Superantigen Binding to a T Cell Receptor $\beta$ Chain of Known Three-dimensional Structure
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Summary
The three-dimensional structure of an unglycosylated T cell antigen receptor (TCR) $\beta$ chain has recently been determined to 1.7 Å resolution. To investigate whether this soluble $\beta$ chain (murine V(38.2)B2.1C1B1) retains superantigen (SAG)-binding activity, we measured its affinity for various bacterial SAGs in the absence of MHC class II molecules. Dissociation constants ($K_D$s) were determined using two independent techniques: surface plasmon resonance detection and sedimentation equilibrium. Specific binding was demonstrated to staphylococcal enterotoxins (SEs) B, C1, C2, and C3 and to streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxin A (SPEA), consistent with the known proliferative effects of these SAGs on T cells expressing V(38.2). In contrast, SEA, which does not stimulate V(38.2)-bearing cells, does not bind the recombinant $\beta$ chain. Binding of the $\beta$ chain to SAGs was characterized by extremely fast dissociation rates ($>0.1$ s$^{-1}$), similar to those reported for certain leukocyte adhesion molecules. Whereas the $\beta$ chain bound SEC1, 2, and 3 with $K_D$s of 0.9–2.5 μM, the corresponding value for SEB was ~140 μM. The much weaker binding to SEB than to SEC1, 2, or 3 was surprising, especially since SEB was found to actually be 3–10-fold more effective, on a molar basis, than the other toxins in stimulating the parental T cell hybridoma. We interpret these results in terms of the ability of SEC to activate T cells independently of MHC, in contrast to SEB. We have also measured SE binding to the glycosylated form of the $\beta$ chain and found that carbohydrate apparently does not contribute to recognition, even though the N-linked glycosylation sites at V(38.2) residues Asn24 and Asn74 are at or near the putative SAG-binding site. This result, along with the structural basis for the V$\beta$ specificity of SEs, are discussed in relation to the crystal structure of the unglycosylated $\beta$ chain.

Antigen recognition by T lymphocytes is mediated by highly diverse cell surface glycoproteins known as T cell receptors (TCRs)

1. Abbreviations used in this paper: APC, antigen-presenting cell; BCHO, glycosylated $\beta$ chain; $\beta$mut, unglycosylated $\beta$ chain; HBS, Heps-buffered saline; $K_D$, dissociation constant; Mls, minor lymphocyte stimulating antigen; r, correlation coefficient; RU, response unit; SAG, superantigen; SEA, staphylococcal enterotoxin A; SEB, staphylococcal enterotoxin B; SEC, staphylococcal enterotoxin C; SEE, streptococcal enterotoxin E; SPEA, streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxin A; TCR, T cell antigen receptor.
independently of MHC (14). The response resembled the conventional class II-associated one in that T cells expressing the same VB gene segment were selectively stimulated. However, T cell activation by SEC and SEE in MHC-deficient mice was not followed by deletion of clones bearing the relevant VB element. Thus, MHC-independent T cell activation may produce certain physiological consequences which are different from those associated with MHC-dependent activation.

To investigate the biochemical basis of T cell activation by SAGs, we have measured the binding of various bacterial toxins to a soluble murine TCR β chain (VB8.2Jβ2.1 Cβ1) in the absence of MHC class II molecules. As we have recently determined the three-dimensional structure of this β chain to atomic resolution (15), this represents an excellent system for delineating TCR-SAG interactions. We show that the reactivity of this β chain toward different toxins is consistent with their effects on the parental T cell hybridoma.

We also find that the ability of certain bacterial SAGs to activate T cells independently of MHC correlates with the relatively high affinity of these particular toxins for the isolated β chain. By measuring the affinity of glycosylated and unglycosylated forms of the β chain for SEs, we have been able to directly assess the contribution of carbohydrate to SAG binding. Finally, the identification of amino acid residues on the putative SAG-binding surface of the VB8.2 domain which are conserved in other VB domains with similar or different toxin reactivities provides insights into the structural basis of TCR recognition by SAGs.

**Materials and Methods**

**Superantigens.** Purified SEA, SEB, SEC1, SEC2 and SEC3 were purchased from Toxin Technology, Inc. (Sarasota, FL). Streptococcal pyrogenic exotoxin A (SPEA) was prepared as described (16).

