Gene Expression Profiling of Hairy Cell Leukemia Reveals a Phenotype Related to Memory B Cells with Altered Expression of Chemokine and Adhesion Receptors
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Abstract
Hairy cell leukemia (HCL) is a chronic B cell malignancy characterized by the diffuse infiltration of bone marrow and spleen by cells displaying a typical “hairy” morphology. However, the nature of the HCL phenotype and its relationship to normal B cells and to other lymphoma subtypes remains unclear. Using gene expression profiling, we show here that HCL displays a homogeneous pattern of gene expression, which is clearly distinct from that of other B cell non–Hodgkin lymphomas. Comparison with the gene expression profiles of purified normal B cell subpopulations, including germinal center (GC), pre-GC (naive), and post-GC (memory) B cells, shows that HCL cells are more related to memory cells, suggesting a derivation from this B cell population. Notably, when compared with memory cells, HCL cells displayed a remarkable conservation in proliferation, apoptosis, and DNA metabolism programs, whereas they appeared significantly altered in the expression of genes controlling cell adhesion and response to chemokines. Finally, these analyses have identified several genes that are specifically expressed in HCL and whose expression was confirmed at the protein level by immunocytochemical analysis of primary HCL cases. These results have biological implications relevant to the pathogenesis of this malignancy as well as clinical implications for its diagnosis and therapy.
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Introduction
Hairy cell leukemia (HCL) is a chronic B cell lymphoproliferative disorder characterized by marked splenomegaly, pancytopenia, a low number of circulating tumor cells and diffuse infiltration of the spleen, liver, and BM by tumor cells exhibiting a hairy appearance and a phenotype different from that of other B cell lymphomas (1–4). HCL is highly sensitive to interferon and purine analogue–based treatments (5). Despite the important advances in diagnosis and therapy, the origin, biological characteristics, and pathogenesis of HCL remain obscure.

Phenotypically, HCL cells do not clearly resemble any of the known B cell subpopulation. In the majority of the cases, leukemic hairy cells bear somatic point mutations in their Ig variable genes (6, 7), indicating that the cell giving rise to HCL has transited through the germinal center (GC) of peripheral lymphoid organs, where it has been exposed to the hypermutation mechanism (8). Because HCL does not display significant ongoing somatic hypermutation (6, 7), it has been suggested an origin from a post-GC B cell
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(4). However, an origin within the GC has also been suggested based on the recent finding that a fraction of cases express multiple and clonally related surface Ig isotypes (6, 7), which is consistent with an arrest at the stage of Ig isotype switch (7), which occurs in the GC. Thus, the cell of origin of HCL is still uncertain.

The uncertainty about the phenotype of HCL is further fueled by several distinctive properties of these cells, including their consistent association with marrow fibrosis, their hairy appearance, their selective homing to BM, liver, and spleen with sparing of lymph nodes, and their high sensitivity to treatment with interferons and purine analogues (1–4). These features suggest that the transformed phenotype of HCL may involve a complex dependence from the microenvironment.

To gain insight into these issues, we investigated the gene expression profiles of 14 cases of HCL using oligonucleotide-based DNA microarrays representative of ~12,000 genes. The gene expression profiles of HCL have been comparatively analyzed with normal and neoplastic B cell populations to: (a) identify the cell of origin of HCL; (b) determine its relationship with other B cell malignancies; (c) dissect the cellular programs that are altered as a consequence of malignant transformation; and (d) identify genes and proteins that are specifically expressed in HCL.

Materials and Methods

Cases. The clinico-pathological features of the 14 HCL cases included in this work are shown in Table SI (available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20031175/DC1). At the time of sample collection, 10 cases were at diagnosis, whereas the others had been treated previously with different modalities (Table SI), but were off therapy for at least 1 yr (supplemental Materials and Methods contains detailed information about the sample processing, isolation of CD19+ B cells from peripheral blood and antibodies used).

Immunohistochemical Staining. Immunophenotyping was established in all cases at the time of diagnosis (Table SI, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20031175/DC1), and in most cases, immunohistochemical studies were directly performed on paraffin sections from BM trephines (supplemental Materials and Methods contains detailed information about the antibodies used).

