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By Nancy J. Boerth,* Jeffrey J. Sadler,* Daniel E. Bauer,‡ James L. Clements,* Shereen M. Gheith,* and Gary A. Koretzky*

From the *Signal Transduction Program, The Leonard and Madlyn Abramson Family Cancer Research Institute, Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, and the ‡Graduate Program in Immunology, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6160

Abstract
Two hematopoietic-specific adapters, src homology 2 domain–containing leukocyte phosphoprotein of 76 kD (SLP-76) and linker for activation of T cells (LAT), are critical for T cell development and T cell receptor (TCR) signaling. Several studies have suggested that SLP-76 and LAT function coordinately to promote downstream signaling. In support of this hypothesis, we find that a fraction of SLP-76 localizes to glycolipid-enriched membrane microdomains (GEMs) after TCR stimulation. This recruitment of SLP-76 requires amino acids 224–244. The functional consequences of targeting SLP-76 to GEMs for TCR signaling are demonstrated using a LAT/SLP-76 chimeric protein. Expression of this construct reconstitutes TCR-induced phospholipase Cγ1 phosphorylation, extracellular signal–regulated kinase activation, and nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) promoter activity in LAT-deficient Jurkat T cells (J.CaM2). Mutation of the chimeric construct precluding its recruitment to GEMs diminishes but does not eliminate its ability to support TCR signaling. Expression of a chimera that lacks SLP-76 amino acids 224–244 restores NFAT promoter activity, suggesting that if localized, SLP-76 does not require an association with Gads to promote T cell activation. In contrast, mutation of the protein tyrosine kinase phosphorylation sites of SLP-76 in the context of the LAT/SLP-76 chimera abolishes reconstitution of TCR function. Collectively, these experiments show that optimal TCR signaling relies on the compartmentalization of SLP-76 and that one critical function of LAT is to bring SLP-76 and its associated proteins to the membrane.
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Introduction
One of the earliest detectable biochemical events after TCR engagement is the tyrosine phosphorylation of several proteins by protein tyrosine kinases (PTKs)1 (1–3). PTK activation is required for the subsequent initiation of intracellular signaling leading to new gene expression and other features of T cell activation (4–7). Although much is known about both the proximal phosphorylation events as well as the downstream signaling pathways required for T cell activation, the mechanisms by which these steps are integrated after TCR ligation remain less clear. Several studies have shown that the early phosphorylation events are required for the creation of multimolecular protein complexes that coordinate the various signals important for successful T cell activation (for review see references 8–10). These complexes are nucleated by adapter molecules, proteins that contain modular domains responsible for mediating interactions with other molecules within the cell. Recently, two such adapter proteins, src homology (SH)2 domain–containing leukocyte phosphoprotein of 76 kD (SLP-76) and linker for activation of T cells (LAT), have

1Abbreviations used in this paper: ERK, extracellular signal–regulated kinase; GEMs, glycolipid-enriched membrane microdomains; ITK, inducible T cell kinase; LAT, linker for activation of T cells; NFAT, nuclear factor of activated T cells; PLC, phospholipase C; PTK, protein tyrosine kinase; PV, pervanadate; SLP-76, src homology 2 domain–containing leukocyte phosphoprotein of 76 kD.
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been shown to be indispensable for T cell development and activation (11–16).

SLP-76 is a cytosolic protein expressed in T cells, macrophages, NK cells, and platelets (17, 18). It consists of an NH2-terminal acidic region that includes several tyrosines that are phosphorylated after TCR engagement (19, 20). These phosphoryrosines bind to SH2 domains of key signaling molecules, including Vav (21–24), an exchange factor for the Rac GTPase, and inducible T cell kinase (ITK), a Tec family PTK (25–27). The central region of SLP-76 is rich in proline residues, enabling SLP-76 to associate constitutively with Gads (also known as GrpL, Grf40, or Mona) via the two Gads SH3 domains (28–31). The COOH-terminal region of SLP-76 contains an SH2 domain that binds to another hematopoietic specific adapter molecule (SLP-76–associated phosphoprotein of 130 kD [SLAP-130], also known as Fyb) after TCR engagement and tyrosine phosphorylation of SLAP-130 (32, 33).

LAT is expressed in the same tissues as SLP-76; however, in contrast to SLP-76, it is a transmembrane protein (34). Due to posttranslational fatty acid modifications, LAT is targeted to glycolipid–enriched membrane microdomains (GEMs, also known as lipid rafts), compartments known to be critical for concentrating TCR–stimulated signaling molecules (35, 36). After TCR engagement, GEM-localized LAT is phosphorylated on multiple tyrosine residues, enabling it to bind SH2 domains of numerous signaling molecules, including phospholipase C (PLC)γ1, the 85-kD subunit of phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase, and Grb2 (34). Mutation of these tyrosine residues produces a dominant negative effect on TCR signaling (34). Interestingly, LAT also inductibly binds to Gads, allowing for the creation of a LAT–Gads–SLP-76 trimolecular complex (28–30, 34).

