Lymph Node Germinal Centers Form in the Absence of Follicular Dendritic Cell Networks
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Summary

Follicular dendritic cell networks are said to be pivotal to both the formation of germinal centers (GCs) and their functions in generating antigen-specific antibody affinity maturation and B cell memory. We report that lymphotoxin β-deficient mice form GC cell clusters in the gross anatomical location expected of GCs, despite the complete absence of follicular dendritic cell networks. Furthermore, antigen-specific GC generation was at first relatively normal, but these GCs then rapidly regressed and GC-phase antibody affinity maturation was reduced. Lymphotoxin β-deficient mice also showed substantial B cell memory in their mesenteric lymph nodes. This memory antibody response was of relatively low affinity for antigen at week 4 after challenge, but by week 10 after challenge was comparable to wild-type, indicating that affinity maturation had failed in the GC phase but developed later.
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Follicular dendritic cells (FDCs) are characterized by their location within B cell follicles and their extensive dendritic process networks, which retain antigen–Ig complexes via both complement receptors and Ig Fc receptors (for reviews, see references 1–4). Some antigen retained by FDCs is held within convolutions of the dendritic process network for periods of >1 yr and is thought to play an important role in the maintenance of long-term B cell memory. It is perhaps these same processes that have gained FDCs notoriety as a reservoir of HIV (5–8).

FDCs also give up some of their retained antigen much earlier, during the germinal center (GC) reaction. GCs are highly specialized structures that develop within primary B cell follicles upon antigenic challenge (9, 10). Antigen-specific B cells within GCs undergo somatic hypermutation of their antigen-binding receptor in a process of antibody affinity maturation (9–11). GC B cells are intimately associated with FDC dendritic processes, and antigen provided by FDC networks is thought to be critical for the selection of higher affinity clones within GCs and subsequent memory B cell formation (1–3, 12). FDCs also provide other soluble and contact-dependent signals which promote GC B cell viability, proliferation, and chemotactic responsiveness (4, 13). It has also been asserted that FDCs may be the "nucleating force" for the initial formation of GCs within the specific anatomical location they occupy (4).

Lymphotoxin (LT)β is an immediate member of the TNF family, first identified by virtue of its ability to anchor LTα (TNF-β) to the surface of T cell hybridomas (14, 15). Both LTα and LTβ are now known to be produced by activated B cells, activated T cells, and NK cells (16, 17). Effects of LTβR engagement include integrin upregulation (18), cytotoxicity (19, 20), and induction of chemokine production (21). Most notably, lta−/− mice, lt−/− mice, and lta−lrb−/− mice lack peripheral LNs and Peyer’s patches, and have a disorganized splenic architecture with almost complete loss of GCs and FDC networks (22–29). Having said this, most lta−/− mice still have mesenteric (MLNs) (26, 27, 30). Furthermore, the MLNs still form GC-like cell clusters in rudimentary B cell follicles despite the apparent lack of FDC networks (26, 30). Similar observations have been made in the spleen of lta−/− mice and lrb−/− mice (27–29), although these studies also found residual FDC-like cells. Although not yet proven, the latter may be FDC precursors or immature FDCs but equally so might represent GC dendritic cells (GCDCs), which are distinct from FDCs and have so far been described in human tonsils (31) but not in mice. Therefore, lta−/− mouse MLNs appeared to present a unique model of in vivo GC reaction in the absence of FDC networks. Although other studies have suggested that GCs can form in the absence of antigen trapping on FDCs (32), an FDC-less mouse model was unprecedented and would further studies of GC reaction. Lta−/− mice might also facilitate the identification and evaluation of GCDCs. Finally, knowledge
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of the consequences of a lack of FDC networks will also be essential in assessing the potential utility of causing FDC regression. That is, it was recently reported that administration of soluble LTβR to adult mice results in temporary regression of FDC networks (33). Thus, there is now the prospect of being able to eliminate FDC networks in circumstances in which this might be considered likely to yield therapeutic benefit. For example, loss of FDC networks during triple drug therapy might eliminate this important HIV reservoir.

