Treatment with Soluble Interleukin-15Rα Exacerbates Intracellular Parasitic Infection by Blocking the Development of Memory CD8+ T Cell Response
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Abstract

Interferon (IFN)–γ-producing CD8+ T cells are important for the successful resolution of the obligate intracellular parasite Toxoplasma gondii by preventing the reactivation or controlling a repeat infection. Previous reports from our laboratory have shown that exogenous interleukin (IL)-15 treatment augments the CD8+ T cell response against the parasite. However, the role of endogenous IL-15 in the proliferation of activated/memory CD8+ T cells during toxoplasma or any other infection is unknown. In this study, we treated T. gondii immune mice with soluble IL-15 receptor α (sIL-15Rα) to block the host endogenous IL-15. The treatment markedly reduced the ability of the immune animals to control a lethal infection. CD8+ T cell activities in the sIL-15Rα–administered mice were severely reduced as determined by IFN-γ release and target cell lysis assays. The loss of CD8+ T cell immunity due to sIL-15Rα treatment was further demonstrated by adoptive transfer experiments. Naive recipients transferred with CD44hi activated/memory CD8+ T cells and treated with sIL-15Rα failed to resist a lethal T. gondii infection. Moreover, sIL-15Rα treatment of the recipients blocked the ability of donor CD44hi activated/memory CD8+ T cells to replicate in response to T. gondii challenge. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of the important role of host IL-15 in the development of antigen–specific memory CD8+ T cells against an intracellular infection.
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Introduction

The generation of an effective cellular immune response is key to the survival against intracellular pathogens (1) and therefore critical for vaccination strategies for the protection against initial and repeated infections. A prime example is infection with Toxoplasma gondii, an intracellular protozoan parasite against which T cell immunity plays a pivotal role for long-term host survival (2). Both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells have been reported to be important for protection against the infection (3). However, CD8+ T cells are known to be the primary effector cells with CD4+ T cells providing the necessary help (4, 5). Immune CD8+ T cells from mice and humans secrete IFN-γ and exhibit in vitro cytotoxicity against infected target cells (6–9). Neutralization of either IFN-γ or CD8+ T cells reversed the protective immunity against the parasite (4, 9, 10).

Studies from our laboratory have shown that exogenous treatment with IL-15 augmented the CD8+ T cell response of mice against T. gondii infection (11). In a subsequent study, using a vaccine strain of T. gondii, we demonstrated that IL-15 treatment prolonged the duration of CD8+ T cell immunity against T. gondii (12). The role of IL-15 in the proliferation and maintenance of long-term CD8+ T cell response has been emphasized by the recent observations with IL-15 knockout mice. Mice lacking IL-15 or its receptor IL-15Rα are unable to generate a full memory CD8+ T cell response (13, 14). Furthermore, IL-15 transgenic mice exhibited accelerated long-term CD8+ T cell response by selectively propagating memory CD8+ T cells (15, 16). However, the role of endogenous IL-15 in the induction and maintenance of memory CD8+ T cells during a natural infection is unknown. In this study, we evaluated
the effect of treatment with soluble IL-15Rα (sIL-15Rα)* on the ability of mice infected with *T. gondii* to survive a lethal secondary challenge.

IL-15 signals through a trimeric receptor complex that consists of a unique high affinity α chain, the IL-2R β chain, and the common γ chain (17–19). We have previously cloned and expressed a soluble fragment of IL-15Rα, which neutralizes IL-15 activity in vitro and in vivo. After a short period of administration, this protein profoundly suppressed the induction of collagen-induced arthritis in DBA/1 mice (20) and markedly prolonged the survival of allogenic heart grafts (21). Here we report that mice treated with sIL-15Rα developed a significantly more severe *T. gondii* infection. More importantly, sIL-15Rα exacerbated the disease by blocking the proliferation of antigen-specific memory CD8+ cells crucial to the protective immunity against toxoplasmosis. These results clearly show that endogenous IL-15 plays a critical role in host defense against intracellular infection via the maintenance of specific memory CD8+ T cells.

