Maturation, Activation, and Protection of Dendritic Cells Induced by Double-stranded RNA
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Summary

The initiation of an immune response is critically dependent on the activation of dendritic cells (DCs). This process is triggered by surface receptors specific for inflammatory cytokines or for conserved patterns characteristic of infectious agents. Here we show that human DCs are activated by influenza virus infection and by double-stranded (ds)RNA. This activation results not only in increased antigen presentation and T cell stimulatory capacity, but also in resistance to the cytopathic effect of the virus, mediated by the production of type I interferon, and upregulation of MxA. Because dsRNA stimulates both maturation and resistance, DCs can serve as altruistic antigen-presenting cells capable of sustaining viral antigen production while acquiring the capacity to trigger naive T cells and drive polarized T helper cell type 1 responses.
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Dendritic cells (DCs) serve as the sentinels of the immune system (1, 2). In their so-called immature state, DCs reside in peripheral tissues, where they survey for incoming pathogens. Encounter with pathogens leads to DC activation and migration to secondary lymphoid organs where they trigger a specific T cell response. The capacity of DCs to recognize invading microbes and become activated therefore represents the first critical event in the initiation of the immune response. Because of the wide variety of pathogens, DCs should be equipped with receptors capable of recognizing all possible offending agents and should be able to react in such a way that they maximize the efficiency of antigen presentation.

Immature DCs, similar to those found in peripheral tissues, can be generated by culturing human monocytes with GM-CSF and IL-4 and have been used to identify the activation signals that induce DC maturation (3, 4). These cells, which have a high level of endocytic activity but low T cell stimulatory capacity, are activated to mature into immunostimulatory DCs by the inflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-1, by LPS and by CD40 ligand (CD40L). The maturation process results in upregulation of adhesion and co-stimulatory molecules and downregulation of the endocytic activity and provides an optimal window for loading exogenous antigens on MHC class II molecules (5, 6).

Influenza virus infection has been extensively used as a model to study MHC class I–restricted antigen presentation (7). Although the mechanisms and rules that direct loading of viral peptides on MHC class I molecules have been extensively clarified (8–10), it is less well understood how APCs can handle a cytopathic virus. DCs have been shown to be much more efficient than macrophages in generating CTL responses after infection with influenza virus (11–13) and to be able to present on class I molecules viral antigens taken up from infected apoptotic cells (14). In addition, it has been suggested that infection of DCs with influenza virus can overcome the requirements for T cell help in the stimulation of a CTL response (15), although the mechanism has not been clarified. A relevant question to ask is how immature DCs in peripheral sites can deal with infection by a cytopathic virus. If DCs have to sustain viral antigen presentation, they must be able to promptly recognize viral infection and compromise between production of viral antigens and resistance to the cytopathic effect of the virus.

We report here that human immature DCs are activated by influenza virus and by double-stranded (ds)RNA. In DCs, in contrast to other cells, this stimulus leads to increased protein synthesis and upregulation of MHC, adhesion, and co-stimulatory molecules. It also results in a rapid production of type I IFN leading to expression of MxA, a protein that protects the DCs from the lethal effect of the virus. By linking viral recognition to activation and resistance, DCs serve as altruistic APCs capable of sustaining
production of viral antigens while acquiring the capacity to trigger a polarized Th1 response.

Materials and Methods

Generation and Stimulation of DCs. To generate immature DCs, peripheral blood monocytes purified by centrifugal elutriation were cultured in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% FCS (HyClone), 50 ng/ml GM-CSF, and 1,000 U/ml IL-4 for 6–7 d, as previously reported (3, 4). Immature DCs were challenged with LPS (1 μg/ml, from Salmonella abortus equi; Sigma Chemical Co.), recombinant TNF-α (50 ng/ml; R&D Systems), poly I:C (20 μg/ml, Sigma Chemical Co.), and IFN-α (50–500 U/ml; Röferon-A, Roche), or infected with influenza virus strain PR8 (allantoic fluid containing 750 HAU/ml and 4 × 10^8 PFU/ml). Two neutralizing sheep antisera to human type I IFN were used: Iivari (450,000 neutralizing U/ml anti–IFN-α + 3,000 U/ml anti–IFN-β) and Kaaleppi (30,000 U/ml anti–IFN-α + 30,000 U/ml anti–IFN-β) (16).