**Recombinant TCR Proteins.** The soluble αβ TCR used is a chimeric molecule in which the V and extracellular C regions of both α and β chains were fused with immunoglobulin Cκ regions (17). The TCR (designated 14.3.d) is specific for a hemagglutinin peptide of influenza virus (HA 110-120) in the context of I-Ed and utilizes the VL4.1a2B4/VB8.2Jβ2.1 gene combination (18). The chimeric protein is assembled and secreted as a functional, glycosylated VαCoCκ/VβCβCκ heterodimer by J558L myeloma cells transfected with the recombinant genes (17). Affinity purification using the anti-mouse Cκ monoclonal antibody 187.1 (19) was carried out as described (17).

Glycosylated 14.3.d VB/β chain (βCHO) was derived from the VαCoCκ/VβCβCκ heterodimer by treatment with papain, which was found to selectively degrade the α chain as well as both Cκ domains (20). In a typical preparation, αβ heterodimer at a concentration of 2 mg/ml in 0.1 M KPO4, pH 7.2, was digested for 35 min at 37°C with papain (Worthington) at an enzyme/substrate ratio of 1:500 in the presence of 1.25 mM EDTA and 1.5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. The reaction was terminated by the addition of N-ethylmaleimide to a final concentration of 10 mM.

Unglycosylated VβCβ chain (βmut) was obtained by elimination of four out of five potential N-linked glycosylation sites through site-directed mutagenesis and introduction of a termination codon at the end of the first C region exon of the β chain (15). Asparagines at positions 24, 74, and 121 (numbering according to reference 21) were mutated to glutamine, while the glycosylation site at position 236 was eliminated by mutation of Ser238 to valine. The βmut chain was produced in J558L cells and affinity purified using the anti-mouse Cκ monoclonal antibody H57-597 (15, 22). The unmaturated N-linked glycosylation site at position C(386) was used in <10% of the chains as judged by SDS-PAGE.

The bacterially produced Vα domain of a TCR (designated 1934.4) specific for the N-terminus of myelin basic protein presented by I-A^d (23) was kindly provided by Dr. E. S. Ward (Southwestern Medical School, Dallas, TX). The His^-tagged protein was purified by Ni^2+^-NTA agarose and anion exchange chromatography as described (23, 24).

**BLACore Analysis.** The interaction of soluble TCR fragments with immobilized SAGs was monitored with a BLACore instrument (Pharmacia Biosensor, Uppsala, Sweden). All proteins were purified by gel filtration on a Superose 12 FPLC column (Pharmacia) before use to eliminate aggregated material that could interfere with affinity measurements (25, 26). Typically, <5% of the SAG or TCR preparations eluted at or near the void volume. SAGs were coupled to the dextran matrix of CM5 sensor chips (Pharmacia) using the Amine Coupling Kit as described (27). SEC1, SEC2, SEC3, SEB, and SEA, which have isoelectric points above 7.0 (16), were dialyzed against 10 mM sodium acetate, pH 5.5. SPEA, whose isoelectric point is ~5.0 (16), was dialyzed against 10 mM sodium acetate, pH 4.2. Protein concentrations ranged from 50 to 150 μg/ml. The activation and immobilization periods were set to between 2 and 7 min to couple the desired amount of SAG. Recombinant TCR fragments were dialyzed against Hepes-buffered saline (HBS) containing 150 mM NaCl, 0.005% Surfactant P-20 (Pharmacia), 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.5; dilutions were made in the same buffer. All binding experiments were performed at 25°C. Dissociation was carried out in HBS. Flow rates are given in the figure legends. Pulses of 10 mM HCl were used to regenerate the surfaces. Runs were analyzed using the software BLAevaluation 2.0 (Pharmacia). Dissociation constants (K_d) were determined under equilibrium binding conditions using Scatchard analysis (28). Standard deviations for three or more independent determinations were <8%.

