The Immunodominant Antigen of an Ultraviolet-induced Regressor Tumor Is Generated by a Somatic Point Mutation in the DEAD Box Helicase p68
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Summary

The genetic origins of CD8+ T cell–recognized unique antigens to which mice respond when immunized with syngeneic tumor cells are unknown. The ultraviolet light-induced murine tumor 8101 expresses an H-2Kb-restricted immunodominant antigen, A, that induces cytolytic CD8+ T cells in vivo. A8101 cells are rejected by naive mice while A−8101 tumor cells grow. To identify the antigen H-2Kb molecules were immunoprecipitated from A8101 cells and peptides were eluted by acid. The sensitizing peptide was isolated by sequential reverse-phase HPLC and sequenced using microcapillary HPLC-triple quadruple mass spectrometry. The peptide, SNFVFAGI, matched the sequence of the DEAD box protein p68 RNA helicase except for a single amino acid substitution, caused by a single nucleotide change. This mutation was somatic since fibroblasts from the mouse of tumor origin expressed the wild-type sequence. The amino acid substitution created an anchor for binding of the mutant peptide to H-2Kb. Our results are consistent with mutant p68 being responsible for rejection of the tumor. Several functions of p68, which include nucleolar assembly and inhibition of DNA unwinding, may be mediated through its IQ domain, which was altered by the mutation. This is the first description of a somatic tumor-specific mutation in the coding region of a nucleic acid helicase.

One of the oldest, most important and yet unresolved questions in tumor immunology is the nature of unique, or individually distinct, tumor antigens to which mice respond when immunized with syngeneic tumor cells. Classical experiments (1, 2) showed that mice immunized with tumor cell lines rejected subsequent tumor cell transplants effectively, when the same tumor cell line was used for immunization and for challenge. Even cell lines of the same histologic type and induced by the same carcinogen, did not induce cross-protection (3). Shared cytolytic T cell–recognized antigens have been identified on experimental as well as on human tumors (4–9) and can, after active immunization, provide transient protection in experimental models when the dose of tumor cells used for challenge is small and the interval between immunization and challenge is short (10, 11, for review see reference 12). However, unique antigens provide strong and long-lived immunological protection against transplantation of the same experimentally induced cancer after active immunization with cancer cells (3), whereas shared or crossreactive antigens do not. For example the shared tumor antigen P1A (4) is expressed by multiple tumor lines as determined by Northern blots and by sensitivity to lysis by P1A-specific CTL. Even though this antigen induces crossreactive T cells that are cytolytic for multiple tumor lineages (13), protection is not provided by this shared antigen but by unique antigens (13).

Oncogenes such as ras and suppressor genes such as p53 can encode tumor antigens (14–18) but these antigens appear to be different from those which cause tumor rejection after immunization with tumor cells (19). A unique T cell–recognized antigen was found to be caused by a mutation in the ribosomal gene L9 (20). While lymph node cells specific for this antigen allowed SCID mice to reject a tumor challenge, it remains unknown whether this antigen is the natural rejection antigen of the tumor, particularly because progressor variants retained the antigen (20). Nevertheless, the availability of autologous normal and malignant controls yielded the first unequivocal evidence that a
unique T cell–recognized antigen is caused by a somatic mutation and thus is tumor-specific. M utant L9 encodes a peptide recognized by CD8+ T cells. However, in experimental tumor systems, CD8+ T cells are required for tumor rejection (21, see reference 12 for review), so antigens recognized by these T cells are prime candidates for rejection antigens. The genetic origins of several CD8+ cytolytic T cell–recognized unique tumor-specific antigens have been identified on human tumors (22–25); however, not all unique antigens recognized by CD8+ cytolytic T cells may be capable of eliciting tumor rejection, and the functional significance of these human antigens in tumor rejection is unclear. The relevance of unique antigens for tumor rejection can be more readily studied in experimental systems than in human systems. Earlier studies in experimental systems have shown that mutagen treatment of cancer cells in vitro can result in variant tumor cells that are rejected by normal hosts and also induces mutations that encode CD8+ T cell–recognized antigens (26, 27). However, the genetic origins of unique antigens not caused by such manipulations on experimental tumors remained unknown. An interesting genetic alteration consisting of three consecutive nucleotide substitutions was reported to lead to a CTL–defined antigen on the murine 3LL tumor (28); but, this study and others (29, 30) lacked autologous controls. Therefore, germline mutations could not be excluded.