Surface and Intraacellular Staining. DC maturation was evaluated by staining the cells with antibodies to HLA class I (W6/32; American Type Culture Collection [ATCC]), DR (L243; ATCC), CD86 (2331; PharMingen), CD83 (B15A; Immunotech), CD11b (3-4A4; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and CD38 (OKT10; ATCC), followed by FITC-labeled secondary antibodies. For intracellular staining, the cells were fixed for 30 min with 2% paraformaldehyde, and permeabilized for 30 min with PBS containing 0.5% saponin, 5% FCS, and 10 mM Hapes. The cells were stained with rabbit anti-MxA antibody (17) and biotinylated rat anti–PR8 HA antibody (30,000 U/ml; Roche), or infected with influenza virus strain PR8. After irradiation (1,500 rad), a graded number of cells were cultured with allogeneic T cells and the proliferative response was measured on day 5 by [3H]thymidine incorporation (Amersham). Naïve T cells were either derived from cord blood or from adult donors lymphocytes, negatively depleted of CD8, CD14, CD20, HLA class II, CD45 RO− cells. The resulting population was 99% composed of CD4+CD45RA+ cells. Cells were stimulated with differently matured DCs at a responder/stimulator ratio of 10:1, in the absence or presence of neutralizing anti–IL-12 antibodies (19).

Results

Susceptibility of DCs to Influenza Virus Infection Correlates with Expression of MxA. Immature DCs can be generated by culturing peripheral blood monocytes with GM-CSF and IL-4 (3, 4). These cells are competent in antigen capture and mature when stimulated by LPS, TNF-α, or CD40L, losing their antigen-capturing capacity and acquiring T cell stimulatory capacity. Immature and mature DCs, obtained after LPS or TNF-α stimulation, were incubated with different doses of influenza virus strain PR8, and the proportion of infected cells was measured after 14 h by staining with a specific mAb. As shown in Fig. 1, there was a dose-dependent increase in the percentage of cells expressing viral proteins as well as in the level of viral proteins expressed by individual cells. Immature and TNF-α–matured DCs were highly susceptible to influenza virus infection; at high multiplicity of infection (MOI), all cells expressed high levels of viral protein, whereas at low multiplicity viral proteins were expressed in a bimodal distribution. In contrast, LPS-matured DCs were more, and in some experiments completely, resistant to infection; at high MOI they completely lost endocytic activity. TNF-α–matured DCs, which had completely lost endocytic activity, were equally, if not more, susceptible to infection than immature DCs. Conversely, IFN-α–treated DCs, which were as endocytic as immature DCs (data not shown), were completely resistant.

In search for a mechanism that might modulate the susceptibility of DCs to influenza virus infection, we investi-
gated the expression of MxA, a protein induced by type I IFN, that is known to mediate resistance to several viruses including influenza (22, 23). MxA was initially identified by two-dimensional gel analysis as a protein abundantly represented in LPS-matured but not immature DCs (Sakakibara, Y., unpublished data).

Fig. 2 shows the level of MxA expression in relation to the level of production of viral HA (A–G) and to the viability of the infected cells (H–P). Immature DCs did not express MxA, but became MxA⁺ after infection with PR8, explaining their capacity to resist the cytopathic effect of the virus. At low MOI (1:1), immature DCs could be efficiently infected (61%) with no increase in cell death over the background, whereas at higher MOI they were infected more efficiently and produced higher protein levels, but showed progressively lower viability. In contrast, LPS-matured DCs, which already expressed MxA, required ~10-fold higher doses of virus to produce comparable amounts of proteins, but were far more resistant to the cytopathic effect even at an MOI of 100:1. Taken together, these results suggest that susceptibility to influenza virus infection is modulated in DCs by the expression of MxA, which is induced by LPS stimulation as well as by infection with influenza virus.

MxA is Induced by Autocrine Production of Type I IFN. The time course of MxA protein expression was analyzed in DCs challenged with different stimuli, either by immunofluorescence on fixed and permeabilized cells or by immunoblotting (Fig. 3, A and B). MxA was induced with comparable fast kinetics by IFN-α, LPS, and PR8 infection, as well as by poly I:C, a synthetic source of dsRNA. In contrast, TNF-α failed to induce MxA expression, and CD40L induced it only to a low extent and with a slower kinetics.

