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Summary

The mannose receptor (MR) has established roles in macrophage (Mφ) phagocytosis of microorganisms and endocytic clearance of host-derived glycoproteins, and has recently been implicated in antigen capture by dendritic cells (DCs) in vitro. MR is the founder member of a family of homologous proteins, and its recognition properties differ according to its tissue of origin. Given this heterogeneity and our recent discovery of a soluble form of MR in mouse serum, we studied the sites of synthesis of MR mRNA and expression of MR protein in normal mouse tissues. We demonstrate that synthesis and expression occur at identical sites, and that mature Mφ and endothelium are heterogeneous with respect to MR expression, additionally describing MR on perivascular microglia and glomerular mesangial cells. However, MR was not detected on DCs in situ, or on marginal zone or subcapsular sinus Mφ, both of which have MR-like binding activities. We also compared expression of MR to the binding of a recombinant probe containing the cysteine-rich domain of MR. We show that MR and its putative ligand(s) are expressed at nonoverlapping sites within lymphoid organs, consistent with a transfer function for soluble MR. Therefore, in addition to endocytic and phagocytic roles, MR may play an important role in antigen recognition and transport within lymphoid organs.
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The mannose receptor (MR) was first identified as a specific uptake system in rat liver Kupffer cells for mannosylated/N-acetylglucosamine-terminal and fucosylated neoglycoproteins in vivo (1). Later studies demonstrated similar carbohydrate-specific binding by hepatic endothelium (2), alveolar macrophages (Mφ) (3), resident and elicited peritoneal Mφ (4), human monocyte-derived Mφ (5), and cultured bone marrow-derived Mφ (6). These were attributed to the MR by Ab reactivity in Western blots of purified receptor. Mφ has also been purified from human retinal pigment epithelium (7). Mφ expression of MR appears restricted to mature populations, is downregulated during classical activation, such as in response to IFN-γ (8), and is upregulated during an alternative form of activation by IL-4 characterized by enhanced MHC class II (MHCII) expression and reduced proinflammatory cytokine production (9). More recently, MR has been detected on cultured human dendritic cells (DCs) matured from CD14+ peripheral blood monocytes (10) and cord blood CD34+ hematopoietic progenitors with GM-CSF and IL-4 (11), although it is not known how closely these cells reflect the properties of DCs in situ. Freshly isolated murine Langerhans cells do not appear to express MR protein, although uptake of mannosylated BSA and a mannan-inhibitable component of zymosan phagocytosis have been documented (12). In contrast, functional MR has been detected on freshly isolated human Langerhans cells (13). Uncharacterized receptors with similar binding activity to MR have been detected in lymph node subcapsular sinus Mφ of mouse (14) and rat (15) and splenic marginal zone Mφ of mouse (16) and rat (15).

The early studies on liver and mature Mφ suggested two
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major functions for MR, in endocytic clearance of host-derived glycoproteins and phagocytosis/endocty of microorganisms and soluble ligands, and evidence has accrued in support of both roles MR mediates uptake of ligands for the purposes of both homeostasis and immunity. Homeostatic functions include uptake of tissue plasminogen activator (17, 18) and lysosomal hydrolases (3). MR also plays a major role in host defence. It is now widely accepted that the recognition and phagocytosis of many nonopsonized microorganisms, including bacteria, fungi, and protozoa by Mφ, is mediated by MR, through interactions with polysaccharide components of fungal cell walls such as yeast mannan, bacterial capsules, and some strains of LPS and liparabinomannan (19). Transfection of nonphagocytic COS cells with MR cDNA is sufficient to confer an ability to recognize and phagocytose Candida albicans (20) and Pneumocystis carinii (21). Ligation of MR in Mφ causes intracellular signaling resulting in functional changes, including increased superoxide anion release (22) and induction of cytokine synthesis (23). The immunological roles of MR may extend to specific immunity if the observed MR-mediated uptake of glycoconjugates by cultured human DCs for efficient presentation to T cells by MHC I (24-26) and CD1b (27) prove to have in vivo correlates.