In this study, we have determined the genetic origin of a unique CD8+ T cell–recognized, immunodominant antigen on a UV-induced regressor tumor, for which autologous controls are available. Expression of this antigen is correlated with tumor rejection, since progressor variants of this tumor do not express the antigen. We show that the antigen results from a somatic mutation which generates a single amino acid change in the murine p68 RNA helicase protein. This is also the first identification of a tumor-specific mutation in the coding region of a member of the family of DEAD-box proteins of putative RNA helicases.

Materials and Methods

Mice. Female C57BL/6 (H-2b) mice, 5–6 wk old, were purchased from the Frederick Cancer Research Facility (Frederick, MD). C57BL/6 nu/nu (H-2b) mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Mice were maintained at the University of Chicago in a pathogen-free barrier facility, and fed autoclaved food and acidified sterile water.

Cell Lines. The 8101 tumor was induced in our laboratory by chronic ultraviolet light irradiation of C57BL/6 mice, three times a week, as described (31). Tumor fragments were placed in vitro to establish a cell line. The heart and lungs of the mouse were harvested and chopped into fragments which were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and also adapted to in vitro culture to generate a heart-lung fibroblast (HLF)1 cell line. TAP-deficient RMA-S (H-2k) (32) cells were used as targets after exogenous loading of peptides. RMA-S and RMA (32) cells were a gift of Dr. J.A. Bluestone (University of Chicago, IL). The BPV series of H-2b UV-induced tumors is a gift of Dr. Vijay Sreedhar and Dr. Margaret Kripke (University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX). Cytolytic T cell lines and clones were generated as described (31).

Purification of Sensitizing Activity. Tumor cells were expanded in Nunc 10-chamber cell factories (Nunc, Thousand Oaks, CA), detached with trypsin-EDTA, washed once with PBS, quick-frozen as cell pellets and stored at –80°C in polypropylene tubes. To reduce peptide loss glass pipettes and polypropylene tubes were used throughout the purification procedure. A batch of 1010 cells was thawed, resuspended in lysis buffer (33) and rotated for 4–6 h at 4°C. The lysate was centrifuged at 3,500 g for 30 min, and the supernatant was rotated with 15–20 mg of purified monoclonal anti-H-2-Kb Y-3 antibody coupled to protein A-Sepharose (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) for 4–6 h at 4°C, washed three times with PBS and three times with ddH2O (200 g, for 5 min). The antigen was eluted by vortexing the pellet Sepharose with 3–4 ml of 0.2% TFA/H2O (vol/vol) for 15 min at room temperature. The eluate was divided into four 5,000 mol wt cutoff filters (Millipore UFC4LCC25; Marlborough, MA) and centrifuged for five h at 3,500 g, 4°C. The filtrate was concentrated to near-dryness by vacuum centrifugation, pooled into a final volume of 150–200 µl in 0.2% TFA/H2O and stored at –80°C in 1.5 ml polypolyethylene microfuge tubes (Sarstedt Inc., N. Ewton, NC).

12C- and 35Cr-release assays. Five thousand 35Cr-labeled targets were incubated with various numbers of T cells in flexible 96-well V-bottom microtiter plates (Dynatech, Chantilly, VA) for 4.5 h as described (31). The percentage of specific lysis was calculated by the formula: % lysis = [(experimental release – spontaneous release)/(maximum release – spontaneous release)] × 100. Spontaneous release was <15% of total release. To test H-2Lk fractions for sensitizing activity, RMA-S cells which had been pre-incubated at room temperature for at least 12 h, were 35Cr labeled and then added to 50 µl FCS in each well of a 96-well plate. These cells were then incubated with aliquots of HPLC fractions for 1.5 h at 37°C. T cells were added to each well in 50 µl CDMEM and the mixture was incubated for an additional 4 h at 37°C.

