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Summary

Patients with one type of major histocompatibility complex class II combined immunodeficiency have mutations in a gene termed class II transactivator (CIITA), which coordinately controls the transcription of the three major human class II genes, HLA-DR, -DQ, and -DP. However, the experimentally derived B-lymphoblastoid cell line, clone 13, expresses high levels of HLA-DQ in the absence of HLA-DR and HLA-DP, despite its mapping by complementation analysis to this group. It was possible that one of the clone 13 CIITA alleles bore a mutation that allowed HLA-DQ, but not HLA-DR or -DP transcription. Alternatively, another factor, distinct from CIITA, might control HLA-DQ expression. We report here that ectopic expression of CIITA cDNAs derived by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction from clone 13 do not restore expression of HLA-DQ in another CIITA-deficient cell line, RJ2.2.5. In addition, no CIITA protein is detectable in clone 13 nuclear extracts. In contrast, somatic cell fusion between clone 13 and RJ2.2.5 restored expression of the HLA-DQ haplotype encoded by the RJ2.2.5 DQB gene. Taken together, these data demonstrate the existence of an HLA-DQ isotype-specific trans-acting factor, which functions independently of CIITA.

The human MHC class II genes encode highly polymorphic cell surface glycoproteins, which are responsible for presenting protein antigens to CD4+ T cells and thus initiating immune responses (1). In the human, the genes for three isotypes (HLA-DR, -DQ, and -DP) of MHC class II antigens are located in the HLA-D locus on chromosome 6. Each isotype is expressed on the cell surface as an αβ heterodimer. The expression of MHC class II antigens is tightly regulated and is limited to certain cells in the immune system including B cells, macrophages, dendritic cells, thymic epithelium, and activated T cells. Furthermore, surface levels of class II antigens can be both up- and downregulated by a variety of physiologic stimuli. In addition to constitutive and inducible expression on cells in the immune system, class II antigens can also be induced on otherwise class II–negative cells, such as epithelial cells, tumor cells and rheumatoid synovium, by exposure to cytokines such as IFN-γ (2).

In general, the class II genes have been found to be regulated coordinately; that is, all three isotypes are usually expressed concomitantly, although not always to the same level.
EMSA) followed by protein purification or, more recently, by complementation/expression cloning. The latter process has taken advantage of the existence of both MHC class II CIITA patient- and experimentally derived mutant cell lines that do not express class II genes but can be induced to do so upon somatic cell fusion with a class II–positive cell line (6). Somatic cell fusion between the mutant cell lines has revealed at least five distinct complementation groups (I–V) and, accordingly, the existence of at least five genes critical for class II expression (7–11). Two of these genes, CIITA (class II transactivator) and R FX 5, have been isolated by expression cloning from a wild-type cDNA library using cells from MHC class II CID complementation groups II and IV, respectively, as recipients. It should be noted that a second nomenclature for these groups is also used: groups A, B, and C (12) correspond to groups II, I, and IV, respectively. Introduction of a wild-type cDNA encoding either the CIITA or R FX 5 protein into cell lines of the appropriate complementation group resulted in a coordinate reexpression of all class II genes (13, 14).

We have studied MHC class II expression in clone 13, a B-lymphoblastoid cell line (B-LCL) derived from the class II–positive Burkitt’s lymphoma cell line Jijoye (15). Unlike the other CIITA-deficient cell lines in complementation group II, clone 13 shows a noncoordinate pattern of expression of class II antigens: HLA-DQ is expressed but not the expression of wild-type CIITA, and R FX 5, are not. Transfection of wild-type CIITA into clone 13 causes the reexpression of both HLA-DR and -DQ, and an increase in levels of HLA-DQ, thus confirming that CIITA is indeed defective in clone 13 as suggested by the complementation analyses (16). Recently, it has been demonstrated that the HLA-DQB, but not the DRA promoter, was active in clone 13 cells, a function that was mapped by DQ/DR chimeric constructs to the DQB box (17). In this study, we have investigated whether or not the expression of HLA-DQ in clone 13 is dependent upon a potentially isotype-specific allele of CIITA whose mutation affects HLA-DR and -DQ, but not -DP expression. We demonstrate that the expression of HLA-DQ in clone 13 is due to an isotype-specific trans-acting factor that acts independently of CIITA.

