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Summary

We have studied natural killer (NK) cell tolerance in a major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I transgenic line, DL6, in which the transgene product was expressed on only a fraction of blood cells. In contrast with transgenic mice expressing the same transgene in all cells, NK cells from mosaic mice failed to reject transgene-negative bone marrow or lymphoma grafts. However, they retained the capability to reject cells with a total missing-self phenotype, i.e., cells lacking also wild-type MHC class I molecules. Tolerance against transgene-negative cells was demonstrated also in vitro, and could be broken if transgene-positive spleen cells of mosaic mice were separated from negative cells before, or after 4 d of culture in interleukin-2. The results provide support for selective NK cell tolerance to one particular missing-self phenotype but not to another. We suggest that this tolerance is determined by NK cell interactions with multiple cells in the environment, and that it is dominantly controlled by the presence of cells lacking a specific MHC class I ligand. Furthermore, the tolerant NK cells could be reactivated in vitro, which suggests that the tolerance occurs without deletion of the potentially autoreactive NK cell subset(s), and that it may be dependent upon the continuous presence of tolerizing cells.

NK cells kill tumor cells and virus-infected cells (1–3), regulate hematopoiesis (4), and mediate rejection of MHC mismatched hematopoietic grafts (5, 6). The molecular interactions that take place during NK cell recognition are incompletely understood, but one important factor for NK cell sensitivity is the MHC class I expression of the target. In contrast with T cells, which require MHC class I expression by target cells to initiate lysis, NK cells preferentially kill cells lacking MHC class I expression (7–13). However, NK cell recognition does not depend on complete MHC class I deficiency on the graft. Failure of a target cell to express one specific MHC class I allele may be sufficient to trigger NK cells. This mechanism was suggested as one explanation of hybrid resistance, a phenomenon in which NK cells of F1 hybrid mice reject parental hematopoietic grafts (reviewed in reference 6). According to the missing-self hypothesis, parental cells would be rejected by F1 hybrid mice because they fail to express a complete set of host MHC class I alleles (7, 9). Evidence for this hypothesis has been obtained in experiments with MHC class I transgenic mice. Introduction of a Dα transgene in C57BL/6 (B6) mice conveyed NK cell–mediated rejection of nontransgenic, but otherwise syngeneic, grafts (14, 15). Transfection of the Dα gene to the sensitive target led to escape from rejection, suggesting that killing was triggered by missing self (16–18).

The results described above suggest that the ability to recognize cells lacking one or several specific MHC class I alleles may represent a general strategy in NK cell function. The identification of MHC class I–specific inhibitory receptors on NK cells, such as the members of the Ly-49 family in the mouse (19–21) and the p58/p70 molecules in human (22–24) have recently given molecular support for this concept. When NK cells carrying these receptors meet target cells expressing the correct MHC class I ligands, lysis is inhibited (19, 21, 25, 26). Furthermore, host MHC class I alleles also influence the expression and function of the inhibitory receptors (27–30). These results emphasize the pivotal role of host MHC class I molecules in the development of NK cell specificity, and raise questions as to how MHC class I molecules educate NK cells and how self-tolerance is secured.

In contrast with T and B cells, little is known regarding the mechanisms that induce NK cell tolerance and about the properties of the tolerant NK cells. Attempts to induce tolerance in F1 mice by inoculating parental cells have been made (31–36), but the interpretations of such experiments have been difficult. First, the recipients were mostly adult mice containing mature NK cells, which may not be ideal for the study of how tolerance would develop normally. Second, the inoculated parental cells were in many cases mature immunocompetent cells, which makes it difficult to...
distinguish between specific tolerizing effects on NK cells and nonspecific effects of graft versus host disease. Third, there have been no studies of NK cell tolerance to cells lacking specific self-MHC class I alleles.

In the present report, we have studied the development of the NK cell repertoire and NK cell tolerance to self in an MHC class I transgenic mouse (DL6) in which the transgene Dd/Ld (α1/α2 domains of Dd coupled to the α3 domain, transmembrane and intracellular domains of Ld) is spontaneously expressed in only a fraction (10–80%) of the hematopoietic cells. This model has allowed us to ask a number of questions about the role of host MHC class I molecules in NK cell development. (a) Are the MHC class I molecules expressed by the NK cells themselves sufficient to determine their specificity, or are interactions with other cells necessary? (b) If interactions with other cells are important, would the presence of cells selectively deficient in a particular MHC class I ligand dominantly control tolerance to these cells? Alternatively, would the interaction with cells expressing a particular ligand be sufficient to instruct NK cells to kill cells lacking this ligand? (c) Is tolerance to different missing-self phenotypes controlled selectively and independently? (d) Are potentially autoreactive NK cells deleted in a selection process or can they persist as anergized or specifically tolerized cells? (e) In the latter case, is the specificity of an NK cell a permanent property or can it be altered?