Mirospore HPLC Separation. Peptide fractionation was conducted on an Aquapore 18 column (2.1 mm × 3 cm). The peptide extract was concentrated to 200 µl, injected onto a narrow bore C18 column, and eluted with a 55-min binary gradient increasing from 0–60% B at the rate of 8% B per minute, then 0.9% B for the next 50 min. (Solvent A = 0.1% heptfluorobutyric acid (HFB) in N A N O pure water; solvent B = 0.085% HFB in 60% acetonitrile; flow rate 200 µl/min). Fractions were collected into polypolyethylene tubes (Sarstedt Inc. 2 ml, Cat no. 72.692) at 1-min intervals and 0.3% of each fraction was tested for activity. Active fractions 36 and 37 were individually rechromatographed on the same column using a shallower gradient and TFA as the ionic modifier. The second dimension gradient increased from 0–60% B at the rate of 5% B for the first 5 min, then 0.7% B for the next 50 min (Solvent A = 0.1% TFA in N A N O pure water; solvent B = 0.085% TFA in 60% acetonitrile; flow rate 200 µl/min). Fractions were collected into polypolyethylene tubes at 1-min intervals and 1.5% was tested for activity.

Identification of Candidate Peptide. Candidate peptides were identified by mass spectrometry with a sensitive 35Cr-release assay as described previously (33). 60% of the second dimension fraction was loaded onto a C18 microcapillary HPLC column (100 µM Waters)

1Abbreviations used in this paper: CAD, collision-activated dissociation; CDMEM, complete DMEM; CRPMI, complete RPMI; HFB, heptfluorobutyric acid; HLF, heart-lung fibroblast; LC-MS, liquid chromato-mass spectrometry; MLTC, mixed-lymphocyte tumor cell culture; PEC, peritoneal exudate cell; PITC, phenylisothiocyanate; TAP, transporter associated with antigen processing.
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GGTACCGGTCCTTGCCCTCGCAGCTCC-3 and 3

sheath (70% MeOH/H$_2$O containing 0–80% acetonitrile in 0.1 M acetic acid) directly into a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. The m/z ratio of each peptide deposited in a particular well was recorded on the mass spectrometer. Peptides in individual wells of the 96-well plate were then tested for sensitizing activity. The ion abundance of a particular peptide is manually correlated with the sensitizing activity to identify the mass of the candidate peptide.

Sequence Analysis of the Tumor Antigen Candidate.

To determine the sequence of the tumor antigen an aliquot from the remaining 40% of subtraction 36-19 was loaded onto a C18 microcapillary column (75 μm i.d. × 12 cm) and eluted with a 12-min gradient (0–80% acetonitrile in 0.1 M acetic acid) directly into a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Finnigan MAT, TSQ 7000) essentially as described (34, 35). This instrument is equipped with an electrospray ionization source that was operated with a coaxial sheath (70% MeOH/H$_2$O containing 0.12% acetic acid) flowing at 1.5 μl/min. A negative potential of 4.6 kV was applied to the heated capillary. Quadrupole one was set to pass a 2 mass unit window centered on 854, the m/z value corresponding to the COOH-terminal amino acid of the candidate peptide. Quadrupole one of HPLC fraction 36-19 was treated with 5 μl of HCl at 100°C for 1 h and HPLC purified.

Identification of the COOH-terminal Amino Acid by Coelution with Synthetic Peptides.