At a biochemical level, polysaccharide recognition has been attributed to cooperative, calcium-dependent binding of the sugar moieties mannosse, fucose, and N-acetylglucosamine by several of the eight C-type lectin domains within the ectodomain of MR. Carbohydrate recognition domains 4–8 show affinity for natural ligands comparable to that of MR itself (28). The phagocytic and endocytic activity is mediated by a 45-amino acid cytoplasmic tail and transmembrane domain (20). MR also contains a cysteine-rich domain (CR) with sequence similarity to the plant lectin type II–like domain (29).

Our recent discovery of ligands of CR in mouse secondary lymphoid organs gave the first indication of a function for CR, the domain of MR most highly conserved between mice and humans (30). Tissues were probed with a chimeric probe consisting of CR fused to the Fc region of human IgG1, CR-Fc. Binding of CR-Fc to spleen marginal metallophilic Mφ and undifferentiated cells in B cell areas, and to lymph node subcapsular sinus Mφ, was observed in naive animals, and a time-course study of a secondary immune response indicated apparent migration of CR-binding cells from the subcapsular sinus to sites of developing germinal centers. This suggested that MR could be directed to areas where affinity maturation of B cells occurs. We have recently purified ligands of CR-Fc from spleen and identified among these novel glycoforms of sialoadhesin (Sn) and CD45 (Martinez-Pomares, L., our unpublished results).

We have also documented the existence of a soluble form of MR (sMR) which may act as a mobile antigen capture protein for delivery to the marginal zone of spleen and lymph node subcapsular sinus, as well as to primary and secondary B cell follicles (31). sMR is generated by proteolysis of MR from cultured Mφ and is shed into the media where it retains calcium-dependent mannosyl binding activity. Immunoreactive sMR also occurs naturally in serum.

The roles of MR outlined above have all been assigned to a functionally homogeneous MR, but Fiete and Baenziger have recently revealed tissue heterogeneity in MR and a new lectin activity of CR. They identified a receptor within rat liver that recognizes and internalizes lutropin hormone bearing Asn-linked oligosaccharides terminating in SO₄-4-GalNAcα1,4GlcNAcα1,2Manα (54GnM), with structural and antigenic properties similar to MR, although MR purified from lung did not recognize 54GnM (32). A protein with the same properties as this receptor could be generated from the same cDNA as MR, and the ability to bind galNAc-4-SO₄ appeared to be determined posttranslationally (33). The galNAc-4-SO₄ binding site was then localized to the CR by binding studies of deletion mutants of MR (34).

In addition to heterogeneity within MR, a wider family of molecules with the same basic structure as MR exists. These are the phospholipase A2 receptors (35, 36), DEC-205 (37, 38), and a novel lectin (39). Each has CR and fibronectin type II–like domains, and either 8 or, in the case of DEC-205, 10, C-type lectin-like domains.

More specific methods to detect in situ expression of MR are required, given the heterogeneity of MR and the limitations of ligand-binding assays. Several mAbs recognizing human MR have recently been developed. Uccini et al. (40) demonstrated MR expression in various reticuloendothelial tissues and in neoplasms of possibly endothelial origin. MR was detected in resident tissue Mφ, including those in spleen red pulp, lymph node paracortex, and thymus cortex. Sinus lining cells of spleen and lymph node also expressed MR and coexpressed Mφ and endothelial markers. Noorman et al. (41) surveyed MR antigen in human tissue, with broadly similar results. In mouse, Takahashi and co-workers surveyed MR protein in a range of tissues from fetal development to the adult, revealing expression in Mφ and some endothelial cells, although a precise definition of most of these cell types was not attempted (42).