Materials and Methods

Cell Lines and Transfections. The B-LCL clone 13 (15), RJ2.2.5 (18), and RAJ (ATCC CCL 86; American Type Culture Collection, Rockville, MD) were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium made 10% in heat-inactivated FCS supplemented with 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.2, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 50 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 2 mM l-glutamine (complete medium). For transfections, cells were harvested, washed once in PBS, and resuspended to a concentration of 2 × 10^6 cells/ml in PBS, 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.2. 10^6 cells in 0.5 ml were mixed with 10 μg DNA, transferred to a 0.4-cm electroporation cuvette and electrooporated at 250 V, 960 μF using a Bio-Rad GenePulser II (Bio-Rad, M elvile, NY). After electroporation, cells were washed once in complete medium and plated at a density of 2–4 × 10^3 cells/ml. Drug selection was initiated 48 h after transfection by the addition of either hygromycin B (450 μg/ml) or neomycin (750 μg/ml) as appropriate. Cells were analyzed for expression of surface antigens 14 d after transfection by flow cytometry.

PCR. For RT-PCR, 2 μg of clone 13 RNA was reverse transcribed using SuperScript (GIBCO BRL, Gaithersburg, MD) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. A portion of this cDNA was used in PCR reactions with pairs of CIITA-specific primers (see below) to generate the clone 13 cDNA fragments. The 6.6-kb PCR fragment derived from either clone 13 or RAJ genomic DNA was prepared using primers F246 and R248 and the Expand Long Template PCR System (Boehringer-Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN). All PCR fragments were subcloned into pcRII (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA) for subsequent manipulation and sequence analysis.

The following oligonucleotide primers were used in this study. Primers preceded by F are complementary to the noncoding strand of the CIITA cDNA, whereas those primers preceded by R are complementary to the CIITA coding strand. The position number given in parentheses indicate the nucleotide position of the 5’ end of the primer. Nucleotide numbering is based on Stemmler et al. (13) F204, 5’-GGAGCTGGGACAGGAG-3’ (nt 248); R207, 5’-CGCAAGCCCCAAGTCAG-3’ (nt 1,409); F206, 5’-CTCTCAAGCCGTTCAAATGT-3’ (nt 1,096); R214, 5’-CGCCATGACCTGCGTG-3’ (nt 3,094); F215, 5’-GATGAGCCAACGCTTGTAG-3’ (nt 2,182); R201, 5’-CTACGCGCCCATATTGTCTC-3’ (nt 4,447); R251, 5’-CACTCCACGGAAGGACCAT-3’ (nt 3,346); F212, 5’-GCTGCTTGAGAAGTGAGG-3’ (nt 2,884); F246, 5’-CTCCACGGAACCTCC-3’ (nt 3,360).

Plasmids. The expression plasmids pCMV.CIITA, which directs the expression of wild-type CIITA, and pCMV.Kb, which directs the expression of the murine MHC class I antigen Kb, have been described previously (16). Both plasmids are maintained episomally and confer resistance to hygromycin in transfected cells. The plasmid pREP.EPS9, D4 was constructed by exciting a 1,058-bp EcoRI fragment bearing the D4 cDNA from pD4 (the gift of D. Singer, NC1, NIH Bethesda, MD). After construction with Klenow and ligation of SalI linkers, the D4 cDNA was cloned into the XhoI site of pcRII (Invitrogen). This plasmid is also maintained episomally but confers resistance to neomycin.

The clone 13-derived PCR fragments spanning the CIITA cDNA were subcloned into pcRII (Invitrogen) for sequence analysis and further subcloning. Chimeric CIITA cDNA molecules were constructed from the subcloned clone 13 fragments and wild-type CIITA by taking advantage of the unique NotI, SsaWI, and BamHI restriction sites present in the CIITA cDNA and inserted into pCEP4 (Invitrogen). These expression constructs are designated pCMV.CIITAΔ4, pCMV.CIITAΔ93, and pCMV.CIITAΔ147 and encode mutant forms of CIITA detected in clone 13 (as described in Results) bearing the 4-, 93-, or 147-bp deletions, respectively.

Western Blot Analysis of CIITA. Nucleic and cytoplasmic extracts from RAJ, clone 13, or RJ2.2.5 cells transfected with either pCMV.Kb, pCMV.CIITA, pCMV.CIITAΔ4, pCMV.CIITAΔ93, or pCMV.CIITAΔ147 (19) were prepared as described (20). 30 μg protein was run on a 6% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and trans-
ferred to nitrocellulose. The filters were probed with a cocktail of murine anti-CIITA monoclonal antibodies (all IgG1) (19). Antibody probed filters were reacted with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG1 (Southern Biotechnology Associates, Birmingham, AL) and were developed using a Blotting Detection Kit (Amersham Corp., Arlington Heights, IL).