To determine the COOH-terminal amino acid, an aliquot of HPLC fraction 36-19 was treated with 5 μl of 5% p-nitrophenyl isothiocyanate (PITC) in pyridine. The resulting solution was overlaid with argon, vortexed, and incubated for 30 min at 45°C. This sample was lyophilized to dryness, resuspended in 15 μl sequencing grade TFA, overlaid with argon and incubated for 10 min at 37°C. TFA was removed under vacuum and the residue dissolved in 5 μl H$_2$O. The solution was vortexed, and extracted twice with 15 μl n-butyl acetate. For analysis by mass spectrometry, the aqueous layer was isolated, evaporated to dryness and resuspended in 1 μl acetic acid and 19 μl H$_2$O. This modification removes the NH$_2$-terminal amino acid from all peptides present in the mixture.

Identification of the COOH-terminal Amino Acid by Coelution with Synthetic Peptides.

An aliquot of the HPLC fraction containing the tumor antigen 854 was mixed with an equimolar amount of either synthetic peptide SNFVFAGL or SNFVFAGI and eluted from a microcapillary HPLC column directly into the mass spectrometer using a gradient of 0.1 M acetic acid and acetonitrile increasing at 2% per min. Mass spectra were acquired every 1.5 s over the mass range 300–1,400. The ion abundance at m/z 854 was plotted as a function of elution time.

Expression of the CD8$^+$ T Cell–recognized A Antigen on the 8101 Tumor Correlates with Tumor Rejection by Naive Mice.

8101 was the first tumor isolated from a group of C57BL/6 mice that received chronic UV-irradiation. The tumor arose on the back of the mouse after 11 mo of irradiation. At this time the mouse was 14 mo old. As usually observed for UV-induced tumors, 8101 readily adapted to culture and the primary culture was cloned to study the antigenic diversity of the cells that adapted. CD8$^+$ cytotoxic T lymphocyte (CTL) clones were generated from mixed lymphocyte cultures (MLCs) of spleen cells from mice immunized by i.p. injection of live uncloned tumor cells. The resulting T cell clones identified two types of tumor cell clones one which expressed two antigens designated A and B, and a second that only expressed the B antigen. The parental uncloned cell line, designated 8101-PAR, expressed both antigens and was lysed by anti-A as well as anti-B CTL clones (Fig. 1A and B). The anti-A (Fig. 1A) and anti-B (Fig. 1B) CTL clones lysed only 8101 lineage tumor cells and did not lyse autochthonous normal fibroblasts, or RMA cells. We injected the original uncloned 8101-PAR tumor cell line and 8101 A$^+$B$^-$ and 8101 A$^-$B$^+$ clones into C57BL/6 nude mice to generate tumor fragments. The developing tumors were transplanted as fragments into naive normal C57BL/6 mice. Table 1 shows that tumors derived from the A$^+$ clone were regularly rejected and thus were designated 8101-R E. Tumors derived from the A$^-$ clone grew progressively and were therefore designated as 8101-PR O. These results strongly suggested...
that the expression of the A antigen correlated with rejection of the A+ tumor in naive mice, and that absence of the A antigen led to progressive tumor growth. The B antigen was expressed on all 8101 lineage tumors but not other C57BL/6 UV tumors (Fig. 2B), indicating that the 8101-RE and 8101-PRO tumors were of the same clonal origin. The parental cell line 8101-PAR which, as suggested by clonal analysis, contained A+ as well as A− tumor cells, grew progressively when fragments were transplanted into naive normal mice. The reisolated tumor cells when re-adapted to culture were resistant to anti-A CTL, unlike the 8101-PAR tumor cells which had been used for the challenge (Fig. 1A). These data indicated that normal mice usually selected against expression of the A antigen. Only one re-isolated tumor still showed sensitivity to lysis by anti-A CTL; this tumor may have been able to grow as A− because of the simultaneous challenge with A− progressor tumor cells which were also present in the 8101-PAR tumor. These A− tumor cells may have prevented the establishment of an effective anti-A response. This suggestion is in agreement with our previous observation that progressor tumors can sometimes prevent an immune response to highly antigenic tumor cells (36).

