Mast Cells Can Amplify Airway Reactivity and Features of Chronic Inflammation in an Asthma Model in Mice
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Abstract

The importance of mast cells in the development of the allergen-induced airway hyperreactivity and inflammation associated with asthma remains controversial. We found that genetically mast cell–deficient WBB6F1-W/Wv mice that were sensitized to ovalbumin (OVA) without adjuvant, then challenged repetitively with antigen intranasally, exhibited much weaker responses in terms of bronchial hyperreactivity to aerosolized methacholine, lung tissue eosinophil infiltration, and numbers of proliferating cells within the airway epithelium than did identically treated WBB6F1-/+ normal mice. However, W/Wv mice that had undergone selective reconstitution of tissue mast cells with in vitro–derived mast cells of congenic 1/1 mouse origin exhibited airway responses that were very similar to those of the +/- mice. By contrast, W/Wv mice that were sensitized with OVA emulsified in alum and challenged with aerosolized OVA exhibited levels of airway hyperreactivity and lung tissue eosinophil infiltration that were similar to those of the corresponding +/- mice. Nevertheless, these W/Wv mice exhibited significantly fewer proliferating cells within the airway epithelium than did identically treated +/- mice. These results show that, depending on the “asthma model” investigated, mast cells can either have a critical role in, or not be essential for, multiple features of allergic airway responses in mice.
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Introduction

Asthma is an increasingly prevalent and often severe disease characterized by chronic inflammation of the airways and heightened airway reactivity to stimuli of bronchoconstriction (1–5). Patients can exhibit the disorder when exposed to very small amounts of antigen, indicating that local amplification mechanisms can contribute significantly to inflammation in this setting (2–7). Tissue mast cells can be activated to release potent mediators of inflammation by antibody-dependent mechanisms, and can respond to very low doses of specific antigen (5, 7). Although mast cells thus would appear to be well suited to amplify local inflammatory responses to antigen challenge, there is considerable debate about the importance of these cells in the expression of the features of chronic asthma (7–18).

For example, there is no question that features of chronic asthma, including airway hyperreactivity to methacholine and eosinophil infiltration (a characteristic of the chronic inflammation associated with asthma [2–7]), can be elicited in mouse models in the absence of IgE antibodies (8, 12), all antibodies (9–11), or mast cells (14–18). However, it is also true that airway hyperreactivity can be induced in mice by IgE- (13, 19) and mast cell–dependent mechanisms (19).

Can these apparently discordant findings be reconciled? We have hypothesized that mast cells may be critical in asthma because of their ability to amplify inflammation through the release of diverse proinflammatory mediators, and propose that this function may be especially important in settings that otherwise may favor the development or expression of relatively weak immunological responses (7). It is well known that many patients with asthma can express dramatic pathophysiological responses to challenge with low doses of specific antigen (20, 21).
specific” antibody response, or have other effects that may influence features of the asthma model. Therefore, we used genetically mast cell–deficient and congenic normal mice to investigate the role of mast cells in two models of asthma: a conventional model using sensitization with alum, and a model that omitted this adjuvant.

Materials and Methods

Mice. Genetically mast cell–deficient WBB6F1/W/W mice and the congenic normal WBB6F1/+/+ (+/+) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory. Adult W/W mice ordinarily contain <1.0% of the number of dermal mast cells present in the skin of the congenic normal (+/+ +) mice, and have no detectable mature mast cells in the respiratory system or other anatomical sites (22–24). Mice were kept in community cages at light periods of 12 h, and were fed water and mouse chow ad libitum. Age-matched animals were used in all individual experiments, including those comparing groups of W/W mice, congenic +/+ mice, and mast cell–reconstituted W/W mice (see below). All animal care and experimentation was conducted in accord with current National Institutes of Health and Stanford University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines.