Several lines of evidence have demonstrated that both SLP-76 and LAT play critical roles in T cell function. Overexpression of SLP-76 in transformed T cell lines results in increased efficiency of TCR signaling (37). Mutant variants of Jurkat T cells, deficient in either SLP-76 (13) or LAT (14, 15), fail to signal effectively via their TCRs. Although activation of src and syk family PTKs is retained in Jurkat of Jurkat model system, an important role for LAT is to recruit SLP-76 and its associated molecules to the membrane, where signaling molecules are concentrated.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cells and Cell Culture.** Mutant variants of Jurkat deficient in LAT expression (J.CaM2; reference 14) and SLP-76 expression (J14-v-29; reference 13) were provided by A. Weiss (University of California, San Francisco, CA). E6–1 Jurkat T cells, J.CaM2, and J14-v-29 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 media with 10% FCS, penicillin (1,000 U/ml), streptomycin (1,000 U/ml), and glutamine (20 mM) in a 5% CO2 humidified atmosphere at 37°C as described previously (38).

**Antibodies and Reagents.** The following antibodies were used: clonotypic Jurkat anti-TCR mAb C305 (gift from A. Weiss) (38), anti-LAT polyclonal antisera (gift from L.A. Samelson, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD), anti-Gads polyclonal antisera (gift from J. McGlade, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario), anti-FLAG mAb M2 (International Biotechnologies, Inc.), anti-phosphotyrosine Ab (4G10), anti-Myc mAb and anti-PLCγ1 mixed mAb (Upstate Biotechnology), and horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Bio-Rad Laboratories). Luciferin was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Horseradish peroxidase–conjugated cholera toxin B subunit was purchased from Calbiochem-Novabiochem.

**cDNA Constructs.** The cDNA for pEF/Myc/LAT (Myc-LAT) was a gift from L.A. Samelson. Flag-SLP-76 (pEF/Flag/SLP-76, wild type) and the Flag-tagged SLP-76 mutants pEF/Flag/SLP-76 as224–244 (Δas224–244), pEF/Flag/SLP-76 Y113/128/145F (Y3F), and pEF/Flag/SLP-76 R448K (R448K) were cloned as described previously (39). The pEF/LAT/SLP-76 chimera construct was generated using PCR to generate a cDNA encoding amino acids 1–35 of the human LAT cDNA (sense primer, GCGACGGTCGACCCTCTGAGATGGAG; antisense primer, GCGTAGGATCCGGCAGTCTGTGGCA-GTG) for ligation into pCRScript easy (Stratagene). The LAT partial cDNA was then subcloned into pEF/Flag/SLP-76 at the SalI and BamHI sites, replacing Flag and in frame with the SLP-76 coding sequence. The LAT/SLP-76 chimera constructs with mutations in the SLP-76 coding sequence were generated by replacing the wild-type SLP-76 cDNA with the previously described SLP-76 mutants at the BamHI and XhoI sites. The LAT/SLP-76 chimera with point mutations in C26 and C29 was generated by PCR of pEF/Myc/LAT using the antisense primer
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CGATCTGGCCACTGTGCGCTGTGCAACACTCATGTC (underline represents point mutations changing cysteine to serine) and the original sense primer. The subsequent PCR product was cloned in frame with the wild-type SLP-76 sequence as described previously.

pEF/HLA-A2, the expression vector containing the HLA-A2 cDNA, was a gift of B. Sh rav en (University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany). pL2–nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT)-luciferase (NFAT-luc) was a gift from G. Crabtree (Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA). pCMV/β-galactosidase (β-gal) was a gift from G. MacGregor (Emory University, Atlanta, GA).

Transfections. Cells were washed in PBS and suspended in cyto- 
imum (120 mM KCl; 0.15 mM CaCl2; 10 mM KH2PO4/ 
KH2PO4; 25 mM Hepes, pH 7.6; 2 mM EGTA; 5 mM MgCl2; 
pH adjusted with KOH) at a concentration of 2 × 10^6 cells per 
400 μl of cytomix per cuvette (40). Cells were electroporated at 
250 V, 960 μF using a Gene Pulser (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The 
cells were placed at 37°C, 5% CO2 for 24 h, followed by func-
tional analysis.