Given the heterogeneity of MR and the existence of other MR family members, we studied expression of MR in the normal adult mouse by both in situ hybridization (ISH) and immunocytochemistry (ICC). In lymphoid organs, we used double ICC to define the phenotype and location of cells expressing MR. We compared MR with markers of Mφ, DCs, and endothelium, and with the binding of CR-Fc. We found MR by ISH and ICC at identical locations, in subsets of Mφ and endothelium; no expression was seen in Langerhans cells, other DCs, or cells that express putative CR ligands, or in sites in spleen and lymph node that express mannosyl ligand binding activity. Cells at these sites therefore may express novel MR-like receptor(s).

**Materials and Methods**

Animals. BALB/c and sv/ev129 mice were bred at the Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, and males and females were used at 8–10 wk of age.
Abs and Fc Chimeric Protein. Primary Abs used in this study are described in Table I. M R polyclonal Abs raised against M R purified from the J774e cell line and mAbs F4/80, FA.11, and 3D6 were prepared in-house. CR-Fc, a recombinant protein consisting of the CR of mouse M R fused to the Fc region of human IgG1, was also prepared in our laboratory (30). The ER TR -9 mAb was a gift of Dr. C. D. Dijkstra (Free University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Other Abs were purchased as shown. N 418 was biotinylated in in-house for direct detection. The secondary Abs, biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG and biotinylated rabbit anti-mouse IgG, were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Biotinylated mouse anti-human IgG (Fab')2 was purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs.

ICC. Organs were collected and immersed in OCT compound (BDH Chemicals-Merck) and frozen in dry ice-cooled isopentane. Frozen sections were cut at 5 μm, air-dried for 1 h, and stored at -20°C. Before staining, slides were thawed at room temperature for 30 min, then hydrated in PBS for 5 min at room temperature followed by fixation for 10 min in 2% paraformaldehyde in Hapes-buffered isotonic saline at 4°C. The hydration step was found to be essential for binding of anti-M R to tissues, and avoids the requirement for protease treatment of sections described by Takahashi and co-workers (42).

Fixed sections were washed in 0.1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 in PBS, and endogenous peroxidase activity was blocked with 10 mM glucose, 1 mM NaB3, 0.4 U/ml glucose oxidase (Sigma Chemical Co.) in phosphate buffer for 15 min at 37°C. Blocking steps were performed with avidin-biotin kit (Vector Laboratories) and a 30-min incubation with 5% normal serum of the species in which the secondary Ab was raised. 5% serum was used as the diluent for primary and secondary Abs, with which the sections were incubated for 60 and 30 min, respectively. Sections were then incubated with avidin-biotin-peroxidase complex (ABC Elite; Vector Laboratories). When double ICC was used to detect M R and other markers, the first staining step with the above protocol was used, with the substitution of 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (AEC) substrate kit for 0.024% H2O2 in 10 mM imidazole in PBS, pH 7.4. Sections were counterstained with 0.1% cresyl violet or methyl green.

Results

The specific recognition of M R may be hampered by the tissue heterogeneity of M R, the potential cross-reactivity of reagents with other members of the family of proteins with which it shares homology, and the existence of other receptors that share a similar ligand recognition profile. We

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Isotype</th>
<th>Murine antigen</th>
<th>Reference/supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ER TR -9</td>
<td>Rat IgG</td>
<td>Undefined antigen of marginal zone Mφ</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA.11</td>
<td>Rat IgG2a</td>
<td>Macrophasin</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F4/80</td>
<td>Rat IgG2b</td>
<td>F4/80</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M 5/114.15.2</td>
<td>Rat IgG2b</td>
<td>I-A,b,d,k, I-E,d,k</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M R</td>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>M R</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLDC-145</td>
<td>Rat IgG2a</td>
<td>DEC-205</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 418</td>
<td>Armenian hamster IgG</td>
<td>CD11c</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R 6-60.2</td>
<td>Rat IgG2a</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D6</td>
<td>Rat IgG2a</td>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390</td>
<td>Rat IgG2a</td>
<td>PECAM-1 (CD31)</td>
<td>Serotec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
have used two independent methods to detect MR specifically: ISH to define mRNA and therefore sites of synthesis, and ICC to define protein expression in a wide range of organs of normal adult mice. Specificity of mRNA detection was confirmed by performing control ISH with sense strand probes. The specificity of the polyclonal anti-MR Ab was examined by Western blotting of tissue lysates. There was some tissue-specific heterogeneity with respect to apparent molecular weight in the protein detected, but in the absence of anti-MR, no signal was detected (not shown). The treatment of tissues for ICC was mild, allowing double ICC detection of MR with markers of Mϕ, DCs, and endothelium to define expression more closely. Of particular interest, we used double ICC in lymphoid organs to compare the expression of MR with that of the putative CR ligand, Sn, and other ligands of CR-Fc.

