand, had no effect on IL-6 production in the IP−/− cells. Notably, the IL-6 production by the indomethacin-treated WT fibroblasts was significantly less than that by the IP−/− cells, and the production of IL-6 by the IP−/− cells was further attenuated by the addition of indomethacin, suggesting that PGs other than PGI2 also worked in this process. It was also noted that the treatment of nonactivated WT synovial fibroblasts with cicaprost alone could increase IL-6 production to some extent, and cicaprost further exerted synergistic effects with IL-1β (vehicle: 210.9 ± 32.5 pg/ml; cicaprost alone: 1,743.8 ± 127.9 pg/ml; IL-1β alone: 7,858.2 ± 305 pg/ml; cicaprost and IL-1β: 19,179 ± 196.5 pg/ml; Fig. 4, A and B).

Elevated expression of genes associated with inflammation, synovial proliferation, and bone destruction by PGI2–IP signaling

To evaluate the action of the PGI2–IP signaling in synovial fibroblasts in more detail, we next used the microarray analysis using an Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430A 2.0 GeneChip that contains ∼14,000 genes. Synovial fibroblasts were treated with either vehicle, IL-1β, IL-1β with indomethacin, or IL-1β with indomethacin plus an IP agonist (iloprost) for 6 h and used for analysis on the gene expression profiles (see Table S1, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20051310/DC1). We used Statistical Algorithm in the Affymetrix GeneChip Expression Analysis software and first compared the expression profile of synovial fibroblasts treated with vehicle and those treated with IL-1β. After exclusion of expressed sequence tags and overlapped genes, we detected 489 genes, the expression of which were statistically increased by the IL-1β treatment. Of the 489 genes, 183 were decreased in their expression by treatment with indomethacin, suggesting that endogenous PGs other than PGI2 also worked in this process. It was also noted that the treatment of nonactivated WT synovial fibroblasts with cicaprost alone could increase IL-6 production to some extent, and cicaprost further exerted synergistic effects with IL-1β (vehicle: 210.9 ± 32.5 pg/ml; cicaprost alone: 1,743.8 ± 127.9 pg/ml; IL-1β alone: 7,858.2 ± 305 pg/ml; cicaprost and IL-1β: 19,179 ± 196.5 pg/ml; Fig. 4, A and B).

We classified these 22 genes into three groups based on their involvement in inflammation, synovial proliferation, or bone and tissue destruction. The first group consisting of seven genes is related to inflammation and includes those for IL-11, IL-1 receptor, CSF receptor, CXC chemokine ligand 7, CXC chemokine receptor 4, and cytosolic phospholipase A2. There were 10 genes related to synovial proliferation, i.e., FGF-2, FGF-7, FGF-18, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF; references 26 and 28), hypoxia inducible factor 1α, syndecan 1, chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan 2, hyaluronan synthase 1, hyaluronan synthase 2, and UDP-N-acetylglucosamine pyrophosphorylase 1. Five genes were identified as those related to bone and tissue destruction. They are genes for receptor activator of NF-κB ligand (RANKL; references 31 and 32), vitamin D receptor, a disintegrin and metalloprotease 8, a disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin motif 1, and a disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin motif 5. To confirm and quantify the effects of the IP signaling on the expression of these genes, we performed...
real-time R.T-PCR analysis on genes for IL-11, VEGF, and RANKL (Fig. 5 A). Consistent with the microarray analysis, the treatment with indomethacin significantly decreased expression of these genes and the additional treatment with the IP agonist reversed this inhibition. To examine whether IP signaling contributes to this remnant inflammation, we treated exogenous IL-6 to synovial fibroblasts and examined its effects on the expressions of those genes. We found that the addition of IL-6 did not change the expression level of these genes (unpublished data), suggesting that expression of the above genes is regulated by the IP signaling independent of IL-6. Next, we examined whether the absence of the IP signaling affects the expression of these genes in vivo. As expected, the expression of IL-11, VEGF, and RANKL were significantly decreased in the arthritic paws of IP−/− mice compared with that of WT mice (Fig. 5 B). These results suggest that the PGI2-IP signaling promotes progression of CIA by enhancing the expression of IL-6 and other arthritis-related genes in synovial fibroblasts.