Cytogenetic Analysis. Clone 13 was cultured in RPMI 1640 medium with 10% FCS and 1% 1-glutamine. Metaphase chromosomes were prepared by standard methods and chromosome preparations were GTG-banded (21). Fluorescence in situ hybridization with a digoxigenin-dUTP-labeled whole chromosome paint for chromosome 16 (Oncor, Gaithersburg, MD) was performed. The whole chromosome paint was detected with a rhodamine-conjugated antibody to digoxigenin as described elsewhere (22). Chromosomes were identified by counterstaining with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole). Hybridizations were viewed with an epifluorescence microscope equipped with a triple band-pass filter set (FITC–Texas red–DAPI; ChromaTech, Brattleboro, VT) for the detection of the whole chromosome paint. A standard single band pass filter (Zeiss) was used to view the DAPI counterstain. Cells were scored for subtle rearrangements of chromosome 16 not detectable by routine GTG banding.

Preparation and Analysis of Cell Fusions. For the preparation of stable fusions between R2J2.2.5/K and clone 13/D, 10^7 cells of each type were mixed in a 50-ml centrifuge tube and washed three times in serum-free medium. The cells were disaggregated by three passages through an 18-gauge needle and were washed once more in serum-free medium. Cell pellets were gently dispersed and warmed to 37°C. Fusion was initiated by the dropwise addition of 1 ml PEG 1,500 (50% wt/vol) (Boehringer-Mannheim), over the course of 1 min. 50 ml of serum-free medium was then added slowly over a 5-min period. Cells were rested at room temperature for 5 min, pelleted at 250 g for 3 min, and plated in 50 ml of complete medium. Drug selection in hygromycin and neomycin at the concentrations given above was performed. For RT-PCR analysis of HLA-DQ expression in the fusions, the following antibodies were used: K^b expression was detected by primary staining with mAb Y-3 (ATCC HB-176) followed by reaction with PE–sheep anti-mouse IgG (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). D^d expression was detected by an anti-D^d–FITC (PharMingen, San Diego, CA). Expression of DQ2 was detected by primary staining with an anti-DQ2 human monoclonal IgM (PharMingen, San Diego, CA). Expression of DQ2 was detected by primary staining with an anti-DQ2 human monoclonal IgM (PharMingen, San Diego, CA). Appropriate isotype-specific secondary conjugated antibody to digoxigenin as described elsewhere (22). Expression of DQ2 was detected by primary staining with an anti-DQ2 human monoclonal IgM (PharMingen, San Diego, CA). Appropriate isotype-specific secondary antibody to digoxigenin was performed. The whole chromosome paint was detected with a rhodamine-conjugated antibody to digoxigenin as described elsewhere (22).

Preparation and Analysis of Cell Fusions. For the preparation of stable fusions between R2J2.2.5/K and clone 13/D, 10^7 cells of each type were mixed in a 50-ml centrifuge tube and washed three times in serum-free medium. The cells were disaggregated by three passages through an 18-gauge needle and were washed once more in serum-free medium. Cell pellets were gently dispersed and warmed to 37°C. Fusion was initiated by the dropwise addition of 1 ml PEG 1,500 (50% wt/vol) (Boehringer-Mannheim), over the course of 1 min. 50 ml of serum-free medium was then added slowly over a 5-min period. Cells were rested at room temperature for 5 min, pelleted at 250 g for 3 min, and plated in 50 ml of complete medium. Drug selection in hygromycin and neomycin at the concentrations given above was performed. For flow cytometric analysis of the fused cell population, the following antibodies were used: K^b expression was detected by primary staining with mAb Y-3 (ATCC HB-176) followed by reaction with PE–sheep anti-mouse IgG (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). D^d expression was detected by an anti-D^d–FITC (PharMingen, San Diego, CA). Expression of DQ2 was detected by primary staining with an anti-DQ2 human monoclonal IgM (PharMingen, San Diego, CA). Expression of DQ2 was detected by primary staining with an anti-DQ2 human monoclonal IgM (PharMingen, San Diego, CA). Appropriate isotype-specific secondary antibody to digoxigenin was performed. The whole chromosome paint was detected with a rhodamine-conjugated antibody to digoxigenin as described elsewhere (22).

Identification of Mutant CIITA mRNA in Clone 13. Stable transfection of clone 13 with a wild-type copy of a CIITA cDNA carried in an episomally maintained expression vector, pCMV-CIITA, has been shown to restore expression of HLA-DR and HLA-DP and increase expression of HLA-DQ consistent with previous complementation analyses (16). Therefore, CIITA is at least partially defective in clone 13. However, it was not possible to determine from these data whether HLA-DQ expression in clone 13 was due to a mutation in CIITA that preserved its ability to transactivate HLA-DQ, but not HLA-DR or -DP, or was secondary to the presence in clone 13 of a CIITA-independent, isotype-specific trans-acting factor. To address this issue, we determined the nature of the mutation(s) present in the clone 13 CIITA genes.