### Materials and Methods

**Mice, Parasites, and Challenge.** 5–6-wk-old female C57BL/6 and congenic Thy1.1 mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory. They were maintained in a pathogen-free environment in the Animal Research Facility at Louisiana State University Medical Center (New Orleans, LA). Mice were challenged perorally with cysts of 76K strain of *T. gondii* (provided by D. Bout, UFR Sciences Pharma Centquies, Tours, France). This strain is maintained by continuous oral passage of cysts. For primary infection, a dose of 10–15 cysts was used. Unless otherwise stated, the animals were infected orally with 100 cysts for secondary challenge.

**sIL-15Rα Treatment.** sIL-15Rα (T1) and its control mutant protein (M4; ref 20) were prepared as previously described (22). T1 span the entire extracellular domain of the murine IL-15R α chain, whereas M4 was constructed by a single site-directed mutation replacing the third cysteine of the “Sushi domain” of the α chain with aspartic acid (22). The recombinant 6-histidine-tagged proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli* (XL–1 Blue; Stratagene) after isopropyl β-d-thiogalactoside (Stratagene) induction and purified by a nickel–agarose purification system (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Purified proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The purity was >97% for all recombinant proteins. LPS was not detected by the Limulus amebocyte test (<0.01 ng/mg, E-toxase; Sigma-Aldrich).

**1 d before secondary challenge.** 4 wk after the primary infection, infected animals were injected intraperitoneally with T1 (40 mg/mouse). The treatment continued daily for a 10-d period. The control mice were treated with an equivalent amount of M4. In previous experiments, we failed to detect any anti-sIL-15Rα in mice treated under this regimen (unpublished data).

**Quantitation of Parasite Burden.** Gut, spleen, liver, and lung tissues from *T. gondii*-infected animals were collected on day 7 and 14 after secondary infection. DNA was extracted from tissues using the Qiap tissue kit (QIAGEN), and 400 ng of each sample were analyzed by quantitative PCR. Amplification of parasite DNA was performed using primers specific for a 35-fold repetitive sequence of the toxoplasma B1 gene, 5'-GGAACCTG-CATCGTTCATGAG-3' and 5'-CTTTTTAAGCATTGTCGTC-3', which is found in all known parasite strains (23). A 134-bp competitive internal standard containing the same primer template sequences as the 194-bp B1 PCR fragment was also synthesized (24). Amplification of this 194-bp segment of the B1 gene and the 134-bp segment of the internal standard was performed using a 50-μl reaction mixture containing 1.25 U of AmpliTaq DNA polymerase, 1X buffer (PerkinElmer), 0.2 mM each of dGTP, dATP, dTTP, and dCTP, and 0.4 mM each B1 primer. For each reaction, a known amount of DNA from the tissues was amplified with varying amounts of the internal standard. The levels of parasite load were estimated by comparison to the internal controls. To determine the parasite load in infected tissues, PCR was performed under the same conditions using a known number of parasites. The level of internal control was calculated per parasite (24).

**Histopathological Analysis.** Tissues from sIL-15Rα–treated and control animals were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and paraffin processed. 5-μm histological sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and photographed on an Olympus Van Ox microscope with Kodak Elite 100 film. The resulting images were digitized with a Polaroid Sprint scanner and processed using Adobe Photoshop® software.

**IFN-γ Production.** Intracellular cytokine staining was used to determine IFN-γ production by CD4+ and CD8+ T cells at the single cell level as previously described (25). Spleen cells from day 7 and 14–infected mice were isolated and resuspended in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FCS. The cells (10 cells/well) were cultured in 96-well plates and stimulated with PMA (10 ng/ml; Sigma-Aldrich), ionomycin (500 ng/ml; Sigma-Aldrich), and monensin (2 μM, GolgiStop; BD Pharmingen). Cultures were incubated for 4 h at 37°C in 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator. Cells were then washed with PBS containing 1% FCS and stained with anti-CD8 or anti-CD4 antibody conjugated with fluorescein (BD Pharmingen) for 30 min at 4°C. Intracellular staining was performed using a Cytofix/Cytoperm kit (BD Pharmingen) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. In brief, after cell surface staining, cells were washed and then treated with formaldehyde and saponin to fix and permeabilize them. Intracellular staining was then performed using anti–IFN-γ or an irrelevant isotype-matched control antibody conjugated with phycoerythrin (BD Pharmingen). Samples were resuspended in PBS containing 2% formaldehyde, acquired on a FACScan® flow cytometer, and analyzed using CELLQuest™ software (Becton Dickinson).