**MR Expression in Lymphoid Organs: MR and Sn Expression by ISH**

Peripheral Lymph Node. MR mRNA expression was seen in the medullary cords (Fig. 1 A, arrow). The subcapsular sinus was clearly negative (Fig. 1 A, arrowhead), although this site and the medulla were strongly labeled by the Sn probe (Fig. 1 B). Control sections hybridized with sense probes of MR (Fig. 1 C) and Sn had low background (Fig. 1 D). Although Sn is expressed by medullary and subcapsular sinus Mϕ, only the latter bear ligands of CR-Fc (30). Together these data clearly indicate that MR and its Sn ligand are not produced concurrently in the lymph node.

Spleen. MR expression was observed throughout the red pulp by ISH, but appeared to be absent from the marginal zone and white pulp (Fig. 1 E). The marginal metallophilic zone was readily identified by its high expression of Sn (Fig. 1 F). The control sections for MR and Sn, respectively, probed with sense strand RNAs, had no significant background (Fig. 1, G and H). Although precise anatomical localization of the sites of synthesis was not possible by this method, these data are highly suggestive of MR and Sn synthesis occurring at distinct sites.

Thymus. Discrete cells were labeled with MR probe within the thymus (Fig. 1 I), with very little background in the control (Fig. 1 J). Comparison with expression of Sn is not informative, since thymic Sn is not a ligand of CR-Fc.

**MR Expression in Lymphoid Organs: MR Expression by ICC; Comparison with Markers of DC, Mϕ, and Endothelial Cells**

Peripheral Lymph Node. MR antigen was found on medullary Mϕ (m), sinus lining Mϕ, and endothelium of the marginal sinus (ms), but not in T cell areas (t) (Fig. 2 A). No detectable background staining was found in these areas in the absence of MR Ab (Fig. 2 B). In contrast, DEC-205 was detected on interdigitating cells throughout the T cell areas of an adjacent section (Fig. 2 C). By double ICC, Sn and MR were shown to colocalize in medullary Mϕ (m) (Fig. 3 A), but only Sn could be detected on the subcapsular sinus Mϕ (Fig. 3 A, arrow). By contrast, no colocalization of CR-Fc and MR could be detected, CR-Fc being confined to subcapsular sinus Mϕ and some germinal centers (Fig. 3 B, arrowhead). Scattered cells expressing both MHCII and MR were detected in lymph nodes (Fig. 3 C) in the paracortex bordering the B cell follicle defined by reactivity to anti-IgM (Fig. 3 D). These cells did not express DC markers DEC-205 or CD11c, nor did they express the Mϕ marker F4/80 (not shown). The elongated morphology of MR staining cells and location in the mar-
ginal sinus are characteristic of lymphatic endothelial cells, but expression of MR was restricted to a CD31- population, indicating that high endothelial venules do not express MR (Fig. 3 E). MP + endothelial cells (arrow) did not coexpress the MP marker macrosialin, detected with mAb FA.11, although the intimately associated sinus lining MP (arrowhead) expressed both of these markers (Fig. 3 F).