With all of this in mind, this study reports the dynamics of GC reaction and B cell memory formation in LTβR−/− mice. Initiation of antigen-specific GCs in the MLNs of LTβR−/− mice was relatively normal, but GC B cell numbers subsequently fell dramatically. GC B cells were clustered in the anatomical locations expected of GCs indicating that this process is not critically dependent on FDC networks. LTβR−/− mice also generated substantial B cell memory despite defective GC processes, albeit of relatively low affinity. Some antigen-specific antibody affinity maturation did occur and was even more apparent in the memory response, suggesting that somatic hypermutation had occurred. This is in agreement with other studies where somatic hypermutation was evident, even in the complete absence of GCs (24, 34).

### Materials and Methods

**Reagents.** The protein G–Sepharose column–purified antibodies anti-μ heavy chain (LS136) and FDC-M2 were provided by Garnett Kelsoe (Duke University, Durham, NC) and Marie Kosco-Vilbois (Serono Pharmaceutical Research Institute, Geneva, Switzerland), respectively. Both were conjugated using a biotin coupling reagent (Boehringer Mannheim). Rat anti-mouse IgD and anti-mouse CD11b (Mac-1) from PharMingen were also coupled as above but with digoxigenin (DIG) rather than biotin, also from Boehringer Mannheim.

Chicken γ-globulin (CG; Sigma) was conjugated with (4-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl)acetyl (NP) succinimide ester (Calbiochem) in 0.1 M sodium borate, pH 9.2, to a NP/C molar ratio of 13:1 (NP13CG) and then dialyzed against PBS. PE (Molecular Probes) was haptenated in the same fashion but in the dark at a molar ratio of 20:1 and purified on a Sephadex G-25 column (Boehringer Mannheim). Rat anti-mouse IgD and anti-mouse CD11b (Mac-1) from PharMingen were also coupled as above but with digoxigenin (DIG) rather than biotin, also from Boehringer Mannheim.

MLN Cell Preparation. MLNs were harvested into 0.5 ml cold digest buffer in a 4-well plate (N unc) on ice. Digest buffer was Bruff’s medium with 5% FCS, 0.1 mg/ml collagenase type IV (Sigma), and 0.1 mg/ml deoxyribonuclease type I (Sigma). Bruff’s medium is Click’s medium (Irving Scientific) supplemented with 40 mM L-glutamine, 60 μM 2-ME, 0.7 mM sodium bicarbonate, and 58 mg/l gentamycin. The capsule of each MLN was torn and teased open with 27-gauge needles before incubating plates at 37°C for 30 min. Capsules were then further disrupted to release MLN cells by pipetting. The cell suspension was made up to 10 ml with Bruff’s 5% FCS, filtered through 0.1-μm nylon mesh (Small Parts, Inc.), and centrifuged at 800 revolutions/min in a bench-top centrifuge at 4°C for 5 min. Finally, cells were resuspended in 2 ml Bruff’s 5% FCS for fluorometry or antigen-specific antibody determination as described below.

**Fluorometry.** MLN cells were prepared as described above, and aliquots of 10⁶ cells were resuspended into 0.2 ml PBS/1% FCS supplemented with 5 μg/ml FcBlock (PharMingen). Samples were left on ice for 30 min before primary antibodies were added, and were then left on ice in the dark for an additional 1 h. Samples were washed by being made up to 1.2 ml with PBS/1% FCS before centrifugation at 800 revolutions/min at 4°C for 5 min. Secondary antibody incubation and rewashing were done as above. Four-color fluorometry used a FACSCalibur® with argon and helium-neon lasers (Beckton Dickinson). Data from 2.5 × 10⁶ events were analyzed with CellQuest software by first gating on lymphocytes/lymphoblasts, based on forward and side scatter. PharMingen antibodies used included anti-CD-4–allophycocyanin (APC; LS3T 4, RM 4-5), anti-CD8–APC (53-67), anti-CD45–FITC (53-21), GL7-FITC, anti-CD45R–FITC, anti-CD24–biotin (M1/69), and anti-CD45R–biotin. Peanut agglutinin (PNA)-biotin, streptavidin–Cy-Chrome, and anti-digoxin–Cy5 were from Vector, PharMingen, and Jackson Laboratories, respectively. Other reagents used were anti-μ heavy chain and anti-IgD–DIG, and anti-CD11b–DIG, described in Reagents above.