**Precursor Cytotoxic T Lymphocyte (pCTL) Frequency Analysis.** CD8+ cytotoxic T cells were quantified by pCTL frequency analysis using limiting dilution assays (26). CD8+ T cells from infected mice were purified by magnetic separation using microbeads coated with anti-CD8 antibody (Miltenyi Biotech). Purified CD8+ T cells (>95% pure) were cultured by limiting dilution in 96-well round-bottom plates in RPMI 1640 medium (Life Technologies) containing appropriate growth factors, including 15 U/ml of recombinant IL-2 (R&D Systems), irradiated tachyzoites of the RH strain, and feeder cells. The dilutions ranged from 10,000 to 50,000 purified CD8+ T cells/well. Control wells contained only irradiated parasites and feeder cells. After 1 wk, the cells were harvested and incubated with 51Cr–labeled parasite-infected or -uninfected macrophages. The macrophages were collected and labeled as previously described (26). In brief, mouse peritoneal macrophages were obtained by a lavage 2 d after intraperitoneal inoculation with 1 ml thioglycollate. The cells were washed three times in PBS and dispensed at a con-
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*Abbreviations used in this paper: FCM, flow cytometry buffer; pCTL, precursor cytotoxic T lymphocyte; sIL-15Rα, soluble IL-15 receptor α.*
centration of 2 × 10⁶ cells/well in 96-well U-bottom tissue culture plates. After overnight incubation, they were radiolabeled with ⁵¹Cr (0.5 μCi/well; New England Nuclear Research Products) for 3 h at 37°C. After several washes in PBS, macrophages were infected with 10⁴ freshly obtained RH parasites. The next morning, spontaneous lysis caused by overnight parasite infection was measured and wells exhibiting >250 cpm in the supernatant were excluded from the assay. Macrophages were washed in PBS and incubated with cultured CD8⁺ T cells. The amount of radioisotope released was measured after a 4-h incubation. The wells were considered positive for lytic activity if the total counts per minute released were >3× SD over the control wells (mean counts per minute released by the target cells incubated with feeder cells and irradiated parasites alone). The pCTL frequency was calculated according to a standard formula (27).

Monoclonal Antibodies. Directly conjugated mAbs recognizing the following murine determinants were obtained from BD PharMingen: CD8-FITC (53-6.7, rat IgG₂a), CD90.1-PE (Thy1.1, OX-7, murine IgG₁), and CD44-PE-labeled (clone IM7) and isotype controls (A95-1–FITC, rat IgG 2b-FITC, and A112-2–PE, murine IgG₁). All mAbs were titrated and used at saturating concentrations.

Adoptive Transfer of Activated/Memory CD8⁺ T Cells. C57BL/6 mice were infected with 10–15 cysts of T. gondii. The animals were killed 2 wk after infection and spleen cell suspensions were prepared. Red blood cells were lysed with a lysis buffer (ACK lysing buffer; Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were then centrifuged, washed twice with RPMI 1640, and counted on a hemocytometer using trypan blue exclusion to assess viability. CD8⁺ T cells were purified by magnetic purification as previously described. Purified CD8⁺ T cells were stained with PE-labeled anti-CD44 and the cells were separated into CD44hi and CD44lo population by flow cytometry. Before the transfer, the purity of the transferred cells was assayed by FACS® analysis. All preparations were fixed with 1% methanol-free formalin (Polysciences, Inc.), kept at 4°C, and analyzed within 7 d using a FACStar™ Plus (Becton Dickinson).

For sorting experiments, CD8⁺ T cells were stained on ice-cold sodium azide–free FCM (flow cytometry buffer) and analyzed immediately after labeling without fixation. CD44hi CD8⁺ T cells (99.9% pure) were injected intravenously into naive syngeneic mice (10⁶ cells/mouse). The recipients were then divided into two groups injected with either T1 or M4. The treatment, consisting of daily intraperitoneal injections of 40 μg/mouse for 10 d, began 14 d after cell transfer. The recipients were challenged with 100 cysts of 76K strain of T. gondii and challenged 4 wk later with a lethal dose of 100 cysts. 1 d before the challenge infection, the mice were injected intraperitoneally with 40 μg/mouse sIL-15Rα (T1) or the control mutant protein (M4). The treatment continued daily for 10 d. As shown in Fig. 1, although all of the mice treated with M4 recovered from the infection, 80% of the animals treated with T1 succumbed to T. gondii challenge infection.