The A antigen on the 8101-RE tumor is unique, immunodominant, Kβ-restricted, and a powerful sensitizer of anti-A cytolytic T cells. Since the expression of the A antigen correlated with rejection of the tumor challenge, we further characterized this antigen. Fig. 2A shows that the anti-A CTL clone recognized only 8101-RE cells, but not any of 5 other UV-induced tumors of C57BL/6 origin that were tested. This result suggests that the 8101 A antigen is unique, i.e., individually distinct, for the 8101 tumor, as has been previously shown in our laboratory for other UV-induced tumors (31). To determine how commonly this antigen is recognized in vivo, four mice were injected repeatedly with 8101-RE cells, which express both the A and B antigens. Peritoneal exudate cells (PEC) were isolated and directly tested for lytic activity (Fig. 3). PECs from all four mice lysed the A+B+ 8101-RE tumor cells but not the A−B− 8101-PRO tumor cells, suggesting that the A anti-

### Table 1. Growth In Vivo of Uncloned 8101 Tumor and 8101 Tumor C1ones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype</th>
<th>Tumor</th>
<th>Expt. no.</th>
<th>Nude mice</th>
<th>Normal mice</th>
<th>Reisolate phenotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+B+</td>
<td>8101-PAR</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>15/15</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>2/3 A-, 1/3 A+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>3/3 A-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A−B+</td>
<td>8101-RE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A−B−</td>
<td>8101-PRO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of mice with progressively growing tumors per number challenged. Mice were followed for at least 4 wk, or until they became moribund at which point they were killed.

The phenotype, A+ or B+, is defined by the recognition of the tumor cells in vitro by an anti-A CTL clone or anti-B CTL clone. The phenotype A− is defined by the lack of recognition of the reisolated tumor cells in vitro by an anti-A CTL clone.

C57BL/6 mice were injected subcutaneously with C57BL/6 nude mouse tumor fragments using a trocar, except in experiment 1 (8101-PAR), where (B6C3) F1 mice were injected with the tumor fragments from a C3H/HeN nude mouse. Nude mice were always injected last as viability control. In experiment 1, mice were injected with 10 × 10⁶ tumor fragments. In experiment 2 and 3 mice were injected with 3 × 10⁷ tumor fragments N/A, not applicable. ND, not done.

#### Figure 1. Antigenic differences between 8101 tumor cell clones. The B antigen is expressed on all three lines derived from the 8101 tumor while the A antigen is expressed on the uncloned 8101-PAR tumor cells, and on some of the 8101 tumor cell clones. Autologous non-malignant fibroblasts, 8101-HLF and a syngeneic lymphoma cell RMA are not lysed by either the anti-A or anti-B CTL clone. Target cells were tested in a 51Cr-release assay for lysis using the anti-A CTL (A) and the anti-B CTL (B) as effector cells.

#### Figure 2. The anti-A and anti-B CTL clones are uniquely specific for the 8101 tumor lineage. The anti-A CTL clone (A) lyses only the 8101-RE tumor cell but not five other C57BL/6-derived UV-induced tumors, or the H-2b haploype lymphoma RMA. The anti-B CTL clone (B) lyses the 8101-RE and the 8101-PRO tumor cells, but not three other C57BL/6-derived UV-induced tumors, or RMA cells. Targets were tested for lysis in a 51Cr-release assay.
The A antigen is immunodominant in vivo. Each panel shows a different C57BL/6 mouse immunized i.p. on day 0, 3, 6, and 9 with 2-5 x 10^6 A^1B^1 8101-RE tumor cells. The PEC were harvested on day 11 and directly tested in a 51Cr-release assay for lytic activity. Only the A^1B^1 8101-RE cells, but not the A^2B^1 8101-PRO cells, or RMA cells are lysed by the PEC.

Isolation and Sequencing of the A Antigen Peptide. To isolate the naturally processed A antigen peptide, we immunoprecipitated the H-2Kb molecules from 5 x 10^10 8101-RE tumor cells. Associated peptides were eluted from the H-2Kb molecules immunoprecipitated from 8101-RE cells would sensitize RMA-S cells to become a specific target for lysis by anti-A CTL. As little as 0.2% of an immunoprecipitate from 1 x 10^10 8101-RE cells contained sensitizing activity (data not shown).

