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Summary

Interferon (IFN) exhibits a potent antiviral activity in vitro and plays a major role in the early defense against viruses. Like IFN, the proinflammatory chemokine, interleukin (IL)-8, is induced by viruses and appears in circulation during viral infections. In an in vitro cytopathic effect assay for IFN, we found that IL-8 can inhibit IFN-α activity in a dose-dependent manner. This action was reversed by specific monoclonal antibodies to IL-8. The chemokine was able to attenuate the IFN-mediated inhibition of viral replication as determined by measuring infectious virus yield. IL-8 also diminished the ability of IFN to inhibit an early stage of viral replication since IL-8 attenuated the inhibition of the formation of viral proteins. It appeared that IL-8 interfered with a late rather than an early step of IFN-mediated pathway such as early gene expression. The IL-8 inhibitory action on IFN-α antiviral activity was associated with reduced 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthetase activity, a pathway well correlated with the anti–encephalomyocarditis virus action of IFN-α. Understanding pathways that antagonize IFN action may lead to novel approaches to potentiate endogenous and therapeutic IFN.

Materials and Methods

Cells and Viruses. The human epithelial amnion WISH cell line (HeLa markers) was obtained from Dr. J.A. Armstrong (University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA). Normal human fibroblasts were prepared from foreskin. VERO (African green monkey kid-
Enzyme preparation; the titer was 10^6 pfu/ml. The virus was used to assess potency of IFN-a.

Virus preparations were clarified by low speed centrifugation, filtered through a 0.22 μm pore filter, and concentrated by ultracentrifugation. All viruses were titrated in VERO with resultant titers of 2 × 10^5, 2 × 10^6, 8 × 10^6, and 1 × 10^7 PFU/ml for EMCV, poliovirus, VSV, and HSV-1, respectively. Plaque assays are described later. Viruses were aliquoted and stored at −70°C until use.

IFNs and IL-8. Human rIL-8 was expressed in E. coli as previously described (14). Biological activity was assessed using neutrophil chemotaxis with multiwell Boyden chamber assay described (15); maximum activity was observed at 10 ng/ml (15). Also, rIL-8 (Lot No. BA-044041; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) was occasionally used and similar results were obtained. When both rIL-8 were calibrated against the reference preparation 89/520 (National Institute for Biologicals Standardization and Calibration, Hertfordshire, UK), the maximum activity was in the vicinity of 100 IU/ml. Human rIFN-α2a, obtained from Hoffmann-La Roche (Basel, Switzerland), had a specific activity of 2 × 10^8 IU/mg, as reported by the manufacturer. A starting solution was made and calibrated with NIH Gxa01-901-535 IFN-α reference preparation; the titer was 10^8 IU/ml. rIFN-γ was obtained from Genzyme (Cambridge, MA) and had a specific activity of 10^7 IU/mg, as described (15). When both rIL-8 were calibrated against the reference preparation 89/520 (National Institute for Biologicals Standardization and Calibration, Hertfordshire, UK), the maximum activity was in the vicinity of 100 IU/ml. Human rIFN-α2a, obtained from Hoffmann-La Roche (Basel, Switzerland), had a specific activity of 2 × 10^8 IU/mg, as reported by the manufacturer. A starting solution was made and calibrated with NIH Gxa01-901-535 reference preparation; the titer was 10^8 IU/ml. rIFN-α2a was used as a control.

IFN Bioassay. The tetrazolium salt (MTS) IFN microtiter assay was used to assess potency of IFN-a by measuring end point titers. The assay has been previously described in detail (16). In some experiments, the crystal violet stain assay was used (17). When using either method, the OD was correlated with the degree of protection from virus-induced cytopathic effect (18). Percent cell protection was calculated as follows: 100 × [(dilution OD − virus control OD)/[cell control OD − virus control OD]] × 100, where OD is optical density and dilution OD refers to an average OD in triplicate wells at the dilution specified. Percent cell protections were plotted against serial dilutions of the IFN preparation. End-point titers expressed as laboratory units per milliliter (LU/ml) were taken as reciprocals of dilutions that gave 50% cell protection. IFN doses (LU/ml, reciprocal of dilutions) were corrected to IU/ml by calibration with the international reference standard described above.