Spleen. Single immunostaining of MR in spleen revealed expression in red pulp (rp) MP but not in the white pulp (wp) or marginal zone (mz) (Fig. 2 D), while background staining in the absence of primary Ab was not detected (Fig. 2 E). This is in contrast to the expression of CD11c by DCs at the border of the white and red pulp (Fig. 2 F) and other DC subsets of the white pulp that are detectable with Abs to MHCII and DEC-205 (not shown).

Expression of Sn, a CR-Fc ligand in spleen, was compared with that of MR by double ICC (Fig. 3 G). Sn alone was detected in marginal metallophilic MP, and there was additional low level expression in red pulp MP along with strong expression of MR. In contrast, CR-Fc bound to splenic marginal metallophilic MP, but not red pulp MP (Fig. 3 H). Therefore, MR and its putative ligand are expressed at nonoverlapping sites, separated by a clear region in the outer marginal zone. The absence of MR expression in this
Figure 3. Localization of MR with respect to markers of Mφ and endothelium by double ICC staining. MR was defined by a red product and the additional marker by blue. (A) There was some colocalization of MR with Sn, in the medullary Mφ of the lymph node (m), but Sn was also detected in MR⁻ cells of the subcapsular sinus (arrow). (B) In lymph node, there was no colocalization between MR and CR-Fc, the latter staining only subcapsular sinus Mφ and a few cells in B cell follicles (arrowhead). (C) Scattered MR⁺ cells also express MHCII, and are located in the paracortex adjacent to the B cell follicle defined by expression of IgM. (D) The endothelial cells that express MR in lymph node do not also express CD31, showing that only lymphatic endothelium and not high endothelial venules express MR. (E) These MR⁺ endothelial cells (arrow) do not coexpress macrosialin, although the intimately associated sinus lining Mφ express both markers (arrowhead). (F) In spleen, Sn was highly expressed by the marginal metallophilic Mφ and by marginal zone Mφ, and at low levels by red pulp Mφ, which are strongly MR⁻. (H) As in lymph node, MR and CR-Fc defined two distinct populations in spleen. CR-Fc was localized in the marginal metallophilic Mφ and B cell areas of the white pulp, separated from the MR⁺ red pulp Mφ by the unlabeled outer marginal zone. (I) The boundary of the splenic marginal zone with the red pulp was defined by the marginal zone Mφ marker ERTR-9. MR was absent from this population of Mφ, so its expression is restricted to the red pulp. (J) Spleen red pulp Mφ were stained with both anti-MR and FA11, whereas the MR⁺ venous endothelium did not react with FA11 (arrow). (K) In thymus, the majority of MR⁺ cells coexpressed the Mφ marker F4/80. (L) Staining of MR and CR-Fc in thymus revealed two distinct populations. CR-Fc was bound by large undefined cells of the medulla that may be part of the thymic epithelium, whereas MR appeared restricted to Mφ and possibly endothelium. Bars = 50 μm, shown in A (for A and B), C-F, G (for G and H), I (for I-K), and L.
compartment was confirmed by double staining with ERTR-9, an mAb that specifically recognizes MΦ of the outer marginal zone (Fig. 3 I). Double ICC for MΦ and macrophalin with FA.11 defined two subsets of MΦ+ cells, double-positive MΦ (Fig. 3 J), and elongated venous sinus endothelial cells which did not express macrophalin (Fig. 3 J, arrow).

Thymus. ICC revealed two distinct populations of cells that express MΦR. These were highly stained flattened MΦ lying beneath the capsule and along the connective tissue septa that penetrate the cortex (not shown), and less intensely stained MΦ with fine processes that were found throughout the cortex (c) and the corticomedullary junction (cmj) (Fig. 2 G). Staining of MΦ in the medulla (m) was very weak or negative (Fig. 2 G). A control section did not reveal any detectable background staining (Fig. 2 H). Cells expressing MΦR were quite distinct from the DEC-205–expressing cortical epithelial cells which have extensive dendrites, and the few rounded interdigitating cells of the medulla (Fig. 2 I). It seems likely that all MΦR+ cells in the thymus are MΦ. By double ICC it is apparent that most of them coexpress the MΦ marker, F4/80 (Fig. 3 K). As in spleen and lymph node, double staining with CR-Fc in thymus revealed that MΦR and CR-Fc ligand(s) are expressed by two distinct populations of cells (Fig. 3 L). CR-Fc bound to large undefined cells of the medulla which may be part of the thymic epithelium.