Isolation of GEM Fractions Using Equilibrium Density Gradi-
ets. For each GEM preparation, five sets of cells were trans-
fected with 25 μg of plasmid and were then combined. 24 h after 
transfection, 5 × 10^6 live cells were either left unstimulated 
or stimulated via the TCR (1:1,000 C305), followed by lysis at 4°C 
for 20 min in 1 ml of MES-buffered saline (25 mM MES, pH 
6.5; 150 mM NaCl) containing 1% Triton X-100, 50 mM aprotinin, 
10 mg/ml leupeptin, 50 mg/ml pepstatin A, 1 mM phenylmethyl-
fluoride, 400 mM sodium vanadate, 10 mM sodium fluoride, 
and 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate (36, 41). The lysates were then 
mixed with 1 ml 80% sucrose in MES-buffered saline and transferred to 
ultracentrifuge tubes. The samples were overlaid with 2 ml of 30% sucrose in MES-buffered 
saline, followed by 1 ml 5% sucrose in MES-buffered saline. The 
Triton-insoluble fractions were separated from the cell lysates 
by ultracentrifugation for 18 h at 45,000 rpm in a Beckman SW55Ti 
rotor at 4°C (no break). 400-μl fractions were removed sequentially 
from the top of the gradient.

To assess the presence of particular proteins within the cytosol 
versus GEMs, 25 μl of each fraction was subjected to SDS-PAGE 
(10% for SLP-76, Gad, and LAT; 15% for ganglioside GM1), 
followed by transfer to nitrocellulose for immunoblot analysis using 
anti–SLP-76, anti-LAT, or anti-Gads polyclonal antisera or 
probed for GM1 with horseradish peroxidase–conjugated chlo-
a toxin B subunit with detection by ECL (Amersham Pharmacia 
Biotech).

Luciferase Assays. Cells were transfected with 25 μg of 
NFAT-luc construct, 5 μg of pCMV/β-gal, and 40 μg of the 
expression vectors. The total amount of plasmid DNA was equili-
brated to 100 μg with the vector control pEF/HLA-A2. After 
24 h, 5 × 10^6 live cells were stimulated in triplicate for 16 h with 
media, immobilized anti-TCR mAb C305 (ascites 1:1,000), or 
50 ng/ml phorbol ester (PMA) plus 1 μM ionomycin (for maxi-
mal response). Additionally, triplicate samples of 5 × 10^6 un-
stimulated cells were assayed for β-gal activity using the Galacto-
Light Plus Reporter Gene Assay System (Tropix Inc.). Luciferase 
activity was determined as described previously (39). Luciferase light units were normalized to β-gal activity present in each 
transfected to standardize for transfection efficiency.

For examination of the expression levels of the transfected 
molecules, 10^6 transfected cells were lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer 
(50 mM Tris buffer, pH 7.4; 1% NP-40; 150 mM NaCl) includ-
ing protease inhibitors (50 μg/ml aprotinin, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 
50 μg/ml pepstatin A, and 1 mM PMSF). The cell lysates were 
subjected to SDS-PAGE (10%), followed by transfer to nitrocel-
lulose for immunoblot analysis using either anti–SLP-76 poly-
clonal antiserum or anti–Myc mAb.

Immunoprecipitations. Transfected Jurkat T cells were left 
unstimulated or stimulated with anti-TCR mAb (C305 ascites, 
1:1,000) for 5 min and lysed in NP-40 lysis buffer including pro-
tease inhibitors (50 μg/ml aprotinin, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, 50 μg/ml 
pepstatin A, and 1 mM PMSF) and protein phosphatase inhib-
itors (400 μM sodium vanadate, 10 μM sodium fluoride, and 10 
μM sodium pyrophosphate) (39). In experiments involving the 
detection of phosphorylated PLCγ1, cells stimulated with per-
avanadate (PV) were used to assess TCR-independent phosphor-
lation as a positive control. For immunoprecipitations, antibodies 
(2 μg per immunoprecipitation for anti–PLCγ1) were conjugated 
to GammaBind Plus Sepharose (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) 
for 2 h at 4°C. Lysates were subjected to precipitation with the 
indicated Ab-conjugated Sepharose beads for 2 h at 4°C. The immu-
ncumeplexes were washed three times with NP-40 lysis 
buffer with 500 mM NaCl, subjected to SDS-PAGE (10% poly-
acrylamide gels), and transferred to nitrocellulose for immunoblot 
analysis using either 4G10 or anti–PLCγ1 Ab.

Measurement of ERK Activation. Transfected cells were left 
unstimulated or were stimulated for 5 min with either C305 or 
PMA (50 ng/ml). Then, 10^6 cells were lysed in Triton lysis buffer 
(1% Triton X-100; 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.6; 150 mM NaCl; 1 
M PBSF; 1 μM aprotinin; 1 mM sodium vanadate; 50 mM 
NaFl; 0.5 mM EGTA). Lysates from 2 × 10^6 cell equivalents 
were subjected to reducing SDS-PAGE (12%) for visualization of 
ERK activation by immunoblot analysis using an antiphospho 
ERK Ab. For standardization of gel loading, the nitrocellulose 
membrane was repored for ERK levels by immunoblot analysis.