To confirm that sIL-15Rα-treated mice had a reduced ability to clear T. gondii parasites, gut, spleen, liver, and lung tissues from these animals were analyzed for parasite load by quantitative PCR at day 7 and 14 after challenge. As shown in Fig. 2 A, mice treated with T1 had severalfold higher parasite numbers compared with M4-treated animals in all the tissues examined. By day 14 after infection, the parasite load in tissues from the T1-treated animals increased or stayed high, whereas the M4-administered control animals were able to clear the infection (Fig. 2 B).

Histopathological analysis of the infected mice was performed at day 14 after secondary challenge. The liver of the control mice showed modest fatty infiltration of hepato-

Figure 1. The effect of sIL-15Rα treatment on long-term protection against T. gondii. C57BL/6 mice were infected orally with 10–15 cysts of 76K strain of T. gondii. 4 wk later, the mice were challenged orally with 100 cysts of the same strain. The animals were injected intraperitoneally with 40 μg/mouse sIL-15Rα (T1) or the control protein (M4) starting 1 d before challenge and continuing daily for 10 d. Data are mean ± SEM (n = 10) and representative of two separate experiments.
cytes consistent with a mild inflammatory response, which suggests rapid clearance of parasites as is the case with immune animals (30). Multiple mixed lymphocytic inflammatory nodules of 50–100-μm diameter were found throughout the parenchyma and no intracellular T. gondii were detected (Fig. 3 A). In contrast, mice treated with sIL15Rα (T1) showed marked fatty infiltration of hepatocytes with numerous scattered inflammatory nodules throughout the hepatic parenchyma made up of lymphocytes and granulocytes (Fig. 3 B). The small bowel of the T1-treated mice showed severe necrosis and hemorrhage, whereas only patchy superficial necrosis was seen in that of the control mice (Fig. 3, C and D). Toxoplasma induced immunopathology by IFN-γ-producing CD4+ T cells in the gut and liver tissues of naive animals during acute infection has been previously reported (31). However, due to the rapid clearance of parasites, the immune animals do not develop an inflammatory response (12). Our results demonstrate that sIL-15Rα (T1) treatment reduces the ability of the immunized mice to clear T. gondii infection. Uncontrolled parasite replication in these animals might have caused immunopathology similar to that seen in naive mice.

**sIL-15Rα Treatment Reduced Memory CD8+ T Cell Response.**

As IL-15 is considered important for CD8+ T cell maintenance (32), we analyzed the effect of sIL-15Rα treatment on the T. gondii–specific CD8+ T cell memory response. This was performed by first estimating the levels of CD8+ cytotoxic T cells using a pCTL assay. Mice were immunized with T. gondii cysts and challenged 4 wk later with the same strain of parasite. sIL-15Rα (T1) treatment started 1 d before challenge and continued daily for 10 d as previously described. At day 7 and 14 after challenge, mice were killed and CD8+ T cells were separated by magnetic isolation. Purified CD8+ T cells were cultured by limiting dilution and pCTL assay was performed. As shown in Fig. 4, the treatment of immune mice with T1 led to a significant reduction (P < 0.05 on day 7 and P < 0.005 on day 14) in pCTL frequency compared with treatment with the control protein, M4.

In addition to their direct cytolytic activity on infected targets, memory CD8+ T cells also secrete IFN-γ (33). The memory CD8+ T cell profile in the sIL-15Rα–treated mice was further evaluated by estimating the number of IFN-γ–producing CD8+ T cells. On day 7 and 14 after secondary challenge, the mice were killed and the CD8+ and CD4+ T cell populations were analyzed for IFN-γ production by intracellular staining. Treatment with T1 significantly reduced the number of IFN-γ–producing CD8+ T cells in the T. gondii–infected mice (P > 0.01 on day 7 and P > 0.001 on day 14 after infection) compared with mice treated with M4 (Fig. 5, A and B). It is interesting to note that the number of IFN-γ–producing CD4+ T cells was not affected by treatment with T1. These observations further confirm that IL-15Rα selectively blocks the development of memory CD8+ T cells in T. gondii–infected mice.