Materials and Methods

Mice and Cell Lines. Mice were kept and bred at the Microbiology and Tumor Biology Center (MTC; Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden). The transgenic DL6 mice were made by microinjecting an MHC class I gene construct (pG24) containing the α1/α2 domains from Dd and the α3 plus the intracellular domains from Ld (37) into CD1 × B6 embryos. The founder mice were screened for presence of the transgene by Southern blot analysis and the DL6 founder was selected for subsequent back-crossing to B6. DL1 mice were made using the same gene construct microinjected into embryos derived from inbred B6 mice. These founder mice were screened by immunofluorescence analysis of peripheral blood lymphocytes, using antibodies directed against the transgene product. The DL1 transgenic line has previously been described as T62UL (17). The generation of Dd transgenic D8 mice (38) as well as β2-microglobulin (B2m)–deficient mice (39) has been described earlier. Nontransgenic B6 mice were used as controls and were purchased from B&K Universal AB (Solntunna, Sweden) or from Bomholtgård Breeding and Research Centre (Ry, Denmark). The cell line RMA is a subline of Dd; FITC conjugated, A1 (anti-CD8; PE conjugated), R3-6B2 (anti-CD45R [B220]; PE conjugated), 34-4-215 (anti-α1/α2 domains of Dd), YT3.1.2 and YTS 191 (anti-CD4) were from European Collection of Animal Cultures (Porton Down, UK). 1D9 (anti-L-1) supernatant was a gift from Dr. C. Watts via B. Chambers (Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden). Streptavidin–Red670 conjugate was purchased from Life Technologies AB (Täby, Sweden).

Preparation of Cells and FACS® Analysis. Cells from peripheral blood or spleen were pelleted, resuspended in water for 20 s to lyse the erythrocytes and subsequently washed in PBS containing 1% FCS. IL-2–activated spleen cells were washed once and resuspended in PBS with 1% FCS. The cells were then incubated with labeled mAb for 30 min on ice, washed, resuspended in PBS, and analyzed on a FACScan® (Becton Dickinson, Mountain View, CA). To analyze mice for presence of the Dd/Ld transgene, peripheral blood cells (103) were stained with FITC-conjugated antibody against the α1/α2 domains of Dd (3-25.4). Cells other than lymphocytes were gated out and 5,000 cells were analyzed. Double staining of cells from spleen was used to analyze for transgene expression in different cell types. Spleen cells were incubated with PE-conjugated mAbs directed against markers for B, T, or NK cells together with FITC–conjugated antibody against the α1/α2 domains of Dd. The gate was set to exclude other cells than lymphocytes and compensation was set to correct for the registration of FITC fluorescence on the PE detector and vice versa.

To analyze for expression of NK cell receptors, NK cells were enriched from mouse splenocytes as follows: erythrocyte-depleted splenocytes were resuspended in RPMI 5+ (RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, nonessential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, and 50 μM 2-ME) and loaded onto nylon wool (Polysience, Eppelheim, Germany) columns that had been preincubated at 37°C for 1 h. The columns were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 1 h, after which nonadherent cells were eluted with RPMI 5+. The eluted cells were depleted of cells expressing MHC class II, CD4, and CD8 by incubating 106 cells with mAbs against MHC class II, CD4, and CD8 for 30 min on ice followed by incubation with rabbit complement for 1 h at 37°C. The cells were washed three times and were then in a first step incubated with biotinylated antibody against Ly-49A, washed, and incubated with FITC-conjugated antibody against the α1/α2 domains of Dd together with PE-conjugated antibody against Ly-49C/I and Streptavidin–Red670. A gate was set to exclude cells other than lymphocytes. This gate was combined with gates for Dd α1/α2–negative or Dd α1/α2–positive cells for analysis of Ly-49 expression. Staining for Dd α1/α2 and NK1.1 in combination with Ly-49A or Ly-49C/I in the same experiment was included to determine the expression of NK1.1 in relation to Ly-49A or Ly-49C/I.

Tumor Growth Experiments. 103 or 104 tumor cells (kept as ascites line in the peritoneal cavity of syngeneic mice) were inoculated subcutaneously into the right flank of age-matched DL6, DL1, B6, and D8 mice. The growth of the solid tumors was followed by weekly palpations. To deplete NK cells in control mice, 0.2 ml of anti-NK1.1 mAb (ascites preparation) was inoculated intraperitoneally 2 d before inoculation of tumor cells as described (40).