Mast Cell Reconstitution. Selective reconstitution of mast cells in mast cell–deficient WBB6F1/W/W mice (The Jackson Laboratory) was carried out by the method described by Martin et al. (19), with slight modification. Suspended bone marrow cells from WBB6F1/+ + normal mice were cultured in WEHI-3–conditioned medium (containing IL-3) for 4–5 wk, at which time the cell populations were composed of >95% immature mast cells, as assessed by staining with toluidine blue. 5 × 10^6 bone marrow–derived cultured mast cells (BM CMCs) were infused via the tail vein into each W/W mouse, and the recipients (BM CMC → W/W mice) were studied at least 28 wk later. Reconstitution of W/W mice with BM CMCs did not repair their anemia (as determined by hemocrit performed shortly before the date of study), confirming the selectivity of the mast cell reconstitution (19, 23, 24). OVA-sensitized, OVA-challenged BMCMCs did not repair the anemia of W/W mice with BM CMCs, whereas W/W mice had 4.2 ± 2.9 mast cells/mm² of peritracheal tissue (versus none or 19.2 ± 3.0 for W/W or +/+ + mice, respectively) and 9.0 ± 2.1 mast cells/mm² of lung tissue (versus none or 0.4 ± 0.1 for W/W or +/+ + mice, respectively).

Immunization and Airway Challenge with Antibodies. Some mice were immunized by intraperitoneal injection of 10 μg OVA (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.1 ml saline on each of seven alternate days 40 d after the beginning of the sensitization period, groups of mice (8–10 mice per group per experiment) received the first of three intranasal challenges, each 3 d apart, of either 20 μl of saline (as a control) or 20 μl of saline containing 2, 20, or 200 μg of OVA. Mice were assessed for airway hyperresponsiveness (AHR) and airway inflammation 24 h after the last intranasal challenge. To induce an apparently mast cell–independent model of asthma, other groups of mice were immunized by intraperitoneal injection of 20 μg of OVA emulsified in 2.25 mg alum (Imject®Alum; Pierce Chemical Co.) in a total volume of 200 μl on days 1 and 14 (15). These mice were challenged by the airways with OVA (1% in saline) for 20 min on days 28, 29, and 30 by ultrasonic nebulization (15), and were assessed 24 h after the last OVA exposure for AHR and airway inflammation.

Measurement of Airway Reactivity. 24 h after the final OVA challenge, bronchial reactivity to aerosolized methacholine was measured using the Buxco whole body plethysmograph (Buxco Electronics) as described by Hamelmann et al. (25). In brief, unrestrained conscious mice were placed in whole body plethysmographic chambers and, after 5 min of stabilization, dose response curves to aerosolized methacholine were generated. Increasing concentrations of methacholine were aerosolized for 3 min each, and mean airway bronchoconstriction readings, as assessed by Enhanced Respiratory Pause (PenH), were obtained over 10-min periods. PenH can be conceptualized as the phase shift of the thoracic flow and the nasal flow curves; increased phase shift correlates with increased respiratory system resistance. PenH is calculated by the formula PenH = (Te/RT − 1) × PEF/PIF, where Te is expiratory time, RT is relaxation time, PEF is peak expiratory flow, and PIF is peak inspiratory flow.

Histology. Mice were killed by CO₂ inhalation, then lungs were inflated with 1 ml of air and removed. The right lung of each mouse was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, whereas the left lung and trachea were fixed in Canoy’s fixative. For analysis of lung eosinophils, formalin–fixed tissues were embedded in paraffin, and 5-μm sections were stained with Congo red (26). Total numbers of eosinophils per lung tissue section and perivascular eosinophils were counted at ×400 magnification, and numbers were expressed per mm². For analysis of lung mast cells, 5-μm sections from Canoy’s or formalin–fixed tissues were stained with Alcian blue/safranin.

Dedication of 5-Bromo-2’-Deoxyuridine: Cells. In some experiments, mice received (+)-5-bromo-2’-deoxyuridine (BrdDU; 100 mg/kg) 1 h before sacrifice (27). BrdDU-labeled cells were detected by standard immunohistochemical procedures using an anti-BrdDU alkaline phosphatase–labeled antibody (diluted to 0.3 U/ml in blocking buffer for 1 h; Boehringer); tissues were developed with Fast Red for 15 min, then counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin for 20 min. BrdDU-labeled cells within the tracheal or bronchiolar epithelium were counted, and numbers were expressed per mm of epithelium.