**Figure 3.** Histological analysis of tissue from mice infected with T. gondii and treated with sIL-15Rα (T1) or the mutant protein (M4). C57BL/6 mice were immunized with a low dose of T. gondii and challenged 4 wk later. The mice were treated with T1 or M4 as described in Fig. 1. 14 d after challenge, animals were killed and gut and liver tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. (A) Liver of M4–treated mice. Bar, 100 μm. (B) Liver of T1–treated mice. Bar, 50 μm. (C) Gut of M4–treated mice. Bar, 100 μm. (D) Gut of T1–treated mice. Bar, 50 μm. Data are representative of three mice per group.

**Figure 4.** The effect of sIL-15Rα treatment on the memory CD8+ T cell response against T. gondii infection. Toxoplasma–immunized C57BL/6 mice were challenged orally with 76K strain of the parasite. The animals were treated with sIL-15Rα (T1) or the mutant protein (M4) as described in Fig. 1. (A) CD8+ T cell response of the immune animals was evaluated by pCTL analysis at day 7 and (B) 14 after challenge.
**sIL-15Rα Abrogates the Protective Effect of Donor CD8+ T Cells.** Adoptive transfer of immune CD8+ T cells protects naïve recipient animals from a lethal *T. gondii* infection (9). Therefore, we determined whether the CD8+ T cell immunity against *T. gondii* infection could be adaptively transferred to sIL-15Rα (T1)-treated animals. Activated/memory CD8+ T cells from C57BL/6 mice infected 2 wk earlier with *T. gondii* were isolated by affinity purification. Purified CD8+ CD44hi T cells (99% pure) were injected intravenously into naïve C57BL/6 mice. 2 wk after cell transfer, the recipients were injected intraperitoneally with T1 or M4 daily for 10 d. 1 d after beginning the treatment, the animals were challenged with 100 cysts of *T. gondii*. Fig. 6 shows that adoptive transfer of activated/memory CD8+ T cells failed to protect the recipients treated with T1, but provided normal protection to M4-treated mice.

To determine whether sIL-15Rα affects the proliferation of memory CD8+ cells, we monitored the number of donor activated/memory CD8+ T cells recovered after *T. gondii* challenge in the recipient animals. CD44hi CD8+ T cells (10⁶) isolated from *T. gondii*-immunized congenic Thy1.1 mice were injected into Thy1.2 mice. 2 wk later, the mice were injected daily for 8 d with T1 or M4. 1 d after the start of treatment, the mice were challenged with 80 cysts of *T. gondii* and given BrdU via drinking water for 7 d. On day 8 after challenge, the animals were killed and the proliferation of donor Thy1.1 cells in the spleen and liver was analyzed by determining the BrdU+ donor CD8+ T cell population. The number of donor Thy1.1 CD8+ T cells recovered from the T1-treated mice was significantly lower in comparison to both spleens (*P < 0.01) and livers (*P < 0.001) of control M4-injected animals (Fig. 7). Therefore, these findings confirm that sIL-15Rα treatment blocked the proliferation of activated/memory CD8+ T cells in response to challenge infection.

We then evaluated the effect of sIL-15Rα treatment on CD8+ CD44hi T cell populations in naïve and immune mice infected with a low dose of parasite but not recipients of a secondary *T. gondii* challenge. As expected, a relatively low number of CD8+ CD44hi T cells was observed in the naïve mice. This was not affected by the T1 treatment (Fig. 8). In contrast, the administration of T1 caused a significant decrease (*P < 0.002) in the CD8+ CD44hi population of the immune animals (Fig. 8). Therefore, these results demonstrate that treatment with sIL-15Rα inhibited the expansion of memory CD8+ T cells and had little or no effect on resting cells.

**Discussion**

Immunologic memory is a hallmark of the immune system and its maintenance is necessary for the host to resist recurrent infections or the reactivation of chronic disease.

