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A previous communication (1) in this series reported that transient, very rapid macroglobulin antibody responses could be induced in rabbits by small doses of poliovirus (PV) antigen. 19S antibody appeared 8 to 16 hours following immunization in such responses. It was also observed that almost all normal rabbit sera (NRS) contained low background neutralizing activity to several viral antigens, among them PV (2). In the present study the physicochemical nature, cross-reactivity, and avidity of the serum factor responsible for this background neutralization of PV and its relationship to the rapid 19S antibody response, were explored.

Normal antibody has been reported to differ from immune antibody in specificity (3) and thermostability (3–6). While in those studies normal antibody was compared with immune antibody collected at a fairly late stage in the course of immunization, in the present study, normal antibody was compared with the earliest detectable induced antibody. Under these circumstances it was found that the physicochemical properties, cross-reactivity, and avidity of normal and early immune antibody to PV were similar, if not identical. The thermodynamic data for the neutralization of PV by normal antibody were the same as those of serological reactions employing immune antibody.

Materials and Methods

Virus.—Type 1 (Brunhilde), type 2 (MEF-1), and type 3 (Saukett) of poliovirus and Coxsackie viruses B-4 and B-51 were used. Poliovirus, types 1 and 2, were cloned on HeLa monolayers. All viruses were grown on HeLa monolayers, and virus stocks were partly purified and concentrated by differential centrifugation prior to their storage at −20°C. The virus stocks contained about 1 μg protein per 10⁴ plaque-forming units (PFU).

* This investigation was supported in part by research grant E-4360 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, United States Public Health Service.
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1 The Coxsackie viruses were kindly supplied by Dr. John P. Fox.
Immunization Procedure.—Healthy, 3½ to 5 months old female, randomly inbred Chinchilla-Flemish rabbits weighing 2½ to 3½ kg were given a single intravenous injection of 2 ml diluted stock virus \(8 \times 10^9\) PFU into the marginal ear vein. At various intervals thereafter the rabbits were bled by cardiac puncture, the blood allowed to clot, and the sera collected and stored at -70° or 3°C without preservatives.

Assay of Virus-Neutralizing Antibody, Zone Density Gradient Ultracentrifugation, Zone Electrophoresis, Reduction of Serum Macroglobulins with Mercaptans, Ultraviolet Light Inactivation of Virus, and Protein Determination.—These methods were the same as described in previous papers (2, 7) in this series.

Acid Reactivation of Neutralized Virus.—Virus-serum mixtures were diluted tenfold in a buffered salt solution of the desired pH and left at room temperature for 40 minutes. The pH was then adjusted to neutrality by the addition of 24 volumes of ice-cold neutral-buffered salt solution. When dissociation of virus-antibody complexes was carried out at pH levels between 2 and 3 further adjustment with minute amounts of 0.1 M NaOH was required. The virus-serum mixtures were then immediately assayed on HeLa monolayer cultures.

RESULTS

Occurrence and Cross-Reactivity of the Virus-Neutralizing Activity in Normal Rabbit Sera.—Twenty-eight randomly collected NRS were tested simultaneously against Coxsackie B-4 virus and poliovirus type 1 (PV-1). It can be seen in Fig. 1 that titers of neutralizing activity against PV-1 were generally higher and more widely distributed than titers against Coxsackie B-4. The fact that the ratio of the neutralizing titers to these two viruses varied greatly from one serum to another, suggested that the activity had some specificity.

In order to test the cross-reactivity of the background antiviral activity further, five NRS with moderate to high activity against PV-1 were tested against poliovirus type 2 (PV-2), poliovirus type 3 (PV-3), Coxsackie B-4, Coxsackie B-5, and phage T-2\(^2\) (Fig. 2). The neutralization of these viruses, except PV-2, was only slight and independent of the activity against PV-1. When electrophoretically purified PV-neutralizing factor from NRS was used, the results were similar. The activity of whole normal sera or normal serum fractions against the closely serologically related PV-2 however, was quantitatively related to the same sera’s activity against PV-1 (Fig. 2). Similarly, anti-PV-1 immune sera cross-reacted with PV-2 but showed no or only very low background neutralization to Coxsackie B-4, Coxsackie B-5, or T-2.