Antibodies. A mouse anti-human IL-8 mAb of an IgG1 isotype, W-4, was generated as previously described (18). The isotype-matched normal IgG was obtained from R & D Systems. Antibodies were incubated with IL-8 for 4 h at room temperature. Different molarity ratios were first assessed to determine optimum ratio; a ratio of 3:1 (antibody/IL-8) showed maximal reversal. For immunoprecipitation experiments, antisera to poliovirus was raised in guinea pigs; the virus was previously purified on sucrose gradient by ultracentrifugation and washed with Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.4). The antisera was adsorbed to VERO cells to eliminate antibodies cross-reactive to cellular proteins.

Virus Yield Titration. For experiments that required virus yield titration, virus was added to cells for 1 h before supernatants were aspirated to remove unadsorbed virus particles. Culture plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The cultures were subjected to freezing and thawing to lyse the cells. Cell lysates that contained both intracellular and extracellular particles were clarified from cell debris by centrifugation. PFU's in the resultant supernatants were quantitated according to standard methods (19) involving VERO cells. The overlay MEM contained either agar (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO) or in case of EMCV or methicillin-resistant Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) buffer. The cultures were incubated for 24 h with methionine-free MEM (GIBCO BRL) supplemented with 2% dialyzed FBS and 10 μg/ml of [35S]methionine (Amersham, Buckingham, U.K.). The radioactive medium was removed, and monolayers were washed three times in PBS. Cells were lysed in gel lysozyme immunoprecipitation (RIPA) buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 15 mM NaCl, 1.5 μg/ml, 1% Triton X-100, 0.25% deoxycholate, 1 mM PMSF, and 15 μg/ml aprotinin) on ice. Lysates were mixed with anti-poliovirus 1 anti- serum for overnight at 4°C. The immune complexes were precipitated using 10% suspension of protein A–Sepharose beads (Pharmacia, Uppland, Sweden) in blocking buffer (1% BSA–PBS) for 1 h at 4°C. The beads were collected by centrifugation, washed five times, and reuspended in SDS sample buffer. The immune complexes were released into the supernatants by boiling and then electrophoresed in 12% SDS-PAGE. The gels were fixed, washed, dried, and visualized by autoradiography (Kodak XAR film; Kodak, Rochester, NY) at −70°C. Before immunoprecipitation, lysates were examined by 12% SDS-PAGE to verify quality and loading of total proteins. 14C-labeled protein molecular weight markers (14-220 kD) were used to verify the size of viral proteins.

RNA Preparation and Northern Blot Analysis. Total RNA was extracted by guanidinium isothiocyanate method (20) using Trizol reagent (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH). 20 μg of total RNA was electrophoresed through a 2% agarose 2.2 M formaldehyde gel. Northern transfer was performed overnight using Zeta Probe nylon membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Membranes were baked and prehybridized in Express Hyb solution (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) in a Hybaid Mini Hybridization OVEN (Labnet, Woodbridge, NJ). cDNA probes specific for the 0.7-kb 6-16 messenger RNA (mRNA) probe (provided by Dr. Sandra Pellegren, Pasteur Institute, Paris, France) and 28S ribosomal RNA (American Type Culture Collection) were labeled with [32P]dCTP (Amersham) using nick translation kit (GIBCO BRL). The labeled probes were purified on Sephadex G-50 columns, denatured, added to Express Hyb solution, and hybridized for 1 h at 68°C. The blots were washed and exposed Kodak X-OMAT AR film (Sigma Chemical Co.), and the autoradiograms were subsequently developed.