Results

SLP-76 Is Recruited to GEMs after TCR Ligation via Pro-
lines within the Central Domain of SLP-76. We and others 
have found that SLP-76 associates inducibly with LAT after 
TCR engagement (28–30, 34). As LAT is a GEM resident 
protein, we reasoned that TCR ligation should therefore 
stimulate translocation of SLP-76 to GEMs. Jurkat T cells 
were left unstimulated or stimulated via their TCR and 
then lysed in a Triton X-100–based buffer. Lysates were 
suubected to sucrose density gradient ultracentrifugation to 
separate detergent-resistant GEMs from the Triton-soluble 
fraction. As described previously (41–43), purity of the 
GEM preparation was determined by examining fractions 
for the presence of the ganglioside GM1 (Fig. 1 A). As 
shown, this marker of GEMs is present only in fractions 2 
and 3. Similarly (and confirming previous work of others 
[35, 36]), LAT is also found predominantly in GEMs (Fig. 
1 B). In contrast, in unstimulated cells, neither SLP-76 nor 
Gads is present in the GEM fractions (Fig. 1, C and D). Af-
after TCR engagement with the clonotypic anti-TCR 
C305, a pool of SLP-76 is detected in the GEMs. As 
epressed, Gads is also inducibly recruited to GEMs, support-
ing the notion that the LAT–Gads–SLP-76 complex is 
GEM localized. We have also observed inducible recruit-
ment of SLP-76 to GEMs by confocal microscopy and 
colocalization of SLP-76 with FITC-conjugated chlo-
a toxin after TCR engagement (data not shown).
To further test the possibility that it is Gads that bridges SLP-76 with LAT within GEMs, we transfected Jurkat T cells with cDNAs encoding either FLAG-tagged wild-type SLP-76 or similarly tagged SLP-76 variants with mutations in each of the known SLP-76 protein interaction domains (Fig. 2A). Cells were left unstimulated or stimulated via the TCR and then lysed and fractionated by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. Lysates were subjected to sucrose gradient ultracentrifugation for GEM purification. Sequential fractions were removed starting from the top of the gradient and are indicated as fraction number. The gradient fractions were separated by SDS-PAGE, followed by detection of GM1 using horseradish peroxidase–conjugated cholera toxin B subunit (A) or immunoblot analysis using anti-LAT (B), anti–SLP-76 (C), or anti-Gads (D).

To further test the possibility that it is Gads that bridges SLP-76 with LAT within GEMs, we transfected Jurkat T cells with cDNAs encoding either FLAG-tagged wild-type SLP-76 or similarly tagged SLP-76 variants with mutations in each of the known SLP-76 protein interaction domains (Fig. 2A). Cells were left unstimulated or stimulated via the TCR and then lysed and fractionated by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. As shown in Fig. 2B, wild type and each of the mutant variants are present in the cytosol in unstimulated cells. After TCR engagement, wild-type SLP-76 translocates to GEMs. Additionally, SLP-76 molecules with alterations in either the tyrosine phosphorylation sites (Y3F) or the SH2 domain (R448K) also translocate to GEMs after TCR stimulation. In contrast, the SLP-76 mutant that cannot bind to Gads (Δ224–244) fails to appear in GEMs after TCR ligation. (Fig. 2, SLP-76 blot).
Boerth et al.

Figure 3. Targeting of SLP-76 to GEMs reconstitutes proximal TCR signaling in LAT-deficient T cells. (A) Schematic of the LAT/SLP-76 chimeric construct used to target SLP-76 to GEMs. The NH2 terminus contains LAT amino acids 1–35, including the LAT extracellular domain (EC), transmembrane domain (TM), and amino acids surrounding cysteines 26 and 29 (CC). (B) J.CaM2 cells were transfected with HLA-A2 (control), Myc-LAT, Flag–SLP-76, or the LAT/SLP-76 chimera. Transfectants were left unstimulated or stimulated via the TCR. Cellular lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation with Ab directed against PLCγ1. Immune complexes were then analyzed for the presence of phosphotyrosine (Fig. 3 B, top) and quantitation of PLCγ1 present (Fig. 3 B, bottom). As reported by several groups and shown in Fig. 3 B, stimulation of the TCR on the LAT-deficient mutant fails to induce tyrosine phosphorylation of PLCγ1. The TCR-induced PTKs and substrate, however, are intact, as PV stimulates PLCγ1 phosphorylation in control transfected J.CaM2 cells. Confirming published studies (14, 35), TCR-induced PLCγ1 phosphorylation is restored by transfection of cells with wild-type LAT. As expected, overexpressed SLP-76 does not rescue PLCγ1 phosphorylation, even though SLP-76 levels in the transfected cells are substantially higher than endogenous. Surprisingly, expression of the LAT/SLP-76 chimera also rescues TCR-stimulated PLCγ1 tyrosine phosphorylation. In every experiment, the rescue with the chimera was substantially higher than when wild-type LAT is overexpressed (data not shown). The chimeric molecule is also consistently more efficient at supporting this means of TCR function than is the combination of transfected wild-type LAT plus transfected wild-type SLP-76 (data not shown).