Immunostaining procedures were performed as follows. BM paraffin sections (3–5 μm thick) were attached on silane-coated slides, dewaxed in three changes of xylene, dehydrated, and subjected to microwaving (750 W × three cycles of 5 min each) using 1 mM mol/L EDTA buffer, pH 8.0, as antigen retrieval solution (15). After microwave heating, sections were allowed to cool at room temperature for ~20 min, washed with Tris-buffered saline, and stained by the three-stage alkaline phosphatase antialkaline phosphatase (APAAP) technique (for mAb detection) or the four-stage APAAP procedure (for polyclonal antibody detection; references 15, 16). The same staining procedures were used to label acetone-fixed frozen sections from undecalcified BM trephines or cytospin preparations (17, 18). In all instances, slides were counterstained for 5 min in hematoxylin and mounted in Kaiser’s glycerol gelatin.

Online Supplemental Material. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20031175/DC1. The supplemental material provides: (a) description of the clinical and pathological features of the HCL cases (Table S1); (b) immunophenotypical characterization of the cases (Table S2); and (c) details on sample processing, isolation of CD19+ B cells from peripheral blood and antibodies used (supplemental Materials and Methods).

Results

Gene expression profile analysis was performed on 16 HCL samples obtained from 14 different patients: 11 sam-
ple were represented by BM biopsies, 3 by CD19+ purified B cells from peripheral blood (for 2 samples, the BM biopsy is also depicted), and 2 by mononucleated cells from peripheral blood and spleen, respectively. 6 cases of FL, 4 cases of BL, 16 cases of DLBCL, 10 cases of MCL, and 10 cases of B-CLL were included in the comparative analysis.

**HCL Cells Display a Homogeneous Phenotype Distinct from Other B Cell Malignancies.** To investigate whether HCL represents a single disease entity and to determine its relationship to other B cell malignancies, we used an unsupervised clustering method (Fig. 1; references 11, 12). Fig. 1 A shows that this method promptly distinguishes HCL from all other B cell malignancies analyzed. Within the HCL region, the samples clustered based on their tissue of origin, namely BM (Fig. 1 A, HCL BM biopsies) or peripheral blood (including the three purified samples) and spleen. The BM-derived samples displayed a prominent set of expressed genes collectively identified as “bone marrow signature” in Fig. 1 A. To exclude the possibility that the separation of the HCL samples from the other malignancies was due to the specific effect of contaminant tissue, the analysis was performed eliminating the HCL BM biopsies. The re-

---

**Figure 1.** Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of gene expression profiles generated from HCL, non-Hodgkin lymphomas, and B-CLL. Unsupervised analysis was performed on 16 HCL samples obtained from 14 different patients as follows: 11 samples are BM biopsies (HCL BM biopsies) and 5 samples (HCL) are from different origins, including 3 samples of CD19+ purified cells from peripheral blood (for two of them, the BM biopsy is also depicted), 1 sample of mononucleated cells from peripheral blood, and 1 sample of spleen biopsy. The representative panel of B cell malignancies includes 6 cases of follicular lymphoma (FL), 4 cases of Burkitt lymphoma (BL), 16 cases of diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL), 10 cases of mantle cell lymphoma (MCL), and 10 cases of B cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia (B-CLL). The dendrograms are generated using a hierarchical clustering algorithm based on the average-linkage method. In the matrix, each column represents a sample and each row represents a gene. The color scale bar shows the relative gene expression changes normalized by the standard deviation ($0$ is the mean expression level of a given gene). (A) The 62 tumor samples (16 HCL, 6 FL, 4 BL, 16 DLBCL, 10 MCL, and 10 B-CLL) are clustered according to their expression of 382 genes. (Bone marrow signature) Genes specifically associated to the BM biopsies. (B) The HCL BM biopsies are not included in this analysis. The samples are clustered according to their expression of 389 genes.