Figure 4. Sequential HPLC separation of sensitizing peptides eluted from the H-2Kb molecule of 8101-RE cells. A shows the results of the first-dimension microbore HPLC separation of peptides eluted from 5 x 10^10 8101-RE cells, using HFBA as the ionic modifier. A portion (0.3%) of each fraction was loaded onto RMA-S cells and tested for lysis by the anti-A CTL clone in a 51Cr-release assay. Sensitizing fractions 36 and 37 were subfractionated on the same microbore column using TFA as the ionic modifier (B and C). RMA-S cells were loaded with 1.5% of each subfraction and tested for lysis by the anti-A CTL clone. The E/T ratio was 5:1. Peptide loaded RMA-S cell with CTL (solid bars) or without CTL (hatched bars) as toxicity controls are shown in A. Toxicity controls were not done in B and C.

An aliquot of the remaining 40% of subfraction 36-19 was used to sequence the candidate peptide by mass spec-
Tumor-specific CD8⁺ CTL recognize a somatic mutation in p68 helicase.

shown in Fig. 6 A is the CAD mass spectra on the (M + H)+ ions at m/z 854. The observed fragmentation is sufficient to specify the sequence of residues 3-8 as FVFAGX, where X is leucine or isoleucine, and residues 1-2 as either SN or NS. Leucine and isoleucine, two amino acids of identical mass, cannot be differentiated on a triple quadrupole instrument. To determine the identity and order of the first two amino acids in the epitope, peptides in an aliquot of subfraction 36-19 were subjected to a single cycle of Edman degradation and then analyzed by microcapillary liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). In the resulting mass spectra the (M + H)+ ion for the antigen was observed at m/z 767, a shift of 87 daltons corresponding to the amino acid serine. The CAD mass spectra recorded on the (M + H)+ ions at m/z 767 confirmed the seven-residue sequence, NFVFAGX. So, the complete amino acid sequence was SNFVFAGX.

To specify the COOH-terminal residue in the epitope as either Leu or Ile, we performed coelution experiments in Figure 5.
which aliquots of the biologically active HPLC fraction 37-19 were analyzed by microcapillary LC-MS before and after being doped with synthetic peptides SNFVFAGI or SNFVFAGL. Results of this experiment are shown in Fig. 6C. Analysis of the mixture doped with SNFVFAGL showed two discrete peptide components at m/z 854. In contrast, the mixture doped with SNFVFAGI showed only a single component at m/z 854. Therefore, coelution of SNFVFAGI with the tumor antigen confirms that the COOH-terminal residue in the epitope is isoleucine. The synthetic peptide SNFVFAGI sensitized RMA-S cells for lysis by the anti-A CTL clone, but control peptide VTFVFAGX did not (Fig. 6B), nor did two additional H-2Kb-binding peptides tested in a separate experiment (data not shown). Half-maximal lysis of peptide loaded RMA-S cells occurred at 2 pmol peptide.

The peptide SNFVFAGI originates from a somatic tumor-specific point mutation in the p68 RNA helicase gene. The peptide SNFVFAGI was analyzed for homology with known protein sequences using the BLAST program (37). The tumor-derived peptide matched the murine p68 RNA helicase sequence (38) except that the former had phenylalanine instead of serine at position five, suggesting that the tumor peptide might be encoded by a mutant p68 RNA helicase gene in the tumor cells. To confirm this hypothesis cDNA was synthesized and amplified from tumor cell (8101-RE) mRNA by RT-PCR and primers specific for the p68 RNA helicase. The amplified 2.1-kb product pooled from three independent RT-PCR reactions was cloned into the vector pcDNA3. Six cDNA clones were sequenced using primers for the 3' end of the insert, that included the region of the putative mutation. Two of the six clones were identical to the wild-type sequence of murine p68 RNA helicase while the other four had a T instead of a C at the nucleotide position 1812. This nucleotide substitution resulted in a change to phenylalanine from serine at amino acid 551 (Fig. 7). The C to T transition, which occurred at a dipyrimidine site, is a commonly observed UV-induced mutation (39).