The capacity of different stimuli to induce MxA correlated with their capacity to induce production of type I IFN by DCs (Fig. 3 C). Both poly I:C and LPS led to a rapid production of type I IFN that reached a plateau at 4 h, whereas PR8 infection induced an equally rapid, but more sustained and consistently higher production. In contrast, TNF-α and CD40L stimulation did not induce production of detectable levels of IFN.

These results demonstrate that DCs can produce type I IFN in response to specific stimuli, which in turn leads to upregulation of MxA gene expression via an autocrine loop. To address this possibility, we evaluated the capacity of neutralizing antibodies to type I IFN to inhibit MxA expression (Fig. 3 D). The antisera we used completely abrogated MxA upregulation at 5 h induced by recombinant IFN-α, PR8 infection, and CD40L. However, they also inhibited significantly, although not completely (at least 50%), MxA induction after LPS or poly I:C stimulation. Although these results do not rule out the possibility of an IFN-α-independent MxA upregulation, they suggest that autocrine production of type I IFN by DCs represents a major mechanism to ensure the rapid build-up of a resistance state to the infecting virus.
dsRNA and PR8 upregulate Protein Synthesis in Immature and IFN-α-treated DCs. dsRNA has been shown to trigger PKR, an IFN-α-induced kinase that inactivates eIF2, thereby reducing protein synthesis (24). This mechanism may be important to ensure reduction of viral replication and elimination of infected cells. However, PKR has also been shown to activate NF-κB (25) and transcription. PKR was expressed constitutively in DCs and its level was not further increased upon IFN-α treatment (data not shown). We thus compared the effect of poly I:C and PR8 on protein synthesis in DCs and HeLa cells before and after treatment with IFN-α (Fig. 4). As expected, PR8 infection and poly I:C induced a marked decrease in the rate of total and MHC class I protein synthesis in IFN-α-pretreated, but not in untreated, HeLa cells. In contrast, in DCs the same treatments resulted in a strong boost of total, and particularly MHC class I, protein synthesis irrespective of whether or not the cells had been pretreated with IFN-α. These results demonstrate that, as compared with other cell types, DCs have a unique capacity to respond to dsRNA, a property that may be important to allow efficient presentation of viral antigens.

Influenza Virus Infection Promotes and Sustains Generation of Peptide-MHC Class I Complexes. We next investigated whether stimulation of DCs by infectious virus might optimize loading of class I molecules with antigenic peptides. In PR8-infected DCs there was a strong upregulation of HLA class I synthesis (5-10-fold in four experiments) (Fig. 5 A). Furthermore, the class I molecules synthesized during the initial stages of infection displayed a two- to threefold longer half-life than those synthesized in immature or in LPS-treated DCs (Fig. 5 B). This increased stability may be related to the abundant supply of high affinity peptides derived from degradation of viral proteins, since it was not noticed in DCs stimulated with LPS.

PR8-infected immature DCs rapidly acquired a high capacity to trigger an HLA-A2-restricted T cell clone specific for the influenza matrix peptide M58-66. Furthermore, the stimulatory capacity increased with time after infection (Fig. 5 C). In contrast, although immediately stimulatory, immature DCs pulsed with a high dose of M58-66 peptide lost the capacity to stimulate specific T cells by 20 h of culture, a finding consistent with the short half-life of MHC class I-peptide complexes. In addition, IFN-α-pretreated

---

Figure 3. MxA expression is rapidly induced in DCs by LPS, poly I:C and viral infection. Time course of MxA upregulation as detected by intracellular staining (A) or immunoblotting (B). (C) Time course of type I IFN production in culture supernatant. DCs were stimulated with the following: 50 U/ml IFN-α (○), LPS (△), 20 μg/ml poly I:C (■), 1 HAU PR8 (●), TNF-α (□) and CD40L (○). (D) MxA induction after 5 h of stimulation in the absence (black bar) or in the presence of two neutralizing sheep antisa to human type I IFN: livari, hatched bars, and Kaaeppi, empty bars.

