Periodic fever syndromes (PFSs) comprise a subset of the hereditary autoinflammatory disorders that are defined by recurrent self-resolving attacks of systemic inflammatory reactions in the absence of infection or autoimmunity. Recent advances have led to the discovery that members of a new family of genes, the PYRIN family, account for several hereditary PFSs. Here we discuss new insights into the function of PYRIN proteins and the molecular basis of PFSs.

**Periodic Fever Syndromes.** The genetic cause of six hereditary PFSs has been identified: Familial Mediterranean Fever (FMF), TNF receptor–associated periodic syndrome (TRAPS), hyperimmunoglobulinemia D and PFS (HIDS), familial cold autoinflammatory syndrome (FCAS)/familial cold urticaria (FCU), Muckle-Wells syndrome (MWS), and neonatal-onset multisystem inflammatory disease (NOMID)/chronic infantile neurologic cutaneous and articular syndrome (CINCA). More than 70 yr ago, the first autosomal recessive hereditary PFS, now known FMF, was defined. FMF is characterized by episodic fever spikes lasting for days, followed by attack-free periods as long as several years. The gene responsible for FMF was reported in 1997 and denoted MEFV, and the protein was named Pyrin (Marenostrin) (1, 2). The Pyrin protein consists of a conserved NH2-terminal PYRIN domain, followed by a COOH-terminal B-box zinc finger, a PRY/SPRY domain, and two overlapping nuclear localization signals (Fig. 1). Mutations in the gene encoding proline serine threonine phosphatase–interacting protein (PSTPIP1), a Pyrin-interacting protein, are associated with the dominantly inherited autoinflammatory syndrome of pyogenic arthritis, pyodermagangrenosum, and acne (PAPA). These mutations accentuate the interaction between Pyrin and PSTP1 (3). Pyrin and PSTPIP1 proteins associate with the cytoskeleton (4), but the significance of this observation is unclear.

HIDS or Dutch-type periodic fever is another autosomal recessive PFS characterized by fever attacks of up to a week, accompanied by constitutively elevated levels of serum IgD. In 1999, a candidate gene for HIDS was identified, MVK, encoding mevalonate kinase (5, 6). Indeed, patients with HIDS show increased concentrations of mevalonic acid during fever episodes, correlating with reduced mevalonate kinase activity, suggesting that HIDS represents a metabolic defect in the isoprenoid biosynthesis, presumably resulting not only in periodic production of abnormal levels of pyrogenic isoprenoids but also in increased IL-1β secretion.

The first defined PFS with an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance was TRAPS, initially referred to as Familial Hibernian Fever, which is caused by mutations in the gene encoding TNFR1 (7). Given the prominent role of TNF receptors in inflammatory responses, it is not surprising that polymorphisms in this gene are linked to a PFS.

In 1999, two PFSs, namely FCAS (or FCU) and MWS, were linked to the gene CIAS1 (8, 9). The encoded protein, Cryopyrin, is a member of the PYRIN and NACHT domain–containing (PAN) family of proteins, which has at least 15 human members (Table I). These proteins contain a characteristic combination of three domains, including a PYRIN domain, a specific nucleotide-binding fold (NACHT domain), and several tandem copies of leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) similar to the extracellular domain of Toll-like receptors (TLRs) (Fig. 1). Mutations in CIAS1 are found mainly within or in the vicinity of the NACHT domain, which is thought to mediate oligomerization of this protein. Mutations in CIAS1 are also associated with another autoinflammatory disorder, CINCA (NOMID), a disorder where short recurrent fever episodes are common, though severe arthropathic, neurologic, and dermatologic symptoms predominate (10, 11). Among the 20 human genes that encode a NACHT domain, hereditary mutations associated with inflammatory diseases have also been identified in NOD2, which encodes a protein with a similar architecture to Cryopyrin except that it contains a pair of caspase recruitment domains (CARDs) instead of the PYRIN domain. Mutations in NOD2 have been linked to kinships with familial Crohn’s...
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The PYRIN Domain: A Link To Inflammatory Pathways.
With the exception of HIDS, all characterized PFS involve proteins containing the death domain fold (DDF), consisting of a characteristic bundle of five to six α-helices. Four subfamilies have been identified, including the death domain, death effector domain, CARD, and PYRIN domain, which mediate homotopic protein–protein interactions. To date, identified downstream effectors of DDFs include caspases, a family of cysteine proteases, and proteins linked to activation of Jun NH2-terminal kinase and kinases that activate NF-κB family members.