**Figure 2.** Relatedness of the gene expression profile of HCL to normal B cell populations, and LCLs and multiple myeloma (MM) cell lines. A supervised analysis is used to identify the genes differentially expressed between two groups of samples. (A) Naive and memory B cells (N & M) are compared with GC centroblasts and centrocytes. (B) Naive B cells are compared with memory B cells. (C) EBV-transformed LCLs, representing immunoblasts, are compared with memory B cells. The expression of the selected genes is investigated in HCL represented on the right side of each matrix (A–D). The expression of specific GC (BCL6, CD38, and CD10), naive (CD23), memory B cell (CD27), and plasma cell (MUM1, CD138, and BLIMP1) markers are highlighted (A–D, bottom). The supervised analysis is performed using the Genes@Work software platform. The results of the analysis are shown in the matrices, where each row represents a gene and each column represents a sample. Genes are ranked according to the z score (mean expression difference of the gene between the phenotype group and the control group/standard deviation). The color change in each row represents the gene expression relative to the mean across the samples. Values are visualized according to the scale bar that represents the difference in the $z$ score (expression difference/standard deviation) relative to the mean. (E) A cell-type classification is used to measure the relatedness of HCL to memory and naive B cells (M & N) or GC, to memory (M) or naive (N) B cells, to memory B cells or LCL cell lines, and to memory B cells and MM cell lines. The gray area marks 95% of confidence; the p-value decreases with increasing distance from the x axis.
results (Fig. 1 B) show that the remaining HCL samples, including the purified samples, still cluster separately from the other B cell malignancies, indicating that the BM signature is not responsible for the separate HCL clustering. The non–HCL B cell malignancies are split into two main branches according to their GC (FL, BL, and DLBCL) or non-GC (MCL and B-CLL) origin (9, 19, 20). Overall, this analysis demonstrates that HCL cases show a largely homogeneous phenotype distinct from other B cell malignancies.

HCL Are Related to Memory B Cells. To investigate the cellular derivation, we analyzed HCL for the expression of genes that are differentially expressed in purified tonsillar GC (centroblasts and centrocytes) versus non-GC B cells (naive and memory), as defined previously by supervised analysis (10). The analysis indicated that the HCL cases are significantly more related to memory and naive B cells than to GC B cells (Fig. 2, A and E). An analogous analysis performed using a profile of genes differentially expressed between naive and memory B cells showed that hairy leukemic cells are more related to memory B cells than to naive B cells (Fig. 2, B and E). To further dissect their post-GC phenotype, we examined the HCL profile for genes that are differentially expressed between EBV-transformed lymphoblastic cell lines (LCLs) and MM cell lines as representative of immunoblasts and plasma cells, respectively. The results indicate that HCL is more related to LCLs than to MM cell lines (unpublished data). When the comparison was performed between LCLs or MM cell lines and memory B cells, HCL proved to be more related to memory B cells (Fig. 2, C–E). The expression of specific GC (CD10, BCL-6, and CD38), naive (CD23), memory B cell (CD27), and plasma cell (MUM-1, CD138, and BLIMP-1) markers (Fig. 2 A, B and D, bottom) confirmed the absence in HCL of GC and plasma cell markers as reported in previous immunohistochemical papers (3, 4, 21, 22). The memory B cell marker CD27 (23) is present in most of the HCL samples, although the expression levels are heterogeneous and lower than in memory B cells. Together, these results indicate that HCL is more related to memory B cells than to other types of known B cell subpopulations. Thus, this malignancy may derive from the transformation and clonal expansion of a memory B cell.

HCL Cells Differ from Memory B Cells in the Expression of Cytokines and Chemokine and Adhesion Receptors. To further investigate the transformed phenotype of HCL vis-a-vis the expression of genes that characterize normal mature B cells, we examined the difference in the pattern of expression of those genes that are differentially expressed in naive, memory, and GC cells during the GC transit. These genes were classified according to relevant functional or operational categories (10). Consistent with their relatedness (Fig. 2), HCL showed a gene expression profile largely superimposable to that of memory B cells in the proliferation, activation, apoptosis, and DNA metabolism programs (Fig. 3). Within the proliferation program, the exceptions of cyclin D1 and CHC1L are notable, which were clearly up-regulated in HCL. CHC1L, which encodes for a guanine nucleotide exchange factor, and cyclin D1 were also identified among the genes specifically overexpressed in HCL cells (see Fig. 4). HCL cells differed from memory B cells in the expression of various genes encoding signaling molecules and transcription factors.