Identification of EP receptor subtypes working in the elicitation phase of CIA

We have thus clarified the role of PGI2-IP signaling in the development of CIA. However, although the CIA score was significantly suppressed by the loss of IP, there was still a small extent of inflammation remaining in IP−/− mice. To examine if other PG signaling contributes to this remnant inflammation, we treated IP−/− mice with indomethacin from day 21. We found that administration of indomethacin abolished the arthritis in IP−/− mice almost completely (Fig. 6 A). These results suggest that PG signaling other than the PGI2-IP pathway also works in progression of CIA. The attenuation of IL-6 production by IP−/− synovial fibroblasts with indomethacin in vitro described above (Fig. 4 A) also supports this possibility. Because plenty of evidence supports the role of PGE2 in RA (14, 15), we suspected that PGE2 plays a role in this process and wanted to identify PGE receptor subtypes involved. Therefore, we backcrossed mice deficient in each of the four subtypes of the PGE receptor (EP1−/−, EP2−/−, EP3−/−, and EP4−/−) individually to the DBA/1J background for 10 generations. Because EP4−/− mice could not survive in this background because of the patent ductus arteriosus (35), we administered an EP4-selective antagonist (ONO-AE3-208) at a dose of 10 mg/kg/day to evaluate the role of the EP4 receptor in CIA. This dose of the drug was previously shown to be sufficient in suppressing EP4 functions in vivo (19). When EP1−/−, EP2−/−, or EP3−/− DBA/1J mice were subjected to CIA, all of these strains of mice developed swelling and erythema in the paws comparable to those in WT mice (Fig. 6 B). Moreover, the administration of the EP4 antagonist during the elicitation phase elicited little effect on the arthritic symptoms of WT mice (Fig. 6 C). Thus, despite the reduced CIA responses in mPGES-1−/− mice (15), loss or inhibition of a single EP subtype alone does not significantly affect the progression of CIA, suggesting a redundancy among the EP subtypes.

Because the PGI2-IP pathway uses the cAMP generation as a major signaling pathway and both EP2 and EP4 share this signaling, we suspected that EP2 and EP4 work redundantly to mediate PGE2 action in CIA and either one of the two can
compensate for the loss of the other. To test this hypothesis, we administered the EP4 antagonist to EP2−/− mice during the elicitation phase in CIA. Administration of the EP4 antagonist reduced the arthritic score significantly compared with that found in the vehicle-treated control EP2−/− mice (Fig. 6 D). These results support our hypothesis that EP2 and EP4 works redundantly for elicitation of CIA. Consistently, when agonists selective to each of the EP subtypes were added to the synovial fibroblast culture to examine their activity to enhance the IL-1β-stimulated IL-6 production, the compounds selective to EP2 and EP4, but not those to EP1 and EP3, potently enhanced the IL-6 production (Fig. 6 E). The EP2 and EP4 agonists also share the activity to enhance production of IL-11, VEGF, and RANKL in this in vitro culture system (unpublished data).

**DISCUSSION**

The importance of PGs in the pathogenesis of RA has long been recognized through the wide use of NSAIDs for RA treatment (36, 37). Indeed, a large amount of PGI2 and PGE2 been recognized through the wide use of NSAIDs for RA associated with arthritic scores compared with control mice, demonstrating the importance of PGI2-IP signaling in the pathogenesis of CIA. This is an interesting result because PGI2 has been considered as a mediator of acute inflammation by causing vasodilation or enhancing vascular permeability, and less attention has been paid to the contribution of PGI2 to chronic inflammation. It has long been thought that PGE2 is the primary PG responsible for inflammation in RA. Our results indicate, however, that PGI2 works as much as PGE2 in the progression of CIA. IP−/− mice showed significantly reduced arthritic scores in CIA despite the level of anti-CCl4 titers comparable with WT mice and also exhibited reduced responses in CAIA, indicating that the PGI2-IP pathway works in the antibody-dependent effector mechanisms of joint inflammation.