The PYRIN domain is the most recently recognized DDF and also the most recently evolved and found only among vertebrates. The three-dimensional structure of PYRIN domains from apoptosis-associated speck-like protein containing a CARD (ASC), a small adaptor protein that contains both a PYRIN and a CARD domain, and NALP1 have been solved recently by NMR, revealing the characteristic DDF fold. The role (if any) of post-transla-
tional modifications in regulating PYRIN–PYRIN interactions is unclear, though TNF stimulation can induce phosphorylation of at least two PYRIN family proteins (13).

The PYRIN domain can be found in association with numerous other types of domains (Fig. 1) that may either serve as sensors of changes in cellular environment or effectors that trigger responses. PYRIN domains can bind indirectly to at least two proteins important in inflammation: pro–caspase-1 and the IκB kinase (IKK) complex, resulting in either activation or inhibition of these enzymes.

Caspase-1 is primarily involved in proteolytic processing and activation of proinflammatory cytokines, pro–ILβ and pro–IL-18, though also capable of participating in apoptosis in certain pathological contexts. Some PYRIN proteins connect to pro–caspase-1 via ASC (Fig. 1) (14). The CARD of ASC can bind pro–caspase-1, thus acting as a molecular bridge to other PYRIN proteins mediated via their PYRIN domains (15). ASC represents only one of two genes in the human genome combining CARD and PYRIN domains, the other being NALP1 (Fig. 1). NALP1 uses its CARD to bind pro–caspase-5 and the PYRIN do-
mian to link via ASC to pro–caspase-1, resulting in a protein complex that controls activation of caspases-1 and -5, and which has been dubbed the “inflammasome” (16). Cryo-
pyrin and NALP2 also form inflammasomes, but distinct from NALP1 (17), these PANs associate with ASC, cardia-

dal, and pro–caspase-1 but not pro–caspase-5. The central role of ASC in host defense responses linked to caspase-1 activation was revealed recently by gene ablation studies in mice, showing a severe defect in caspase-1 activation in macrophages from Asc−/− mice in response to TLR activation and infection with intracellular pathogens and increased resistance to endotoxic shock of these mice (18). In contrast to wild-type Cryopyrin, hereditary mutants spontaneously associate with ASC and trigger caspase-dependent processing of pro–IL-1β (19). Macrophages from MWS patients display spontaneous activation of caspase-1 and secretion of active IL-1β. Similarly, patients suffering from NOMID have elevated serum cytokines (17). Peripheral blood lymphocytes isolated from PAPA patients, which produce mutant forms of the Pyrin-interacting protein PSTPIP1, also secrete elevated levels of IL-1β, correlating with increased PSTPIP1-Pyrin association in these cells (3). Also FMF-associated Pyrin mutations induce an increase in bioactive IL-1β when transfected into monocytes (20). Together, these results suggest that hereditary alterations in these PYRIN family proteins or their interacting partners represent gain of function mutations, where the proteins constitutively signal. However, macrophages from Pyrin−/− mice exhibit height-
ened sensitivity to LPS and produce more (not less) active caspase-1 and IL-1β (20). Thus, one cannot eliminate the

Figure 1. PYRIN domain and related proteins. PYRIN domain and some related proteins are presented. Domains shown include: CARD; hematopoietic interferon-inducible nuclear proteins with a 200-amino-acid repeat (HIN200/IFI20); NAIP, CIITA, HET-E, and TP1 (NACHT); LRR; bacu-
lovirus inhibitor of apoptosis repeat (BIR); Trp-Asp 40 (WD40); activation domain (AD); NACHT-associated domain (NAD), TIR; coiled-coil (CC); cysteine-rich domain (CYS); transmembrane domain (TM); zinc finger B-box (zf-B-box); Dicyostelium discoideum dual specificity kinase SplA and ryanodyne receptor (SPRY); SPRY associated (PRY); domain with function to find (FIIND).
possibility that wild-type versions of some of these proteins normally suppress IL-1β production, with the hereditary mutations representing loss of function mutations.