Notably, the major differences between HCL and memory B cells involved the expression of genes encoding cyto-
kines and chemokines and their receptors, as well as genes encoding adhesion molecules. In particular, HCL cells do not express the TNSF11 gene (known as RANKL) that is expressed in memory B cells, and it is known to be involved in lymphocyte development and lymph node organogenesis (24). HCL cells also showed relatively low expression of the CCR7 gene, which encodes a chemokine receptor involved in B cell homing (25). Several genes encoding adhesion molecules absent in memory B cells are expressed in HCL cells (GARP, CD9, CD103, and PCDH9), whereas RNA species expressed in memory B cells are not expressed in HCL (CD1c and ICAM3), suggesting the acquisition of peculiar adhesion property by HCL (see Discussion).

Identification of Genes Specifically Expressed in HCL. To identify genes whose expression is specifically associated with HCL, we used a supervised analysis comparing HCL versus various normal B cell subpopulations (naive, GC, and memory) and major subtypes of B cell malignancies (6 FL, 4 BL, 16 DLBCL, 10 MCL, and 10 B-CLL). We used 10 samples of HCL, including 5 BM biopsies and 5 non-BM biopsies (cases 1–4, 6, 8, 11, 12, and the CD19+ enriched samples of cases 13 and 14). The analysis identified 89 genes (7 down-regulated and 82 up-regulated)
that are differentially expressed in HCL cells compared with the other samples (Fig. 4). Some of these genes were already known to be expressed in HCL (cyclin D1, FGF2, and IL-3Rα; references 26–28) and served as internal controls to validate our approach. We observed overexpression of cyclin D1 also in MCLs, as a reported hallmark of this type of lymphoma. Other known HCL markers (CD11c, CD68, CD85j, and CD103; references 29–32) were not identified by this type of analysis because their level of expression in HCL cells was not homogeneous and/or they were also expressed in other samples across the panel.

The expression of only seven genes was found decreased in HCL cells compared with all the other samples. These genes included the chemokine receptor CXCR5, involved in B cell homing (33), and the TNF receptor–associated factor 5, involved in the signal transduction of TNF-type receptors, including CD40 and CD27 (34).

Among the newly identified genes that are notably overexpressed in HCL are (also see Discussion): (a) GAS7, a growth arrest–specific (Gas) gene (35) that is essential for neurite outgrowth in cultured cerebellar neurons (overexpression of GAS7 in HCL may explain the characteristic projections of the hairy cells); (b) the FGFR1 receptor that, together with the previously reported overexpression of its ligand (FGF2; reference 27), suggests the presence in HCL of an autocrine loop; (c) the receptor tyrosine kinase FLT3 that is reported to function as a growth factor receptor for hematopoietic stem and/or progenitor cells (36); and (d) three different inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinases: TIMP1 and TIMP4, two secreted tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases, and RECK, a membrane-anchored glycoprotein that represses synthesis and secretion of metalloproteinases (37).

**Immunocytochemical Validation.** To investigate whether the up-regulated mRNA levels of HCL-specific genes correspond to elevated levels of the corresponding proteins, we stained fresh cytospins and/or BM paraffin sections from patients with HCL (including the cases subjected to microarray and six additional HCL cases) using monoclonal and polyclonal antibodies directed against the proteins encoded by 22 of the HCL–specific genes.

The expression in HCL cells was confirmed for the following proteins: FGF2 (bFGF), annexin 1, CD135 (FLT3), FGF2 (BM biopsy (paraffin section) from patient 4. HCL cells show strong nuclear and cytoplasmic positivity for FGF2 (arrow, bFGF), whereas residual hematopoietic precursors are negative (arrowhead, APAAP; 800X). (Annexin I) BM biopsy (paraffin section) from patient 3 showing typical infiltration of hepatic sinusoids by TIMP1–leukemic hairy cells (APAAP; 800X).
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Na\(^+\) CP type I (SCN1B), CD63, Syndecan–3, TIMP1 (Fig. 5) as well as IL-3R\(\alpha\), cyclin D1, FGFR1, GAS7, EPB4.1L2, β-actin, CPVL, β-arrestin-2, insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP3), MYF6 (Herculin), protein tyrosine phosphatase receptor μ (PTPRμ), Synaptotagmin 1, plexin-C1, TIMP4, and β-2-microglobulin (not depicted). Thus, these results indicate that the HCL-specific overexpression of genes detected by gene expression profiling is associated with the overexpression of the corresponding protein for all genes tested.