Northern blot analysis of poly(A)^+RNA revealed the presence of apparently full-length CIITA transcripts in clone 13, although at levels somewhat lower than those seen in class II-positive B-LCL (data not shown). Based on this finding, we used RT-PCR to generate fragments spanning the entire CIITA cDNA. Initially, three pairs of oligonucleotide primers, F204/R 207, F206/R 218, and F215/R 201, were used to produce three overlapping PCR fragments from which a full-length clone 13 CIITA cDNA could be reconstructed. These PCR fragments are shown schematically in Fig. 1A. The primer pair (F204, R207) used to generate the 5'-most fragment (fragment A) of the CIITA cDNA yielded two PCR products: one apparently full-length (fragment A1), and a second fragment ~150 bp shorter (fragment A2). The internal fragment (fragment B) was generated with primers (F206, R 214) and the 3'-most fragment (fragment C) was generated with primers (F215, R 201). Both of these fragments appeared to be full length. Sequence analysis of the subcloned PCR fragments revealed that fragments A1 and B corresponded to the wild-type CIITA sequence, whereas fragment A2 harbored a 147-bp in-frame deletion of nucleotides 597–743 that corresponded exactly to a previously described splice variant of CIITA called CIITA-10 found in Raji cells (24). However, multiple independently derived isolates of fragment C revealed a 4-bp deletion beginning at position 3,326 (Fig. 1B). This frame-shift mutation introduces a premature stop codon into the transcript, which would result in a protein of 1,074 amino acids as opposed to the 1,130-amino acid wild-type CIITA protein. In addition, by using primers F251 and R 212 we were able to detect an additional fragment (fragment D), which contained a second mutation, a 93-bp in-frame deletion of nucleotides 3,085–3,177 (Fig. 1A).

Confirmation of the Mutations in G enomic DNA. To determine whether the mutant cDNAs detected by RT-PCR in clone 13 corresponded to genomic mutations, we generated a 6.6-kb PCR fragment from clone 13 genomic DNA, which spans the regions in the cDNA containing both the 93- and 4-bp deletions using primers F246 and R 248. A similar 6.6-kb fragment was generated from Raji genomic DNA to serve as a control for wild-type CIITA. These
Cytogenetic analysis of clone 13. Because we were only able to detect the presence of the 4-bp mutation in PCR fragments derived from genomic DNA, we wished to determine whether clone 13 bore a single copy of chromosome 16 or whether clone 13 was in fact, diploid for chromosome 16. We also examined chromosome 6, which encodes the MHC locus. Therefore, we performed GTG banding on chromosome spreads from clone 13. This analysis suggested that both chromosome 16 (the location of the CIITA gene) and chromosome 6 (the location of the MHC) were intact. The chromosomal abnormalities of seven karyotyped cell from clone 13 were the t(8;14) translocation typical of EBV-transformed Burkitt’s lymphoma cell lines and trisomy 13 and 21, i.e., 48, XY, t(8;14) (q24.1; q32.3), +13, +21 [7]. The significance of these trisomies is presently unclear and may represent clonal evolution. Analysis of chromosome 16 in clone 13 by whole chromosome painting revealed that in 49 of 50 cells examined, chromosome 16 was present in two copies per cell and in one cell three copies of chromosome 16 were present. This latter single cell finding is defined as pseudomosaicism and is thought to represent a technical artifact, but low level mosaicism for trisomy 16 cannot be excluded. Fig. 2 shows an analysis of a typical clone 13 cell by whole chromosome painting for chromosome 16 and by DAPI counterstaining.

Furthermore, there was no evidence for translocation of part(s) of chromosome 16 to any other chromosome, although small deletion would not be detected. Thus, it is likely that clone 13 does carry two copies of the structural gene for CIITA.