Another TCR-stimulated signaling pathway known to be regulated by both SLP-76 and LAT is activation of ERK. As shown in Fig. 3 C, stimulation of the TCR on J.CaM2 fails to activate ERK as assessed by ERK phosphorylation, although these cells demonstrate an ERK response after stimulation with the phorbol ester, PMA. The TCR signaling defect is reversed by transfection of cDNA encoding LAT but not SLP-76. Again, expression of the LAT/SLP-76 chimera restores TCR-induced ERK activation more efficiently than does expression of wild-type LAT.

NFAT is stimulated downstream of the PLCγ1 and RAS/ERK pathways (8). Therefore, we asked if expression of the LAT/SLP-76 chimera in LAT-deficient cells could support TCR-stimulated NFAT activation. Cells were transfected with the various LAT and SLP-76 constructs along with a reporter construct including triplicated NFAT binding sites (derived from the IL-2 promoter) upstream of the luciferase gene. As shown in Fig. 4, the signaling defect in J.CaM2 leading to NFAT activation is reversed more efficiently with the LAT/SLP-76 chimera than with wild-type LAT. Collectively, these experiments demonstrate that SLP-76 is a critical molecule recruited by LAT to support TCR signal transduction in Jurkat T cells.

As SLP-76 is covalently attached to LAT in the chimeric molecule and hence does not need to be recruited after TCR engagement, we anticipated that transfection of the chimera would be more efficient than wild-type LAT at restoring TCR signaling in J.CaM2. The experiment shown in Fig. 5 supports this notion, showing that at each of four concentrations of transfected cDNA, TCR-induced NFAT activation is more efficient in cells reconstituted with LAT/SLP-76 when compared with cells receiving wild-type LAT. Additionally, it appears that the ability of LAT to increase efficiency of TCR signaling plateaus as the amount of LAT cDNA is transfected. This is not seen when the LAT/SLP-76 chimera is expressed (at least at the
concentrations we have studied). This difference may be due to limiting amounts of SLP-76 present in J.CaM2 cells transfected with wild-type LAT. In support of this possibility, we have found that cotransfection of SLP-76 with LAT into J.CaM2 is more efficient at rescuing TCR signaling than transfection of LAT alone (Fig. 5 B).

GEM Localization of the LAT/SLP-76 Chimera Is Required for Optimal Rescue of the J.CaM2 Signaling Defect. Next, we addressed whether GEM localization of SLP-76 is absolutely required to reconstitute TCR function in J.CaM2. For these experiments, we prepared a mutant LAT/SLP-76 chimera altering the two LAT cysteines (C26

anti-Myc (to detect transfected LAT) antibodies (right panel). (B) J.CaM2 cells were transfected with NFAT-luc and pCMV/β-gal plus the indicated constructs. 24 h after transfection, cells were left unstimulated (US), stimulated with C305, or stimulated with PMA plus ionomycin for 16 h. Samples were assayed for luciferase (normalized to β-gal activity; left panel). PMA plus ionomycin responses were similar (~120,000 relative light units) for each sample. This experiment is representative of three independent transfections. Note the doublet in the SLP-76 blot examining expression of the LAT/SLP-76 chimera (panel A, right). The slower migrating species represents the chimera, while the faster migrating band is endogenous wild-type SLP-76.

Figure 4. Targeting of SLP-76 to GEMs supports reconstitution of NFAT promoter activity in LAT-deficient T cells. J.CaM2 cells were transfected with NFAT-luc and pCMV/β-gal plus the indicated constructs. 24 h after transfection, cells were left unstimulated (US), stimulated with C305, or stimulated with PMA plus ionomycin for 16 h. Samples were assayed for luciferase activity (normalized to β-gal; left panel). PMA plus ionomycin responses were similar (~100,000 relative light units) for each sample. This experiment is representative of 10 independent transfections. Expression of the transfected proteins for this experiment was determined by examining whole cell lysates by immunoblot analysis with anti-SLP-76 (to detect transfected SLP-76 or the chimera) or anti-Myc (to detect transfected LAT) antibodies (right panel).