Some PYRIN proteins have been shown to associate with the IKK complex, affecting activation of NF-κB (13, 21, 22), a family of transcription factors that directly controls expression of a variety of genes involved in inflammation and host defense. How PYRIN domains bind IKK complex proteins is unclear, though one route may again involve ASC. The CARD of ASC can bind Cardiak (Rick, Rip2), which in turn binds IKKβ (15). Alternatively, ASC conceivably could connect to IKKβ via Cardinal, which contains a CARD that can bind ASC (unpublished data) and also reportedly directly binds IKKβ (23). However, other routes to IKK complex proteins probably also exist, as suggested for the PAN2 protein (22). Both Cryopyrin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PAN</th>
<th>Alternative name</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Expressiona</th>
<th>Inducer</th>
<th>Domainsb</th>
<th>LRRs</th>
<th>Length (aa)/kD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cryopyrin</td>
<td>PYPAP1 NALP3</td>
<td>1q44</td>
<td>C, G, T, M</td>
<td>TNF↑,</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,034/118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN1</td>
<td>PYPAP2 NALP2</td>
<td>19q13.4</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1,062/121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN2</td>
<td>PYPAP4 NALP4</td>
<td>11p15</td>
<td>B, M, F, pl, lg, li, ki, pa, sp, th T, E, G</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>994/113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN3</td>
<td>PYPAP5 NALP6</td>
<td>19q13.4</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>892/99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN4</td>
<td>NALP8</td>
<td>11p15</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,029/117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN5</td>
<td>NALP10</td>
<td>19q13.4</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>655/75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN6</td>
<td>PYPAP7 NALP12</td>
<td>19q13.4</td>
<td>L, DC, M, E, G</td>
<td>NO↑, TNF↓, IFNγ↓</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1,061/120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN7</td>
<td>PYPAP3 NALP7 NOD12</td>
<td>11q13.42</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>980/112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN8</td>
<td>NALP14</td>
<td>11p15.4</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1,093/125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN9</td>
<td>NALP10</td>
<td>19q13.4</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,014/115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN10</td>
<td>PYPAP6 NALP11 NOD17</td>
<td>19q13.42</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,033/118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN11</td>
<td>PYPAP8 NALP5 mater</td>
<td>11q13.42</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1,200/134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN12</td>
<td>NALP9</td>
<td>19q13.4</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>986/113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN13</td>
<td>NALP13</td>
<td>19q13.4</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,043/119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN14</td>
<td>NOD27</td>
<td>16q13</td>
<td>M, breast cancer↑, leukemia↓, renal tumors↓</td>
<td>LPS↓</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1,866/205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAC</td>
<td>DEFCAP CARD7 NALP1</td>
<td>17p12.13</td>
<td>Ubiquitous expressed; high in tumors</td>
<td>Cell cycle regulated</td>
<td>P-N-A-L</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,429/161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. PAN Family Proteins

*a B, B cells; C, chondrocytes; E, eosinophils; G, granulocytes; M, monocytes; T, T cells; ki, kidney; li, liver; lg, lung; pa, pancreas; pl, placenta; sp, spleen; th, thymus; ↑, induction; ↓, inhibition.

b P, PYRIN domain; N, NACHT; A, angiotensin receptor-related (or NAD); L, LRR; C, CARD; F, FIIND; ↑, induction; ↓, inhibition.
and Pyrin utilize ASC to link to NF-κB activation pathways. However, at least in overexpression situations, Pyrin has also been reported to interfere with the interaction of ASC and Cryopyrin (13, 21, 24). Moreover, hereditary mutations found in Cryopyrin also show enhanced NF-κB activation in collaboration with ASC compared with wild-type Cryopyrin, whereas Cryopyrin expressed in the absence of ASC blocks activation of NF-κB (19, 25). Thus, complex networks of interactions among PYRIN domain-containing proteins may set thresholds for NF-κB induction, assuring that inflammation is induced only if the proper stoichiometry ratios of components are present in protein complexes containing PYRIN family proteins.

Although PAN family proteins are capable of regulating NF-κB activity, the physiological importance of this function of PYRIN domain–containing proteins is unknown. In this regard, recent clinical experience with use of recombinant IL-1 receptor antagonists has demonstrated marked benefits in patients harboring Cryopyrin mutations (26), attesting to the importance of excessive IL-1 production in this PAN family protein disease. It would be interesting to compare this result with drugs such as IKK inhibitors or proteasome inhibitors that suppress NF-κB induction.