Bone Marrow Transplantation Experiments. Bone marrow cells (BMC)6 were obtained from donor mice by crushing the tibia and femur in PBS using mortar and pestle. The cells were washed

6Abbreviations used in this paper: BMC, bone marrow cells; MPC, magnetic particle concentrator.
once and resuspended in PBS. 10⁶ bone marrow cells were inoculated intravenously in the tail vein of irradiated (800 rads) recipient mice. Control mice were given 0.2 ml anti-NK1.1 mAb (ascites preparation) intraperitoneally 2 d before to deplete NK cells. After 5 d, 0.5 µCi 5-iodo-2'-deoxyuridine ([125I]dUrd) was inoculated intraperitoneally. To inhibit endogenous thymidylate synthetase, 5-fluoro-2'-deoxyuridine (FdUrd; 25 µg in 0.1 ml RPMI) was inoculated intraperitoneally 1 h before. The following day the mice were killed and incorporated radioactivity in the spleens was measured in a γ-counter. Transplantation to either syngeneic hosts or NK cell-depleted hosts were included in each experiment to test for the ability of the BMC to engraft after inoculation. In all experiments, groups receiving no BMC were included as irradiation controls.

Cell Separation Using Immunomagnetic Beads. Rat anti-mouse IgM-conjugated immunomagnetic beads, M-450 (Dynal, Oslo, Norway) were preincubated with IgM antibody against Dd/α1/α2 (34-4-21S) at 4°C for 30 min. 1.5 µg antibody/mg beads was used. Erythrocyte-depleted splenocytes, or day 4 IL-2-activated NK cells, were incubated with the precoated beads for 30 min at 4°C. Cells bound to the beads were then collected with a magnetic particle concentrator (MPC; Dynal, Oslo, Norway), and washed 4-5 times. Unbound cells were once again put in the MPC to remove remaining bead-bound cells from the supernatant. All incubations and washes were made in PBS containing 0.1% BSA. After separation, Dd/Lα-positive and Dd/Lα-negative cells were cultured separately in IL-2 and used as NK effector cells after 4 d (or 1 d in the case of the day 4 IL-2-activated cells). In the Dd/Lα-positive culture the beads were present during IL-2 activation. They were removed using the MPC before the NK cells were used as effector cells and in FACS® analysis. As a control, DL1 cells were incubated with precoated beads, collected with the MPC, and cultured in presence of the beads. This did not affect the ability to lyse target cells (data not shown).

Generation of IL-2-activated NK Cells and Concanavalin A-activated Lymphoblasts. Effector cells were generated as described (17, 41). In brief, splen cells were cultured at 37°C in complete medium (α-MEM containing 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, 10 mM Hepes buffer, and 2 × 10⁻³ M 2-ME) in the presence of 1,000 U/ml of IL-2 and in a 10% CO₂, 90% air mixture. After 3 d both adherent and nonadherent cells were removed and used as effector cells. The starting material in this study was either (a) erythrocyte-depleted spleen cells, or (b) freshly separated bead-bound (Dd/Lα-positive) or unbound (Dd/Lα-negative) spleen cells. To generate lymphoblasts, erythrocyte-depleted spleen cells were cultured for 4 h in complete medium supplemented with 3 µg/ml Con A (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Before use as target cells in a standard ⁵¹Cr-release assay, dead cells were removed by centrifugation with Lymphoprep (Nycomed, Oslo, Norway).

Cytotoxicity Assay. The assay was performed in complete medium. Effector cells were used at effector to target ratios from 100:1 to 3:1, and 5 × 10⁵ target cells (labeled with ⁵¹Cr) were plated per well. Each E/T ratio was assayed in triplicate. The assay was incubated in 37°C and after 4 h 70 µl of supernatant was harvested and the radioactivity of each sample was determined by counting in a γ-counter. Percent specific lysis was calculated as follows: percent specific lysis = [(cpm experimental release - cpm spontaneous release)/cpm maximum release - cpm spontaneous release] × 100. The spontaneous release represents the % Cr release from target cells incubated in the absence of effector cells, experimental release represents the release from target cells incubated with effector cells and maximum release represents the % Cr content of resuspended target cells.

Results
Expression and Inheritance of the Dd/Lα Transgene. The Dd/Lα transgene is an exon-shuffled gene containing exons 1–3 from Dd coupled to exons 4–8 from Lα (37). The chimeric protein is thus composed of the α1/α2 domains of Dd linked to the α3, transmembrane and intracellular domains of Lα. Because the construct contains an endogenous MHC class I promoter, expression in all cells was expected in transgenic mice (38). However, flow cytometry analysis of peripheral blood lymphocytes, using a FITC-conjugated antibody directed against the α1/α2 domains of Dd, showed variations in staining patterns between mice originating from different founders (Fig. 1). Two transgenic mouse lines (DL1 and DL6) were used in this study. DL1 mice behaved as expected; mice from backcrosses of hemizygous DL1 mice to B6 had either only Dd/Lα-negative or Dd/Lα-positive lymphocytes (Fig. 1, A-F). In contrast, when mice from the DL6 founder backcrossed to B6 were analyzed, some of the offspring gave rise to histograms with only one negative peak (negative phenotype; Fig. 1 A), whereas other mice showed two populations, one Dd/Lα-negative and one Dd/Lα-positive (mosaic phenotype; Fig. 1, B-D). Further analysis of the DL6 line suggested that the transgene was inherited in a Mendelian fashion, segregating...
Table 1. Inheritance of the D^d/1^d Transgene in DL6 Mice
Backcrossed to B6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transgene-positive Pups/Total Number of Pups (Percentage of Total)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Backcross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Presence of the transgene in offspring from the backcrosses was detected by FACS analysis of peripheral blood leucocytes stained with the 3-25.4 antibody (anti-D^d/ot1/ot2).