**Sedimentation Equilibrium.** Equilibrium sedimentation of the βmut chain, the various SAGs, and their mixtures were performed with a Beckman XL-A Optima analytical ultracentrifuge using a four-hole, An-55 rotor. All experiments were performed between 20–25°C at rotor speeds of between 22,000 to 30,000 rpm. The molar extinction coefficients used were: βmut, 43,200 M^-1 cm^-1; SEB, 40,500 M^-1 cm^-1; SEC1, 34,200 M^-1 cm^-1; SEC2, 34,200 M^-1 cm^-1; SEC3, 34,200 M^-1 cm^-1; SPEA, 29,900 M^-1 cm^-1. The concentration distributions of the samples at sedimentation equilibrium were acquired either as a single measurement or as an average of 25 measurements of absorbance at each radial position, with nominal spacing of 0.001 cm between radial positions. Samples were prepared by dialysis against either 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, or 0.1 M sodium phosphate, pH 7.2. Partial specific volumes for the beta chain and the toxins were assumed to be 0.73 ml/mg, and solvent densities were determined pycnometrically.

Equilibrium sedimentation data on the individual β chain and individual SAGs obtained either at two different rotor speeds or two initial protein concentrations were analyzed for average molecular weights in terms of a single, homogeneous species according to:

\[ c_I = B + c_m \exp \left[ M (1 - v_p) \frac{\omega^2}{2RT} \right] (1) \]
where \( c \) is the concentration of the protein at a given radial position, \( c_m \) is the concentration of the protein at some reference position (e.g., the meniscus), \( M \) is the molecular weight, \( \rho \) is the partial specific volume, \( \omega \) is the angular velocity, \( r \) is the radial position in centimeters from the center of rotation, \( r_m \) is the distance in centimeters from the center of rotation to the meniscus, \( R \) is the gas constant, and \( T \) is the absolute (Kelvin) temperature, and \( B \) is a correction term for a nonzero baseline.

Equilibrium constants for the association of the \( \beta \) chain and SAGs were calculated from the data obtained at sedimentation equilibrium according to

\[
c = B + c_m R \exp [M_R (1 - \omega r)^2 (r^2 - r_m^2) / 2RT] +
(c_mT) \exp [M_T (1 - \omega r)^2 (r^2 - r_m^2) / 2RT] +
(c_mK_D) (c_mK_D) / K_D \exp [M_C (1 - \omega r)^2 (r^2 - r_m^2) / 2RT]
\]

where \( c_mR \) is the concentration of receptor at the reference position, \( c_mT \) is the concentration of the toxin at the reference position, \( M_R \) and \( M_T \) are the molecular weights of the \( \beta \) mutant chain and SAGs as determined in separate sedimentation equilibrium experiments, \( M_C \) is the molecular weight of the complex, and \( K_D \) is the dissociation constant for the various \( \beta \) chain-SAG interactions. Parameters were evaluated using nonlinear least-squares analysis (29), or by using a modified version of IGOR (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR) running on a Macintosh computer (30, 31).

Data for two different concentrations or two rotor speeds were analyzed simultaneously as a test for homogeneity and reversibility (32) and to constrain confidence intervals for the parameter values (33). Errors on \( K_D \) were \( \sim 20\% \) of parameter values.

**T Cell Stimulation Assay.** T cell stimulation was monitored by the release of IL-3 as previously described (34). Briefly, \( 5 \times 10^4 \) irradiated (10,000 rad) A20 APC were preincubated with different concentrations of SAGs or positive control peptide (HA 110.1934.4) in 100-μl volumes for 2 h in 96-well plates. Thereafter, \( 2 \times 10^4 \) 14.3.d T cell hybridoma cells were added in 100-μl volumes and supernatants were harvested 20 h later for assay of IL-3 activity. Proliferation of the IL-3-dependent cell line DA-1 was measured 26 h later using [3H]thymidine. All measurements were done in duplicate in the presence of 5% FCS in IMDM culture media.