A Network of PYRIN Domain Interactions. Another important goal of future research is to delineate which of the ~21 PYRIN domain–containing proteins encoded in the human genome interact with each other and what the functional consequences of those interactions are. One insightful example of regulatory interactions among PYRIN domain–containing proteins is found in POP1, a recently identified small protein that consists only of a PYRIN domain (Fig. 1). POP1 binds ASC and interferes with its ability to collaborate with Pyrin or Cryopyrin in NF-κB activation (13). However, in contrast to its dominant-negative effect on ASC–mediated NF-κB induction, POP1 enhances ASC–mediated caspase-1 activation, at least when overexpressed. By binding the PYRIN domain of ASC, POP1 may induce multimerization of ASC, leaving its CARD free for interaction with pro–caspase-1. Multiple other examples of regulatory interactions among PYRIN domain–containing proteins are likely to emerge as research in this field progresses. These interactions certainly could involve PYRIN–PYRIN interactions, but other domains associated with these proteins could also mediate their interactions, especially NACHT domains, which are known for their propensity to oligomerize. Interestingly, recent evidence suggests that NACHT domains from different PAN family proteins can associate, implying complex networks of protein heterooligomerization mediated by NACHT–NACHT interactions (27). Interestingly, the human genome is predicted to encode proteins that combine a NACHT and LRRs but lacking the NH2-terminal effector domain region. Such proteins conceivably could act as dominant–negative regulators of PANs, relying on NACHT–NACHT interactions to thwart assembly of functional signaling complexes.

A Role of PYRIN Proteins in Apoptosis? Several PYRIN proteins have been implicated in apoptosis, though the mechanisms remain poorly defined. Overexpression of ASC for example triggers apoptosis of some types of cells (28), whereas antisense–mediated suppression of ASC expression reportedly reduces cell death in myeloid leukemia cells (14). Coexpressing Pyrin with ASC can overcome its proapoptotic action (29), suggesting that PYRIN–PYRIN domain interactions are important determinants of whether ASC modulates apoptosis. One likely mechanism for ASC–induced apoptosis is caspase–1 activation, inasmuch as its excessive activation can trigger activation of apoptotic caspases, and caspase–1–dependent apoptosis was impaired in macrophages from Asc−/− mice (18). In this regard, both the apical protease in the mitochondrial pathway, caspase–9, and caspase–8, the pinnacle protease in the death receptor pathway, have been implicated in ASC–induced apoptosis (28, 30). Also, macrophages from Pyrin−/− mice display impaired caspase–8 activity along with reduced susceptibility to apoptosis (20). Recently, ASC was suggested to bind and activate the proapoptotic Bcl–2 family member Bax, invoking a mitochondrial apoptosis pathway involving cytochrome c release. This ASC/Bax mechanism for cell death was implicated in a DNA damage response pathway, where ASC expression is induced by activated p53 (31). NALP1 involvement in the mitochondrial pathway for apoptosis has also been reported (32). Similarly, TLRs, well known for their role in innate immunity, are capable of triggering caspase–dependent apoptosis in some contexts (33). Further work is required to ascertain the circumstances where PYRIN domain–containing proteins participate in apoptosis regulation and the repertoire of apoptosis–relevant proteins with which they interact. Like CARDs and DDs, the PYRIN domains may operate at cross–roads of inflammation and apoptosis.

PANs As Intracellular Pathogen–sensing Proteins. Most PAN family proteins, with the exception of PAN5 (Pynod), contain LRRs similar to the extracellular LRR domains of the TLRs (13). The LRR domains of TLRs bind a variety of microbe–derived molecules and transduce signals into cells via their intracellular Toll/IL–1 receptor (TIR) domains (Fig. 1). Similarly, the genomes of plants, such as Arabidopsis, contain as many as hundreds of resistance (R) genes that encode host defense proteins combining pathogen–sensing LRRs with a NB–ARC domain, (a NACHT–like fold) and various effector domains comprised of TIR–, coiled–coil–, or leucine zipper–like domains (Fig. 1). One of the downstream responses elicited via these R gene products is the hypersensitive response, where altruiistic cell death is induced to limit pathogen spread. R–gene products are also implicated in regulation of a multiprotein complex that may be likened to the IKK complex and the SCF ubiquitin–ligase complex that targets IkBα for destruction. Their domain arrangement is reminiscent of PANs. Thus, PAN family proteins represent excellent candidates for the long–sought sensors of intracellular pathogens.

