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Summary

Cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4) appears to negatively regulate T cell activation. One mechanism by which CTLA4 might antagonize T cell function is through inhibition of CD28 signaling by competing for their shared ligands B7-1 and B7-2. In addition, CTLA4 ligation could initiate a signaling cascade that inhibits T cell activation. To address whether CTLA4 could inhibit immune responses in the absence of CD28, rejection of heart allografts was studied in CD28-deficient mice. H-2b hearts were transplanted into allogeneic wild-type or CD28-deficient mice (H-2q). Graft rejection was delayed in CD28-deficient compared with wild-type mice. Treatment of wild-type recipients with CTLA4-immunoglobulin (Ig), or with anti–B7-1 plus anti–B7-2 mAbs significantly prolonged allograft survival. In contrast, treatment of CD28-deficient mice with CTLA4-Ig, anti–B7-1 plus anti–B7-2 mAbs, or a blocking anti-CTLA4 mAb induced acceleration of allograft rejection. This increased rate of graft rejection was associated with more severe mononuclear cell infiltration and enhanced levels of IFN-γ and IL-6 transcripts in donor hearts of untreated wild-type and CTLA4-Ig- or anti-CTLA4 mAb–treated CD28-deficient mice. Thus, the negative regulatory role of CTLA4 extends beyond its potential ability to prevent CD28 activation through ligand competition. Even in the absence of CD28, CTLA4 plays an inhibitory role in the regulation of allograft rejection.
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Cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen 4 (CTLA4) and CD28 are T cell molecules that share sequence homology and bind to the same ligands, B7-1 (CD80) and B7-2 (CD86). Unlike the constitutively expressed CD28, CTLA4 expression is induced on T lymphocytes by TCR stimulation, and its upregulation in vitro depends on the presence of IL-2 and CD28 ligation (1). Furthermore, in contrast to the costimulatory activity of CD28, CTLA4 appears to function as a negative regulator of T cell activation (2). CTLA4 blocking antibodies administered in vivo to wild-type mice have been reported to increase antitumor (3) and antiparasite responses (4), to accelerate the onset of diabetes in TCR transgenic nonobese diabetic mice (NOD mice; reference 5), and to exacerbate disease in an experimental allergic encephalomyelitis model (EAE; reference 6). In addition, CTLA4-deficient mice develop a lymphoproliferative disease (7, 8), whereas CD28-deficient T cells exhibit decreased proliferative responses to mitogens (9).

The mechanisms underlying the inhibitory activity of CTLA4 are not clearly understood. It has been proposed that CTLA4 decreases T cell responses by inhibiting CD28 signaling (10). This may occur either through preferential binding of CTLA4 to B7-1 and B7-2, as the affinity of CTLA4 for B7 family members is ~10 times greater than that of CD28 (11); through competition for common intracellular enzymes (for example, both CD28 [12] and CTLA4 [13] can bind phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase [PI3-kinase]); or through the activation of specific molecules that might directly inhibit CD28 intracellular signaling. An alternate hypothesis is that CTLA4 may counteract TCR signals independently of CD28. One way to distinguish these possibilities is to analyze the role of CTLA4 in T cells from CD28-deficient mice.

CD28-deficient mice can mount effective immune responses, including the clearance of viruses (9) and the rejection of skin grafts (14), albeit less vigorously than wild-type
mice. This suggests that additional, alternative, or compensatory costimulatory mechanisms to CD28 signaling do exist in vivo. It has been reported previously that CTLA4 does not have any function on T cells from CD28-deficient mice during primary stimulations in vitro (15). However, we reasoned that chronic stimulation in vivo might reveal a function for CTLA4 independent of the presence of CD28 on T cells. To address whether CTLA4 could inhibit TCR-driven responses in a CD28-independent manner in vivo, cardiac allografts were transplanted into CD28+/+ and CD28−/− mice under conditions in which CTLA4 binding to B7 family members was prevented. CTLA4 blockade was found to accelerate the acute rejection of cardiac allografts in CD28-deficient mice. This strongly indicates an inhibitory role for CTLA4 that is independent of its potential effects on CD28.