**Figure 5.** Analysis of IFN-γ-producing T cells from mice infected with *T. gondii* and treated with sIL-15Rα. C57BL/6 mice immunized and subsequently challenged with 76K strain were treated with sIL-15Rα (T1) or the control protein (M4) as described in Fig. 1. (A) Spleen cells were harvested on day 7 and (B) 14 after challenge infection, pooled (*n = 3*), and cultured in vitro with PMA, ionomycin, and monensin for 4 h. The cultured cells were then labeled for CD4 or CD8 before intracellular staining for IFN-γ. Data are presented as number (mean ± SD) of CD4+ or CD8+ T cells positive for IFN-γ and are pooled from two different experiments.

**Figure 6.** The effect of sIL-15Rα treatment on the antitoxoplasma protection of naïve mice transferred with immune CD8+ T cells. C57BL/6 mice were infected with 10–15 cysts of 76K strain of *T. gondii*. Mice were killed 2 wk later and spleen cells were pooled (*n = 5*). CD8+ T cells were isolated by magnetic separation (>95% pure) and were stained with PE-labeled anti-CD44 and separated into CD44hi (activated/memory) and CD44lo naïve population by flow cytometry. CD8+ CD44hi T cells (10⁶) were injected intravenously into naïve syngeneic mice. Mice were challenged orally with 100 cysts 15 d after the cell transfer. The recipients were injected intraperitoneally daily for 10 d with T1 or M4 from the day before challenge infection. Data are pooled from two experiments (*n = 10*).

**Figure 7.** The effect of sIL-15Rα treatment on the proliferation of adoptively transferred memory CD8+ T cells. Congenic Thy1.1 mice (*n = 5*) were infected orally with 10–15 cysts of *T. gondii* and CD44hi CD8+ T cells were isolated on day 14 and transferred intravenously (10⁶/mouse) to naïve Thy1.2 animals. 2 wk after the transfer, the recipient animals (*n = 4*) were challenged with 80 cysts of *T. gondii* and treated with T1 or M4 as described in Fig. 6. The animals were given BrdU via drinking water (0.8 mg/ml) for 7 d. Recipients were killed on day 8 and spleen and liver were analyzed for BrdU+ Thy1.1+ T cells by flow cytometry. Data are mean ± SD (*n = 4*). *, *P < 0.01; **, *P < 0.001.

**Figure 8.** The effect of sIL-15Rα on activated/memory and resting memory CD8+ T cells. C57BL/6 mice were either infected orally with 10–15 cysts or uninfected. 2 wk after the infection, mice (*n = 3*) were treated daily with T1 or M4 for 12 d as previously described. Mice were killed on day 13 and the spleen cells were analyzed for CD8+ CD44hi population. sIL-15Rα markedly reduced the expansion of CD8+ CD44hi T cells from infected mice but not uninfected mice. Results are mean ± SD. *, *P < 0.002.
An essential role for memory CD8\(^+\) T cells in the long-term protection against several intracellular pathogens and tumors has been previously described (35, 36). For example, on recovery from acute infection with influenza, Sendai, or Lymphochoriomeningitis virus, mice develop lifelong CD8\(^+\) T cell memory (33, 37, 38). Memory CD8\(^+\) T cells have also been reported to be important for intracellular bacterial infections such as \textit{Listeria monocytogenes} and \textit{Salmonella} (39, 40). Similarly, lack of CD8\(^+\) T cells compromises the host's ability to clear malarial infection (41). A crucial role of CD8\(^+\) T cells in the protection against reactivating and recurrent \textit{T. gondii} infection has been documented (3, 12). However, the factors responsible for the induction or maintenance of a robust memory CD8\(^+\) T cell immunity against these infectious agents have not been extensively studied. Understanding the mechanism involved in the generation and maintenance of memory CD8\(^+\) T cell response is crucial for the development of therapeutic agents against these pathogens.

Recently, attention has been drawn to the role of cytokines in the maintenance of memory CD8\(^+\) T cells (12, 42–44). Studies conducted by different laboratories suggest that IL-15, a cytokine closely related to IL-2, is crucial for the maintenance of CD8\(^+\) memory T cells (13, 44). The specificity for IL-15 versus IL-2 is provided by the cytokine-specific \(\alpha\) chain receptors that complete the IL-15\(\alpha\)\(\beta\)y and IL-2\(\alpha\)\(\beta\)y heterotrimERIC high affinity receptor complexes and thereby allow differential responsiveness (19). Although IL-2 is produced primarily by CD4\(^+\) T cells (45), IL-15 is secreted by multiple cell types, including both immune and nonimmune cells such as dendritic cells, macrophages, and placental cells (17, 46).