Clone 13 Does Not Express CIITA Protein. To examine further whether the HLA-DQ expression present in clone 13 was due to CIITA, we performed immunoblotting analysis on nuclear extracts from clone 13 cells transfected with either pCMV.Kb, pCMV.CIITA, pCMV.CIITAΔ4, pCMV.CIITAΔ93, and pCMV.CIITAΔ147. Wild-type CIITA has a calculated molecular mass of 1.24 × 10^5 kD, whereas the mutant CIITAs have a calculated molecular mass of between 1.18 and 1.21 × 10^5 kD. Endogenous CIITA was readily detected in untransfected Raji cells (data not shown) or in Raji cells transfected with the control plasmid pCMV.Kb (Fig. 3, lane 1). Transfection of Raji with pCMV.CIITA resulted in higher levels of wild-type CIITA (Fig. 3, lane 2). In clone 13, endogenous CIITA could not be detected in cells transfected with the control plasmid pCMV.Kb (Fig. 3, lane 3) but transfection with pCMV.CIITA resulted in the overexpression of CIITA (lane 4). Transfection of clone 13 with either pCMV.CIITAΔ4 or pCMV.CIITAΔ147 resulted in the overexpression of these truncated mutant forms of CIITA (Fig. 3, lanes 5 and 7, respectively), whereas transfection with pCMV.CIITAΔ93 did not result in the production of detectable protein. Similar results were obtained when nuclear extracts prepared from R/2.1.2.2 and 13 cells transfected with the same panel of plasmids were examined (data not shown). Thus, despite the fact that clone 13 transcribes the CIITA gene, we find no evidence of endogenous CIITA protein in clone 13. It is possible that (a) the CIITA mRNA in clone 13 is not transcribed;
lated, (b) that the mutant CIITA protein is not stable, or (c) that it is present but in levels below the limits of detection. The fact that a truncated mutant CIITA can be overexpressed from the plasmid pCMV.CIITAΔ4 suggests that the third possibility is most likely.

Mutant CIITAs from Clone 13 Do Not Activate HLA-DQ Expression. In the event that CIITA protein was present in clone 13 but was present at levels too low to detect, we constructed chimeric CIITA cDNAs bearing the clone 13 CIITA mutations in the context of a wild-type CIITA cDNA. These chimeric cDNAs were cloned into pCEP4, an episomally maintained expression vector described in Materials and Methods. All expression constructs were stably introduced into RJ2.2.5, a CIITA-deficient cell line that maps to MHC class II CID complementation group II and fails to express all class II antigens. We reasoned that if CIITA were directly responsible for the pattern of HLA class II expression in clone 13 (HLA-DR−, -DQ−, -DP−), that CIITA might also function similarly in another CIITA-deficient cell line.

The various chimeric CIITA cDNAs used for these transfection studies and the results of flow cytometric analysis of RJ2.2.5 cells transfected with either pCMV.CIITA, or with the chimeric constructs are shown in Fig. 4. As shown previously, transfection of wild-type CIITA into RJ2.2.5 caused reexpression of HLA-DR, -DQ, and -DP. Notably, chimeric CIITA cDNAs bearing either the 4-bp or the 93-bp deletion were unable to recapitulate the pattern of HLA-D antigen expression seen in clone 13. Interestingly, a CIITA cDNA bearing the 147-bp deletion previously identified as a splice variant was fully active, despite the fact that the deletion region maps to a portion of the

Figure 2. Chromosome painting for chromosome 16. Cells were painted for chromosome 16 as described in Materials and Methods. The image on the left shows a cell painted for chromosome 14. Two copies of chromosome 16 are evident in this cell. No translocations, insertions, or other rearrangements of chromosome 16 are observed. The image on the right is the corresponding DAPI image (for chromosome identification) of the image on the left.

Figure 3. Lack of expression of CIITA protein in clone 13. Raji (lanes 1–2) and clone 13 cells (lanes 3–7) were stably transfected with either pCMV.Kb (lanes 1 and 3), pCMV.CIITA (lanes 2 and 4), pCMV.CIITAΔ4 (lane 5), pCMV.CIITAΔ93 (lane 6), or pCMV.CIITAΔ147 (lane 7). Nuclear extracts were prepared and analyzed by Western blot using a cocktail of three murine anti-CIITA mAbs. CIITA is not detected in clone 13 transfected with pCMV.Kb, but is readily detected in Raji cells transfected with pCMV.Kb. The upper arrow indicates the position of wild-type CIITA, while the lower arrow indicates the position of the truncated CIITA proteins encoded by pCMV.CIITAΔ4 and pCMV.CIITAΔ147.

Figure 4. MHC class II expression directed by chimeric CIITA cDNAs transfected into RJ2.2.5 cells. Expression plasmids bearing chimeric CIITA cDNA derived from the indicated sources were stably transfected into RJ2.2.5 cells and analyzed for the expression of HLA-DR, -DP, and -DQ after selection in hygromycin. Surface expression of HLA antigens was detected by flow cytometry.
proline-serine-threonine (PST)-rich domain that has been shown to be necessary for optimal transactivation by CIITA (16). Thus, the mutations in CIITA in the clone 13 alleles rendered them completely unable to transactivate all three HLA-D genes. These data suggested that the expression of HLA-DQ in clone 13 may be regulated by a novel trans-acting factor different from and independent of CIITA.