Measurement of OVA–specific and Total IgE and IgG Antibodies. Sera were obtained 1 d before antigen challenge in mice that had been sensitized with OVA alone or with OVA emulsified in alum (on days 39 or 27 after sensitization, respectively). OVA–specific IgE and IgG1 levels were measured by ELISA according to the method described by Spergel et al. (28). Total serum IgE and IgG1 levels were measured by ELISA (BD Pharmingen).

Statistical Analysis. Differences in responses in various groups of mice were tested for significance by analysis of variance (ANOVA) for AHR, or by the unpaired Student’s t test (two tailed) for all other features of the models. After determining that responses of individual groups of mice of specific genotype and sensitization and challenge protocol did not differ significantly in replicate experiments, the results were pooled for statistical analyses and for presentation in Figs. 1, 2, and 4. Note that, in mice of a given W/W or +/+ + genotype, there were no significant age-related differences in any tested features of the response (AHR, eosinophils or BrdDU-labeled cells numbers, or IgE or IgG1 responses) in the older mice that were used for experiments that included a BM CMC → W/W group, compared with the younger mice that were used in the other experiments.

Results and Discussion
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WBB6F<sub>1</sub>-W/W<sup>v</sup> mice and congenic normal (+/+ ) mice that were sensitized with OVA without adjuvant and then challenged three times intranasally with either saline or 2, 20, or 200 μg of OVA, each 3 d apart. In each of four separate experiments, W/W<sup>v</sup> mice were significantly less responsive to aerosolized methacholine, as assessed by PenH readings, than were the identically treated congenic WBB6F<sub>1</sub>-/+ mice.

Note that the lower sensitivity to methacholine of W/W<sup>v</sup> mice in comparison to the congenic normal mice was detectable even in OVA-sensitized mice that were challenged intranasally with saline rather than antigen (Fig. 1 A). We reported previously that the "baseline" airway responses to intravenous methacholine in anesthetized, tracheotomized naive W/W<sup>v</sup> mice were very similar to those in the congenic +/+ mice (19). In this study, assessment of baseline PenH responses to aerosolized methacholine in nonanesthetized mice indicated that naive W/W<sup>v</sup> and congenic +/+ mice had virtually identical airway responsiveness to methacholine (Fig. 1 B). Moreover, these responses were altered minimally, if at all, in naive mice that had been challenged intranasally with saline three times before methacholine challenge (Fig. 1 B).

Taken together, the results shown in Fig. 1, A and B indicate that in +/+ but not in W/W<sup>v</sup> mice, sensitization with OVA resulted in an enhancement of AHR to methacholine that was detectable ~1 mo after the end of the period of antigen sensitization. Although the explanation for this interesting finding is not yet clear, the underlying mechanisms appear to be at least in part mast cell dependent (see below).

In contrast to the results obtained in mice that were sensitized to OVA without adjuvant and then challenged intranasally, W/W<sup>v</sup> mice that were sensitized with 20 μg of OVA emulsified in alum and then challenged with a ½% OVA solution by aerosol for 20 min on each of three consecutive days were not significantly less responsive to aerosolized methacholine than were the identically treated WBB6F<sub>1</sub>-/+ wild-type mice (Fig. 1 C). These results are thus in accord with those reported by Takada et al. (15), who previously investigated this model of sensitization and challenge in W/W<sup>v</sup> and +/+ mice. Moreover, in contrast to the results we obtained in the other model of sensitization and challenge, the responses to methacholine in W/W<sup>v</sup> or +/+ mice that had been sensitized with OVA in alum and then challenged by aerosol with saline were not significantly different (Fig. 1 C). Presumably, the lack of significant AHR to methacholine in the saline-challenged, OVA-sensitized +/+ mice shown in Fig. 1 C (in contrast to the saline-challenged OVA-sensitized +/+ mice shown in Fig. 1 A) reflects the differences in the details of sensitization and/or the different routes of saline challenge (intranasal versus aerosol) in the two models of OVA sensitization and challenge.
that OVA-sensitized W/W<sup>v</sup> mice that were challenged with saline rather than antigen were substantially less responsive to methacholine than were the identically treated +/+ mice (Fig. 1 D). However, OVA-sensitized, saline-challenged BM CM C→W/W<sup>v</sup> mice exhibited responses to methacholine that were intermediate between, and significantly different from those of either the mast cell-deficient W/W<sup>v</sup> mice or the congenic normal +/+ mice.