In plants, the LRRs have been shown to suppress activation of the R–gene protein, operating as autoinhibitory domains with inhibition relieved upon binding pathogen–
derived molecules (34). Similarly deleting the LRRs from mammalian PAN family proteins seems to boost their ability to activate caspase-1 or NF-κB. Thus, the LRRs may act as a switch, converting PANs from inactive to active conformations. Thus, one mechanism underlying periodic fever syndromes might be uncontrolled formation of proinflammatory signaling complexes, independently of sensing pathogens. However, data complicating this simple interpretation have come from the observation that Pynod (PAN5/NALP10), which is the only PAN family member lacking LRRs, blocks Cryopyrin and Ipaf (CLAN)–mediated activation of caspase-1 and NF-κB (35).

Though no definitive evidence exists to date that the LRRs of PANs bind microbial products, LRRs of the closely related proteins, Nod1 and Nod2, have recently been reported to bind bacterial muropeptides, leading to NF-κB induction (36). Nod1, Nod2, and Ipaf are highly similar to PANs, except that they contain CARDs instead of PYRIN domains at their NH2 termini (Fig. 1). They are also implicated in pro–caspase-1 and NF-κB activation, undergoing oligomerization in response to invading gram-negative bacteria (36). Consistent with this idea, macrophages from ipaf−/− mice are defective in caspase-1 activation in response to intracellular pathogens but not TLR agonists (37). NAIP and CIITA, which are structurally similar to PAN proteins, containing NACHT and LRR domains but lacking DDFs, are also implicated in host defense: they have been shown to regulate host susceptibility to the intracellular pathogen Listeria and class I histocompatibility antigen expression, respectively (Fig. 1) (36).

Future research, therefore, should seek to define the exogenous or endogenous ligands for the LRRs of PAN family proteins. Given that precedence exists for the LRRs of TLRs binding nucleic acids (e.g., CpG DNA; poly I:C), one topic worthy of exploration concerns the proinflammatory effects observed for some antisense oligonucleotides and synthetic small interfering RNAs. Knowledge of the sequence requirements for interactions of oligonucleotides with LRRs of PANs might allow design of more specific reagents. Interestingly, one of the PYRIN family proteins, IFI16, has been implicated recently in DNA damage and repair signaling (37), suggesting additional links between PYRIN domain–containing proteins and sensing of altered nucleic acids.

**Diverse Intracellular Locations of PYRIN Proteins.** The location of a protein often says a lot about its function. PYRIN proteins exhibit some interesting patterns of subcellular location. Pyrin was originally predicted to function as a RoRet family transcription factor. However, only one alternative spliced isoform of Pyrin localizes to the nucleus, whereas the full-length protein associates with tubulin and actin, leading to speculation that Pyrin might regulate cytoskeletal organization in leukocytes (38). Interestingly, hereditary Pyrin mutants do not show altered cellular distribution and still colocalize with ASC (39). In contrast, Cryopyrin displays granular cytoplasmic localization (17). Proteins of another branch of the PYRIN family, the HIN200 proteins, such as human IFI16, AIM2, and MNDa (Fig. 1), localize exclusively to the nucleus and associate with various transcription factors via their HIN200 domains, suggesting a role for some PYRIN proteins as modulators of gene expression (40). IFI16 was identified recently as a BRCA1-associated protein enhancing p53-mediated apoptosis in response to DNA damage (37). The PAN-related protein, CIITA, also operates in the nucleus to control expression of MHC class I genes (41).

The adaptor protein ASC derives its name, “apoptotic-speck–like protein containing a caspase recruitment domain,” in part from its tendency to localize to cytosolic specks when overexpressed or transcriptionally induced (14). In gene transfection experiments, several ASC-binding PYRIN proteins, including Pyrin, Cryopyrin, POP1, PAN6, PAN3, and zCaspy are recruited into these specks via PYRIN–PYRIN interactions. Conceivably therefore, ASC and certain ASC-binding PYRIN proteins, including Pyrin, might participate in cytosolic macromolecular complexes (signalosomes or inflammasomes) that serve as scaffolds for achieving activation of caspases, NF-κB–activating kinases, and perhaps other proteins involved in host defense, inflammation, or apoptosis.