as a single autosomal dominant gene with the negative phenotype representing transgene-negative mice and the mosaic phenotype representing transgene-positive mice. In a backcross analysis, 55 of 109 mice (50%) were phenotyped as mosaic (29 males and 26 females) and 54 as negative (29 males and 25 females) (Table 1), and when presence of the transgene was assessed by Southern blot analysis on a limited number of mice, all mice of the negative phenotype typed as negative at the gene level, whereas all mice with the mosaic phenotype typed as positive (data not shown). Mice of negative phenotype will be termed transgene-negative and the mice of mosaic phenotype transgene-positive with respect to genotype. The cells from transgene-positive mice will be denoted D^d/L^d positive and D^d/L^d negative. The transgene was expressed similarly in male and female mice, excluding X chromosome inactivation as an explanation for the mosaicism. The transmission and the expression pattern in the offspring were also independent of whether the transgene was inherited from the father or the mother (46% vs. 49% of offspring positive), which made conventional parental imprinting an unlikely explanation (Table 1).

Although the percentage of D^d/L^d-positive cells appeared constant in individual mice, it showed a wide variation between different DL6 mice, ranging from 10 to 80% (Fig. 1). This suggested that the expression pattern in peripheral blood was not a result of cell type-specific expression. Two-color immunofluorescence analysis, in which splenocytes from DL6 mice were stained for expression of the transgene product and for markers of B, T, or NK cells, showed that each of these cell populations were composed of D^d/L^d-positive as well as D^d/L^d-negative cells (Fig. 2). In five individually analyzed mice, the percentage of D^d/L^d-positive T and B cells closely resembled the percentage of positive cells in whole spleen. The distribution of NK cells was slightly shifted towards D^d/L^d-positive cells compared with the total spleen cell distribution, but the total number of NK1.1-positive cells was not different between DL6, DL1, and B6 mice (data not shown). The mosaic expression pattern was also seen in fibroblasts that had been treated with IFN-γ to induce expression of MHC class I, which indicated that the expression pattern of the transgene can not be overruled by induction with IFN-γ (data not shown). This also indicated that the regulation of transgene expression is cell lineage independent, suggesting that it is determined early in embryonic development.

NK Cells in DL6 Mice Are Tolerant to D^d-negative as well as D^d-positive Grafts. To test the ability of NK cells in D^d/L^d-transgenic mice to reject H-2^b-positive but D^d/L^d-negative lymphoma cells, RMA tumor cells (of B6 origin) were inoculated subcutaneously into DL6, DL1, B6, and D8 mice (Table 2). In contrast with D8 and DL1 mice, which both resisted the tumor, mosaic DL6 mice all developed large tumors within 2 wk after inoculation. This was also the case with anti-NK1.1−treated DL1 and D8 mice and with nontransgenic B6 mice. The failure of DL6 mice to reject RMA tumor cells was not related to the number of D^d/L^d-positive cells in the mosaic mice; animals with 80% positive cells were as susceptible to tumor growth as those with 18% positive cells (included in Table 2). This finding argues against the possibility that the mosaic mice have too few D^d/L^d-positive NK cells to eliminate transgene-negative cells. The fact that the mice failed to reject even a low dose of tumor cells also speaks against this alternative (Table 2).

Mosaic DL6 mice were also unable to reject bone marrow grafts of the H-2^b haplotype (Fig. 3 A), and IL-2−activated NK cells from DL6 mice failed to kill B6-derived lymphoblasts in vitro (Fig. 4). In contrast, NK cells from DL1 mice rejected H-2^b bone marrow grafts in vivo (see Fig. 3) and killed B6-derived lymphoblasts in vitro (Fig. 4). Thus, they
behaved as transgenic mice expressing a normal $D^d$ transgene (15, 17).