**Histology.** MLNs were frozen in Tissue-Tek OCT compound (VWR Scientific) using a dry-ice/methylbutane bath and stored at −70°C until cutting. Sections of 5–μm thickness were cut onto slanized glass slides, fixed in cold acetone for 10 min, air-dried, and then stored at −70°C until use. For staining, sections were thawed for 30 min and then rehydrated in PBS for 20 min. Endogenous peroxidase was quenched with 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 5 min. Sections were washed in PBS for 10 min and then preblocked with PBS/3% BSA/0.1% Tween 20 for 30 min in a humidified chamber. Staining for IgD was with rat anti-IgD (Southern Biotechnology Associates) and then horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat anti-rat IgG (Southern Biotechnology Associates). The presence of FDCs was assayed with biotin-conjugated FDC-M2 (see R eagents). Other biotin-conjugated antibodies were anti-CD23 and anti-CD24, both from PharMingen. All biotin conjugates used a secondary step of alkaline phosphatase–conjugated streptavidin (Zymed). Incubations were in a humidified chamber for 1 h. Washes between steps were with PBS/0.1% Tween 20. Substrates for horseradish peroxidase and alkaline phosphatase were diaminobenzidine (brown) and NBT/BCIP (purple-blue), respectively (Zymed). Counter-staining was with nuclear fast red (Zymed).
cells were prepared at $10^7$ cells per ml of Bruff's/5% FCS as described above. MLN cell aliquots of 0.1 ml were then plated in wells and cultured at 37°C for 5 h alongside a twofold serial dilution of serum from a standard hyperimmunized wild-type mouse (see above; serum dilution was with PBS/1% FCS). Subsequent washes were with PBS/0.05% Tween 20. Ig detection used isotype-specific alkaline phosphatase–conjugated reagents and pNPP substrate as described (Southern Biotechnology Associates). OD$_{405}$ was determined with a microplate reader (model 550; BioRad).

Typically, a 1:12,800 dilution of hyperimmunized wild-type mouse serum gave an OD$_{405}$ of $2.7$ and $1.9$ above background for IgG1 detected with NP$_2$BSA and NP$_{15}$BSA, respectively. The lower limit of detection was typically at a serum dilution of 1:1,638,400, giving an OD$_{405}$ of $0.05$–$0.10$ above background. The anti-NP–specific antibody titer of samples was expressed as relative units, representing the reciprocal of the standard serum dilution giving the same OD$_{405}$ as the sample. Calculations were by four-parameter analysis using Microplate Manager III software (BioRad).

Serum Antigen-specific Antibody Determination. Blood was harvested from mice for serum by cardiac puncture at the time of culling. Maxisorp plates were coated with NP$_2$BSA or NP$_{15}$BSA, blocked, and washed as described above. Serum aliquots of 0.1 ml (1:10$^5$ in PBS/1% FCS) were applied to wells for 1 h alongside a twofold serial dilution of serum from a hyperimmunized wild-type mouse (see above; serum dilution was with PBS/1% FCS). Antigen-specific Ig determination then proceeded as described in the previous section.

Results

Ltβ$^{-/-}$ Mice Form GC B Cell Clusters but Lack FDCs.