There are two arms of cell populations possibly mediating the IP action in the effector mechanisms: the bone marrow–derived cell population, such as macrophages and neutrophils, and the mesenchymal cell population, such as synovial fibroblasts. Here, we have focused on the action of IP in synovial fibroblasts. We have found that the IP signaling working with IL-1β enhances IL-6 production from activated synovial fibroblasts. IL-6 has been suggested to exert many actions in the pathogenesis of RA, such as B cell maturation, synovial proliferation, and osteoclast formation (8, 38). IL-6−/− mice showed reduced arthritic lesions in CIA (39), and the administration of anti–IL-6 receptor monoclonal antibody to RA patients resulted in a significant improvement of both clinical symptoms and laboratory findings (40). Other than IL-6, PGI2-IP signaling induces a variety of arthritis-related genes, including IL-11, VEGF, FGF-2, and RANKL. IL-11 is a member of the IL-6 family and exerts proinflammatory effects in an acute methylated BSA/IL-1–induced arthritis model (22). VEGF and FGF-2 stimulate angiogenesis, and the blockade of their signals results in reduced arthritis severity (27, 28). RANKL is essential for osteoclast formation and mediates bone destruction (31). These findings strengthen our hypothesis that the IP-dependent activation of synovial fibroblasts plays a significant role in the effector mechanisms of inflammation in the joint. It should be mentioned that IP signaling can induce expression of these genes only in combination with IL-1β, and the stimulation of IP alone induces a significant but marginal effect. The requirement of such synergism with IL-1β well explains the lack of arthritis symptoms in patients treated with an IP agonist, such as iloprost as a vasodilator. The lack of IP signaling and consequent impairment in synovial lining hyperplasia may affect the amount of chemokine production, such as MCP-1, from synovial fibroblasts and reduce the further infiltration of inflammatory cells. The significantly lower concentration of IL-1β in the paws of arthritic IP−/− mice might be due to the impaired network of cytokine and chemokine signaling between synovial fibroblasts and inflammatory cells. Collectively, our current findings suggest that the PGI2-IP signaling in synovial fibroblasts works as an amplifier of the inflammatory processes in the joint through the induction of various arthritis-related genes. Whether and how the IP signaling in the bone marrow–derived cell population contributes to this process will be examined in bone marrow chimera in our future study.

Our study also points to the role of both PGE2 and PGI2 in the progression of CIA. For example, although the CIA score was significantly suppressed by the loss of IP, there was still a small extent of inflammation remaining in IP−/− mice. Our analysis for EP signaling indicates that this remaining inflammation is mediated by PGE2 via EP2 and EP4. Using mice deficient in the EP subtypes individually as well as the EP4-selective antagonist, we found that although loss or inhibition of a single EP does not affect the extent of CIA, simultaneous inhibition of EP2 and EP4 significantly reduced the arthritic score in CIA. These results suggest that EP2 and EP4 redundantly mediate the PGE2 action in joint inflammation and that these PGE2 signaling pathways work together with the IP signaling to induce a full extent of CIA. It is quite likely that EP2 and EP4 also mediate inflammation by activating synovial fibroblasts and promoting expression of arthritis-associated genes because these EP receptors share the cAMP signaling pathway with IP, and the agonists selective to EP2 or EP4 potently stimulate the expression of genes for IL-6, IL-11, RANKL, and VEGF in synovial fibroblasts in vitro. We also observed that IP−/− mice showed a significantly reduced arthritic score in CAIA. Involvement of EP4 in CAIA was reported previously (41, 42). Therefore, evidence now exists for a significant role for both PGE2 and PGI2 in both models of arthritis. Which PG or PG receptor plays a predominant role in vivo may depend on the context of
arthritis, which can determine the species of the PG(s) produced in arthritic joints.