Reverse Transcriptase PCR and Southern Blotting. In brief, the reverse transcriptase (RT) reaction was performed using 5 μg total RNA, 500 ng random hexamer (Random Primers, Promega, Madison, WI), 500 μM dNTP mixture, 20 U RNAse (Pharma- cia), and 200 U of M oloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (GIBCO BRL). The samples were heated to inactivate RT. A pair of primers that amplify both IL-8R A and B (CXR-1 and CXCR-2; Maxim Biotech, Inc., San Francisco,
CA) was used to amplify a 680-bp fragment of the CXCR gene (21); sense: 5'CTGAACCTAGCTTGCCGACCT3'; antisense: 5'TAGATGGGGTGAAGCACG3'. Hot start PCR amplification was performed using Taq DNA polymerase (Promega). cDNA was amplified for 35 cycles at 94°C for 60 s, 60°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 60 s (Gene Amp PCR System 9600; Perkin Elmer, Foster City, CA). PCR products were electrophoresed through a 2% agarose gel and visualized with ethidium bromide. Size markers (x 174 DNA/HaelII fragments) obtained from Gibco BRL were used to verify the size of 680-bp fragments. Southern blotting using Zetaprobe nylon membrane (Bio-Rad) was performed, and the membrane was hybridized with a 40-mer oligonucleotide probe that recognizes CXCR1 and CXCR2 PCR bands. Its sequence was as follows: 5'TGCTATGAGGACTGGCGAACATACAGCA3'. The probes was labeled at 5' end with [γ-32P]ATP (Amersham) using T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England BioLabs, Beverly, MA). Labeled probes were purified on a Sephacel G-50 column, denatured, and added to the membranes in ExpressHyb solution and hybridized for 1 h at 37°C. The blots were washed and exposed to Kodak X-Omat AR film (Sigma Chemical Co.), and the autoradiograms were subsequently developed.

The pair of primers that amplify 282-bp fragment for 2',5'-oligoadenylate synthetase (OAS) and 838-bp fragment for β actin, and the oligonucleotide probe used to confirm OAS PCR fragments were previously described in detail (5). RT-PCR for OAS and β actin gene expression was performed essentially the same as above. The PCR conditions for OAS amplification allowed at least qualitative comparisons, e.g., significant stimulation or inhibition, of signal strength on agarose gels. These comparisons were validated by generating PCR products using different cycles in which the signal strength was within linearity up to 44 cycles. The competition receptor binding assay was performed in 96-well U-bottom flexible plates (Falcon, Becton Dickinson; Bedford, MA). The wells were first coated overnight with FBS to reduce nonspecific binding (22). WISH or the CXCR-positive THP-1 cells (23) were seeded at 0.5×10⁶/well and incubated in a binding buffer (PBS, 1% BSA, and sodium azide) with 10⁻⁶ M England BioLabs, Beverly, MA). Labeled probes were purified on a Sephacel G-50 column, denatured, and added to the membranes in ExpressHyb solution and hybridized for 1 h at 37°C. The blots were washed and exposed to Kodak X-Omat AR film (Sigma Chemical Co.), and the autoradiograms were subsequently developed.

Statistical Analysis. All comparisons were performed with the Student's paired t test with the aid of GraphPad Prism software (San Diego, CA). Significance was reported with two-tailed P < 0.005 unless otherwise described.

Results

Inhibitory Effect of IL-8 on IFN-α Antiviral Action. We found that inclusion of recombinant IL-8 in an in vitro assay for IFN activity (16, 17) significantly reduced the potency of IFN-α. The assay measures the ability of IFN to protect human WISH epithelial cells from the cytopathic, (e.g., cytotoxic) effects (CPE) induced by encephalomyocarditis virus. When target cells were pretreated with IL-8, 90% reduction in IFN-α potency (P < 0.005, paired Student’s t test) was consistently observed as assessed by measuring end-point titers (Fig. 1A). When the dosage was expressed in terms of international unitage, the ED₅₀ of IFN-α activity increased from 1 to 10 IU/ml as a result of IL-8 treatment (Fig. 1B). IL-8 did not enhance EM CV-induced CPE at the virus challenge dose, multiplicity of infection equal to 0.1, used in the assay which gave near maximum (90-100%) CPE. Virus-induced CPE was 90 ± 4% and 95 ± 2% in the absence or presence of IL-8 (33 ng/ml), respectively. Also, IL-8 did not affect cell viability (MTS tetrazolium assay) which was 98 ± 4.5% of cell control in the presence of IL-8.

Dependence of IL-8 Inhibitory Potency on IFN Dose, IFN Type, and IL-8 Dose. The IL-8 inhibitory potency towards anti-EM CV IFN-α activity was more pronounced at lower IFN doses (Fig. 1). For example, at 20 IU/ml, the IFN-mediated inhibition of CPE was 90 and 70% in the absence and presence of IL-8 treatment, respectively. In contrast, at 1 IU/ml, the IFN-mediated inhibition of viral CPE decreased from 50 to 8% due to IL-8 treatment (Fig. 1B).

