Infection with the AIDS virus has led to an expanding global health crisis, with over 45 million persons currently living with HIV infection. Ineffective immune control and resultant disease progression is a hallmark of this infection, despite the induction of vigorous virus-specific CD8 T cell responses in most infected persons. Although the features of protective immunity in HIV infection remain to be defined, growing evidence points to a critical role for virus-specific CD4 cells. This is consistent with observations in murine models that maintenance of effective antiviral CTL responses in chronic viral infections is critically dependent on virus-specific T helper cells (1–8).

A potentially simple explanation for the lack of effective immune control in chronic HIV infection is that HIV selectively infects activated CD4 cells and thereby destroys the very cells being generated to coordinate the adaptive immune response (9). However, simple deletion of antigen-specific CD4 cells cannot be the reason for immune failure, since it has been shown that large numbers of virus-specific CD4 cells that secrete interferon gamma (IFN-γ) persist in most persons with uncontrolled viremia (10–12). In contrast, the ability of CD4 cells to proliferate in response to viral antigenic challenge is impaired in persons with progressive infection and high viral loads (13–15). This apparent paradox implies the presence of a functional CD4 defect, the definition of which is of critical importance in understanding the immunologic control of viral replication.

A paper published by Younes et al. in this issue (16), and a series of recent publications (17–19), provide new insights into CD4 cells that are associated with lack of HIV immune control and suggest that the presence of antigen-specific CD4 cells able to produce IL-2 is a key component of effective immunity. Younes et al. examined virus-specific CD4 T cell responses in two groups of newly HIV-infected persons (16). One group consisted of persons treated in the earliest stages of acute infection in whom viremia was consistently suppressed by effective antiviral therapy (aviremic subjects), whereas a second group was likewise treated in the earliest stages of acute infection but experienced persistent antigenic stimulation due to treatment interruptions or treatment failure (viremic subjects). Focusing on persons treated in acute infection makes sense, given that early treatment is associated with generation of HIV-specific CD4 cell responses (15, 20–23), and comparison of the two groups thus allowed assessment of the role of persistent antigen exposure on immune responses. Although both groups had readily detectable HIV-specific CD4 cell responses by intracellular IFN-γ staining, there were striking differences in the ability of these cells to produce IL-2. In aviremic subjects, the antigen-specific cells not only produced IL-2 in addition to INF-γ but also proliferated in vitro, as assessed in a flow cytometric analysis in which decreases in CFSE labeling are indicative of cell division. In viremic subjects, longitudinal analysis of these responses in persons failing antiretroviral therapy demonstrated that persistent exposure to virus was associated with a marked decline in the proliferative capacity of HIV-specific CD4 cells to undetectable levels (16). The defective proliferative capacity of HIV-specific CD4 cells could be corrected in vitro by the addition of exogenous IL-2 (16, 19), suggesting that HIV-specific CD4 cells in individuals with persistent HIV infection are not simply deleted by the virus but are deficient in their ability to produce IL-2 and proliferate.

These results, which demonstrate distinct subsets of HIV-specific CD4 cells defined by proliferative capacity and ability to produce IL-2 and/or IFN-γ in response to cognate antigen exposure, are consistent with findings in murine models of chronic viral infections and with data from several recent human studies (17–19, 24, 25). In untreated HIV-infected persons with progressive and nonprogressive disease, Harari et al. recently reported three functionally distinct populations of CD4 cells: a subset secreting IL-2, a subset secreting IFN-γ, and a subset able to secrete both IL-2 and IFN-γ in response to cognate antigen (18). In untreated persons with progressive disease, the antigen-specific CD4 cells were skewed toward those cells secreting IFN-γ alone, and IL-2–secreting cells were almost absent, findings similar to those of Younes et al. in their studies of treated viremic and
aviremic subjects (16). Moreover, Harari et al. found a negative correlation between the frequencies of IL-2 and IL-2/IFN-γ-secreting CD4 cells and viral load (18). This same relationship between viral load and CD4 cells secreting both IFN-γ and IL-2 was recently reported by Boaz et al. (17), suggesting a critical role of this specific population of CD4 cells in viral containment. Other recent studies provide evidence that viremia directly impairs virus-specific proliferative responses by showing that interruption in antiretroviral therapy and subsequent increased viremia in persons with strong virus-specific CD4 proliferative responses led to a loss of proliferative responses but not the ability to secrete IFN-γ in an antigen-specific manner, and the proliferative responses recovered rapidly with resuppression of viremia (14, 19). Coupled with the demonstration that virus-specific proliferative responses can be corrected in vitro by the addition of exogenous IL-2, these studies provide firm evidence that these cells are present but dysfunctional (16, 19). However, the inability of IL-2 treatment of HIV-infected persons to restore functional immunity suggests that the relationship is not simple (26, 27).