Peyer’s Patch. MΦ antigen appeared to be confined to the lymphatic endothelium of interfollicular areas (i) and was notably absent in follicles (f) (Fig. 2 J). MΦ and DCs in the interfollicular areas and follicles of an adjacent section that were identified with FA.11 did not express MΦR (Fig. 2 K). Control sections of Peyer’s patch gave no background signal (not shown).

Skin. MΦR was detected in dermal MΦ, but not in epidermal Langerhans cells (Fig. 2 L). In contrast, F4/80 stained both MΦ (Fig. 2 M) and Langerhans cells (Fig. 2 M, arrow). Again, no nonspecific staining was seen in control sections (not shown). We could not detect MΦR in isolated epidermal sheets of normal mice, using either the ICC method presented here, or the method of Takahashi and co-workers (42; data not shown).

MΦR Expression in Nonlymphoid Organs

We confirmed by ISH and ICC previous studies demonstrating expression of MΦR in hepatic endothelium and MΦ of liver (Kupffer cells), gut, lung, and resident tissue MΦ of other organs (not shown). We describe here the novel finding of MΦR in perivascular microglia of brain and glomerular mesangial cells of kidney.

Brain. MΦR and related cells of the brain perform specialized functions in tissue homeostasis, inflammation, and maintenance of the blood–brain barrier. They are phenotypically, functionally, and morphologically distinct, and thus deserve special attention in their expression of MΦR. In addition, previous studies have suggested a role for an MΦR on vascular endothelium in regulating blood–brain barrier function. We observed that meningeal MΦ express MΦR by ISH (Fig. 4 A) and ICC (not shown). Perivascular microglia also express MΦR, but adjacent vessel endothelium does not, as shown by ISH (Fig. 4 B) and ICC (Fig. 4 C). Confirmation that these cells are perivascular microglia was deduced from their expression of F4/80 (Fig. 4 D). No signal was detected in the meninges or brain parenchyma in control sections examined by ISH or ICC (not shown). Like perivascular microglia, astrocytes are also associated with vessels, whereas more differentiated microglia are deeper in the parenchyma. Neither of these cell types appeared to express MΦR in normal brain (Fig. 4, B and C).

Kidney. MΦR was observed in kidney glomeruli, both by ISH (Fig. 5 A) and ICC (Fig. 5 B) in repeated experiments. Control sections for ISH (Fig. 5 C) and ICC (Fig. 5 D) have low background, verifying the authenticity of these observations. An example of a glomerulus stained for MΦR and observed at high magnification indicated that expression is present on the mesangial cells (Fig. 5 E). No expres-

Figure 4. Expression of MΦR in brain. (A) MΦR was detected in meningeal MΦ by ISH, here shown within an infolding of the meninges into the cerebellum. (B) By ISH, MΦR was detected in cells adjacent to blood vessels, the perivascular microglia. (C) ICC revealed expression of MΦR protein in perivascular microglia. (D) Expression of F4/80 by perivascular microglia confirmed that these cells were MΦ. Bars = 50 μm, shown in A (for A and B) and C (for C and D).
Discussion