It may be argued that $D^d/L^d$-positive NK cells are not tolerant but in fact continuously react against $D^d/L^d$-negative cells in the mosaic mice. The latter would act as cold target competitors and prevent recognition of $D^d/L^d$-negative grafts or target cells. However, the DL6 mice appear to be healthy and breed normally, and we have not observed any signs of wasting disease in these mice. Furthermore, the relative amount of transgene-positive cells versus negative cells did not change significantly with time, arguing against continuous destruction of transgene-negative cells (data not shown).

Normal B6 mice have been shown to reject $D^d$-positive bone marrow cells from D8 mice (15, 42). This rejection has been suggested to reflect positive recognition of foreign MHC class I molecules by NK cells. It was interesting to note that, in contrast with B6 mice, D8-derived bone marrow cells were not rejected in DL6 mice (see Fig. 3 A). IL-2-activated NK cells from DL6 mice were also unable to kill $D^d/L^d$-positive lymphoblasts in vitro (Fig. 4). However, the latter finding was not surprising because previous experiments have shown that the rejection of D8 bone mar-
Tolerance in DL6 Mice Does Not Extend to Cells with a Generally Reduced Expression of MHC Class I Molecules. To investigate whether the tolerance in DL6 mice was due to a general deficiency in killing potential nor to a generally abrogated capacity to distinguish between MHC class I-negative and positive cells. The results rather suggested that the tolerance was specific for cells of the tolerizing phenotype.

Tolerance Against Dα/Lβ-negative Cells in DL6 Mice Can Neither Be Explained by Complete Deletion of the Ly-49A Single-positive Cells, nor by Coexpression of Ly-49C on All Ly-49A-positive NK Cells. It has been shown that the killing of H-2k-positive but Dα-negative cells by D8 NK cells in vitro is mediated by the Ly-49A+ subset (29). One way to abrogate this reactivity would be to ensure that the Ly-49A+ NK cells coexpressed an additional receptor mediating inhibition by interaction with H-2k ligands on the target cell. Ly-49C has been suggested to mediate inhibition of lysis of Kb-expressing target cells (26). If such a mechanism for tolerance was operating in the DL6 mice, all, or at least an increased number of, Ly-49A+ cells would coexpress Ly-49C. To test this hypothesis, we performed FACS analysis of NK cells purified from fresh mouse splenocytes. The cells, in general consisting of 60–90% NK1.1+ cells, were triple-stained for Ly-49A, Ly-49C, and Dα. These experiments showed a similar proportion of Ly-49A+/Ly-49C− cells in DL6, DL1, and D8 mice (Fig. 5). They also identified this subset both in the Dα/Lβ-positive and the Dα/Lβ-negative cell populations of DL6 mice (Fig. 5). Although we cannot exclude minor shifts, we conclude from this that a considerable proportion of Ly-49A+ cells in the DL6 mice do not express Ly-49C, making coexpression of these two receptors a less likely explanation for tolerance in DL6 mice. However, it is possible that Ly-49A+/Ly-49C− cells express other not yet identified inhibitory receptors reactive with H-2k molecules. The SW5E6 antibody used to stain for Ly-49C was recently shown to bind also to the product of another member of the same gene family, Ly-49I (with unknown specificity and function; reference 43). This does not affect our conclusion, which rests on the observation of a Ly-49A+ population that failed to stain with the SW5E6 antibody.

Separation of Dα/Lβ-positive and Dα/Lβ-negative Cells In Vitro Abrogates NK Cell Tolerance to Dα/Lβ-negative Cells. To test whether tolerance against target cells lacking Dα/Lβ in the DL6 mice reflected an irreversible change in Dα/Lβ-positive NK cells, we separated Dα/Lβ-positive and negative DL6 cells. After separation, Dα/Lβ-positive and negative spleen cells were cultured separately in the presence of rIL-2 for 4 d, and were then used as effector cells in vitro. In contrast with IL-2-activated effector cells from unseparated DL6 splenocytes, the effector cells from the Dα/Lβ-positive culture killed B6-derived lymphoblasts as efficiently as effector cells from DL1 mice (Fig. 6A). The Dα/Lβ-negative cells were still unable to lyse these cells. The ability to lyse β2m-deficient lymphoblasts in vitro with a similar efficiency as NK cells from DL1 and B6 mice (Fig. 4). These results showed that the tolerance in DL6 mice was neither due to a general deficiency in killing potential nor to a generally abrogated capacity to distinguish between MHC class I-negative and positive cells. The results rather suggested that the tolerance was specific for cells of the tolerizing phenotype.