The sequence data derived from 8101-RE tumor cells suggests that the cloned tumor cell line is heterozygous for the mutation, and expresses both the wild-type and mutant forms of murine p68 RNA helicase. Sequencing of six cDNA clones from 8101-RE (Fig. 7), and PCR sequencing of amplified genomic p68 DNA from autochthonous heart-lung fibroblasts and from 8101-PRO tumor cells (data not shown) revealed only wild-type sequences. These data indicated that the mutant peptide had been generated by a somatic mutation that was absent in the 8101-PRO tumor cells and was consistent with our finding that the PRO tumor and HLF cells were resistant to lysis by the anti-A CTL clone (Fig. 1).
The H-2K<sup>b</sup>-binding motif (40) predicts that, first, the anchor residue for binding to the molecule is at position five of the peptide, and is an aromatic residue, either phenylalanine or tyrosine, and second, that position eight of the peptide is either a leucine or isoleucine. This sequence motif predicts that the normal homologue of the A antigen peptide, which has serine at position five, would not bind to H-2K<sup>b</sup>. Consistent with this prediction, we found that the wild-type peptide SNFVSAGI, in contrast to the mutant peptide SNFVFAGI, neither sensitized RMA-S cells for lysis by the anti-A CTL clone (Fig. 8 A) nor bound effectively to H-2-K<sup>b</sup> as measured by stabilization of H-2K<sup>b</sup> on the surface of RMA-S cells (Fig. 8 B).

We found that three of four mice immunized repeatedly with 8101-R E cells intraperitoneally generated peritoneal exudate cells in vivo that recognized the mutant peptide loaded onto RMA-S cells (data not shown). In addition, the spleens from all 4 of these mice, after in vitro restimulation with the 8101-R E tumor, recognized the mutant p68 peptide (data not shown). These data confirm that SNFVFAGI indeed represents the immunodominant antigen of the regressor tumor 8101-R E.

Discussion

In this study, we have identified the genetic origin of the immunodominant A antigen of the ultraviolet light-induced regressor tumor 8101-R E. The antigenic peptide is SNFVFAGI. It is generated by a point mutation in the murine p68 R N A helicase gene, which changed a C to T, resulting in an amino acid substitution to phenylalanine from serine. The amino acid change also generated an anchor for binding of the peptide to the restricting molecule for the antigen, H-2K<sup>b</sup>. Although other still unknown 8101-R E genes might also encode the same peptide, the fact that 8101-PR tumor cells which are not lysed by anti-A CTL also do not have the mutant p68, indicates that mutant p68 encodes the mutant peptide. In addition, the mutation is likely to have occurred in vivo since it was found in DNA of primary tumor cell cultures and thus is unlikely to be an artifact of in vitro culture. The mutation is of somatic tumor-specific origin, rather than representing a genetic polymorphism of germline origin, since autochthonous nonmalignant fibroblasts from the mouse which gave rise to the tumor did not harbor the mutation. Several unique CTL-recognized antigens have now been identified in human tumors and shown to be due to tumor-specific somatic mutations (22-25). However, this is the first identification of a unique tumor-specific CTL antigen in the murine system. We will now be able to evaluate the role of such an antigen in tumor rejection.

To our knowledge, our findings represent the first demonstration of a tumor-specific somatic mutation in the coding region of a member of the DEAD-box protein family of putative R N A helicases (41). A translocation into the 5’ non-coding region of a human putative R N A helicase has been reported earlier (42). In addition, two inherited syndromes in man, Bloom’s syndrome (43) and Werner’s syndrome (44), both of which show a predisposition to cancer development, have recently been discovered to be linked to DNA helicases. The p68 R N A helicase protein was first identified by Lane and Hoeffler in 1980 (45), because of its immunological cross-reactivity with an antibody that recognizes the SV40 large T antigen. These investigations attempted to find a homologue of T antigen by searching for antibody-recognized determinants that cellular proteins might share with the T antigen (45). p68 is a nuclear protein (46), that was later discovered to be an R N A helicase (47, 48).