**Results**

The Binding of Soluble TCR Components to SAGs Is Characterized by Rapid Association and Dissociation Rates. To analyze the interaction of recombinant TCR molecules with SAGs by BIAcore, SAGs were coupled directly to the dextran matrix through primary amine groups of the proteins (27). Injection of different concentrations of 14.3.d αβ TCR, βCHO, and βmut over SEC1 gave concentration-dependent binding (Fig. 1, A, B, and C, respectively) that can be distinguished from the profile obtained when SEB was immobilized (Fig. 1 E). Results similar to those for SEC1 were obtained when SEC2, SEC3, and SPEA were coupled to the matrix (not shown). These data are in agreement with the ability of SEC and SPEA, but not SEA, to stimulate VB8.2-bearing T cells (2). Surprisingly, the binding to SEB, which is also known to activate cells expressing VB8.2 (2), appeared markedly weaker: whereas injection of 8 μM βmut over 4,000 response units (RU) of immobilized SEC1 produced a total response (specific and non-specific; see below) of \( \sim 1,750 \) RU (Fig. 1 C), injection of the same concentration of \( \beta \) chain over 4,000 RU of immobilized SEB resulted in a response of only about 400 RU (Fig. 1 F). Similarly, injection of 8 μM αβ TCR over SEB produced a response of only \( \sim 200 \) RU (Fig. 1 G), indicating that the weak interaction of βmut with this toxin is not due to the absence of carbohydrate or of \( \alpha \) chain. Injection of 8 μM 1934.4 Va over SEC1 produced a response (Fig. 1 D) similar to that observed after injection of βmut over SEA (Fig. 1 E).

Efforts to examine binding in the reverse orientation (i.e., with TCR components on the sensor surface and SAGs in solution) were not successful. In the case of αβ TCR, although binding of SEC was detectable, baseline drift precluded quantitative analysis; similar difficulties have been reported for the binding of SEB to an immobilized human TCR (12). In the case of βCHO and βmut, no binding of any SAG could be detected, implying that immobilization of the \( \beta \) chain through amine groups led to its inactivation, as described in other systems (26). These difficulties prompted us to measure \( \beta \) chain-SAG interactions by sedimentation equilibrium (see below), which does not require ligand immobilization.

When 8 μM αβ TCR were injected over SEC1, SEC2, SEC3, and SPEA, the response occurred in two distinct phases: a fast initial increase of \( \sim 800 \) RU, followed by a much slower increase of \( \sim 300 \) RU (Fig. 1 A, for the case of SEC1). When buffer flow was reestablished, the response dropped in two phases: a fast initial drop of \( \sim 800 \) RU within 4 s, followed by a much slower decrease over the remaining 300 RU. We attribute the slowly dissociating phase to the presence of multimeric aggregates of αβ TCR, which bind with high avidity, even though the protein had been purified by size exclusion chromatography. It has been shown that even small amounts of aggregated material (<2%), which could form during the concentration step after gel filtration, can produce this type of biphasic dissociation (25, 26). On the other hand, when βCHO or βmut were injected over SEC1, SEC2, SEC3, and SPEA, equilibrium binding levels were reached within seconds (Fig. 1, B and C). Upon completion of the injections, the responses dropped rapidly as well (90% decrease within the first 4 s in the case of βmut dissociating from SEC1; Fig. 1 C). Because βCHO and βmut displayed relatively simple kinetic behavior, and because the reactivity profiles of isolated \( \beta \) chain and αβ heterodimer toward SEC, SPEA, and SEB had been found to be qualitatively indistinguishable, all subsequent measurements were carried out with βCHO or βmut. It is worth noting that the monodisperse behavior of the \( \beta \) chain (see also below) is consistent with its ability to crystallize: whereas both BC CHO and βmut have yielded x-ray diffraction quality crystals, we were unable to crystallize the αβ heterodimer (15, 20).

The kinetics of the binding of soluble TCR components to SAGs were too fast to be accurately measured, although the association and dissociation rate constants may be estimated at >100,000 M \(^{-1}\)s\(^{-1}\) and >0.1 s\(^{-1}\), respectively.
Consequently, affinities were determined under equilibrium binding conditions as described below.