Figure 4. dsRNA and PR8 infection induce upregulation of total protein and HLA class I synthesis in untreated as well as in IFN-α-pretreated DCs. Total protein synthesis (hatched bars) and HLA class I synthesis (black bars) were measured in DCs (A and C) or HeLa cells (B and D) 5 h after stimulation with 20 μg/ml poly I:C, 5 HAU PR8, or medium alone. The cells were either untreated (A and B) or pretreated for 24 h with 500 U/ml IFN-α (C and D).
DCs, which were highly resistant to viral infection, did not stimulate the virus-specific T cell clone even at late time points. Taken together, these results indicate that in PR8-infected DCs the increased synthesis and loading of MHC class I molecules results in a rapid and continuous production of large numbers of complexes containing viral peptides. These events allow presentation to T cells to be sustained over a long period of time.

Table I. DC Maturation and IL-12 p75 Production Are Induced by dsRNA and Influenza Virus Infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stimuli*</th>
<th>Class I</th>
<th>HLA-DR</th>
<th>CD86</th>
<th>CD83</th>
<th>CD38</th>
<th>CD115</th>
<th>IL-12 p75 §</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Untreated</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR8‡</td>
<td>1,576</td>
<td>1,441</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly I:C</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPS</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>1,087</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>1,012</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-α</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Immature DCs were challenged with different stimuli for 40 h and analyzed for expression of surface markers. PR8 was used at 5 HAU/ml, poly I:C at 20 μg/ml, LPS at 1 μg/ml, TNF-α at 50 ng/ml, and IFN-α at 50 U/ml. Data from one out of six experiments with comparable results are shown as mean fluorescent intensity.

‡PR8 inactivated at 56°C did not show any detectable effect.

§IL-12 p75 is expressed in pg/ml.
We have shown that dsRNA induces two functionally distinct responses in DC: (i) protection from the viral cytopathic effect, and (ii) maturation, leading to increased capacity to prime and polarize T cells. In the course of viral infection, the simultaneous induction of protection and maturation optimizes loading of viral antigens on MHC class I molecules.

dsRNA is a classical inducer of type I IFN (28–30), which plays a central role in antiviral responses (31, 32). DCs represent a strategic source of type I IFN and we have shown that it is produced not only in response to dsRNA or virus infection (33), but also in response to LPS stimulation. However, IFN is not produced in response to other stimuli such as IFN-α, and only in minute amounts in response to CD40L. Type I IFN produced by DCs results in rapid induction of MxA, a cytoplasmic protein that has been shown to protect cells from the cytopathic effect of some viruses (22, 23, 34). Neutralizing antibodies to type I IFN completely inhibited MxA induction by recombinant exogenous IFN-α, influenza virus infection, and CD40L, but only partially inhibited MxA upregulation by poly I:C or LPS, suggesting a possible direct activation of MxA expression independent from type I IFN (35).

dsRNA and viral infection also induced a rapid maturation of DCs with upregulation of MHC, adhesion, and co-stimulatory molecules. These phenotypic changes were to a large extent comparable to those induced by other maturation stimuli such as LPS, TNF-α, or CD40L, although a different pattern in cytokine production was observed. These results may well account for the increased capacity of influenza virus-infected DCs to stimulate CTL responses (15). Although a minor shift in CD38 and CD86 was reproducibly observed, IFN-α per se was unable to support a complete DC maturation. The maturation effect of type I IFN reported in other studies was observed in a different culture system and was dependent on the simultaneous presence of IFN-α, which by itself is a DC maturation factor (36).

The signal transduction pathway triggered by dsRNA has been studied in detail. It has been shown that dsRNA activates PKR, a serine-threonine kinase that phosphorylates and inactivates eIF2, thereby shutting off protein synthesis (24). PKR can also activate NF-κB (25) and consequently may induce transcription of genes involved in DC maturation. The fact that PKR-deficient mice can still respond to dsRNA suggests that there may be additional pathways of signal transduction that may be operative in DCs (37). The relative role and function of the pathways activated by dsRNA may vary in different cells. Our experiments show that the response to dsRNA in DCs and HeLa cells is considerably different. In HeLa cells dsRNA induces a downregulation of protein synthesis, whereas in immature DCs dsRNA actually upregulates total protein synthesis, while fully inducing the maturation process.