We have used two independent methods to examine the expression of MR mRNA and protein in normal adult mouse. In all tissues studied, sites of synthesis of mRNA, examined by ISH, and expression of antigen, detected by ICC, were identical, suggesting that protein transfer between cells did not contribute to the staining observed. Both methods demonstrated MR expression by subsets of macrophage and endothelial cells. We confirmed previous studies of mature macrophage labeling in mice (42) and humans (40, 41), although a closer analysis of macrophage in spleen and lymph node revealed an unexpected anomaly. An MR-like binding activity has been described on marginal zone macrophage in mouse (16) and rat (15) spleen. Similarly, the subcapsular sinus macrophage of the lymph node have also been documented as having an MR-like binding activity in mice (14) and rats (15), although no specific carbohydrate receptor has been characterized structurally or antigenically in either case. Here we demonstrate clearly that MR is not responsible for these activities. Double ICC staining of MR with the marginal zone macrophage marker ERTR-9 confirmed that the cells of the marginal zone do not express MR. Similarly, we did not detect MR on subcapsular sinus macrophage by ISH or ICC. These binding activities, which are described as calcium dependent and of high affinity for ligands such as a linear β-1,2-linked tetramannose from *C. albicans* (16), *Trypanosoma cruzi* amastigotes (14), mannosylated ligands containing sialic acid and fucose (15), and mannose (43) must therefore be mediated by some additional unknown receptor(s).

We found endothelium to be heterogeneous with respect to expression of MR. MR was detected on endothelial cells of spleen red pulp and liver, whereas blood vessel and high endothelial cells were negative. However, lymphatic endothelium appeared to express MR widely, consistent with a constitutive function, possibly endocytosis. Our finding contrasts with that in humans, in which lymphatic endothelium appeared negative, although coexpression of MR with endothelial markers CD31, VE-cadherin, and von Willebrand factor was observed in sinus lining cells of the spleen and lymph node (40). There may be additional phenotypic differences between human and murine endothelial cells. In contrast to humans, we noted the absence of CD31 expression by lymphatic endothelium and sinus lining cells of murine lymph node. Further studies are needed to establish the functional significance of heterogeneity in MR expression by selected vascular and lymphatic endothelium in different species.

MR has been implicated in T cell immunity, after the discovery of its expression on cultured human blood monocyte-derived dendritic cells (24) and on dendritic cells expanded from cord blood hemopoietic progenitors (11). Isolated dendritic cells use MR to endocytose mannose-lectin-like ligands for presentation to T cells by MHCII (24) and CD1b (27). MR-mediated antigen uptake confers a greatly enhanced efficiency of presentation to T cells, of the order of 100 to 200-10,000-fold (26). MR may be a marker of immature dendritic cells since it is downregulated in vitro by inflammatory stimuli (10). However, we found no expression of MR on dendritic cells in vivo in thymus, lymph node, spleen, and Peyer’s patch of normal mice. In particular, the CD11c+ cells of the spleen, which are thought to represent an immature population of...
myeloid-derived DCs did not express MR. Likewise, we did not observe expression of MR by resting Langerhans cells of skin epidermis. This observation is consistent with the study by Reis e Sousa et al. (12), in which MR could not be detected on lysates of purified murine Langerhans cells by Western blotting, although a mannose-specific uptake by these cells was identified. Similarly, ICC studies in human tissue did not detect expression of MR in Langerhans cells (40, 41), although freshly isolated Langerhans cells did express functional MR (13). We did detect a subpopulation of MR+ cells of lymph nodes in the T cell areas bordering the B cell follicles which express MHC Class II, but these are unlikely to represent a known population of DCs, as they did not express DEC-205 or CD11c (not shown). Further studies are required to determine whether MR is expressed by DCs after immunization, and to characterize the mannose-specific binding activity of Langerhans cells, which may be due to a distinct receptor. The apparent lack of expression of MR on resting murine DCs in situ should be cautionary for those working on cultured DC populations.