We showed previously that Ltβ$^{-/-}$ mice form PNA-binding, IgD$^+$B220$^+$ cell clusters in B cell areas of their MLNs (26, 30), characteristic of GCs (37). Although IgD$^+$ B cells were also found to infiltrate T cell areas, the GC-like B cell clusters were within IgD$^+$ B cell follicles at the periphery of MLNs, as in wild-type mouse MLNs (26, 30). These observations were extended here by staining for CD24. Primary follicle B cells are CD24$^+$, but GC B cells are CD24hi (38–40; Fig. 1 A). This also appeared to be true of Ltβ$^{-/-}$ GC B cell clusters (Fig. 1 B). Again, the Ltβ$^{-/-}$ GC B cells were clustered within the location expected of wild-type GCs.

Immunohistology with anti–complement receptor 1 (CR-1) and FDC-M1 suggested that Ltβ$^{-/-}$ mice lack FDCs (26). We subsequently showed that although wild-type mouse MLNs have very large amounts of IgM immune complex
on FDCs. Ltβ−/− mouse MLNs did not have any such deposits (30). However, despite all of the above, it is possible that Ig Fc receptor-bearing (FcR+) FDCs (3, 4, 9) constitute a distinct subset of FDCs which are negative for both FDC-M1 and CR-1. It is also conceivable that antigen-Ig complexes retained on such FcR+ FDCs may support GC reaction in the absence of CR-1+ FDCs. In potential support of this, mice deficient in CR-1 still possess GCs (41, 42), albeit somewhat smaller than wild-type.

Therefore, an evaluation was made as to whether or not Ltβ−/− mice possess FcR+ FDC networks by staining for CD23 (43). This revealed that Ltβ−/− mice completely lack FcR+ FDC networks (Fig. 1 D), and that the Ltβ−/− GC B cell clusters were CD23− compared with the surrounding follicle B cells, as expected of wild-type GC B cells (9; Fig. 1 C). FDC networks clearly stained very strongly for CD23 in wild-type mouse MLNs (Fig. 1 C), and were observed in every B cell follicle regardless of whether or not there was an ongoing GC reaction. Some discrete CD23lo cells were seen in the Ltβ−/− mouse MLN CD23− IgD+ GC cell clusters (Fig. 1 D). These cells most likely represent GC B cells that have not yet fully downregulated CD23, but it is conceivable that they represent immature FDCs or the mouse equivalent of GCDCs (31).

Finally, the lack of staining by FDC-M2 further emphasized the complete absence of FDC networks in the MLNs of Ltβ−/− mice (Fig. 1 F). Although FDC-M2 has not been fully characterized, it is a useful marker of FDC networks (Fig. 1 E). Like CD23, FDC-M2 staining was observed in every wild-type mouse MLN B cell follicle regardless of whether or not there was an ongoing GC reaction (data not shown). As with CD23, a low level of FDC-M2 staining may well mark immature FDCs or another cell type such as the mouse equivalent of GCDCs (31). Neither immature FDCs nor mouse GCDCs have yet been defined.

Ltβ−/− Mice Show Increased GC B Cells upon Intraperitoneal Challenge. Four-color fluorocytometry was adopted in order to study further the GC reaction in Ltβ−/− mouse MLNs (see Materials and Methods). Most non-GC B cells were excluded as "lineage"-positive (Lin+) cells, using a combination of antibodies against CD4, CD8α, CD90.2, IgD, and CD11b (Fig. 2). Markers to discriminate GC B cells included PNA binding (37, 38), anti-CD24 (38–40), and GL7 (44). PNA binding and CD24 are increased on GC B cells, whereas GL7 recognizes an activation antigen found on GC B cells but not naive or memory B cells. Fluorocytometry of MLNs is simple compared with spleen due to the relative lack of immature B cells, granuloid cells, and erythroid cells. After excluding nonlymphoid particles of low forward scatter (data not shown), most Lin+ cells in MLNs are PNA+CD24hi (data not shown). This is demonstrated in Fig. 2 with MLNs 12 d after intraperitoneal challenge with CG adsorbed to alum.