**Discussion**

This paper has addressed critical questions regarding the cell of origin and pathogenesis of HCL using gene expression profiling technology in a panel of 14 cases. This panel is relatively large considering the difficulties in collecting HCL samples suitable for gene expression profiling, due to the very limited availability of HCL cells from the BM (difficult extraction due to the reticulin fibers), from the peripheral blood (scarce presence of malignant cells), and from the spleen (decreasing use of the splenectomy as therapeutic approach; Materials and Methods). Nevertheless, the panel used here was sufficient to confirm the distinct phenotype of this disease, to identify its putative cell of origin, and to discover alterations in cellular programs that could be of biological and clinical relevance.

**The Distinct Phenotype of HCL.** HCL has been recognized for a long time as a chronic B cell malignancy with clinical, morphological, and phenotypic features clearly distinct from those of other types of B cell lymphomas (3, 4). The gene expression profile analysis performed here substantiates this concept by dramatically increasing the number of traits that characterize the unique HCL phenotype. In contrast to other B cell malignancies, where the gene expression profiling has identified unrecognized subsets of the disease (38), the phenotype of HCL appears to be homogeneous, suggesting that HCL is a single disease entity consistent with its homogeneous morphology and clinical behavior. The characteristic gene expression profile of HCL may be due to a distinct cellular origin and/or to a distinct mechanism of transformation.

**The Cellular Derivation of HCL.** Since its first description, the distinct HCL morphology and phenotype could not be assigned to a normal B cell developmental stage. The gene expression analysis shown here indicates that HCL is more related to memory B cells than to GCs or naive B cells. Recent findings that draw a relationship between a tonsillar B cell subset, identified by the phab V–3 antibody, and HCL (39) may be in accordance with the relationship between HCL cells and memory B cells we report here. In fact, phab V–3\(^+\) B cells do not express GC B cell markers and CD23, a marker of naive B cells, whereas they express the memory B cell marker CD27 and display mutated IgV sequences (39), thus, suggesting that they are memory B cells. As an additional similarity, the phab V–3\(^+\) tonsillar B cells and HCL cells are both characterized by high level of expression of the CD11c molecule. Overall, the gene expression profiles together with previous immunophenotypic observations suggest that HCL cells derive from memory B cells, possibly from a subset of this population characterized by phab V–3 and CD11c expression.

**Pathogenetic Implications.** The notion that HCL may derive from memory B cells has direct implications for the pathogenesis of this malignancy. HCL cells typically lack reciprocal balanced chromosomal translocations, which are the hallmark of all lymphoid malignancies, with the notable exception of B-CLLs (40). Intriguingly, B-CLLs also resemble memory B cells based on gene expression profiles (9). Thus, the only two lymphoid malignancies that lack chromosomal translocations are also both related to memory B cells. This observation further supports our previously proposed hypothesis that the lack of chromosomal translocations may be due to the fact that the mechanism that can generate these aberrations (i.e., Ig VDJ recombination, class switching, and somatic hypermutation) are switched off in memory B cells (9). Thus, the pathogenesis of CLL and HCL may involve genetic lesions, such as the nonrandom deletions and amplifications found in these diseases that do not derive from mistakes of immunoglobulin genes remodeling mechanism.

**Functional Alterations in HCL.** This analysis provides several insights into the functional alterations of HCL. A careful comparison of HCL with memory B cells revealed a surprisingly conserved pattern of expression of genes that regulate functions typically damaged in malignant cells, such as proliferation and apoptosis. Similarly to memory B cells, HCL cells appear as largely quiescent cells expressing genes coding both anti- and proapoptotic molecules. Conversely, most of the differences between HCL and memory B cells involved the expression pattern of cytokines, chemokine receptors, and adhesion molecules.