An HLA-DQ-specific Transactivator Is Present in Clone 13. To provide direct evidence for an HLA-DQ isotype-specific transacting factor in clone 13, we designed a somatic cell fusion experiment between clone 13 and another CIITA-deficient cell line, RJ2.2.5, taking advantage of the fact that these two cell lines have different DQB haplotypes as determined by DNA-based tissue typing. Clone 13 is DQB1*0602(DQ6) and DQB1*0301(DQ3), whereas RJ2.2.5 is DQB1*0501(DQ5) and DQB1*0201 (DQ2). We reasoned that if clone 13 has a factor capable of specifically activating the HLA-DQ genes, it should be able to reactivate expression of DQ in another CIITA-deficient cell line from group II CID upon fusion of these two cells. This strategy is outlined in Fig. 5. In brief, RJ2.2.5 cells stably transfected with pCMV.Kb, an episomally maintained vector that expresses Kb, a murine class I molecule, and confers hygromycin resistance were fused to clone 13 cells transfected with pREP9.Dd, a second episomally maintained vector, which directs the expression of Dd, another murine class I antigen, and confers neomycin resistance. Both RJ2.2.5/Kb and clone 13/Dd retain the pattern of class II expression as seen in RJ2.2.5 and clone 13, respectively (data not shown). The fusion was then selected in both hygromycin and neomycin to generate a population of stable RJ2.2.5/Kb by clone 13/Dd fusion products. This population was analyzed by three-color flow cytometry by staining for the expression of Kb, Dd, and DQ2. Because each fusion partner expresses a different murine class I antigen, the fused cells can be detected as staining doubly for Kb and Dd. These double-positive cells can then be specifically examined for the expression of DQ2, one of the allelic forms of DQ encoded by RJ2.2.5. The results of such a triple-color analysis performed on a population of stably fused cells is presented in Fig. 6. Fig. 6 A shows a large number of cells (52%) that stain for both Kb (PE) and Dd (FITC). When the KbDd double-positive cells stained with an isotype-matched control antibody a clear shifted in fluorescence intensity was observed (Fig. 6 B). No expression of either HLA-DR or HLA-DP could be detected in the fused cell population, demonstrating the isotype specificity of this trans-acting factor (data not shown).

In addition to the surface expression of DQ2 in the stable cell fusions, we were also able to detect HLA-DQ2 mRNA in the fusions by RT-PCR. RNA prepared from two separate somatic cell fusion experiments, from the individual fusion partners, RJ2.2.5 and clone 13, and from Raji (the class II-positive cell line from which RJ2.2.5 was derived), was DNAse I treated and reverse transcribed. The resulting first-strand cDNA was used as a template in

![Figure 5](https://example.com/figure5.png)
PCR reactions with group-specific primers that amplify a region from exon 2 of the HLA-DQB1 gene (23a). One set of primers (GH28NL and QB204) generates a 237 bp product specific for the DQBw2,3,4 alleles, whereas the second set (GH28NL and QB202) amplifies a 237-bp fragment specific for the DQBw1 allele. DQ alleles can be further distinguished by taking advantage of restriction site polymorphisms that occur among the individual DQ alleles. Again, we chose to look at the expression of DQ2 in the fused populations because RT-PCR experiments showed that R2J.2.2.5 was slightly leaky for the transcription of DQB*0501 (data not shown) but did not express any DQB*0201. In populations of stable fusions between R2J.2.2.5/Kb and clone 13/Dd, the DQw2,3,4 group-specific primers would be expected to amplify both a product from the DQB*0301 gene expressed by clone 13 and a product from the DQB*0201 gene of R2J.2.2.5 if it is reexpressed. These two products can be distinguished on the basis of their sensitivity to digestion by the restriction endonucleases FokI and BglI: the DQB*0201 product is cut by FokI but not by BglI, whereas the DQB*0301 product is cut by BglI but not by FokI. Fig. 7 shows the results of such an analysis. The RT-PCR products generated by group-specific primers for DQw2,3,4 from the various cell populations were either left uncut or digested with FokI or BglI. Transcripts derived from both the DQB*0201 and the DQB*0301 alleles were detected in all three populations of stably fused cells whereas clone 13 alone, as expected, transcribed only the DQB*0301 allele. No transcription of the DQB*0201 gene was detected in R2J.2.2.5 in contrast with its presence in the class II–positive parent, Raji.