The specificity of the PV-neutralizing factor in NRS was further established by absorption with antigen. In one absorption experiment an undiluted NRS with moderate activity against PV-1 was divided into five aliquots, two of which were absorbed with ultraviolet light-irradiated PV-1. Two others were absorbed with similarly irradiated Coxsackie B-4 virus, and the fifth aliquot served as an unabsorbed control. Two virus concentrations were employed and the absorption was carried out at 4°C for 4 days, with virus added once daily. Virus and virus-antibody complexes were then pelleted by centrifuga-

---

\(^2\) Kindly assayed for phage-neutralizing activity by Dr. Marvin Fishman.
Fig. 1. Distribution of background-neutralizing activity to poliovirus, type 1 and Coxsackie virus, B-4 among twenty-eight randomly collected normal rabbit sera.
tion at 46,000 RPM for 2 hours and the supernatants tested for activity against PV-1. It can be seen in Table I that both concentrations of PV-1 absorbed out virtually all neutralizing activity against this virus, while absorption with heterologous Coxsackie virus resulted in only a very slight reduction of the same activity.
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**Fig. 2.** Cross-reactivity of normal and early immune poliovirus (type 1)-neutralizing antibody to five other viral antigens. The immune serum was collected 2 days after a single intravenous injection of $8 \times 10^6$ PFU of virus. NRS, normal rabbit serum. IRS, immune rabbit serum.

An attempt was made to recover the activity against PV-1 by acid dissociation of the sedimented PV-normal antibody complexes. All pellets were washed with buffer of neutral pH, resuspended in a buffer of pH 2.2, left at room temperature for 40 minutes, and recentrifuged at 46,000 RPM for 2 hours. The supernatants were then collected, adjusted to neutral pH, and assayed for activity against PV-1. Table I shows that efficient recovery of activity was obtained only from those pellets which contained PV-normal antibody complexes.

**Cross-Reactivity of the Poliovirus-Neutralizing Activity in Early Immune**
Rabbit Sera.—In further experiments it was determined whether the activity of normal and early immune antibody to PV-1 could be reduced after absorption of the sera on HeLa monolayers or HeLa cell debris. The possibility was considered that some early immune antibody was produced against antigenic components of HeLa cell origin which were present in the partly purified virus preparation used for immunization. In the assay for early antibody to PV-1 the absorption of such antibody to HeLa monolayers might interfere with subsequent virus absorption (8) thus simulating the presence of neutralizing antibody to PV-1. To investigate this, the kinetics of the reaction at 37°C between virus and a 2 day immune rabbit serum (IRS) was studied. One of the determinations was made with serum previously absorbed on HeLa monolayers. A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Absorption of Normal Rabbit Antibody to Poliovirus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absorption with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFU prior to UV irradiation*......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutralizing units (log_{10}) in absorbed sera.............</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutralizing activity,$ recovered by acid dissociation of virus-antibody complexes ..........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poliovirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 × 10^9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Both viruses were irradiated to a survival of ~ 10 PFU/0.5 ml.
† The activity is expressed in per cent of the highest neutralization obtained.

NRS was similarly absorbed and tested. Fig. 3 shows that the kinetic curves for unabsorbed and absorbed (40 minutes at 37°C) aliquots of the same sera were indistinguishable, indicating that no appreciable activity had been absorbed by the cells. Neither did the sensitivity of the monolayers to PV-1 infection (plaque count and plaque size) change after their incubation with these early IRS or NRS, again suggesting that the antibody did not absorb to the monolayer. Two-day IRS were also incubated for 40 minutes at 37°C with HeLa cell debris, obtained by three cycles of freezing and thawing of 2 × 10^7 freshly harvested cells. Such absorbed sera, clarified by centrifugation, caused as much virus neutralization as untreated serum aliquots.