It is of interest that the AHR to methacholine observed in OVA-sensitized, OVA-challenged +/+ mice or BM CM C→W/W<sup>v</sup> mice was statistically indistinguishable, whereas the AHR to methacholine detected in OVA-sensitized, saline-challenged BM CM C→W/W<sup>v</sup> mice was significantly less than that observed in the corresponding +/+ mice. There are at least two possible explanations for the latter result: (a) the AHR to methacholine observed in OVA-sensitized, saline-challenged +/+ mice is only partially dependent on mast cells, with the remaining component(s) reflecting mast cell-independent consequences of the c-kit mutations in W/W<sup>v</sup> mice; and (b) the phenomenon is entirely mast cell dependent, but the weaker expression of the response in the BM CM C→W/W<sup>v</sup> mice reflects differences between these mice and the +/+ mice in the numbers, anatomical distribution, phenotype, or function of the adoptively transferred mast cells versus the native mast cell populations of +/+ mice. Given the practical constraints of this model system, distinguishing between these two alternatives may not be easy.

It will be important to elucidate the mechanisms that contribute to persistent AHR after allergen sensitization. As described below (see Fig. 2), few or no eosinophils were detectable in the airways of OVA-sensitized +/+ mice that had been challenged with saline rather than OVA. However, it is possible that the 2-wk period of sensitization by intraperitoneal injection of OVA every other day induced airway inflammation that had resolved (at least as could be assessed by standard histological examination) by the time of saline challenge ~1 mo later, but that this transient inflammation had long-lasting effects on AHR. We have already reported that systemic IgE-dependent activation of mast cells by a single intravenous administration of anti-IgE to naive mice can induce AHR to intravenous challenge with methacholine (19). Perhaps (a) OVA-specific IgE and/or IgG<sub>1</sub> antibodies, present during the latter part of the 2-wk period of OVA sensitization, provided sufficient priming of airway mast cells to permit these cells to release mediators in response to the final injection(s) of OVA at the end of the series of seven injections of antigen, and (b) such repeated, low-level release of mast cell mediators can result in a persistent state of AHR to methacholine. However, it is not immediately apparent what specific mast cell-dependent mechanisms might account for the persistence of the AHR for ~1 mo after the last administration of antigen.

The importance of mast cells as amplifiers of allergen-induced AHR can vary markedly depending on the asthma model studied. Taken together, our data indicate that in an asthma model that omits alum as an adjuvant and em...
ploys intranasal challenge with antigen, mast cells can significantly enhance AHR to methacholine (Fig. 1, A and D). Moreover, this mast cell–dependent effect on the AHR to methacholine can be detected even in OVA-sensitized mice that have been challenged with saline rather than antigen (Fig. 1, A and D). By contrast, in an asthma model that employs alum as an adjuvant during sensitization and uses aerosol challenge with antigen, the slight difference in AHR to methacholine in antigen-challenged W/W^v and +/- mice was not statistically significant (Fig. 1 C), nor did such OVA/alum-sensitized mice exhibit significant differences in their responses to methacholine after saline challenge (Fig. 1 C).

In a report that appeared while this study was in review, Kobayashi et al. (29) found that a mast cell–dependent component of AHR to intravenous acetylcholine chloride could be detected 24 h after the final antigen challenge in mice that had been sensitized with OVA/alum (10 mg OVA absorbed to 1 mg of alum intraperitoneally on days 0 and 5) and challenged 12 and 16 d later with aerosolized OVA (5 mg/ml for 10 min). The differences between the results with OVA/alum-immunized mice in the study by Kobayashi et al. (29) and in our experiments may reflect the differences in the details of OVA sensitization and challenge—we used higher doses of OVA for sensitization (20 mg with 2.25 mg alum intraperitoneally on days 1 and 14), as well as a different protocol for antigen challenge (1% OVA by aerosol for 20 min on days 28, 29, and 30)—and/or other differences in the two studies. For example, Kobayashi et al. (29) measured airway responses to intravenous challenge in anesthetized, artificially ventilated mice, whereas we measured airway responses to aerosol challenge in nonanesthetized, spontaneously breathing mice. Indeed, when Kobayashi et al. (29) tested a stronger protocol of OVA challenge (10 mg/ml for 30 min three times a day on days 12, 14, 16, and 20), they detected no significant differences in the AHR of +/- and W/W^v mice. Nevertheless, the work of Kobayashi et al. (29) and our study are in accord in showing that relatively "weak" protocols of antigen sensitization and challenge can reveal an important contribution of mast cells to antigen-induced AHR to cholinergic stimulation that may be masked under other circumstances.