**Regulation of PYRIN Family Gene Expression.** Although expression of some PYRIN domain–containing proteins is ubiquitous (PAN2, ASC), others are produced predominantly in cells directly involved in host defense, such as macrophages, neutrophils, lymphocytes, and cells that play pathogen barrier functions, such as intestinal epithelial cells (Table I). The stimuli that modulate expression of PYRIN proteins are only just beginning to be defined but include inflammatory cytokines and LPS. Expression of some PYRIN domain–containing proteins is controlled by interferons, including the HIN200 family members, Pyrin, and ASC. Inflammatory mediators such as LPS and TNFα up-regulate expression of Cryopyrin, and its expression is also increased at sites of inflammation (25). Likewise, Pyrin is induced as an immediate–early gene by proinflammatory molecules (e.g., TNFα, LPS, and IFNs) but inducibility is inhibited by anti-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL-4, IL-10, and TGFβ) (42). However, in mice the strongest expression of Pyrin apparently occurs in response to IL-4 and IL-10 (20). Moreover, evidence of diseases-associated alterations in PYRIN family gene expression has begun to emerge. For example, ASC gene silencing in association with DNA hypermethylation has been observed in breast cancers, melanomas, and lung cancers (43). ASC silencing might be advantageous for cancers due to its ability to: (a) induce apoptosis in the p53/Bax pathway after DNA damage and (b) modulate activation of NF-κB family transcription factors that control expression of proteins involved in tumor recognition by cytolytic T cells (44). Regardless of the explanation, studies of PYRIN protein expression in cancer are likely to provide new insights into tumor biology, particularly given the close association recognized between inflammation and malignancy.

**Concluding Remarks.** Recent discovery of a large family of genes encoding PYRIN domain proteins in mammalian...
genomes opens a new window into innate immunity, inflammatory diseases, and host defense. At present, our understanding is relatively poor of the physiological roles, molecular mechanisms, and modes of regulation of networks of PYRIN proteins. Only two PYRIN family genes, *Pyrin* and *Asc*, have been knocked out in mice thus far (18, 20), and thus there is a need for more such experiments aimed at uncovering the fundamental roles of these genes in host defense and inflammation in vivo. However, because of the divergent expansion and genetic drift of these genes in mice relative to humans, mouse models of relevance to human biology may be limited. Analysis of serum and inflammatory cells derived from PFS patients suggests that increased production of IL-1β represents a common underlying mechanism (Fig. 2), the importance of which is documented by the encouraging clinical responses of MWS and PAPA patients to treatment with recombinant IL-1 receptor antagonist (Anakinra) (3, 26). Thus, inappropriate production of IL-1 (also known as endogenous pyrogen) appears to be tightly linked to PFS, playing a causative role in the symptoms associated with these disorders. The full nuances of the disturbances in regulatory networks of PYRIN–PYRIN and NACHT–NACHT interactions caused by hereditary mutations in FPS proteins, such as Cryopyrin, remain to be elucidated.

Although PYRIN proteins have been linked to certain known mediators of immune regulation, namely caspase-1 and NF-κB, additional downstream efforts may await discovery, particularly given the nuclear location of some PYRIN proteins. Also, the adaptor proteins that link PYRIN proteins to downstream effectors of host defense certainly extend beyond ASC, given that only some of the PYRIN proteins bind this bipartite adaptor. The prospect that PANs with their LRRs might provide a mechanism for sensing the presence of intracellular pathogens, thus complementing the TLR system, is another exciting possibility, but direct evidence is so far lacking that PAMPs bind and activate these PANs. Thus, a high priority for future research is elucidation of the identity of the ligands of LRRs of PANs, including determination of whether endogenous ligands...
ligands may exist for these proteins, analogous to some of the TLRs that can be stimulated by heat shock proteins released from dying cells at times of cellular stress and tissue injury. Finally, the identification of genes encoding PYRIN domain proteins offers new avenues of exploration for attempts to understand the origins of other inflammatory and autoimmune diseases.
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