Figure 5. The LAT/SLP-76 chimera is more efficient at restoring TCR signaling in J.CaM2 than wild-type LAT. (A) J.CaM2 cells were transfected with NFAT-luc and pCMV/β-gal plus the indicated constructs. 40 μg of plasmid was used for both the A2 and SLP-76 controls. Varying amounts of plasmid (from 5 to 40 μg, as shown) encoding LAT or the LAT/SLP-76 chimera were used. 24 h after transfection, cells were left unstimulated (US), stimulated with C305, or stimulated with PMA plus ionomycin for 16 h. Samples were assayed for luciferase (normalized to β-gal activity; left panel). PMA plus ionomycin responses were similar (~120,000 relative light units) for each sample. This experiment is representative of four independent transfections. Expression of the transfected proteins for this experiment was determined by examining whole cell lysates by immunoblot analysis with anti-SLP-76 (to detect transfected SLP-76 or the chimera) or anti-Myc (to detect transfected LAT) antibodies (right panel).
and C29) responsible for GEM targeting to serine residues (LAT/SLP-76 CS) (Fig. 6 A). The mutant chimera was transfected first into J14-v-29, an SLP-76–deficient variant of Jurkat (13). We chose this cell as a host because it lacks endogenous SLP-76, making detection of the chimera unequivocal. As shown in Fig. 6 B, whereas the LAT/SLP-76 chimera with intact C26 and C29 is found exclusively in the GEMs (fraction 3), the LAT/SLP-76 CS mutant is found in the cytosol (fraction 11).

J.CaM2 cells were then transfected with the NFAT reporter construct plus control cDNA (A2), wild-type SLP-76, wild-type LAT, the original LAT/SLP-76 chimera, or the LAT/SLP-76 CS mutant. Cells were left unstimulated or stimulated via the TCR and analyzed for NFAT activation. As shown in Fig. 6 C, expression of LAT/SLP-76 CS reconstitutes TCR-induced NFAT activation, although always with less efficiency than the chimera that localizes to GEMs (fraction 3), the LAT/SLP-76 CS mutant is found in the cytosol (fraction 11).

J.CaM2 cells were then transfected with the NFAT reporter construct plus control cDNA (A2), wild-type SLP-76, wild-type LAT, the original LAT/SLP-76 chimera, or the LAT/SLP-76 CS mutant. Cells were left unstimulated or stimulated via the TCR and analyzed for NFAT activation. As shown in Fig. 6 C, expression of LAT/SLP-76 CS reconstitutes TCR-induced NFAT activation, although always with less efficiency than the chimera that localizes to GEMs. These data indicate that when expressed at the membrane, SLP-76 can support TCR signaling. However, our results also suggest that for SLP-76 to function optimally, it is necessary for SLP-76 to be targeted to micro-domains in the membrane.

The SLP-76 Gads Binding Site and SH2 Domain, but Not Its Tyrosine Phosphorylation Sites, Are Dispensable if SLP-76 Is Tethered to LAT. The experiments using the LAT/SLP-76 chimeric proteins suggest that a major function of LAT is to serve as a scaffold for the recruitment of SLP-76 to a TCR-stimulated signaling complex. The availability of the LAT-deficient J.CaM2 cells provides an excellent reagent for us to address the structural features of SLP-76 within the context of the chimera required for the reconstitution of TCR signaling. To approach this issue, we generated a series of LAT/SLP-76 chimeras with mutations in each of the three functional SLP-76 domains. As shown in Fig. 7 A, all of the constructs contain the LAT extracellular, transmembrane, and GEM localization sequences. The LAT/SLP-76 Y3F mutant has three point mutations in the SLP-76 component preventing its tyrosine phosphorylation. The LAT/SLP-76 D224–244 mutant has a deletion in the Gads binding site, and the LAT/SLP-76 R448K mutant has a point mutation in the SH2 domain preventing this protein from binding SLAP-130/Fyb. Each of the cDNAs for the chimeras was transfected into J.CaM2 cells for analysis of TCR function. Fig. 7 B shows the results of representative NFAT assays for cells expressing each of the chimeric molecules or various control constructs. As can be appreciated from this experiment, mutation of either the Gads binding site or the SH2 domain of SLP-76 decreases the ability of the chimera to support TCR signaling. However, TCR function is largely preserved even though expression of these constructs compared with LAT or SLP-76 is relatively equal (Fig. 7 B, right panel). In contrast, mutation of the SLP-76 tyrosine phosphorylation sites completely abrogates the ability of the chimera to function. This is true also if the
Figure 7. Structure/function analysis of SLP-76 domains required to support TCR-induced NFAT activity in LAT-deficient cells. (A) Schematic of the LAT/SLP-76 chimeric constructs used in this experiment. Y3F contains three point mutations altering tyrosines 113, 128, and 145 to phenylalanine, abrogating tyrosine phosphorylation of the chimera; Δ224–244 includes a 20–amino acid deletion eliminating the Gads binding site; and R448K contains a point mutation in arginine 448, eliminating function of the SLP-76 SH2 domain. (B) J.CaM2 cells were transfected with NFAT-luc, pCMV/β-gal, and the indicated constructs. 24 h after transfection, cells were left unstimulated (US), stimulated with C305, or stimulated with PMA plus ionomycin for 16 h. The samples were assayed for luciferase activity, which is normalized to the β-gal activity (left panel). The PMA plus ionomycin response was similar (200,000 relative light units) for each condition. This experiment is representative of five independent transfections. Expression of the transfected proteins in the experiment shown was determined by immunoblot analysis of whole cell lysates with anti–SLP-76 (to detect transfected SLP-76 or the chimera) or anti-Myc (to detect transfected LAT) antibodies (right panel).