**Materials and Methods**

Mice. CD28-deficient mice were generated as described previously (9) and back-crossed to C57BL/6 (H-2q) mice for six generations. CD1 (H-2k) mice were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, MA), and C57BL/6 mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Animals were all housed in a specific pathogen–free facility and used at 10–14 wk of age. Animals received humane care in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the National Institutes of Health (Bethesda, MD).

Reagents. Human CTLA4-Ig, a fusion protein between the extracellular domain of human CTLA4 and the Fc portion of human IgG1, was generated by Genetics Institute. L6, a control human IgG1 mAb, was purchased from PharMingen. The blocking anti-CTLA4 mAb 4F10 (2) was purchased from Genetics Institute. L6, a control human IgG1 mAb, was purchased from PharMingen. Reagents.

**Heart Transplantation.** C57BL/6 CD28+/+ or CD28−/− mice were anesthetized and mechanically ventilated. CD1 donor hearts were heterotopically transplanted into a cervical location using a 200 µm diameter silastic tube (Costar Corp., Cambridge, MA) for 6 d in the presence of irradiated allogeneic CD1 (H-2k) splenocytes for 6 d.

Flow Cytometry. Splenocytes from C57BL/6 CD28+/+ and CD28−/− mice (25 × 10^6 cells) were incubated in upright flasks (Costar Corp., Cambridge, MA) for 6 d in the presence of irradiated (2,000 rad) CD1 splenocytes (25 × 10^6 cells) in complete medium supplemented with recombinant human IL-2 (20 U/ml) to maintain cell viability. Live cells were restimulated with fresh irradiated CD1 splenocytes (10^6 each) and human IL-2 (20 U/ml) in 24-well plates (Costar Corp.). After 72 h, cells were stained for surface CD4 and total CTLA4, as described previously (1). Two-color flow cytometry was performed using a FACScan® flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA). Data analysis was performed using CellQuest® software (Becton Dickinson).

Histology. Hearts were fixed in formalin, embedded in paraffin, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and analyzed using an optical microscope.

RNA Protection Assay. Cardiac grafts harvested at different days after transplantation were quick frozen in dry ice and kept at −80°C until assayed. Frozen hearts were homogenized in 3–5 ml of Trizol (Life Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD), and RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Samples were normalized by optical density and by visualizing 18S and 28S RNA on a 1% agarose gel before use. The RNA protection assay was performed on 5 µg of RNA/sample using a multiprobe RNA protection assay system (Riboquant; PharMingen). L32 and GAPDH probes were used to assess the total amount of RNA loaded per lane.

**Results**

Delayed Cardiac Allograft Rejection in CD28-deficient Mice. Compared with that in wild-type mice, hearts from CD1 mice (H-2k) were transplanted into fully allogeneic C57BL/6 wild-type mice (H-2q). The allografts were promptly rejected, as evidenced by cessation of cardiac contraction at 1 wk after transplantation (mean survival ± SE of 6 ± 1 d; Table 1). In contrast, significant prolongation of cardiac allograft survival was observed in C57BL/6 CD28-deficient animals (mean survival of 15 ± 3 d, P < 0.001).

CD28-deficient cells can upregulate CTLA4 in response to allogeneic stimulation. To determine if CTLA4 can be upregulated in CD28-deficient T cells in response to allostimulation, splenocytes from C57BL/6 CD28-expressing and CD28-deficient mice (H-2q) were stimulated in vitro with irradiated allogeneic CD1 (H-2k) splenocytes for 6 d. A secondary stimulation was performed for 3 d on the expanded allogeneic–specific surviving cells, and CTLA4 expression on T cells was assessed by flow cytometry at 72 h. Both wild-type and CD28-deficient T cells displayed similar upregulation of CTLA4 in response to an allogeneic stimulation (Fig. 1). This result was consistent with the possibility that CTLA4 might affect allogeneic immune responses in CD28-deficient mice.