Figure 5. Three-color immunofluorescence analysis of Ly-49 receptor expression on purified NK cells. NK cells were enriched from B6, D8, DL1, and DL6 splenocytes by passage over a nylon wool column followed by complement-depletion of cells expressing CD4, CD8, or MHC class II molecules. The remaining cells were triple-stained using mAbs specific for Dα/α2, Ly-49A, and Ly-49C/L, and analyzed on a FACS can. Gates were set for Dα/α2-negative and Dα/α2-positive cells, and the plots show cells within either of these gates as indicated. The cells consisted of 91% (B6), 86% (D8), 61% (DL1), 82% (DL6 Dα/Lβ−), and 87% (DL6 Dα/Lβ+) NK1.1+ cells, respectively, and for all mice less than 2% of the cells expressing Ly-49A or Ly-49C/L were negative for NK1.1. One representative experiment of three is shown.
population of sorted D^d/L^d-positive DL6 effector cells (Fig. 6 B). This result suggested that the tolerance of the DL6 NK cells was dependent upon continuous presence of D^d/L^d-negative tolerizing cells, at least under the in vitro conditions used.

To exclude that development of new NK cell clones during the IL-2 culture was responsible for the abrogation of tolerance, we left the DL6 splenocytes unseparated until day 4 of the IL-2 culture, after which D^d/L^d-positive and negative cells were separated. The cells were cultured separately for one additional day in the presence of IL-2 (to allow for the beads to come off), and were then used as effector cells in vitro. These recently separated D^d/L^d-positive cells killed B6-derived lymphoblasts as efficiently as the D^d/L^d-positive cells that were separated already before IL-2 activation (Fig. 7).

Discussion

In this study, we have analyzed NK cell specificity in a transgenic mouse line with spontaneous mosaic expression of an MHC class I transgene. In contrast with transgenic mice with expression of the same transgene in all cells, no NK cell–mediated responses could be observed against transgene-negative grafts or target cells, whereas efficient elimination of cells with a general reduction of MHC class I expression was seen. We propose that the mosaic phenotype has rendered the NK system specifically tolerant to one missing-self phenotype whereas retaining reactivity to another.

There are several different models that could explain how host MHC class I genes determine specificity and tolerance of the NK cell system. These models generally assume that NK cells that can be triggered by normal cells must express at least one type of inhibitory receptor for host MHC class I molecules. The most simple idea is that the MHC class I molecules of the NK cell itself control the specificity; for example, by facilitating intracellular transport of certain inhibitory receptors to the cell surface. However, if this were the case, D^d/L^d-expressing NK cells in DL6 mice should eliminate the transgene-negative cells. Therefore, the data argue against this model, and in favor of models based on NK cell interactions with MHC class I molecules on surrounding cells for determination of the repertoire.

Such an NK cell education, dictated by other cells, could occur in at least two different ways: (a) clonal selection of NK cell precursors with predetermined receptor expression, and (b) cellular adaptation during a phase in NK cell differentiation that allows for flexibility in terms of activation status or type(s) and levels of receptors expressed. Clonal selection could be positive or negative (or both), would involve death of considerable numbers of unfit precursors and would predict stable specificity patterns.
other hand, cellular adaptation, might allow for survival of most or all cells, and could be permanent or flexible throughout the lifespan of the NK cell. Furthermore, unfit cells could be adapted either by maintaining them as inactive, i.e., anergic, or as active NK cells but with altered specificity. The latter could occur through modulation of the levels of the expressed inhibitory receptor(s) as proposed in the receptor calibration model (29, 44), or by de novo expression of other receptors (30, 45). In the clonal selection as well as in the cellular adaptation model, the outcome for the NK cell could be determined in a single interaction with the first cell encountered, or after scanning of several cells. In case more than one cell is encountered, there must be rules that decide the outcome if the MHC phenotype of these cells vary quantitatively, as they are known to do between cell types and tissues.

Our observations in the DL6 mice are relevant for several of the possibilities above. They argue against the idea of positive selection as the only mechanism involved in determining NK specificity. Such a model would postulate that NK cells carrying a certain inhibitory receptor are positively selected on cells expressing the MHC class I ligand for this receptor, and by this interaction survive and learn (irreversibly) to kill cells lacking the same ligand. If this were the case, a fair number, if not all, of the NK cells in DL6 mice would be educated to react against \(^{\beta_2m^-}\) negative cells, particularly in animals with a high percentage of transgene-positive cells. This was not observed, arguing also against the possibility that NK cell specificity is determined solely in the first encounter with a selecting or calibrating cell. Our data thus suggest that (a) NK cells learn to tolerate self by interactions with multiple cells in their environment, and (b) tolerance against \(^{D^d/L^d}\)-negative cells in the mosaic mice is dominantly determined by the presence of \(^{D^d/L^d}\)-negative cells. The second conclusion may at first sound paradoxical, but it should be emphasized that NK cell interaction with target cells expressing a missing-self phenotype occurs through a minimum of two recognition events (9). First, a triggering signal is induced during initial cell contact. Second, if the target cell expresses the correct MHC class I molecules, an inhibitory signal is elicited that overrides the first signal. Absence of the second signal in presence of the first, during the effector phase, leads to killing. However, in a selection process, this would instead result in tolerance development through death of the precursor cell. In an adaptation process it would lead to expression of more or novel inhibitory receptors (altered specificity), or downregulation of activating receptors or their signal transduction pathways (anergy).