Figure 8. Structure/function analysis of SLP-76 domains required to support TCR-induced PLCγ1 and ERK activity in LAT-deficient cells. (A) J.CaM2 cells were transfected with the indicated constructs. 24 h later, cells were left unstimulated (US) or were stimulated with C305 (TCR) or PV for 5 min and then lysed and subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-PLCγ1. The immune complexes were analyzed for phosphotyrosine (4G10, top) and amount of PLCγ1 (bottom). (B) Transfected J.CaM2 cells were stimulated and then analyzed for phospho-ERK (top) and with anti-ERK to ensure equal loading of lanes (bottom) as described for Fig. 3.
readout of activation is inducible phosphorylation of PLCγ1 or activation of ERK (Fig. 8, A and B).

Thus, if tethered to LAT, the SLP-76 Gads binding site is no longer critical, presumably because SLP-76 is already localized to the TCR-stimulated signaling complex. Similarly, mutation of the SH2 domain of SLP-76 does not prevent the chimera from restoring the ability of the TCR to signal, suggesting that SLP-76 SH2 binder(s) are not critical for the positive effects of SLP-76 on T cell activation in this model system. In contrast, however, mutation of the SLP-76 tyrosine phosphorylation sites completely abolishes the ability of the chimera to function. We interpret these findings to suggest that for TCR signaling to progress, SLP-76 must coordinate the assembly of a complex including molecules that associate with the SLP-76 NH2-terminal domain. Thus far, several such proteins have been identified, including Vav, Nck, and ITK, all of which have been shown previously to play important roles in T cell function.

Discussion

It is becoming increasingly appreciated that effective signal transduction requires not only the activation of critical effector molecules but also their concentration into particular subdomains within the cell. Much recent attention has focused on GEMs or lipid rafts, membrane subdomains characterized by detergent insolubility (44, 45). In T cells, it has been established that the integrity of GEMs and the localization of specific molecules to these microdomains is necessary for TCR-initiated signals to be translated into cellular activation (46). Some of these proteins are GEM resident (due to their posttranslational modification, e.g., LAT), whereas others are recruited into GEMs via induced associations with other molecules (35, 46–49).

In the experiments described in this report, we focused on the relationship of LAT and SLP-76 and the importance of their colocalization into GEMs for TCR signaling. We found that, similar to other critical modulators of the T cell response, SLP-76 inducibly translocates to GEMs after TCR ligation. We show additionally that this translocation requires amino acids within the proline-rich region of SLP-76, supporting the notion that GEM localization of SLP-76 is indirect. The recruitment of SLP-76 to GEMs likely occurs via an interaction with Gads, an adapter whose binding to SLP-76 requires the sequence mutated in the SLP-76 Δ224–244 construct. To test the importance of the LAT–SLP-76 interaction, we created a LAT/SLP-76 chimera that constitutively places SLP-76 within the GEMs and found that expression of this protein in a LAT-deficient cell restores the ability of the TCR to signal. In fact, this rescue is more efficient than reconstituting the mutant cell with wild-type LAT. Although the chimera possesses no LAT tyrosines, expression of the chimera reconstitutes multiple TCR-inducible biochemical events leading to transcriptional activation of an NFAT reporter construct. As SLP-76 has no intrinsic effector activity, we speculated that the LAT/SLP-76 chimera must function by allowing SLP-76 to recruit other molecules to a larger signaling complex. This appears to be the case, as the signal transduction rescue is completely abrogated if the chimeric construct is mutated so the SLP-76 component can no longer be phosphorylated and therefore is incapable of recruiting other phosphotyrosine binding proteins.

Although we began these experiments with the hypothesis that the LAT/SLP-76 interaction would be critical to support some aspects of TCR signaling, we speculated that expression of the chimera on J.CaM2 would provide only a partial rescue of TCR function. In addition to the residue responsible for Gads binding, LAT possesses multiple tyrosine residues capable of interacting with proteins known to be important for TCR signal transduction (34). In particular, LAT binds PLCγ1 independent of its interaction with Gads (and hence SLP-76). Therefore, we predicted that the LAT/SLP-76 chimera would not support TCR-induced PLCγ1 function in the absence of endogenous LAT. Our surprising finding that the chimera very efficiently supports TCR-stimulated PLCγ1 phosphorylation (and presumably function, based on the NFAT results) suggests that in addition to being recruited by LAT, PLCγ1 may enter a TCR-initiated signaling complex via other avenues. In this regard, it is important to note that in addition to possessing SH2 domains, PLCγ1 contains other modules able to interact with other proteins. In fact, a recent report demonstrated that the SH2 domains of PLCγ1 are not critical for its recruitment after engagement of the platelet-derived growth factor receptor (50). An alternative means by which PLCγ1 may be activated after TCR engagement may involve its association with other proteins by means of the PLCγ1 SH3 domain. Among the potential binders is SLP-76, as SLP-76 contains a region rich in proline residues. Experiments are currently underway to determine if there is a direct recruitment of PLCγ1 to the LAT/SLP-76 chimera.