The inhibitory effect of IL-8 on IFN-α antiviral activity was dose dependent, yielding an ED₅₀ of ~150 pg/ml (Fig. 2). Maximum inhibition was observed at 10 ng/ml (Fig. 2). No IL-8 toxicity was seen at the doses tested as judged by MTS tetrazolium dye; for example, at 100 ng/ml, cell control OD was 1.35 ± 0.02 (n = 4), whereas in the presence of 100 ng/ml of IL-8, the OD was 1.34 ± 0.04 (n = 4). Likewise, there was no toxicity observed using either try-
pan blue exclusion dye or crystal violet staining; in both instances, the IL-8 controls were always 97 ± 3% of cell control.

rIFN-γ appeared to be less susceptible to the inhibitory effect of IL-8 than IFN-α; in four experiments, rIFN-γ titers (10^3 IU/ml) were reduced by 60–80%, whereas IFN-α titers in parallel experiments were inhibited by 85–93%.

Specificity of IL-8 Inhibition of IFN-α Activity. mAb to IL-8 (WS-4; 18), but not isotype-matched IgG, reversed most of IL-8 inhibitory effect on anti-EMCV IFN-α activity as assessed by reduction in IFN titers (Fig. 3 A). Also, anti-IL-8 was able to reverse the IL-8 inhibitory action on IFN-α activity as assessed by the percentage of IFN-mediated cell protection from virally-induced CPE (Fig. 3 B).

Expression of CXCR (IL-8R) in WISH Cells. The IL-8 receptors, CXCR1 and CXCR2, are not only expressed on cells of hematopoietic origin such as neutrophils and monocytes, but also on cells of nonhematopoietic type (23–26). Using THP-1 cell line as a positive control for IL-8 receptor (23), we found that WISH cells also contained CXCR transcripts as assessed by RT-PCR (Fig. 4, top). To confirm the PCR products, a probe for highly conserved region of CXCR1 and CXCR2 was used in Southern analysis as revealed in Fig. 4 (bottom). The CXCR transcript was expressed at lower levels in WISH than in THP-1. This may be attributed to the presence of other homologous chemokine receptor sequences. The lower expression of CXCR in WISH than in THP-1 cells was also observed in radiobinding competitive experiments (Table 1). Specific binding of 125I-IL-8 due to 750-fold molar excess of unlabeled IL-8 was threefold lower in WISH than in THP-1. Also, unlabeled IL-8 competed less efficiently in THP-1 than in WISH cells (Table 1).

Effect of IL-8 on Infectious Virus Yield in IFN-treated Cells. Not only did IL-8 attenuate IFN-α antiviral action against virus-induced CPE, but also against the total (intracellular and extracellular) virus yield from infected cells (see Fig. 5). This may indicate that IL-8 antagonized IFN-α inhibitory action on viral replication. There was antagonism by IL-8 (four- to sixfold enhancement of virus yield) in EMCV-infected WISH cells treated with IFN-α doses at 10 IU/ml and lower (Fig. 5 A). The inhibitory effect of IL-8 on IFN-
α-mediated suppression of virus yield was also seen with poliovirus, as EMCV it is positive-stranded RNA virus of the family picornaviruses. In this case, there was three- to sixfold increase in virus yield as a result of IL-8 treatment in IFN-treated poliovirus-infected WISH cells (Fig. 5 B). Although there was no direct IL-8 enhancement of CPE, which was maximum at the virus doses used, there was a slight enhancement (twofold) in virus yield in IL-8–treated cells used with the picornaviruses (Fig. 5, A and B). The inhibitory effect of IL-8 on IFN-α action was demonstrated with HSV-1 in MRC-5 (Fig. 5 C). With such DNA virus, higher doses (e.g., >30 IU/ml; Fig. 5 C) were required to suppress HSV-1 replication. Thus, in case of HSV-1, these high IFN-α concentrations were still subject to IL-8 effect. The inhibitory effect of IL-8 on IFN action could not be demonstrated with the negative-stranded VSV using either the virus-induced CPE (data not shown) or virus yield (Fig. 5 D) assays.