Discussion

Here, we have analyzed the molecular basis for the unusual pattern of expression of MHC class II antigens in a mutant B-lymphoblastoid cell line, clone 13. Clone 13 has previously been shown by complementation analysis to belong to group II of the five known groups of MHC class II CID. Unlike the other cell lines in this complementation group, which fail to transcribe all class II genes, clone 13 does express substantial levels of HLA-DQ in the absence of HLA-DR and -DP expression. It has recently been shown that the gene defective in complementation group II cells is CIITA, and ectopic expression of CIITA in these cells is both necessary and sufficient to restore expression of all three HLA-D isotypes. Ectopic expression of CIITA in clone 13 similarly restores HLA-DR and -DP and increases HLA-DQ expression.

One possible explanation for the clone 13 phenotype was that one allele of CIITA in clone 13 harbored a mutation that abrogated HLA-DR and -DP but not HLA-DQ transcription. We have detected a 4-bp genomic deletion in at least one allele of CIITA in clone 13; however, this gene is functionally inert when introduced into CIITA-deficient cell lines. Because we repeatedly isolated only this mutation from genomic DNA, it is possible that both alleles of the CIITA gene in clone 13 bear this 4-bp mutation. However, it is also possible that the 4-bp deletion represents only one allelic form of CIITA in clone 13 and that the other allele of the CIITA gene is deleted as is the case in R2J.2.2.5. It may be that the 93 bp deletion mutant represents the second allele of the CIITA gene in clone 13 despite the presence of wild-type splice donor and acceptor sites. The defects in CIITA found in other group II cell lines have been identified and include both in-frame deletions due to splice donor site mutations and mutations, which introduce premature termination codons as well as large genomic deletions (12, 25). Like the mutations in clone 13, these defects are most often located in the 3′ portion of the CIITA cDNA. In any case, it is clear that there is no CIITA protein detectable by Western blot analysis in clone 13 and, furthermore, that overexpression of the clone 13 cDNA in a CIITA-deficient cell line such as R2J.2.2.5 or in clone 13 itself, does not lead to the expression of HLA-DQ. Instead, stable cell fusions between clone 13 and R2J.2.2.5, restored expression of the R2J.2.2.5 HLA-DQ genes, providing strong evidence that clone 13 possesses an HLA-DQ-specific transactivator. Based on the data pre-
that transactivates DQ is not present in other CIITA-deficient systems. Further, the factor in clone 13 functions in the absence of CIITA. As presented above, this transactivator is not CIITA and, further, functions in the absence of CIITA.

It is likely that this HLA-DQ transactivator mediates its effects through the DQB gene X2 element. O no and Song (17) have shown that reporter constructs bearing the DQB promoter are active in clone 13 while similar DRA promoter constructs are not. Replacement of the DRA X2 site with that from DQB renders it transcriptionally active in clone 13. Conversely, replacement of the DQB X2 box with that from DRA abolishes transcription. These results suggest that the X2 elements of DRA and DQB might bind different factors in clone 13. Further, the factor in clone 13 that transactivates DQ is not present in other CIITA-deficient cell lines.

Isotype-selective binding of factors to class II promoters has been demonstrated previously. A family of X1 box binding proteins, RFX1-5, has been cloned, one of which, RFX5, is composed of two subunits and comprises the nucleoprotein complex called RFX (26). Recently, the 75-kD subunit of RFX5 has been identified as the gene defective in group IV MHC class II CID (14). Indeed, the introduction of a wild-type RFX5 cDNA into group IV cells not only restores class II expression but also results in the reappearance of the RFX binding complex in nuclear extracts prepared from the transfected cells. Whereas the purified RFX complex consisting of both the 75- and 36-kD subunits shows moderate binding affinity to some class II X1 boxes (DRA and DPA), its binding to others is very weak or nonexistent. Cooperative binding between NF-Y, a heterodimeric complex that binds all class II promoter Y boxes, and RFX5, however, increases the stability of complex formation between each of these factors and its binding site, thus increasing the apparent affinity of RFX5 for those X1 boxes that it cannot bind on its own.