Finally, it should be stressed that even if our data argue that the presence of \(^{D^d/L^d}\)-negative cells is responsible for tolerance, we cannot exclude a role for positive selection. In fact, the possibility remains that positive recognition of inhibitory ligands operates in shaping the NK cell reper-
toire, although there is a superimposed process of dominant
tolerance development dictated by cells with reduced ligand
expression, as illustrated by the D^d/ L^d-negative cells
in the DL6 mice. One possibility is that D^d/ L^d-negative
cells by their mere presence interrupt repeated NK cell in-
teractions with D^d/ L^d-positive cells, which would be
required to positively fix or maintain the capacity to kill D^d/
L^d-negative cells. However, a more active role could be
postulated, in which these cells would (re)program the D^d/
L^d-positive NK cells for tolerance to cells expressing only
H-2^b molecules.

Although the exact phenotype of NK cells involved in
rejection of D^d-negative transplants by D^d-positive hosts is
unknown, it is clear that the NK cells of D^d-transgenic mice that kill D^d-negative cells in vitro belong to the subset
expressing the D^d receptor Ly-49A (29). Therefore, it was
puzzling that Ly-49A^+ NK cells are present in normal B6
mice lacking D^d (19). If anything, the number of Ly-49A^+
cells seems to be increased somewhat in D^d-negative mice
(30, 46). However, the Ly-49A^+ subset undergoes two
functional changes as a consequence of introducing D^d in
the host. First, the expression of Ly-49A is reduced by 40–
70% compared with Ly-49A^+ cells in mice lacking D^d (29,
30). This may be the consequence of calibrated receptor
levels, where the reduced number of inhibitory receptors
would allow NK cells to kill target cells with moderately
reduced D^d expression (44). Second, Ly-49A^+ cells from
D^d-transgenic mice kill D^d-negative cells whereas Ly-49A^+
cells from D^-negative mice do not. High levels of the Ly-
49A receptor are not responsible for self-tolerance in H-2^b
mice, because tolerance to D^-negative cells could not be
reversed by antibodies against Ly-49A receptors (47). To
account for self-tolerance, coexpression of additional rec-
taptors (in this case for H-2^b molecules) has instead been
suggested (29, 47). Furthermore, a recent report, showing
that forced expression of a transgenic Ly-49A receptor on
all NK cells in H-2^b mice induced tolerance to allogeneic
H-2^b grafts (48), also implies that such a mechanism could
be efficient in contracting the NK cell repertoire, and pos-
ibly also to secure self-tolerance.

DL6 mice were tolerant to D^-negative cells in spite of
downregulated Ly-49A expression (Fig. 5), again implying
that Ly-49A levels are unrelated to tolerance to D^-negative
grafts. Furthermore, when Ly-49A^+ cells in mosaic mice were analyzed for coexpression of receptors bind-
ing to the antibody SW566, one of which is Ly-49C with
specificity for H-2K^b (Fig. 5), no major differences were
observed compared with nontolerant DL1 or D8 mice. Al-
though we cannot exclude minor shifts between the popu-
lations, it appears as if tolerance to D^-negative cells by
D^-positive/Ly-49A^+ NK cells in DL6 mice can not be
explained by coexpression of Ly-49C receptors. However,
other still unidentified receptors for H-2^b may be involved,
as suggested in previous studies (29, 47). It is noteworthy
that the expression levels of Ly-49A were downregulated
to a similar extent, if not more, in the D^d/L^d-negative
compared with the D^d/L^d-positive NK cell population in
DL6 mice (Fig. 5). This observation emphasizes that cali-
bration of Ly-49 receptors by host MHC molecules does
not require expression of the relevant class I ligands by the
NK cell itself. The pattern of downregulation of Ly-49A in
the mosaic mice also shows that D^d/L^d-positive cells exert
a dominant influence on this calibration process.