Effect of IL-8 on Poliovirus Protein Synthesis IFNs are known to intervene with an early stage of picornaviral replication resulting in inhibition of the formation of viral proteins (1,2). We had neutralizing antiserum of high titer to poliovirus type 1; poliovirus as EMCV is IFN-sensitive picornavirus and has limited number of distinct proteins. Fig. 6 illustrates that the antiserum recognized at least three of the four major capsid proteins of poliovirus: VP1 (34 kD), VP2 (28 kD), and VP3 (24 kD), in addition to another band that may represent one of the precursor or intracellular proteins.

Table 1. Competition of Binding by Radiolabeled and Unlabeled IL-8 to WISH and THP-1 Cell Lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>125I–IL-8 (cpm)</th>
<th>125I–IL-8 + excess unlabeled IL-8 (cpm)</th>
<th>Specific binding (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WISH</td>
<td>4,350 ± 1,076</td>
<td>2,898 ± 462</td>
<td>1,451 ± 649 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THP-1</td>
<td>8,863 ± 1,730</td>
<td>3,923 ± 816</td>
<td>4,431 ± 918 (50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*5 × 10^5 cells were used with 10^6 cpm of 125I–IL-8 in a radiobinding competition assay in the absence or presence of 750-fold molar excess of unlabeled IL-8. ‡Percent of total binding. Results are the average of three independent experiments with SEM.

Figure 5. Effect of IL-8 on virus yield in IFN-treated cells. Confluent cells in microtiter plates were pretreated overnight with IL-8 (10 ng/ml) followed by IFN-α at indicated concentrations for 20 h. Viruses were added at doses that were previously determined to cause maximum CPE within 24 h. The following virus-cell systems were used: EMCV/WISH (A), poliovirus/WISH (B), HSV-1/MRC-5 (C), and VSV/normal fibroblasts (D). Infectious virus yield was quantitated in terms of PFU/ml as described in Materials and Methods. IL-8 itself had no effect on the CPE. Data are from an average of three experiments. *Statistical significance at \( P < 0.005 \) when compared to IFN alone at the indicated concentrations. VC, virus control; VC + IL-8, virus control that was treated with IL-8. All other treatments, as indicated, are in the presence of virus.
The inhibition of the formation of 35S-labeled poliovirus proteins showed a clear dose response by IFN-α (Fig. 6). IL-8 was able to attenuate the IFN-mediated inhibition of the formation of poliovirus proteins (Fig. 6). IL-8 reversed the IFN-mediated inhibition of viral proteins synthesis particularly at doses 0.1 and 1 IU/ml of IFN-α. IL-8 itself did not significantly upregulate the protein bands (Fig. 6) with the cytopathic challenge used in the experiments.

Kinetics of IL-8 Inhibitory Action on IFN-α Antiviral Activity and Lack of IL-8 Interference with Early IFN Response. The IL-8 inhibitory effect on IFN activity was similarly demonstrated whether IL-8 was added before, simultaneously with, or as late as 20 h after IFN treatment (Fig. 7). This suggests that IL-8 exerted its inhibitory action at a late rather than early step in IFN-mediated pathway. As shown in Fig. 8, this was also supported by the lack of changes in mRNA expression of the 6-16 gene (27) that contains the IFN-α-stimulated response element that is conserved in most IFN-α responsive genes (28).

Effect of IL-8 on the IFN-Regulated OAS Activity. We looked at the constitutive OAS pathway, a pathway that was reported to correlate with IFN-α action against EMCV, but not VSV (1, 2). Both EMCV, substantially, and VSV, moderately, were associated with lower OAS activity, probably due to their general cytopathic perturbation of the cells (Fig. 9). However, IL-8 action was associated with further reduction in OAS activity in the EMCV-infected IFN-treated cells (Fig. 9). The IL-8 suppressive action on OAS activity in the presence of IFN-α appears to be linked to the type of the virus since this was not seen with anti-VSV IFN-α activity (Fig. 9). IL-8 (10 ng/ml) had minimum effect on the cellular constitutive OAS activity in the presence of absence of IFN-α (Fig. 9). However, at high doses, e.g., 100 ng/ml, there was 38% inhibition of OAS activity in WISH cells with or without affecting cell viability; in three independent experiments, the OAS activity was 25,800 ± 6,025 and 17,442 ± 3,990 in the absence or presence of 100 ng/ml, respectively.