It has been suggested that recognition of conserved molecular patterns characteristic of pathogens is a property of the innate immune system, which is instrumental in initiating and regulating the adaptive immune response (38). Our results clearly indicates that dsRNA behaves as one of such molecular patterns. dsRNA can directly signal to the APCs...
plexes are retained with extremely long half-lives that are subsequently stopped, while stable peptide class II complexes and thus compensating for the relatively short half-life that is not significantly affected by the maturation process. The different regulation of class I and class II biosynthesis in DCs makes good sense, since it allows the APCs to initiate a response that is appropriate for the signal received and has practical implications for the therapeutic use of DCs.

Sustained Synthesis of Viral Antigens and Class I Molecules Maximizes Antigen Presentation. We have shown that efficient presentation influenza virus is the result of a delicate compromise. On one hand, immature DCs are highly susceptible to infection and thus produce large amounts of viral proteins. On the other hand, they can rapidly build up resistance to the virus, thus limiting its cytopathic effect. The importance of synchronizing these two functions is illustrated by the fact that IFN-α–pretreated DCs, which are resistant to influenza infection, are extremely inefficient at presenting viral antigens.

The dramatic upregulation of class I synthesis (up to 10-fold) induced by viral infection is an important mechanism that ensures effective presentation of viral antigens. MHC class I synthesis is sustained after induction of maturation, allowing continuous accumulation of peptide-MHC complexes and thus compensating for the relatively short half-life of class I molecules (10–20 h). The importance of the sustained antigen loading is exemplified by the fact that although the capacity to stimulate class I–restricted, virus-specific T cells is rapidly lost in peptide-pulsed DCs, it actually increases with time in virus-infected DCs. This fact should be taken into account when considering the use of DCs as vaccines to induce class I–restricted responses.

We have previously shown that in maturing DCs the synthesis of class II molecules is transiently upregulated and subsequently stopped, while stable peptide class II complexes are retained with extremely long half-lives (>100 h) (5). In contrast, in DCs MHC class I molecules have a short half-life that is not significantly affected by the maturation process. The different regulation of class I and class II biosynthesis and stability in DCs makes good sense. Class II molecules present antigens that are transiently encountered in the surrounding environment, so it is important for a DC to be able to load antigenic peptides over a short period of time and retain the antigen as a stable complex. Instead, the short half-life of class I molecules is instrumental to allow a continuous monitoring of early and late viral antigens, as long as the cell remains infected.

Flexibility in Cytokine Production Determines the T Cell Polarizing Capacity of DCs. Although DCs induced to mature by different stimuli share several common features, such as the increase in MHC, costimulatory molecules, and T cell stimulatory capacity (3, 19), consistent differences are observed in the pattern of cytokines produced in response to different stimuli. These in turn determine both the extent and the type of T cell polarization, suggesting that mature DCs can exist in different functional states. TNF-α–matured DCs, which produce neither IL-12 nor type I IFN, were highly stimulatory, but induced only a low percentage of fully polarized cells. In contrast, dsRNA- or LPS-matured DCs, which produced both type I IFN and IL-12 (although in different proportions) elicited strong Th1 polarization, with 70–90% of T cells producing high levels of IFN-γ. The Th1-polarizing effect was inhibited in most cases by neutralization of IL-12, although in some cases neutralization of type I IFN was also required, as previously reported for monocytes (30). These results indicate a flexibility in DCs in the use of Th1-polarizing cytokines and suggest that a multiplicity of responses can be generated by distinct environmental signals. In addition, it is possible that the relative production of IL-12 and type I IFN may play a more subtle role in modulating T cell polarization. Although both IL-12 (26) and type I IFN in humans (42) are known to drive Th1 polarization, it is possible that they may play distinct roles by differentially regulating IL-13 production by T cells (43).

Our results also imply that the nature of the signal inducing DC maturation may determine the capacity of the DCs to generate polarized immune responses. On one hand, stimuli from viral or bacterial patterns (dsRNA or LPS) or T cell help, through CD40–CD40L interaction, generate DCs capable of priming strong Th1 responses. On the other hand, an endogenous inflammatory stimulus, such as TNF-α, generates DCs capable of inducing a more balanced response, comprising both Th1 and Th2 cells. The fact that the same DCs can mature to different functional states capable of stimulating polarized Th1 or Th2 responses makes good sense, since it allows the APCs to initiate a response that is appropriate for the signal received and has practical implications for the therapeutic use of DCs.
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