We previously reported that exogenous IL-15 treatment enhanced CD8\(^+\) memory T cell response against \textit{T. gondii} infection (11). Subsequently, an important role for IL-15 in the selective stimulation of CD8\(^+\) T cells was demonstrated (47). Mice lacking IL-15 or IL-15\(\alpha\) gene had markedly reduced CD8\(^+\) memory T cell response (13, 14). Recent investigations from our laboratory have shown that optimal CD8\(^+\) T cell immunity in the mice immunized with a vaccine (nonpersistent) strain of the parasite could not be maintained beyond a 9-mo period (12). The exogenous treatment with IL-15 restored the declining CD8\(^+\) T cell protective response in these vaccinated animals. However, the role of IL-15 in the regulation of CD8\(^+\) T cells directed against infection is unknown. Our current observations clearly demonstrate that the blockade of IL-15 by sIL-15R\(\alpha\) in mice infected with a natural (persistent or cyst forming) strain of toxoplasma abrogates the host's ability to survive a challenge infection. This could be attributed to the down-regulation of memory CD8\(^+\) T cell response in these animals. The treated animals exhibited poor CD8\(^+\) T cell response manifested by decreased pCTL frequency and reduced IFN-\(\gamma\) production within this population. An important role of IL-15 in the maintenance of memory CD8\(^+\) T cells is its ability to induce the proliferation of these cells upon a challenge infection. This was demonstrated by adoptive cell transfer experiments in which the transfer of protection against a lethal infection by activated/memory CD8\(^+\) T cells into naive syngeneic recipients was blocked by sIL-15R\(\alpha\) treatment. Moreover, the donor CD8\(^+\) T cells in the sIL-15R\(\alpha\)-treated recipient mice proliferated poorly in response to infection compared with controls.

The sIL-15R treatment affected the activated/memory (CD44\(^{hi}\)) CD8\(^+\) T cell population in the immune animals but not in resting CD8\(^+\) T cells. These results clearly show that IL-15 is critical for the expansion of memory CD8\(^+\) T cells both during primary and recurrent toxoplasma infection. The blockade of IL-15 activity inhibits the expansion of the memory CD8\(^+\) T cell population during repeat infection, which leads to unchecked infection and a fatal outcome. It should also be noted that IL-15 also serves as a growth factor for NK (14) and CD4\(^+\) T cells (48), although CD8\(^+\) memory cells appear to be particularly sensitive to IL-15 activation (13, 44). Although our results do not exclude the effect of sIL-15R\(\alpha\) on NK and CD4\(^+\) cells, the role of these cells in \textit{T. gondii} infection appears to be secondary to CD8\(^+\) T cells (3, 12).

The first event that takes place during an intracellular \textit{T. gondii} infection, in which long-term protection is highly dependent on CD8\(^+\) T cells, might be that during the early phase of infection there is a marked increase in activated CD8\(^+\) T cells. After this initial expansion, as the infection is resolved or reaches chronicity (15–30 d after infection), a period of cell death ensues during which 90–95% of activated T cells undergo apoptosis (49). The next phase is characterized by a pool of memory CD8\(^+\) T cells that are important for immune surveillance, protecting the host against recurrent \textit{T. gondii} infections (12). IL-15 plays an important role in the generation of optimal memory CD8\(^+\) T cells, as blockade of IL-15\(\alpha\) causes a decrease in the CD8\(^+\) CD44\(^{hi}\) T cell population during the infection. When recurrent infection does take place, memory CD8\(^+\) T cells proliferate vigorously causing a quick resolution of the infection. Thus, the rapid proliferation of memory CD8\(^+\) T cells is highly dependent on IL-15, the absence or neutralization of which severely compromises the immunity against the pathogen. This is consistent with a recent report by Weninger et al. (50) that naive CD8\(^+\) T cells stimulated with IL-15 developed into “central memory cells” homing avidly to lymphoid organs and mediated rapid recall responses.
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