As expected, both T cell−/− mice and cd40l−/− mice fail to generate GC B cells (Fig. 2), most clearly demonstrated with GL7. Both wild-type mice and Ltβ−/− mice revealed substantial levels of Lin−GL7+ cells in their MLNs, although Ltβ−/− mice consistently had lower levels than wild-type mice (see also below). Lin−GL7+ cells represented about half of all Lin−PNA+CD24hi cells (Fig. 2) and were CD45R+ (data not shown; see below). Lin− cells include non-GC B cells such as antibody-secreting cells and memory B cells, which would be GL7−.

The levels of Lin−GL7+ GC B cells at day 12 after challenge (Fig. 2) were much higher than those in unchallenged mice, and were similar to those observed in the spleen with the same antigenic challenge (45). Without intraperitoneal challenge, the levels of Lin−GL7+ cells were 0.3–0.7% of total MLN cells in both wild-type mice and Ltβ−/− mice (data not shown; see below), consistent with levels in the spleen of unchallenged wild-type mice (45). Thus, intraperitoneal challenge in alum is an effective means of inducing GC B cell generation in the MLNs of both wild-type mice and Ltβ−/− mice.

Ltβ−/− Mice Generate Antigen-specific GC B Cells. The hapten NP has been used extensively in GC studies (40, 45–48). The primary response to NP is dominated by antibodies bearing a Χ1 light chain, recognized by the antibodyotype antigen LsL36 (39, 49–52). NP-specific antibody-bearing cells can also be followed by their capacity to bind NP-conjugated PE (NPPE). NP also provides a means for evaluating anti-NP-specific antibody affinity maturation (described below).
Mice were challenged intraperitoneally with either CG or NP-haptenated CG (NP13CG), and MLNs were examined for NP-specific response 8 d later. Both wild-type mice and Ltβ−/− mice showed massive numbers of λ1+ NP20PE-binding MLN cells in response to NP13CG (Fig. 3). The percentage of CD45R+ cells that were λ1+ NP20PE-binding were 66.1 ± 18.2 and 76.6 ± 17.2 for wild-type mouse MLNs and Ltβ−/− mouse MLNs, respectively (n = 6 each), compared with <0.1% in unchallenged mice. As expected (46), CG itself did not elicit any significant levels of λ1+ NP20PE-binding cells (data not shown). Also, T cell–less mice and cd40l−/− mice did not show significant levels of λ1+ NP20PE-binding cells (Fig. 3).

NP-specific GC B cells were also evident in MLNs from both wild-type mice and Ltβ−/− mice at day 8 after challenge (Fig. 4), defined as the Lin−GL7+ subset (see Fig. 2). Both the fraction of Lin−/GL7− GC B cells that were NP20PE-binding and the intensity of NP20PE-binding appeared to be relatively normal (Fig. 4). The response of wild-type mice and Ltβ−/− mice was then followed from day 8 to day 24 after challenge (Fig. 5). As early as day 12 after challenge, Lin−/GL7− mouse MLN λ1+ GC B cells were greatly reduced compared with wild-type, and even fewer were NP20PE-binding (Fig. 5). Having said this, the rate of decline of Lin−/GL7− GC B cells was not sustained at day 16 after challenge. Instead, Lin−/GL7+λ1+ Ltβ−/− GC B cell levels appeared to plateau such that they were comparable to wild-type at day 20 after challenge before then falling again by day 24 (Fig. 5). This pattern was reflected among Lin−/GL7+λ1+ non-GC cells.

Ltβ−/− mice generate antigen-specific memory B cells. Both Ltβ−/− mice and wild-type mice showed anti-NP antibody secretion among MLN cells at day 6 after challenge with 50 μg NP13CG adsorbed to alum (Fig. 6). IgA and IgG2a were not detected at all (data not shown). Clearly, IgG1 secretion by Ltβ−/− mouse MLN cells (average relative units = 0.91) was much lower than wild-type (average = 6.03), perhaps indicating a lack of T cell and/or dendritic cell help. Nonetheless, this level of IgG1 secretion by Ltβ−/− mouse MLN was ~20-fold higher than the lower limit of detection. Also, a primary challenge with 0.2 mg NP13CG in PBS alone did not result in significant anti-NP antibody secretion by either wild-type or Ltβ−/− mouse MLN cells at day 6 after challenge (data not shown). Thus, the response to NP13CG in PBS was a good indicator of memory generated as a result of primary challenge with NP13CG adsorbed to alum (see below).