It appeared that IL-8 had no dramatic effect, e.g., significant inhibition, on IFN-α-induced mRNA expression of OAS as assessed by RT-PCR (Fig. 10), supporting the hypothesis that IL-8 apparently blocked the antiviral action of IFN-α at late, e.g., OAS activity, rather than early stage.

Discussion

Recently, chemokines have attracted the attention of the biomedical community because of their protective role in HIV infections and the reports of an ever increasing number of chemokines with novel functions (29–32). In this study, we have also demonstrated a novel role for one member of the chemokine family that, to the best of our knowledge, has not been previously described. In short, we have provided evidence for the IL-8 inhibition of the antiviral action of IFN-α in several virus–cell systems with emphasis on EMCV and HeLa line (WISH) as a well-studied model for IFN action.

The potency of the IL-8 inhibitory effect on IFN-α antiviral action observed here was dependent on IFN-α and IL-8 doses. The action of IL-8 was more potent at lower IFN doses (<30 IU/ml). These are still within the physiological concentrations in plasma of healthy individuals, patients with viral diseases, and even patients undergoing some IFN therapy regimens (33–35). The IL-8 doses shown in this report to be effective in inhibition of IFN-α antiviral action were also observed in plasma of subjects with various inflammatory and viral infections (36–38).
IFN- activity against HSV-1 may well be different from pathway, and the mechanism of IL-8 inhibitory action on inhibition of HSV-1 replication may not be attributed to OAS the replication of the virus (1, 2, 42). For example, IFN in-
multiple mechanisms that is regulated by IFN to control certain virus–cell systems may be related to which of the be subject to IL-8 action. Thus, the IL-8 selective action in unlike VSV-infected, cells were associated with decreased Taking these observations together with our previous ob-
results, fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and keratinocytes (23–
Hexo virus, but not VSV replication (39–41). Our observa-
tions have demonstrated that IL-8 inhibits IFN-α antivi-
ral action against the picornaviruses, EMCV, and poliovi-
rus, but not against VSV. Also, reduction in OAS activity
with IL-8 production or action may suppress viral activity
in vivo during therapy and disease. Careful assessment of these hypothesis in animal models is required. Interference
in vivo (3, 6–8, 36–38), the enhancement of viral replication by IL-8 (4, 5), and the interference with IFN-α antiviral action against viruses may constitute a common strategy by which viruses take advantage of the host proteins for their own survival. This is opposite to the IFN system, which is to protect cells from viruses, and also shown to inhibit IL-8 synthesis (44).

In the present investigation, we used picornaviruses, such as EMCV, that are common in the study of IFN sys-
tem (1, 40–42). Aside from EMCV and poliovirus, IFN-α-mediated inhibition of HSV-1 replication seems to be also compromised by IL-8. However, it is not known whether IL-8 inhibits IFN action against other viruses such as HIV. Recently, it was reported that β chemokines (RANTES, macrophage inhibitory protein–1α, and –1β) and the α chemokine stromal-derived factor 1 can inhibit HIV binding to the coreceptor CC-CCR-5 and CXC-CCR-3, re-
spectively (for review see reference 29). This is not neces-
sarily in conflict with the notion of a potential antagonizing effect of IL-8 on IFN action in HIV infections. IL-8 binds to different receptors, CCR1 and CCR2, that are ex-
pressed by several types of leukocytes and cell types of non-
hematopoietic origin including WISH epithelial cells (our results), fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and keratinocytes (23–
26).

The presence of IL-8 induced by viruses or other stimuli may contribute, at least partly, to the low potency of IFN in vivo during therapy and disease. Careful assessment of these hypothesis in animal models is required. Interference with IL-8 production or action may suppress viral activity and/or augment endogenous IFN-α antiviral action against selected viruses. Also, intervention of IL-8 action or pro-
duction may be useful as a mean of supplementing IFN-α therapy and hence, enhancing of IFN-α antiviral potency or reduction of its toxicity.
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