**Glycosylated and Unglycosylated TCR β Chai ns Bind SEC and SPEA with Micromolar Affinities.** Conditions for equilibrium binding analysis were chosen on the basis of the kinetic behavior of this system (Fig. 1). Approximately 2,000 RU of each SAG were immobilized and buffer flow rates were set at 5 µl/min to avoid diffusion-limited reactions (28). Each β chain was injected over the surface for 20 s and report points for Scatchard analysis were taken 10 s after injection (Fig. 2). To estimate apparent $K_r$s, decreasing concentrations (64, 32, 16, 8, 4, 2, and 1 µM) of βCHO or βmut were injected over SEA, SEC1, SEC2, SEC3, and SPEA. To estimate the increase in RU resulting from the nonspecific effect of protein on the bulk refractive index, binding of βCHO and βmut to a control surface with no immobilized ligand were also measured (Fig. 2 A). βCHO and βmut showed the same behavior over SEA as over the
A and C for the interaction of Pmut with SEC and SPEA, respectively. For the binding of βCHO to SEC1, SEC2, and SEC3, the K_Ds were 18.2, 5.4, and 8.5 μM. These results demonstrate that (a) the affinity of recombinant Vβ8.2/Jβ2.1Cβ1 chain for SEC or SPEA is similar to those of some other T cell surface glycoproteins for their ligands, such as the adhesion molecule CD2 for CD58 and CD48 (25, 26), or of TCRs for peptide/MHC (12, 17, 37, 38) and (b) glycosylation does not significantly contribute to the SAG-binding activity of at least this particular β chain.

14.3.d β Chain Binds SEB with Much Lower Affinity than SEC and SPEA. The markedly weaker binding of Bmut to SEB than to SEC1 suggested in Fig. 1, C and F was confirmed by equilibrium binding experiments (Fig. 4). BLAcore measurements were carried out as described above for SEC and SPEA, except that much higher concentrations of β chain (up to 256 μM) were required to approach saturation. The Scatchard plot was linear (r = 0.97) and gave a K_D of 144 μM with predicted maximum binding of 2460 RU (Fig. 4 D). Given that about 2700 RU of SEB were immobilized, and that SEB and Bmut have similar molecular masses (28.5 and 26.4 kDa, respectively), this indicates that >90% of the coupled SAG retained binding activity.

The weak binding of SEB to the 14.3.d β chain was unexpected, particularly in view of the finding that, on a molar basis, this toxin was actually 3- to 10-fold more effective than SEC or SPEA in stimulating the parental 14.3.d T cell hybridoma (Fig. 5). We therefore decided to check our results by an independent technique, sedimentation equilibrium. A major advantage of this method is that both interacting species are in solution, thereby avoiding any possible artefacts arising from ligand immobilization.

The Much Weaker Binding of β chain to SEB than to SEC or SPEA Is Confirmed by Sedimentation Equilibrium. Before analysis of the equilibrium constants for the Bmut chain with the various bacterial SAGs, the behavior of the individual receptor fragment and toxins was evaluated in separate sedimentation experiments. All of the species under consideration were well behaved, showing virtually no tendency to aggregate at concentrations up to ~25 μM. An estimate for the molecular weight of the β chain was 28,800, in good agreement with that expected from its amino acid composition (26,400). The molecular weight of SEC3 was determined to be 28,300, compared with an expected value of 28,900. Similar agreement was obtained for SEC1, SEC2 and SEB. However, the molecular weight of SPEA was estimated at only 22,500, somewhat lower than the expected value of 25,800.

The equilibrium dissociation constants determined by sedimentation equilibrium for Bmut with various SAGs are presented in Table 1; a representative sedimentation profile is shown in Fig. 6. As can be seen in Table 1, the interaction of the β chain with virtually all the toxins occurs with K_D in the low micromolar range (0.9–2.5 μM). An exception is SEB, for which an estimate for the K_D of only 70 μM could be made. However, this estimate should be considered only a lower limit for the actual K_D since, under the feasible conditions for this measurement in the ultracentri-
fuge, weaker binding would not have been detected. Attempts to better define the $K_D$ using 5- and 10-fold higher protein concentrations (up to 0.1 mM) to favor complex formation in this weakly interacting system were unsuccessful due to problems of nonspecific aggregation at these concentrations. It is nevertheless apparent that the SEB binds the 14.3.d $\beta$ chain with much lower affinity than SEC or SPEA, in agreement with the results from BIAcore.