The findings described above naturally have an impact on the strategy for the development of anti-RA drugs. Although NSAIDs have been widely used for RA patients, gastrointestinal toxicity associated with the inhibition of the COX-1 isoenzyme limits their use. To circumvent this problem, selective COX-2 inhibitors have been introduced and rapidly gained popularity (43). However, one of the selective COX-2 inhibitors, rofecoxib, has recently been withdrawn from clinical use because a placebo-controlled trial revealed a significant rise in the rate of cardiovascular accidents in rofecoxib users (44). A major cause for such accidents is proposed to be the inhibition of COX-2-dependent PGl2 production from endothelial cells. Indeed, it was shown experimentally that selective inhibition of the PGl2–IP signaling led to the acceleration of atherosclerosis and thrombosis (16, 45). Therefore, this study suggests a possibility that both beneficial and adverse effects of COX-2 inhibitors in RA come at least in part from the same mechanism; i.e., inhibition of PGl2 synthesis. Given the clinical efficacy of COX-2 inhibitors in RA patients and the current belief that PGE2 is the major PG working in the pathogenesis of RA, several attempts are now in progress to develop selective inhibitors of mPGES, which is regulated similarly to COX-2 and, hence, is believed to work preferentially in inflammation. This study on CIA, however, suggests that PG12 also works significantly in RA, providing a cautious note that mPGES inhibitors may not be so effective in RA as COX-2 inhibitors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials. Mice lacking the IP, EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4 receptor individually were generated as described previously (16, 35, 46, 47) and backcrossed 10 times to DBA/1JNcr (Japan Charles River). Mice were bred at the Institute of Laboratory Animals of Kyoto University on a 12-h light/dark cycle under specific pathogen-free conditions. All experimental procedures were approved by the Committee on Animal Research of Kyoto University Faculty of Medicine. An EP4 antagonist, ONO-AE3-208 (AE3-208), was provided by Ono Pharmaceutical Co. The structures, binding affinities, selectivities, and pharmacokinetic properties of AE3-208 have been described (19). Indomethacin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

CIA. CIA was induced in 5–6-wk-old male mice. Bovine CII (Cosmo Bio) was emulsified with CFA (Difco). Mice were immunized with the emulsion containing 100 μg CII by intradermal injection at the base of the tail on day 0 and boosted with 100 μg bovine CII emulsified with IFA (Difco) on day 21. The extent of arthritis was evaluated by eye and scored according to the method as essentially described (48). In brief, the arthritic lesion of each paw was graded on a scale of 0–4: 0, no change; 0.5, swelling and erythema of the entire paw; 1, swelling and erythema of the entire paw; 2, mild swelling and erythema of the entire paw; 3, severe swelling and erythema of the entire paw.

Histological examination. Hind paws were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin, decalcified in 10% EDTA in PBS, and embedded in paraffin. Sections of 7-μm thickness of the knee joint were stained with either H&E or toluidine blue at pH 4.5. Two observers blinded to the genotypes of the preparations examined the sections and scored them. Scoring was based on the degree of lining hyperplasia, inflammatory cell infiltration in the joint cavity, and tissue destruction on a scale of 0–3 (0, within normal limits; 1, minimal; 2, mild; 3, severe) essentially as described previously (25). As for tissue destruction, proteoglycan depletion, cartilage destruction, and bone destruction were scored separately, and the average of the scores of the three parameters was used to represent tissue destruction.

To examine complete deposition on the bone surface, the decalcified hind paw preparation was soaked with 7.5% polyvinyl pyrrolidone in PBS and frozen at –80°C. 10-μm thick sections of the knee joint treated with Peroxo-Block (Zymed Laboratories) were incubated with rabbit anti-mouse C3 antibody (Hyctul Biotechnology) in PBS with 10% goat serum (Sigma-Aldrich) at 4°C overnight, and then with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated polyclonal goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (DakoCytomation) at room temperature for 2 h. The color was developed with diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Wako).