Table 1. Delayed Cardiac Allograft Rejection in CD28-deficient Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiac allograft recipient</th>
<th>Graft survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6 wild-type</td>
<td>5,6,5,7,5,6,5,6,6,6,6,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C57BL/6 CD28-deficient</td>
<td>12,28,11,10,10,38,10,9,9,17,12,11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wild-type or CD28-deficient mice (H-2k) received a heart allograft (H-2q). Animals were examined daily; rejection was defined by cessation of beating of the allograft, and was confirmed by histology.
mice, animals were treated with a blocking anti-CTLA4 mAb. Previous results have indicated that whole mAb mediates the same effects as Fab fragments (2), consistent with the notion that this mAb is blocking rather than agonistic. In keeping with the hypothesis that CTLA4 was suppressing T cell responses independent of CD28, treatment with blocking anti-CTLA4 mAb also accelerated graft rejection in CD28-deficient mice (8 ± 1 d, P < 0.001; Fig. 3).

CTLA4-Ig and Anti-CTLA4 mAb Increase the Cellular Infiltrate of Allografts in CD28-deficient Mice. Histological examination of cardiac allografts from untreated mice or from animals treated with CTLA4-Ig or anti-CTLA4 mAb was performed on 7-d grafts removed before loss of palpable heart beat (Fig. 4). Analysis of CD1 allografts in C57BL/6 wild-type and CD28-deficient mice was compatible with different degrees of acute cellular rejection in all cases. The heart parenchyma was infiltrated by mononuclear cells, including lymphocytes and macrophages. These cellular infiltrates were associated with a significant destruction of cardiomyocytes. Allografts removed from untreated wild-type mice (Fig. 4 C) showed more severe histological signs of rejection than those from untreated CD28-deficient mice (Fig. 4 D). In addition, more extensive mononuclear cell infiltration and cardiomyocyte necrosis were observed in cardiac allografts harvested from CTLA4-Ig (Fig. 4 E) or anti-CTLA4-treated (Fig. 4 F) CD28-deficient animals compared with grafts from untreated CD28-deficient mice, correlating with the accelerated graft rejection we observed. Signs of acute cellular rejection were absent from syngeneic grafts, although some fibrosis was observed at later time points (100 d, Fig. 4 B).

CTLA4-Ig and Anti-CTLA4 mAb Treatments Upregulate Intraallograft IFN-γ Expression in Cardiac Allografts Transplanted into CD28-deficient Recipients. Th1-type cytokines such as IFN-γ are associated with acute allograft rejection (18). To quantify the pattern of cytokines, RNA was extracted from cardiac allografts 7 d after transplantation (day 10 is shown in one case for comparison) and from a syngeneic graft removed at 100 d. Cytokine transcripts present in the graft at the time of harvest and reflective of infiltrating mononuclear cells were visualized by RNase protection using probes for IL-4, IL-6, and IFN-γ. Consistent with the histology results, levels of IFN-γ and the monocyte-derived inflammatory cytokine IL-6 mRNA were upregulated in...
animals from groups in which accelerated rejection was observed (Fig. 5). Hearts from CD28-deficient animals had decreased levels of IFN-γ and IL-6 mRNA compared with wild-type mice. Treatment of CD28-deficient mice with CTLA4-Ig or anti-CTLA4 mAb induced an increase in the levels of both IFN-γ and IL-6 mRNA. When hearts from untreated CD28-deficient mice were harvested at later time points (day 10), i.e., closer to the time of rejection, upregulation of the same cytokine transcripts was observed. IFN-γ and IL-6 transcripts could not be detected in some control CD28-deficient mice at day 7 (data not shown), correlating with the fact that some CD28−/− animals reject allografts at earlier time points (see Table 1). No IL-4 mRNA was detected in any of the samples studied (data not shown). These results suggest that blockade of B7 family members induces a decrease in intraallograft Th1-type responses and global inflammation in wild-type but not in CD28-deficient mice.

Discussion

Inhibition of the interaction of CTLA4 with B7 family members induces acceleration of graft rejection in CD28-deficient mice. Thus, in the absence of CD28, CTLA4 appears to retain its ability to inhibit T cell responses, indicating that the function of CTLA4 is not solely to counteract
CD28 signaling. This inhibitory effect of CTLA4 could, in principle, be achieved through the direct inhibition of TCR signaling or, alternatively, by antagonizing a compensatory costimulatory receptor on CD28-deficient T cells.