We compared expression of MR with that of the putative endogenous ligand(s) of the CR, those that bind CR-Fc. Previously we hypothesized that a soluble form of MR or MR+ cells may interact with CR-Fc binding cells of spleen marginal metallophilic Mϕ, lymph node subcapsular sinus Mϕ, and germinal center cells (31). This would allow transfer of MR-bound carbohydrate antigen to cells strategically positioned at sites of generation of B cell responses to carbohydrate antigens. Here, we show that cells that bind CR-Fc in spleen and lymph node do not coexpress MR; indeed, the receptor and the ligand(s) are at spatially distinct sites within these organs, consistent with a transfer function via sMR. Although we did not detect sMR bound to the subcapsular sinus Mϕ or marginal metallophilic Mϕ, it may be present at levels below detection or may depend on immune stimulation. Intriguingly, we also observed scattered CR-Fc binding cells in the thymic medulla, where a role in capture of antigen-laden sMR would be expected. Thymic epithelial cells synthesize a variety of glycoprotein hormones (44), and our recombinant protein may recognize one of these in the thymus. Our CR-Fc, like the CR-Fc prepared by Fiete and co-workers (34), binds to bovine lutropin hormone, a glycoprotein bearing terminal GalNAc-4-So4 (Linehan, S.A., and L. Martínez-Pomares, unpublished data).

We have made a wider survey of MR expression than had previously been undertaken, including brain and kidney. We identified MR expression in perivascular microglia of murine brain by ISH and ICC. Perivascular microglia lie on the parenchymal side of arterioles, and MR at this location may be appropriately placed to endocytose glycoproteins that have traversed the blood–brain barrier. These specialized Mϕ also express class A scavenger receptors and take up modified low density lipoprotein injected into the blood or cerebral ventricles (45). Those authors also showed that horseradish peroxidase, a known ligand of MR, can be endocytosed by perivascular microglia (45). In another study, liposomes labeled with mannose passed through the murine blood–brain barrier more efficiently than those labeled with fucose or galactose (46). Similarly, the ependymal cell layer lining the cerebral ventricles regulates solute transport between the cerebrospinal fluid and brain tissue, and in rat this can be dissociated by mannose-but not glucose- or galactose-BSA (47). However, we found that neither the ependymal cells nor the endothelial cells of the blood–brain barrier expressed MR. Astrocytes and more differentiated microglia of the parenchyma do not express MR in normal brain. Both of these cell types have a tendency to upregulate various Mϕ markers when cultured in vitro or stimulated in vivo, so a definitive study of their phenotype requires further in situ analysis.

We also demonstrated expression of both MR and protein in glomerular mesangial cells of the kidney in situ. The glomerulus is the site at which blood is first filtered in the kidney. MR mRNA and protein have been observed on in vitro–cultured mouse mesangial cells stimulated with the inflammatory cytokines TNF-α and IL-1α, but were absent from unstimulated cells (48). An endocytic role for MR on mesangial cells is consistent with clearance of the MR ligand COOH-terminal propeptide of type 1 procollagen labeled with nondegradable [125I]-tyramine-cellobiose, in which 20% of the label was found in the kidneys while 70% was recovered from liver (49). Glomerular mesangial cells share some features of the reticulo-endothelial system, including the ability to phagocytose apoptotic cells (50, 51). Cultured human mesangial cells also express components of NADPH oxidase (52) and FcγRIII and FcεRIγ chain (53). However, murine mesangial cells lacked all of the Mϕ markers used in this study apart from MR (not shown), and are not believed to share a common lineage with hemopoietic and endothelial cells, which can both be generated from embryonic mesodermal cells (54, 55).

Another cell type that is not hemopoietic or endothelial, but has been reported to express MR, is retinal pigment epithelium (7). Although the expression of MR in myeloid cells appears to be regulated by the transcription factors PU.1 and Sp1 (56), the detection of MR in mesangial cells and retinal pigment epithelium suggests that other transcription factors must be involved in these distantly related cell types.

It is widely expressed by distinct cell types involved in potential clearance functions. Further studies are needed to investigate the regulation of MR expression by these cells and the posttranslational modification of MR protein in different tissue microenvironments, as well as to characterize other MR-like activities.
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