ELISA for anti-CII antibodies. A 96-well plate for ELISA (Sumitomo Bakeite) was coated with 5 μg/ml bovine CII in PBS overnight at 4°C. After nonspecific binding was blocked with 1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS for 2 h at room temperature, the diluted serum was added and incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing with 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS, either goat anti–mouse IgG, IgG1, IgG2a, or IgG2b antibody conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (SouthernBiotech) was added and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The wells were washed and p-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma-Aldrich) was added as a substrate. The color development was determined by absorbance at 405 nm. Standard curves (in arbitrary units) for anti-CII IgGs were constructed from the pooled serum of a DBA/1J mouse with a high score of CIA. The titer of the standard serum was defined as 10,000 units/ml.

Isolation and culture of synovial fibroblasts. Synovial fibroblasts were isolated and cultured essentially as described previously (49). In brief, the synovium of the knee joint from immunized control mice was dissected and then digested with 1 mg/ml collagenase A (Roche Diagnostics) in serum-free RPMI 1640 medium at 37°C for 2 h. The tissue digests were suspended and passed through nylon mesh. Dissociated cells were washed three times in RPMI 1640 medium containing 50 μM 2-mercaptoethanol, 5 mM sodium pyruvate, and 10% heat-inactivated FCS, and cultured. After overnight culture, nonadherent cells were removed. At confluence, cells were trypsinized, divided into three, and replated. The passage was repeated three to four times before use.

Synovial fibroblasts were plated at a density of 2 × 106 cells/well in a 96-well plate in RPMI 1640 medium with 1% FCS and cultured with or without mouse rIL-1β (R&D Systems). Indomethacin (Sigma-Aldrich) and either cinacrost, iloprost (Cayman), ONO-14339, butaprost (Cayman), ONO-AE-248, or ONO-AE1-329 was further added at the indicated concentrations either alone or in combination. Supernatants were prepared as described previously (50).

Cytokine assays. The amount of IL-6, IL-1β, and TNF-α in culture supernatants and tissue homogenates was measured with ELISA kits (Endogen). The amount of MCP-1 in tissue homogenates was measured with ELISA kits (Biosource). For assays of cytokines in arthritic paws, samples were prepared as described previously (50).
DNA microarray analysis. Total RNA was prepared from synovial fibroblasts by using an RNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN) and subjected to microarray analysis using a Mouse Genome 430A 2.0 Array (Affymetrix). Data were analyzed by Statistical Algorithm with the Affymetrix GeneChip Expression Analysis software (Microarray Suite 5.0). All microarray data are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE2676).

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR. Total RNA was obtained from arthritic paws using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Complementary DNA was synthesized using Superscript II (Invitrogen). The amount of each mRNA for RANKL, IL–11, VEGF, and GAPDH was quantified by real-time RT-PCR using LightCycler 2.0 (Roche Diagnostics). The primer sequences RANKL, VEGF, and GAPDH were described previously (51–53). Primers used for IL–11 were 5′-GACTCTGGACGCAAGAGCTG-3′ (forward) and 5′−GGGATCAGGGTTGTCGTG-3′ (reverse). Data were analyzed by LightCycler Software Version 4.0.

Statistics. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. The comparison of two groups was analyzed using Student’s unpaired two-tailed t test or the Mann-Whitney U test. For comparison of more than two groups, one-way ANOVA was performed first, and either Dunnett’s or Tukey’s test was used to evaluate pairwise group difference. Two-way ANOVA was performed for comparison including two factors. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analyses were performed with the use of PRISM 4.0 software (GraphPad).

Online supplemental material. Table S1 shows the number of genes in each cluster of microarray analysis and is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20051310/DC1.
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