**Adhesion and Homing of HCL Cells.** Several findings in this analysis may explain the unique dissemination pattern of HCL (i.e., involvement of BM, peripheral blood, and spleen red pulp with sparing of lymph nodes). The tendency of HCL to remain confined in blood-related compartments could be at least in part explained by the significant up-regulation of three genes (TIMP-1, TIMP-4, and RECK), all known to counteract the activity of matrix metalloproteinases, which promote local invasion, extravasation from blood vessels, and metastasis in all types of human neoplasms (41). Furthermore, the lack of spreading of HCL cells to lymph nodes can be explained by the down-regulation of CCR7 (sixfold compared with memory B cells), a chemokine receptor whose deficiency hampers the ability of B cells to enter lymph nodes (25). Consistent with previous immunocytochemical findings (42), our results show that HCL cells fail to express CXCR5, a chemokine receptor required for B cells homing to follicles of peripheral lymphoid organs (33).

**Hairy Morphology.** Although recognized as the hallmark of HCL, the “hairy” appearance of leukemic cells still
remains a feature for which no definitive explanation has been found. Interaction of the cytoskeleton-binding protein pp52 (LSP1) with F-actin–rich cytoskeletal arrays in the surface projections of HCL cells has been proposed as a mechanism for this property (43). Our results highlight other HCL-specific molecules that may contribute to the hairy phenotype, possibly interacting with β-actin (also up-regulated in HCL). These molecules are as follows: (a) Ga57 (Fig. 4), whose ectopic expression induces the formation of extended cellular processes and dramatic changes in cell shape by interacting with F-actin (44); and (b) EPB4.1L2 (Fig. 4), known to play a role in the maintenance of the shape of neuronal cells by interacting with β-actin underneath the plasma membrane (45).

Phagocytosis and Macrophage-like Features. It has been recognized for a long time that HCL cells have the capacity to phagocytose latex particles, zymosan, Staphylococcus aureus, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (46, 47), leading to the hypothesis that HCL cells were either B lymphocytes with phagocytic capabilities (48) or malignant cells of monocytic origin (49). This phagocytic properties of HCL cells may be explained by specific up-regulation of annexin 1 (re-organization (49). This phagocytic properties of HCL cells may be explained by specific up-regulation of annexin 1 (required for the phagocytic uptake of Brucella suis by human monocytes; reference 50), as well as CD63 (51) and CPVL (52), two macrophage-associated lysosomal molecules (Fig. 4). We speculate that phagocytosis and expression by HCL of several macrophage markers (CD11c, CD68, CD63, and CPVL) may be explained by the apparently abnormal up-regulation of c-Maf (Fig. 4), a transcription factor whose deregulated expression can induce macrophage differentiation (53, 54).

Marrow Fibrosis. Another distinctive feature of HCL is the fine reticulin marrow fibrosis (1–4), which is responsible for the frequent “dry-tap” at aspiration. This feature is likely due to the ability of HCL cells to synthesize and bind to a fibronectin matrix (55, 56), whose production rate is under control of the autocrine FGF2 secreted by HCL cells (57). The overexpression of IL-3Rα and FLT3 by HCL cells (Fig. 4) might play a role in the fibrosis because the ligands for these two receptors (physiologically present in the BM stroma microenvironment) are important for adhesion of B cells to fibronectin through activation of the fibronectin receptors VLA4 and VLA5 (58), both known to be expressed in hairy cells (59, 55).

Clinical Implications. The results herein identify several antibodies that recognize HCL-specific molecules and, therefore, may be useful for the diagnosis of HCL. For instance, these reagents may help in the differential diagnosis between HCL and other borderline B cell chronic lymphoproliferative disorders (splenic lymphoma with villous lymphocytes), and in better defining the nature of the so-called HCL variant (60). These distinctions have therapeutic implications because HCL and splenic lymphoma with villous lymphocytes are sensitive to distinct therapeutic regimens (61). The selective sensitivity of HCL to IFN as compared with other B cell lymphomas may be explained by the up-regulation of the TNF receptor, TNFR1, which is likely to potentiate the apoptotic effect of IFN–α–induced TNF–α secretion by HCL cells (62). Finally, HCL–specific cell surface molecules, such as IL–3Rα, may represent potential targets for antibody–mediated immunotherapy, whereas the abnormal expression of the FLT3 tyrosine kinase receptor warrants further investigation on the sensitivity of HCL cells to the currently available inhibitors of FLT3.
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