The primary amino acid sequence of the murine p68 protein is shown in Fig. 9. The first eight boxed motifs show the domains of homology of p68 with other DEAD-box proteins, which play a central role in cell growth in a wide variety of organisms. p68 has been shown to undergo dramatic changes in nuclear localization during telophase, when it translocates from the nucleoplasm to the nucleoli (49). In addition, a stretch of amino acids, called the IQ domain (50) is located within the 139 carboxy-terminal amino acids that extend beyond the region of homology with other DEAD box proteins, and which distinguishes p68 from these proteins (41). This domain, which is also found in molecules such as neurogranin and neuromodulin, is subject in vitro to calmodulin (CaM) binding and phosphorylation by protein kinase C (PKC) (50). Experimental evidence suggests that CaM and/or PKC may regulate at least some of the activity of p68 during the cell cycle, through this domain (50). The mutation changes one of the two serines in the IQ domain to a phenylalanine (thick box in Fig. 9), but we do not yet know whether the mutation of S to F affects the physiologic function or localization of the protein. In addition to being an R N A helicase, p68 is also a powerful inhibitor of DNA helicases (51). This activity is quite similar to that of the p53 tumor suppressor gene which also prevents DNA helicase activity (51). It has been suggested that the general role of p53 is to safeguard the integrity of the genome by monitoring and stopping replica...
tion when DNA is damaged (52), and it is possible that p68 may serve a similar function as a tumor suppressor gene.

Our study shows that the CD8+ T cell–recognized A antigen SNFVFAGI (a) is the immunodominant antigen of the 8101-RE tumor, which induces a powerful CD8+ T cell response in vivo when whole cells are used for vaccination, (b) sensitizes target cells at picomolar amounts for lysis by specific T cells and (c) is not expressed by the 8101-PR0 tumor. Conclusive evidence that this antigen leads to rejection of the 8101-RE tumor would come from demonstrating that expression of the A antigen after transfection of 8101-PR0 converts the progressor to a regressor phenotype, i.e., that the progressor tumor is rejected by naïve syngeneic mice after expression of the A antigen. We have not yet been able to detect expression of the mutant p68 protein after transfection despite using various eukaryotic expression vectors. It is possible that constitutive expression of this protein, which is tightly regulated during cell cycle, may be toxic to the cells. Nevertheless, the mutant p68 peptide is a strong candidate for a rejection antigen.

One critical question that bears investigation is whether the proteins from which unique tumor antigens are derived also play a role in the development of the malignant phenotype. The transformation of a cell from normal to malignant requires multiple genetic mutations, and it is hypothesized that each of these mutations confers a successive growth advantage upon the cell, which ultimately leads to malignancy (53). It is possible that the same mutations also generate unique tumor antigens. Alternatively, the mutations we observed may only generate the unique antigen but play no additional role in the tumorigenic process. Nonetheless, it is tempting to speculate on the role of p68 as a possible tumor suppressor gene which may be lost during tumor progression. Since two human syndromes are associated with both increased incidence of malignancy and defective helicase function (43, 44), it may be that p68 functions normally as a tumor suppressor, and loss of this protein function would then be associated with the malignant phenotype. Moreover, it is possible that the development of the A antigen is associated with defective function, and hence with the malignant phenotype. In contrast to the situation for tumor suppressor genes, other antigens may be mutant oncogenes which could be essential for maintaining the malignant phenotype, and thus would be expected to be retained by selection. These antigens may also serve as markers for the stages of tumor progression, and would be ideal targets for immunotherapy. Indeed, we have observed both retained and lost antigens on UV-induced tumors (20, 21). Studying the genetic origins of unique tumor antigens may identify genes that are functionally involved in malignancy, but which may not be identified by traditional approaches such as searching for chromosomal translocations or using subtractive libraries. Identifying the genetic origins of unique antigens encoding tumor-specific mutations could therefore contribute to a more complete understanding of the malignant process.
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