The observed lack of IL-2–producing cells during persistent HIV exposure is of particular interest in light of recent studies regarding development of T cell memory. Memory CD4 and CD8 cells can be divided into different subsets based on their effector functions and migratory capacity (28–30). T cells expressing the LN homing markers L-selectin (CD62L) and CCR7 have been termed central memory cells, whereas cells that are CD62L−/CCR7− have been termed effector memory cells and are thought to have the capacity to migrate to sites of viral replication in the tissues (Fig. 1). Central memory and effector memory T cells have also been described to differ in their cytokine production capacity, with central memory cells producing predominately IL-2 and effector memory cells producing both IFN-γ and IL-2 (28). The publications by Younes et al. (16) and Harari et al. (18) indicate that HIV-specific CD4 cells that produce IFN-γ alone or IFN-γ and IL-2 are CD45RA+/CCR7− and are thought to have poor proliferative capacity and thereby belong to the effector memory subset. In contrast, HIV-specific CD4+/CD45RA−/CCR7+ central memory cells produce primarily IL-2 after stimulation with cognate antigen (16, 18) and are the subset thought to be capable of rapid proliferation. The presence of persistent antigen in HIV-infected persons with ongoing viremia is associated with a paucity of HIV-specific central memory CD4 cells compared with aviremic individuals with suppressed viral loads. Similar findings were reported recently in hepatitis C virus (HCV)–infected humans using magnetic bead enrichment of CD4+ tetramer+ cells, showing the phenotype of HCV-specific CD4 cells to display a distinct CD45RA−/CCR7+ central memory phenotype ex vivo in individuals with resolved HCV infection (31).

These studies indicate that chronic antigen exposure leads to impaired virus-specific CD4 T cell function. Previous studies in murine models of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) infection have indicated that LCMV-specific CD4 cells lose the ability to produce IL-2 over time in LCMV-infected perforin knockout mice that establish chronic infection compared with mice with acute LCMV infection that is subsequently resolved (32). These data are consistent with previous studies in murine models of chronic viral infections, indicating loss of CD4 and CD8 T cell responsiveness, including decreased proliferation and cytokine production, during the establishment of viral persistence (33–35). Furthermore, chronically LCMV-infected perforin knockout mice are able to maintain IFN-γ–producing LCMV-specific CD4 cells, consistent with the observations in the recently reported human studies of HIV-infected individuals that maintain IFN-γ–producing but not IL-2–producing HIV-specific CD4 cells during periods of viremia (16, 18, 19). It is interesting to note that the impairment of proliferative responses in HIV-infected individuals appears to be restricted to HIV-specific CD4 cells, as several studies have indicated no significant difference between proliferation to cytomegalovirus (CMV) or other positive control antigens in persons with suppressed or uncontrolled HIV viremia (14, 16, 19, 36). This may possibly be due to the relative differences in CMV and HIV viral loads, since CMV viral loads are often undetectable by current assays. Similar to the suppressed proliferative responses in individuals with high HIV viral loads, persons with chronic HCV infection, which results in sim-
ilar levels of uncontrolled viremia, display weak or absent HCV-specific CD4 proliferative responses compared with individuals with spontaneously resolved HCV infection (37–39). Recent evidence in LCMV-infected HCV infection where the depletion of CD4 memory cells in immune animals before reinfection resulted in persistent HCV infection rather than clearance, and the emergence of viral escape mutations in MHC class I–restricted epitopes (36). These findings may have important implications in HIV infection, since the HIV reverse transcriptase lacks proofreading function and viral variants continue to arise in vivo. Impaired CD4 responses during “priming” to these new variants may contribute to the lack of effective long-term immune control in this infection.

These studies describing a functional defect in virus-specific CD4 T cells may also help to explain the lack of effective antiviral CD8 T cell function in HIV infection. A recent study in HIV-infected persons demonstrated a defect in the ability of CD8 cells from persons with high viral loads to expand upon encounter with antigen, suggesting a potential link between dysfunctional CD8 cells and the CD4 cell defects now being reported (42). Recent evidence further indicates an important role of the expression of the costimulatory molecule CD28 on antigen-specific CD8 cells: restoration of CD28 expression on HIV and CMV-specific CD8+CD8+ cells reconstituted the ability of these cells to produce IL-2 (43). However, the possible link between expression of CD28 and IL-2 production by antigen–specific CD4 cells remains to be defined. Such studies need now to examine the relationship between the proliferative capacity of CD8 cells and the presence of antigen-specific IL–2–producing CD4 cells, which will require assays that measure function of these cells rather than just the ability to produce IFN-γ. It is important to note that multiple studies have failed to define differences in the frequency of HIV-specific IFN-γ–producing CD8 cells in subjects with progressive and nonprogressive HIV infection, or to identify a correlation between frequencies of HIV-specific CD8+/IFN-γ+ cells and viral load (10, 42, 44), similar to the lack of correlation between HIV-specific CD4+/IFN-γ+ T cells and viral load (10, 18). Defining the relationship between IL–2–producing HIV-specific CD4 cells and various parameters of frequency and function of HIV-specific CD8 T cell responses within the same individuals may provide greater insight into the interactions of CD4 and CD8 cells in chronic viral infections and the contribution of subsets of virus-specific T cells with different functional properties to the overall control of viremia.

Despite the important demonstration that chronic antigen exposure such as persistent HIV viremia may suppress the development of central memory cells capable of proliferating and producing IL–2, important questions remain. Among these is the mechanism whereby high viral loads suppress proliferation and IL–2 production by virus-specific CD4 and CD8 cells. Recent evidence generated in mouse studies has indicated effector memory cells producing IFN-γ only, similar to that described in individuals with high HIV viral loads (16, 18, 19), are short lived in vivo and do not efficiently develop into long-term memory cells (40, 45). Since even prolonged antiviral therapy is associated with only a partial recovery of IL–2–secreting virus-specific CD4 cells (18, 19), it remains to be determined whether additional approaches such as therapeutic immunization might lead to an augmentation of these responder cells and whether this would confer an antiviral benefit. The growing body of evidence supporting the imperative role of CD4 cells in antiviral immunity underscores the importance of boosting virus-specific CD4 memory cells in vaccine design for the generation of effective immune control in chronic viral infections and for the ultimate conquest of HIV.
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