To determine whether or not humoral memory was generated, mice were challenged as before and then rechallenged at various times with either 0.2 mg NP13CG in PBS without alum or PBS alone. 6 d later, anti-NP antibody was determined among MLN cells and in serum. Both the level of anti-NP antibody and the relative affinity for NP were determined by ELISA using two different BSA substrates. Total and relatively high affinity anti-NP antibody were determined with densely (NP15BSA) and sparsely (NP2BSA) haptenated BSA, respectively. As GC reaction proceeds, a greater proportion of anti-NP antibody becomes detectable with NP2BSA. The principal of this approach has been used in several other studies (24, 26, 34), and was recently validated with mAbs of various affinity for NP (45).

When given a secondary challenge and harvested at week 4, Ltβ−/− mouse MLNs appeared to secrete more anti-NP antibody than wild-type mouse MLNs (Fig. 7), implying that Ltβ−/− mice had generated greater humoral memory than wild-type mice. This pattern was not so apparent in serum (Fig. 7). Nonetheless, Ltβ−/− mice clearly showed memory even in their serum. The substantial memory response was still evident among Ltβ−/− mouse MLNs at week 10 after challenge but was less evident in serum (Fig. 7).

Both wild-type mouse MLNs and Ltβ−/− mouse MLNs showed affinity maturation in the post-GC phase between weeks 4 and 10 after challenge (Fig. 7). Thus, the relatively low NP2/NP15 ratio in Ltβ−/− mouse MLNs at week 4 after challenge was almost normal at week 10. A gain, this observation in MLNs was not obvious in serum (Fig. 7). At both weeks 4 and 10 after challenge, Ltβ−/− mice showed a lower NP2/NP15 ratio in their serum than wild-type mice, indicating a defect in GC affinity maturation processes.

**Figure 3.** Ltβ−/− mice show antigen-specific B cell expansion in their MLNs. MLNs were harvested at day 8 after challenge with 50 μg NP13CG adsorbed to alum, and cells were examined for NP2PE-binding and surface λ1 antibody chain. WT, wild-type.

**Figure 4.** Ltβ−/− mice generate antigen-specific GC B cells. Plots show NP20PE-binding and surface λ1 antibody chain among Lin−GL7+ GC B cells from wild-type mouse MLNs (top) and Ltβ−/− mouse MLNs (bottom) at day 8 after challenge with 50 μg NP13CG adsorbed to alum.
Discussion

Numerous lines of evidence have now shown that Ltβ⁻/⁻ mice do not have FDC networks (26, 27, 30, and this study). In addition, Mackay and Browning (33) have very recently shown that administration of soluble LTβR to adult mice causes regression of FDC networks and dissipation of the antigen they were retaining. Clearly however, Ltβ⁻/⁻ mice might still have FDC precursors. Indeed, irradiated adult Ltα⁻/⁻ mice generate mature FDC networks of Ltα⁻/⁻ origin upon reconstitution with wild-type bone marrow (53), and equivalent results have been obtained with Ltβ⁻/⁻ mice (data not shown). The location of FDC precursors in Ltβ⁻/⁻ mice is at present unknown, and it is conceivable that they will be found within B cell follicles and GCs even though they fail to develop into mature FDC networks. Others have shown that Ltβ⁻/⁻ mice and Ltβ⁺/⁺ mice do have small numbers of discrete FDC-M2+ cells in the spleen (27, 28), leading to the suggestion that these cells may represent FDC precursors or immature FDCs. However, there is no evidence that FDC-M2 is completely specific to FDCs, although it is a useful marker of FDC networks. Unlike FDC-M2, FDC-M1 has been well characterized and is considered to be relatively FDC specific, but even this marker also stains tingible body macrophages (which are found in GCs) and some endothelial cells (54).