A comparison of apparent $K_D$ values obtained by sedimentation equilibrium with those from BIAcore reveals that the latter are consistently higher, by up to a factor of 11 in the case of SPEA (Table 1). We believe that the sedimentation equilibrium values more accurately reflect the true binding constants because this method does not involve chemical coupling of ligands to a solid support. In extreme cases (e.g., 26), immobilization can result in complete loss of binding activity, as we observed for $\beta$ chain coupled to the dextran matrix (see above). Such experiences strongly suggest that intermediate effects on ligand activity are also possible. Indeed, we attribute the generally weaker binding of $\beta$ chain to SAGs measured by BIAcore than by sedimentation equilibrium to subtle effects on SAG conformation/accessibility arising from the immobilization procedure. These results illustrate the importance of determining binding constants by at least two independent techniques.

Discussion

Our results demonstrate that unglycosylated 14.3.d $\beta$ chain, whose three-dimensional structure has been determined to high resolution (15), retains the SAG-binding activities of the assembled, glycosylated $\alpha\beta$ heterodimer. The ability of the recombinant $\beta$ chain to specifically bind SEB, SEC1, SEC2, SEC3, and SPEA is consistent with the known proliferative effects of these toxins on V$\beta$8.2-bearing T cells (2, 36); in contrast, SEA, which does not stimulate cells expressing V$\beta$8.2 (2), does not bind the 14.3.d $\beta$ chain with measurable affinity. We therefore conclude that the structure of the $\beta$ chain, as determined by x-ray crystallography, represents a biologically active conformation of this molecule, at least with respect to those regions responsible for SAG recognition. However, we cannot formally exclude the possibility that other regions of the $\beta$ chain monomer might have a different conformation when associated with $\alpha$ chain in the $\alpha\beta$ heterodimer.

Binding of the 14.3.d $\beta$ chain to all five SAGs tested is
characterized by very fast association rates ($>1 \times 10^5 \text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$) and extremely fast dissociation rates ($>0.1 \text{s}^{-1}$; Fig. 1); the latter account for the relatively weak affinities observed (i.e., 0.9 μM, at best, in the case of SEC3). Rapid dissociation kinetics have also been reported for the interaction of the rat cell adhesion molecules CD2 and CD48 (25), and for the binding of TCRs to peptide/MHC complexes (37, 38). For adhesion molecules, fast dissociation rates may provide a mechanism to facilitate deadhesion, a requirement for cell motility (26). For TCRs, on the other hand, rapid dissociation rates would not appear to be compatible with the relatively stable interaction with ligand believed to be a prerequisite for signal transduction through the TCR/CD3 complex (38, 39). This suggests that T cell activation by SAGs requires the participation of additional surface molecules to stabilize the transient SAG-TCR interaction, in agreement with other studies (4-8). While class II MHC products have been clearly implicated in this role (1-3, 13), recent evidence (14) indicates that other, yet unidentified, molecules may substitute for MHC in presenting SAGs to T cells (see below). Multivalent binding arising from receptor cross-linking would also effectively decrease the off-rate (and increase the half-time) of the TCR-SAG interaction. Alternatively, rapid dissociation rates may actually be critical for T cell triggering by SAGs, as suggested by a recent study in which a single peptide/MHC complex was found to be able to sequentially bind and trigger nearly 200 TCRs (40).