Additional experiments will also be required to determine which protein(s) must bind to the NH2 terminus of SLP-76 to maintain the integrity of the TCR signaling pathway. Several molecules are known to bind to tyrosines located within this region of SLP-76, and each is being evaluated as a candidate. These include Vav, an exchange factor for Rho family GTPases (51); Nck, an adapter protein (52); and ITK, a Tec family PTK (53). We are particularly interested in the possibility that a SLP-76–ITK interaction is required for function of the chimera, as Tec family PTKs are known to regulate PLCγ isoforms (54).

As expected, mutation of the Gads binding site in the context of the LAT/SLP-76 chimera does not prevent the rescue of TCR function. This is presumably because once tethered to LAT, SLP-76 no longer needs to bind Gads. Interestingly, however, in every experiment where this was tested, the LAT/SLP-76 Δ224–244 chimera was less efficient than the chimera containing wild-type SLP-76. Thus, it appears that optimal signaling may be facilitated by recruitment of Gads or other protein(s) whose association with SLP-76 requires integrity of the region between amino acids 224 and 244. Similarly, mutation of the SLP-
76 SH2 domain decreases, but does not abolish, the ability of the chimera to reconstitute TCR function. The only identified binder to the SLP-76 SH2 is SLAP-130/Fyb, a protein whose function remains unclear (55–58). Our data suggest that it is not critical for SLAP-130/Fyb to bind the targeted SLP-76 chimera for the support of TCR function; however, optimal activity may rely on this intermolecular interaction.

It should be noted also that TCR signaling in J.CaM2 cells is still restored even if the LAT/SLP-76 chimera is not targeted directly to GEMs. In every experiment, this rescue is substantially decreased compared with studies where there are similar levels of expression of the LAT/SLP-76 chimera targeted to GEMs. The ability of the nontargeted chimera to support TCR signaling is comparable to the reconstitution of activity seen when wild-type LAT is reintroduced. These results indicate that merely bringing SLP-76 to the membrane is sufficient to replace the need for LAT in the support of TCR signaling; however, this process is considerably more efficient if SLP-76 is targeted to GEMs.

One potential explanation for why SLP-76 can function to replace LAT even when SLP-76 is not GEM localized constitutively is that the LAT/SLP-76 CS chimera may be brought to GEMs through other intermolecular interactions. This is unlikely, however, as the compartmentalization of SLP-76 to lipid rafts appears to rely on its Gads binding domain alone (Fig. 2). A second possibility is that when expressed at high enough levels at the plasma membrane, SLP-76 may function even when not in GEMs, although GEM localization is critical at lower concentrations of SLP-76. A third potential explanation is that GEM localization is necessary for LAT phosphorylation (as demonstrated by Zhang et al. [15]) and hence SLP-76 recruitment. However, if SLP-76 is tethered to LAT, the requirement for GEM localization is obviated. Placing the LAT/SLP-76 chimera within GEMs may still increase the efficiency of TCR signaling but is not absolutely required for TCR function.

One limitation of these studies is that by tethering SLP-76 to LAT, we eliminate the ability of the interaction of these proteins to be regulated. Thus, while our results indicate that fixing SLP-76 to LAT eliminates the need for other LAT tyrosines, it is possible that under more physiological conditions, LAT-mediated interactions with other proteins play additional key roles in TCR signaling. It should be emphasized also that these experiments were performed in variants of the Jurkat T cell leukemic line. Although conclusions from studies in Jurkat have often been borne out by complementary experiments using freshly isolated human T cells or murine models, there is the potential that results using Jurkat may not recapitulate precisely the normal biology of T cell activation. We therefore are planning experiments to examine the effect of expression of the LAT/SLP-76 chimera in LAT-deficient mice. Additionally, to test most rigorously the importance of the LAT–SLP-76 interaction in the regulation of TCR signaling, it will be necessary to develop a system lacking both endogenous SLP-76 and LAT. To this end, we are establishing a line of SLP-76/LAT double-knockout mice. These mice will provide an excellent model system to test the importance of targeting SLP-76 (both in its wild-type and mutant forms) to the membrane in general, and GEMs in particular, for the support of TCR function in vivo.
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