The origin of FDCs is somewhat controversial (for a review, see reference 55) and will undoubtedly be further complicated by the fact that mice may also prove to have GCDCs (31), which might be the FDC-M2+ cells seen by others. Regardless of whether or not Ltβ⁻/⁻ mice have FDC precursors, the roles FDCs are said to fulfill largely rely on the extensive dendritic processes of FDC networks. Clearly, these structures are absent.

The absence of FDC networks presumably has indirect as well as direct effects on GC reactions. For example, this study has not considered GC T cells in Ltβ⁻/⁻ mice. Other defects clearly exist in Ltβ⁻/⁻ mouse MLNs, such as the B cell infiltration of the T cell areas and reduced primary humoral response. What this study has attempted to do is highlight the processes that occur despite the defects. Most notably, Ltβ⁺/⁺ mice generate antigen-specific GC B cells and class-switched memory B cell responses. Having said this, antigen-specific Ltβ⁻/⁻ GC B cells decline rapidly at times when wild-type GC B cell numbers are still relatively high. GCs normally have a life span of a few weeks (10, 56). It has been argued that the regression of GCs begins at a time when FDCs begin to bury their retained antigen within membrane pockets, thereby ceasing to present antigen to GC B cells (56). The decline of Ltβ⁻/⁻ GC B cells in the absence of FDC networks may be a premature execution of this process. On the other hand, Ltβ⁻/⁻ GC B cell numbers did not decline further between days 12 and 16 after challenge but instead appeared to plateau until they finally decreased further between days 20 and 24. It is conceivable that the Lin-Gt7⁺ G1⁺ cell numbers were maintained by further generation of such cells from centroblasts.

Anti-NP memory and relative affinity maturation were assessed at various times. The humoral memory response in Ltβ⁻/⁻ mouse MLNs was as great if not greater than that seen in wild-type mouse MLNs (Fig. 7). Of course, this
Figure 7. Ltβ<sup>-/-</sup> mice generate humoral memory and show post-GC affinity maturation. Mice were harvested at both week 4 and week 10 after challenge with 50 μg NP<sub>13</sub>C<sub>G</sub> adsorbed to alum. Anti-NP antibody levels were followed in MLNs (top two rows) and serum (bottom two rows) using five to seven wild-type mice (circles) and Ltβ<sup>-/-</sup> mice (triangles) per group. Some groups were given a secondary challenge of 0.2 mg NP<sub>15</sub>C<sub>G</sub> in PBS alone 6 d before culling (filled symbols), while others were given just PBS (open symbols). Data are shown for both NP<sub>2</sub>BSA and NP<sub>15</sub>BSA as detection substrate (8 of 32 Ltβ<sup>-/-</sup> mice used for this study did not appear to have MLNs). Anti-NP IgG1 relative units (×10<sup>-2</sup>) represent the reciprocal of the standard serum dilution giving the same OD<sub>405</sub> as the sample. Note that the MLN anti-NP IgG1 assays are not directly comparable with the serum assays. Also shown are the resultant NP<sub>2</sub>/NP<sub>15</sub> ratios of the memory responses (filled symbols), which are a reflection of relative anti-NP affinity. Numbers alongside various data points indicate overlapping mice, and bars represent averages.
may not be a direct reflection of the actual frequency of NP-specific memory B cells. The relatively low level of NP-specific non-GC B cells (Lin- α1+ PNPPE-binding) in \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mouse MLNs late in the GC phase (Fig. 5) suggests that \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mouse MLNs produce significantly fewer memory B cells than wild-type mouse MLNs.