The complexity of TCR-SAG interactions is further illustrated by the finding that a soluble human TCR expressing the Vβ3.1 gene segment binds SAGs with quite different kinetics than the mouse Vβ8.2 TCR described here (12). Binding of the human Vβ3.1 TCR to SEB is characterized by association and dissociation rates which are only moderately fast: $1.3 \times 10^4 \text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $1.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, respectively. The affinity of this TCR for SEB, however, is comparable to that of the 14.3.d β chain for SEC3: 0.8 μM versus 0.9 μM, respectively. On the other hand, SEB was shown to bind HLA-DR1 with kinetics very similar to those we observe for the Vβ8.2-SAG interaction (12); in both cases, the association and dissociation rates were too rapid to be accurately measured. This implies that binding of human Vβ3.1 to SEB helps stabilize the transient SEB-DR1 interaction and, consequently, the TCR-SEB-DR1 complex (12). We might therefore expect the binding of murine Vβ8.2 to SEB to be stabilized by a more kinetically favorable interaction of SEB with class II molecules (i.e., one with a slower dissociation rate).
The much weaker binding of the 14.3.d β chain, or of αβ heterodimer, to SEB than to SEC1, SEC2, SEC3 or SPEA was surprising, since all five toxins are known to stimulate Vβ8.2-bearing T cells (2, 36). Furthermore, SEB was considerably more potent than the other toxins in stimulation assays using the 14.3.d hybridoma (Fig. 5). Incubation of lymph node cells from class II-deficient mice with SEC, but not SEB, resulted in the clonal expansion of Vβ8-positive T cells and the generation of CTL. Similar results were obtained with SEE, except that Vβ11-positive cells were selectively expanded. The responses to SEC and SEA resembled conventional MHC-associated responses in that T cell bearing the same Vβ elements were specifically affected. Our data provide a straightforward explanation for the finding that activation of Vβ8-positive T cells by SEC can occur in the absence of MHC, in contrast to activation by SEB: whereas SEC1, 2, and 3 bind the 14.3.d β chain with \( K_D \)s of 0.9–2.5 μM, the corresponding value for SEB is between 70 and 140 μM (Table 1). Thus, SEs able to bind TCRs with micromolar affinities may not absolutely require the participation of MHC class II molecules to stabilize their interaction with the β chain. Even in the case of MHC-independent activation, however, APC from MHC class II-deficient mice (or from mice lacking both class I and class II) were required for stimulation (14). This suggests that other surface molecules may substitute for MHC in binding certain SEs, and perhaps also the TCR, thereby helping to stabilize TCR-SE interactions.

A further distinction between SEB and SEC may lie in
Figure 5. IL-3 production by the parental 14.3.d hybridoma after stimulation with different SAGs in the presence of A20 APC. The SAG concentrations used are as indicated with the different symbols.

their relative affinities for MHC class II. While SEB has been shown to bind HLA-DR1 and -DQ with a K_d in the micromolar range (9-11), the data on SEC is less clear. In one study, SEC2 was found able to compete with SEB for binding to DR1-positive B cells (41), whereas in another study no binding of SEC1 to cells expressing DR3,5 could be demonstrated (42). Indeed, the differential association of certain SAGs with different class II molecules has been put forward as a possible explanation for the lack of an absolute correlation between V_B expression and SAG reactivity (13).

Table 1. Dissociation Constants for the Binding of Bacterial Toxins to Glycosylated and Unglycosylated 14.3.d β Chain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAG</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>βCHO</th>
<th>βmut</th>
<th>βmut</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BIAcore (X 10^-6 M)</td>
<td>BIAcore (X 10^-6 M)</td>
<td>Centrifuge (X 10^-6 M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC1</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC2</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC3</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPEA</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Affinity measurements by BIAcore and sedimentation equilibrium were carried out as described in Materials and Methods. All experiments were performed at 25°C using the molecular weight values presented in the text and the specific extinction coefficients provided by Toxin Technology, Inc. The value for the association of SEB with Zmut obtained from sedimentation equilibrium is a lower limit for the dissociation constant. NB, no detectable binding; ND, not done.

Figure 6. Sedimentation equilibrium of an equimolar mixture of the 14.3.d β chain with SEC1. Sedimentation was performed at 22,000 rpm in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, at 25°C. Starting concentrations of β chain and SEC1 were 7.5 µM. (Bottom) Absorbance at 280 nm versus distance from the center of rotation in centimeters. (Top) The residuals (A_280 nm - theoretical - A_280 nm observed) for the equilibrium between the two components, yielding a K_D of 2.51 µM, are small and random. Similar results were obtained for SEC2, SEC3, and SPEA.

Figure 7. Space-filling model of the 14.3.d β chain, showing the location of potential N-linked glycosylation sites. The V_B and C_B domains are labeled; CDR1 (residues 25-33) is shown in green, CDR2 (residues 48-56) in purple, and HV4 (residues 69-75) in light brown. The N-linked glycosylation sites at V_B positions 24 and 74 and at C_B position 236 are labeled 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Those at C_B positions 121 and 186 are not visible in this orientation.
While no affinities have been reported for the interaction of SAGs with mouse class II proteins, it tempting to speculate that the very weak binding of SEB to VB8.2 we observe is compensated by a fairly strong association between SEB and mouse class II, hence accounting for the fact that T cell activation by SEB requires MHC (6). Conversely, SEC, even though it may lack appreciable affinity for mouse class II, binds VB8.2 sufficiently tightly to enable it to stim-
ulate T cells independently of MHC. Yet a third scenario is suggested by SEB, which binds both human VB3.1 and DR with micromolar affinities (12). Thus, the same enterotoxin may activate different T cells by different mechanisms, depending on the particular VB element they express and on the specific MHC background of the host.