Although the role of CD28 as a positive costimulatory receptor is well established, CTLA4 appears to be a negative regulator of T cell responses. Recently, the negative role of CTLA4 on T cell activation has become controversial. Indeed, contradictory reports have been published, indicating either that CTLA4 downregulates T cell responses (10, 19) or that CTLA4 can act as a weak costimulatory molecule in some systems (20). However, if a positive role of CTLA4 was masked under normal circumstances by the more potent costimulatory molecule CD28, one would have expected blockade of CTLA4 to induce prolongation of allograft survival in our model of cardiac transplantation in CD28-deficient mice. In contrast, whether CTLA4 ligation was prevented by blocking B7 family members on the APC side with anti-B7-1 plus anti-B7-2 mAbs or CTLA4-Ig, or by blocking CTLA4 directly on the T cell side with anti-CTLA4 mAb, the outcome was acceleration of graft rejection, further supporting an inhibitory role for CTLA4 on T cell responses. It is of interest that blockade of ligation of both CD28 and CTLA4 by CTLA4-Ig or by anti-B7-1 plus anti-B7-2 mAbs led to different outcomes in wild-type mice compared with CD28-deficient mice. Treatment in wild-type mice resulted in prolonged allograft survival comparable to that of untreated CD28-deficient recipients, whereas treatment in CD28-deficient animals led to rapid graft rejection similar to that of untreated wild-type mice. These results suggest a dominant role of CD28 in wild-type T cells, whereas a distinctive inhibitory role of CTLA4 is revealed in CD28-deficient T cells.

Little is known about how CTLA4 inhibits T cell responses. Because CTLA4 has a higher affinity for B7 family members than CD28, it has been hypothesized that down-regulation of T cell activation could be mediated through competition for ligand and decreased CD28 costimulation. Recent reports have suggested an additional signaling role for CTLA4. First, the cytoplasmic tail of CTLA4 has been found to associate with intracellular signaling enzymes P13-kinase (13) and protein tyrosine phosphatase 2 (SH 2-2) (21). Second, CTLA4 cross-linking has been shown to increase the activity of extracellular signal-regulated kinase and jun NH2-terminal kinase induced by TCR stimulation of pre-activated T cells in vitro (22).

It has been reported recently that in an alternative mouse cardiac allograft model, CTLA4-Ig treatment did not have any detectable effect in CD28-deficient mice compared with animals receiving control IgG (23). The reasons for the different findings in the two models remain unclear. However, Pearson and co-workers positioned the cardiac allograft in the intraperitoneal rather than in a cervical location. It is conceivable that peritoneal macrophages may contribute to rejection regardless of CTLA4 expression on T cells in this setting. In addition, it is possible that the H-2k into H-2b combination used by Pearson et al. is less potent at inducing CTLA4 expression than is H-2k into H-2b.

The levels of intragraft cytokine transcripts correlated with the numbers of mononuclear cells infiltrating the allografts. Increased levels of the proinflammatory cytokines IFN-γ and IL-6 were observed in grafts from CD28-deficient animals treated with blocking anti-CTLA4 mAb. However, detectable levels of IL-4 were not observed in any hearts (data not shown), indicating absence of infiltrating Th2-type cells. This argues for the preferential generation of Th1-type cytokines in this model, as it appears that anti-CTLA4 mAb can augment cytokine production by both Th1- and Th2-type cells (references 4 and 6, and Alegre, M.L., H. Shiels, C.B. Thompson, and T.F. Gajewski, manuscript submitted for publication). Therefore, one would expect anti-CTLA4 mAb also to increase Th2-type cytokine production, if Th2-type cells were generated after allograft challenge.

Our findings provide evidence for a role of CTLA4 independent of that of CD28 in vivo. The data strongly support the hypothesis that the function of CTLA4 as a negative regulator extends beyond its potential ability to inhibit ligand binding to CD28, and can be exerted in the absence of CD28.
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