The degree of affinity maturation observed in serum here was similar to that previously reported for \(lt\alpha^{−/−}\) mice, Lyn kinase (lyn)−/− mice, and \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice (24, 26, 34). Affinity maturation requires somatic hypermutation and subsequent selection of higher affinity clones, suggesting that specific activated B cells had entered into a “GC B cell program” despite the complete absence of GCs in \(lt\alpha^{−/−}\) mice and lyn−/− mice. Indeed, somatic hypermutation is evident in \(lt\alpha^{−/−}\) mice and lyn−/− mice (24, 34), and there is no reason to believe that this is not occurring in \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice. Certainly, somatic hypermutation is normally evident as early as day 7 after challenge (40, 45, 48, 57, 58) and, unlike \(lt\alpha^{−/−}\) mice (24) and lyn−/− mice (34), \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice generate appreciable levels of antigen-specific GC B cells upon challenge (this study).

Where and how are high-affinity mutants selected in the absence of GCs? Takahashi et al. (45) recently described evidence in support of a phenomenon best described as “post-GC intraclonal competition.” The average affinity of anti-NP antibody from bone marrow antibody-secreting cells continued to increased long after the GC reaction had waned (45). Although clonal selection occurs independently in each GC and low-affinity B cells can survive the selection process within GCs if high-affinity competitors are absent (45), post-GC affinity-driven selection processes effectively constitute “inter-GC selection.” This concept is supported by the study here, where the relative affinity of anti-NP antibody at week 10 after challenge was about twofold higher than that at week 4 after challenge (Fig. 7), in the MLNs of wild-type mice and \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice.

Thus, mutants with higher affinity for the antigen are generated and selected even in \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice, but the highest possible affinity for antigen is not achieved in \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice (Fig. 7), presumably because further rounds of somatic mutation cannot occur in the post-GC phase. Hence, despite post-GC intraclonal competition, the benefit of the GCs is as an environment in which repeated rounds of somatic mutation and selection can occur rapidly in order to achieve the highest possible affinity for antigen. Indeed, although substantial somatic hypermutation was observed in \(lt\alpha^{−/−}\) mice, the degree of mutation among V186.2 genes was only about half that seen in wild-type mice (24).

It should also be borne in mind that the apparent degree of affinity maturation by post-GC intraclonal competition will vary substantially depending on the nature of the antigen. In some experimental instances, random mutations lead to higher affinity clones at a relatively high frequency (59). The NP hapten used here and by others (24, 34, 45) would appear to be another such example, since a frequently occurring single point mutation in the V186.2 gene (24, 60) is associated with greatly increased affinity for NP (61). Thus, the studies here showing defective NP-specific affinity maturation in \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice can only be interpreted to suggest that affinity maturation within GCs has failed in these mice.

R ecirculating memory B cells migrate between secondary lymphoid organ follicles where they can respond to antigen held on FDC networks (62, 63). Marginal zone memory B cells are said to be long-lived and non-recirculating (64–66), and it is difficult to conceive of how their maintenance could be dependent on antigen retained by FDC networks. As the name suggests, marginal zone memory B cells have been best characterized in the marginal zone of the spleen, where they are seen to appear both immediately before and during GC reactions (63–65). Equivalent areas are located on the inner wall of the subcapsular sinus of LNs, and may be substantial in M LNs (65). Further studies will be necessary to characterize the B cell memory in \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice, including how the nature and dose of antigen might affect memory maintenance besides memory generation per se.

In conclusion, this study has considered GC reactions in the MLNs of \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice and found that both GC and B cell memory are formed despite the complete absence of FDC networks. However, antigen-specific antibody affinity maturation is defective. This study of the MLNs of \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice serves as a model for the consequences of administration of soluble LTβR with respect to LNs. The spleen of \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice was not studied here because it is more disorganized than the MLNs (26, 27, 30). The fact that anti-NP memory at week 10 was much less apparent in serum than in MLNs (Fig. 7) may well be a reflection of the fact that serum antibody levels are dominated by the spleen and spleen-derived bone marrow antibody-secreting cells. Having said this, even the spleen of \(lt\beta^{−/−}\) mice generates some PNA-binding GC-like B cells (27).
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