Mast cells can enhance AHR to allergen-induced increases in numbers of airway eosinophils and BrdU^+ cells. To investigate this phenomenon, we employed an asthma model in which mice were sensitized and challenged with OVA and alum and then assayed for lung eosinophilia and proliferating eosinophils by BrdU incorporation. BrdU incorporation was detected in both the bronchioles and airways of OVA-challenged mice, but not in saline-challenged controls (Fig. 3).

Figure 3. Histological analysis of lung inflammation. (A) Perivascular inflammation, with eosinophils (some eosinophils are indicated by arrows); Congo red stain. Original magnification: ×400. The inset in A (BMCMC→W / W^v) shows a higher-magnification view of the area indicated by the two arrows in order to illustrate eosinophils (granulocytes with red cytoplasm). Original magnification in inset: ×800. (B) BrdU^+ cells (red, some indicated with arrows) within the tracheal epithelium. Immunohistochemistry was developed with Fast Red, with Mayer's hematoxylin counterstain. (C) BrdU^+ cells (red, some indicated with arrows) within the bronchiolar epithelium. (D) Mast cells (Alcian blue–positive cells, some indicated with arrows) in the trachea. Original magnification in B–D: ×200.
whether mast cells also contributed to chronic inflammation of the airways, we examined two features of the response: (a) numbers of recruited eosinophils, and (b) numbers of cells in the affected airways that had incorporated the thymidine analogue BrdU, which is indicative of cell proliferation (26). In accord with the results of Takeda et al. (15), we found that OVA challenge induced the infiltration of roughly equivalent numbers of eosinophils, whether quantified in the entire lung tissue (Fig. 2 A) or in the perivascular regions (Fig. 2 B), in W/Wv or +/- mice that had been sensitized with OVA and alum. Notably, Kobayashi et al. (29) also detected no significant difference in the extent of eosinophil recruitment (as assessed by quantification of eosinophils in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid) in W/Wv or +/- mice that were subjected to protocols of sensitization with OVA and antigen challenge that differed from those used in our study.

By contrast, we found that OVA challenge induced minimal eosinophil infiltration in W/Wv mice that had been sensitized without alum, but the responses in the identically treated +/- mice, especially in the perivascular regions, approached those in the OVA/alum-sensitized animals (Fig. 2, A and B). In mice sensitized to OVA without adjuvant, responses to challenge with 20 μg of OVA were essentially identical in mast cell–reconstituted W/Wv mice and in +/- controls (Fig. 2, A and B; Fig. 3 A).

Many studies have indicated that the airway epithelium in subjects with asthma exhibits injury and repair, and that this process is associated with proliferating epithelial cells (30–32). In our experiments, histological examination indicated that BrdU was incorporated into cells in the tracheal epithelium (Fig. 4). By morphological criteria, the BrdU+ cells in the epithelium appeared to include both epithelial cells and some infiltrating mononuclear leukocytes. Although establishing the specific identity of the various types of BrdU+ cells in this model will require additional studies, it is clear that mast cells are important in regulating the total number of BrdU+ cells in the airway epithelium (Fig. 4). Notably, and in contrast to our findings with AHR to methacholine (Fig. 1) or eosinophil infiltration (Fig. 2), OVA–challenged W/Wv mice exhibited significantly reduced numbers of BrdU+ cells compared with identically-treated +/- mice after OVA sensitization either with or without alum. As with the two other features of asthma that we assessed in this model, in mice sensitized to OVA without adjuvant, numbers of BrdU+ cells after challenge with 20 μg of OVA were statistically indistinguishable in mast cell–reconstituted W/Wv mice and congenic wild-type mice (Fig. 4, A and B).