Our data further raise the possibility that the NK cell
repertoire may not be permanently fixed. Tolerance of NK
cells tested after culture in IL-2 was abrogated if D^d/L^d-
positive cells were separated from negative cells before the
test, and the ability to kill D^d/L^d-negative cells was con-
fined to the D^d/L^d-positive population. Thus, the data are
consistent with an adaptation process where the relevant
NK cells within the D^d/L^d-positive population survive, but
are maintained anergic or specifically unable to kill H-2^p-
itive, D^d/L^d-negative cells. It may be argued that IL-2
could induce de novo expansion and selection of immature
NK cell clones in the absence of D^d/L^d-expressing cells
during the culture period of 4 d. However, we observed the
same abrogation of tolerance when D^d/L^d-positive and
negative cells were maintained together during most of the
culture period, and separated only for the last 24 h. Clonal
selection and expansion during such a limited time period
is unlikely, and the data therefore argue for a switch of
specificity, or reversal of anergy state, at the level of the in-
dividual NK cell, i.e., a cellular adaptation process. One in-
teresting possibility is that such changes reflect a flexibility
in the calibration of the mature NK cell, such that it can
adapt to differences in MHC expression related to cell type,
inflammatory cytokines. However, the question whether
the repertoire of mature NK cells is subject to such changes
in vivo remains to be investigated, because the in vitro
conditions with high levels of IL-2 used here may induce or
accelerate unphysiological cellular changes involved in
the process. However, it is difficult to exclude IL-2 from
the experimental system because this cytokine is necessary
for the generation of NK cells that display allelic MHC
class I specificities in vitro (17, 41). At this stage, we can
conclude that tolerance of NK cells in DL6 mice is not due
to complete deletion of cells that can recognize D^d/L^d-neg-
ative cells.

An interesting observation was that DL6 mice also lost
the ability to reject D8 bone marrow grafts, a rejection that
is seen in normal B6 mice (15, 42). It has been suggested
that this rejection would reflect positive recognition of for-
egn MHC class I antigens by NK cells, a pathway that may be
specially important for the function of rat NK cells (49).
The mechanical relationship between these two path-
ways of NK cell killing has not been established, but these
two apparently contradictory roles of MHC class I mol-
ecules in NK cell function need not be mutually exclusive.
In fact, recent data in humans suggest that certain receptors
for MHC class I molecules can mediate either triggering or
inhibition of NK cells depending upon the structure of the
intracellular domains (22, 50). The finding that the DL6
mice were tolerant against both D^-negative and D^-posi-
tive grafts suggests that recognition in these two situations,
at least when it comes to tolerance development, may be
more similar than appreciated. However, it should be
noted that formal evidence that B6 NK cells positively recognize D\(^4\) as a triggering stimulus is lacking, and other explanations for this allorecognition have been suggested (15, 42).

Several previous studies have aimed at establishing short or long-term NK cell tolerance to grafts of different MHC phenotype, usually based on inoculation of large numbers of parental bone marrow or tumor cells at different time-points before bone marrow grafting. (5, 31–36). However, it is difficult, in these studies, to differentiate between specific tolerizing effects on NK cells and nonspecific immunosuppressive effects caused by graft versus host disease, resulting from recognition of hybrid MHC antigens by parental T cells. (51–53). In the case of DL6 mice, the problems with graft versus host disease were avoided. We could not detect any D\(^4\)/L\(^a\)-specific responses using mixed lymphocyte culture with DL6 responder spleen cells, whereas they responded well to third-party stimulators (data not shown). Our results also suggest that long-standing bone marrow chimerism may explain the results obtained by Waterfall et al. (33), where newborn mice, pretreated with parental bone marrow cells within the first 24 h after birth, were tolerant to parental grafts 3 mo later.

Abnormal expression patterns are known to occur in transgenic lines. However, they are seldom followed up systematically and reported, presumably because transgene expression is usually not assessed on single cells but rather using RNA analysis on extracts from whole organs. We have identified two additional MHC class I transgenic lines with an expression pattern similar to the DL6 line, and a similar phenotype has also been recently reported for mice carrying a human CD2 transgene (54–55). A possible explanation is that the integration site of the transgene puts it at risk for inactivation (or activation) on both chromosomes early during embryonic development. Stochastic variations in junctions between active and inactive chromatin associated with this integration site may form the basis for an individual pattern of transgene expression in each mouse. This would be reminiscent of the spreading effect in position effect variegation described in Drosophila (reviewed in reference 56). DL6 mice homozygous for the transgene also had a mosaic phenotype (data not shown), consistent with variegation but arguing against allelic inactivation as the mechanism behind mosaicism.

Host MHC control of NK cell specificity may ultimately depend on several mechanisms, such as clonal selection/deletion and cellular adaptation, the latter including anergy, receptor calibration, and de novo expression of receptors. So far, the MHC class I mosaic mice have provided support for the existence of cellular adaptation mechanisms leading to selective tolerance to one particular missing-self phenotype but not to another. Furthermore, tolerance appears to be determined by interaction with multiple cells in a process where MHC class I ligand defective cells dominantly control tolerance to this phenotype without complete deletion of the potentially autoreactive NK cell subset(s). Such persisting, potentially autoreactive NK cells can be reactivated in vitro in an environment dominated by cells with complete ligand expression. Further studies are required to understand whether this reflects an important adaptive component in mature NK cell function in vivo, how the presence of ligand defective cells induces and perhaps maintains tolerance, and whether selection/deletion is also operating to ensure tolerance to self.
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