Several isotype-selective X2 box binding proteins have also been identified either by molecular cloning or by biochemical means. Among these are hXBP-1 (27), ATF-2 or mXBP (28, 29), c-jun (30), and X2BP (31). We think it unlikely that any of these factors is responsible for the DQ expression in clone 13. The transcription factor hXBP-1, a basic region leucine-zipper protein, binds to the X2 site in the DRA and DPB, but not DQB genes. Consistent with its isotype-selective binding, transfection of antisense hXBP-1 into the class II–positive B-LCL Raji decreased HLA-DR and -DP but not HLA-DQ expression (32). This pattern of binding and transactivation is the opposite of the clone 13 phenotype. ATF-2 (mXBP) was also originally isolated by its ability to bind to the X2 site, but mice bearing a germ-line mutation in this gene have normal levels of class II, suggesting that ATF-2 is not an essential element of the class II transcription machinery (33). X2BP was originally identified as an X2-specific DNA binding activity in nuclear extracts prepared from Raji cells. Unlike other X2 box binding complexes, it can bind to the X2 boxes of both the α and β chain genes of the same isotype (HLA-DR), but, like the others individually, it does not bind well to the X2 boxes of other isotypes such as DQA, DQB, or DPA. X2BP, which is found at high levels in class II–positive B cells, can bind cooperatively to the X2 box in the presence of RFX (34) and can form stable higher order complexes with RFX and NF-Y on a DRA promoter probe in EMSA (26). These observations strongly suggest that X2BP is a functionally important X2 box factor. Nevertheless, its isotype binding profile does not match well to the clone 13 phenotype. Neither the individual binding profiles of these X2 box binding proteins, nor their ability to bind class II promoters in a higher order nucleo-protein complex, fits the phenotype of the clone 13 HLA-DQ transactivator. Thus, it is likely that the clone 13 DQ transactivator protein has not yet been isolated. A schematic diagram of the major factors relevant to class II transcription and the cis-acting elements through which they are thought to act can be found in Mach et al. (12).

The ability of the clone 13 HLA-DQ transactivator to function in the absence of CIITA is intriguing. Though apparently not a DNA-binding protein itself (26), CIITA has been shown to be both necessary and sufficient for the coordinate expression of all three HLA isotypes in both constitutive and inducible systems. Indeed, there has been a good correlation to date between the expression of CIITA and the expression of MHC class II. In all cell lines that map to MHC class II CID complementation group II, the introduction of a wild-type copy of CIITA causes the coordinate reexpression of all three HLA isotypes (13, 16). It has also been shown that CIITA is responsible for the induction of class II genes by IFN-γ in cultured fibroblasts (35, 36). Additionally, the lack of class II antigen expression in plasmacytes and in activated murine T cells can be reversed by the introduction of a functional copy of the CIITA gene (37, 38). However, there are two examples of class II expression in the absence of CIITA. Class II is expressed on rare interdigitating reticular thymic cells in CIITA-defi-
What type of mechanism or factor(s) might account for the CIITA-independent expression of HLA-DQ in clone 13? It is possible that a DQ-specific factor that is normally regulated by CIITA has lost its dependence on CIITA as a result of a dominant-acting mutation. Alternatively, a factor not normally involved in the regulation of CIITA might have acquired the ability to do so as the result of a mutation. Finally, with the exception of clone 13, the experimentally derived class II–negative cell lines in group II were generated by mutagenesis followed by immunoselection for lack of class II expression (18, 40). Clone 13, however, was derived as a subclone of P3J-HR -1 cells, a mutant B cell line in turn derived from Jijoye, a class II-positive Burkitt's lymphoma. The P3J-HR -1 cell line is a very high producer of viral capsid antigen, whereas the subclone clone 13 was identified initially for its ability to produce large amounts of virus in response to treatment with TPA (41). It is possible that expression of HLA-DQ in clone 13 is related to the transforming EBV present in these cells. Although transformation of human B lymphocytes with EBV does not usually alter their MHC class II phenotype (B lymphocytes from MHC class II-deficient patients remain class II-negative after transformation), it is known that EBV transformation often causes reexpression of class I antigens in B cells derived from BLS type I patients (9).

There are several examples of cell lines, both normal and malignant, in which noncoordinate expression of the class II genes has been observed. In human dermal fibroblasts, treatment with IFN-γ induces the expression of HLA-DR and -DP but not HLA-DQ (42). Malignant B cells have been described that fail to express one or more specific class II isotypes (43, 44). Furthermore, in human eosinophils, HLA-DR but not HLA-DQ is induced in response to GM-CSF (45). Whether any of these examples of noncoordinate regulation of the class II genes involves a CIITA-independent pathway like that in clone 13 is currently unknown.

Despite the highly conserved nature of the class II proximal promoters and the identification of factors such as CIITA and RFX5, which are known to influence the coordinate expression of the class II genes, it is becoming increasingly clear that the regulation of expression of individual class II genes may involve gene-specific cis elements and trans-acting factors, which in some cases may result in noncoordinate expression of class II genes.
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