### Table 1. OVA-specific and Total IgE and IgG1 Levels in the Serum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Sensitization</th>
<th>IgE</th>
<th>IgG1</th>
<th>Total Ig levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W/Wv</td>
<td>Naive</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.18 1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>Naive</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.15 1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W/Wv</td>
<td>OVA/alum</td>
<td>64.8†</td>
<td>0.65†</td>
<td>0.43‡ 35.6‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>OVA/alum</td>
<td>59.4†</td>
<td>0.65†</td>
<td>0.56‡ 64.2‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W/Wv</td>
<td>OVA</td>
<td>80.8†</td>
<td>0.68†</td>
<td>0.54‡ 9.30§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+/+</td>
<td>OVA</td>
<td>65.3†</td>
<td>0.68†</td>
<td>0.47‡ 8.09§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMCMC</td>
<td>OVA</td>
<td>75.8†</td>
<td>0.68†</td>
<td>0.50‡ 8.24‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean serum levels of OVA-specific antibodies and total IgE and IgG1 were determined by ELISA.

*OD readings at 405 nm.

†P < 0.05 (by unpaired Student’s t test) versus values for naive mice of the same genotype.

‡P < 0.05 versus values for OVA/alum-sensitized mice of the same genotype.
W/Wv and +/- Mice Develop Similar IgE and IgG1 Responses to Antigen Sensitization. W/Wv, +/-, and BM C5-H2B/Wv mice developed remarkably similar IgE and IgG1 antibody responses to OVA sensitization (Table I). However, mice sensitized with alum developed slightly lower levels of OVA-specific IgG1, but much higher levels of total IgG1, than did mice sensitized without alum (Table I).

Conclusions. We have found that the extent to which mast cells can contribute to the airway hyperreactivity and chronic inflammation associated with models of allergic asthma in mice is highly dependent on the specific experimental model investigated. We used two different "asthma models" to assess three characteristics of the airway response to allergen challenge in OVA-sensitized mice: airway reactivity to aerosolized methacholine, infiltration of airway tissues with eosinophils, and numbers of BrdU+ cells in the airway epithelium. For each of these features, responses to OVA in antigen-challenged mast cell-deficient W/Wv mice that had been sensitized with OVA in the absence of alum were substantially and significantly lower than those in the corresponding normal mice, and responses in mast cell–reconstituted W/Wv mice were statistically indistinguishable from those in the wild-type animals. Notably, of six prior studies that employed W/Wv and +/- mice to investigate allergen-induced eosinophil recruitment to the airways (14–18), 29) only one detected significantly reduced responses (by ~50%) in W/Wv versus +/- mice (14). In that study, as in ours, the mice had been sensitized without an adjuvant (14).

By contrast, we detected no statistically significant effects of mast cells on either airway reactivity to methacholine or airway eosinophil infiltration in mice that were sensitized to OVA with alum. These findings are thus in accord with those reported by Takeda et al. (15), who investigated the same asthma model. Using a different ("weaker") model of OVA/alum sensitization and OVA challenge, Kobayashi et al. (29) detected a mast cell–dependent contribution to airway hyperreactivity to cholinergic stimulation but not to eosinophil recruitment.

It will be important to identify the specific mechanisms by which mast cells can augment airway hyperreactivity, eosinophil infiltration, and numbers of BrdU+ cells in the airways, and to characterize the specific epithelial and non–epithelial cell populations that are affected. Indeed, the striking increase in BrdU+ cells after allergen challenge represents a previously unrecognized feature of these asthma models in mice, and one which may have a mast cell–dependent component whether the mice have been sensitized to antigen either in the presence or absence of alum. We suspect that multiple mediators and cytokines, and both direct and indirect mechanisms, may link mast cell activation to the specific aspects of the airway responses that we have studied. For example, some "mast cell–dependent" effects on AHR or numbers of BrdU+ cells could be mediated, at least in part, by eosinophils. Nevertheless, our data strongly support the hypothesis that the mast cell can represent an important local amplifier of antigen-dependent chronic inflammation in asthma, and that this cell can contribute significantly to multiple features of this disorder.
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