As shown in Table 1, glycosylated 14.3.d R chain binds SEC1, 2, and 3 with essentially the same affinity as unglycosylated R chain. This indicates that carbohydrate does not contribute to SAG binding, at least in this particular case. This result is somewhat surprising since the potential N-linked glycosylation sites at VB residues Asn24 and Asn74, which were mutated to glutamine (15), are at or near the putative SAG binding site in the three-dimensional structure of the VB chain (Fig. 7). In particular, Asn74 is part of the so-called fourth hypervariable region (HV4), which has been directly implicated in SAG recognition (3), while Asn24 would be expected to lie adjacent to Asn74 in the unmutated structure. One explanation for the lack of effect of mutations at these positions is that neither Asn24 nor Asn74 is actually glycosylated. We consider this unlikely, as both residues are located on the surface of the protein. Alternatively, these residues may in fact lie at the periphery of the combining site for bacterial SAGs. It is worth noting in this respect that mutation of Asn24 to glutamate has been shown to confer reactivity to Us-1 (43). This suggests that viral and bacterial SAGs bind somewhat different regions of the VB domain.

To investigate the structural basis for the SAG-binding specificity of different VB chains, pairwise comparisons were carried out between mouse VB8.2 and VB1, VB3, VB7, and VB11 based on the crystal structure of the VB8.2 domain (Fig. 8). While VB3, VB7, VB8.2, and VB11 all react with SEC (and VB3, VB7, and VB8.2 react with SEC as well), VB1 does not react with either SEB or SEC, but only with SEA, which does not bind VB8.2 (2). As might be expected, a comparison of conserved residues on the putative SAG-binding face of the VB8.2 domain reveals that VB1 indeed has fewer residues in common with VB8.2 than do VB3, VB7, or VB11. Excluding residues unlikely to be involved in direct contacts with bacterial SAGs because they lie at the periphery of this surface (Thr5, Arg9, Val12, Asn30, Pro110, Arg113, and Leu116a), VB8.2 shares five residues with VB3 (Pro8, Lys18, Pro70, Ser71, and Ser76), five residues with VB7 (Val19, Ser68, Glu73, Ser76, and Ileu78), five residues with VB11 (Pro8, Lys11, Thr20, Pro70, and Gln72), but only three residues with VB1 (Ser7, Pro8, and Pro70). What is remarkable, however, is that even between VB8.2 and VB3, VB7, or VB11, all of which react with a similar spectrum of SAGs, the number of common residues is relatively small; furthermore, these residues are not particularly concentrated in HV4. This indicates that certain SAGs (SEC3, for example, which reacts with VB3, VB7, and VB8.2) are able to recognize very different molecular surfaces present on different VB domains. One way this could occur is if a single SAG possessed several distinct VB-binding sites. However, a recent x-ray crystallographic study of an idiotope--anti-idiotope complex (44) suggests that it is not necessary to postulate multiple binding sites to explain the recognition of topographically different surfaces by a single molecular species. In this study, the contacts made between an anti-lysozyme antibody (D1.3) and an anti-idiotopic antibody (E5.2) raised against it were compared with the contacts made between D1.3 and lysozyme in the original antigen--antibody complex (45). Surprisingly, it was found that D1.3 employed essentially the same set of combining site residues (and most of the same atoms) in binding lysozyme as in binding E5.2, despite the fact that the sites on lysozyme and E5.2 recognized by D1.3 were structurally unrelated. Whether similar mechanisms operate to permit individual SAGs to interact with a number of different VB families must await direct structural studies of VB chain-SAG complexes.
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Note added in proof: Since this manuscript was submitted, we have determined the crystal structure of a complex between the 14.3.d VB chain and SEC3. Superantigen contacts are mainly with CDR1, CDR2, and HV4, rather than with framework residues. The glycosylation sites at VB positions 24 and 74 are not directly implicated in the VB chain-SEC3 interface.
References