**Physicochemical Properties of the Virus-Neutralizing Factor in Normal and Early Immune Rabbit Sera.—**

Electrophoretic mobility: The neutralizing factor in NRS with high activity and in early IRS was recovered in the γ₁-β-region when these sera were subjected to starch block zone electrophoresis (Fig. 4). In comparing the mobility of
normal and early immune antibody, only immune antibody from rabbits devoid of background activity was used. Thus, the results in Fig. 4 were obtained with sera from two different animals. The electrophoretic distribution of the early (2-day) immune antibody to PV-1 was broader, and this antibody tended to migrate predominantly to the β-region, that is, slightly faster than the normal antibody. In contrast, most of the immune 19S antibody synthesized from day 3 on was associated with γ1-globulins (9). The sequential changes which occur in the electrophoretic mobility of immune 7S and 19S antibodies after immunization were previously described (9).

Sedimentation coefficient: When NRS with high activity to both Coxsackie B-4 virus and PV-1 were subjected to zonal density gradient centrifugation in sucrose the activity against the two viruses was associated with the same rapidly sedimenting fractions. Typical results are shown in Fig. 4 (top figure) and Table II (left column). In less than 10 per cent of NRS traces of activity against PV-1...
Fig. 4. Distribution of normal and early immune poliovirus-neutralizing antibody in two rabbit sera when subjected to starch block zone electrophoresis (bottom figure) and zonal density gradient centrifugation in sucrose (top figure). The immune serum was collected 2 days after a single intravenous injection of $8 \times 10^6$ PFU of virus. NRS, normal rabbit serum. IRS, immune rabbit serum.
were obtained also in fractions sedimenting slightly faster (≈ 7S) than the bromphenol blue-labeled 4.5S albumin. This is illustrated in serum 2 in Table II.

In one instance a NRS with weak activity to PV-1 was concentrated by dialysis against carbowax 20-M (Union Carbide Chemicals Co., New York) and subjected to density gradient centrifugation. Here too, neutralizing activity was found in the most rapidly sedimenting fractions of the sucrose gradient. In respect to specificity, electrophoretic and sedimentation properties the PV-neutralizing substance in NRS thus conforms to the definition of antibody.

**Thermostability:** Inactivation curves were obtained when NRS and IRS were heated for 30 minutes at temperatures ranging from 53° to 86°C (Fig. 5). Only immune sera from animals devoid of background activity were used so that the results would not be obscured by the presence of normal antibody.

The activity of NRS and immune sera collected prior to day 4 was lost in a complex manner. A trace of the more labile component appeared to remain in 4-day sera but was not detectable in subsequently collected sera. Since all sera were diluted to the same extent in buffered-salt solution prior to heating in

### TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction No.</th>
<th>Serum 1</th>
<th>Serum 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poliovirus type 1</td>
<td>Coxsackie B-4 virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>per cent</td>
<td>per cent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Areas in *italics* indicate albumin bands.*

Gradient was collected by puncture of the bottom of the tube.
order to maintain similar protein concentrations, sera collected 7 days post-
immunization or later had much higher antibody levels than early IRS or NRS. The possibility was considered that the heat-labile component was also present in the former sera but obscured by their higher antibody titers. Potent 120-
day IRS were diluted in NRS (devoid of activity) so that their antibody titers would approach those of 3-day IRS. When such sera, as well as very weak, late
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**Fig. 5.** Thermal inactivation curves for normal and immune poliovirus-neutralizing antibody. Diluted sera, of similar protein levels, were heated for 30 minutes at each indicated temperature. The 3, 4, 7 and 120 day immune sera were obtained from the same rabbit following a single intravenous injection of \(8 \times 10^8\) PFU of virus. NRS, normal rabbit serum. IRS, immune rabbit serum.

(280-day) IRS were heated, only one temperature-dependent component was observed (Fig. 5). This is compatible with the denaturation of a single type of molecule.

The part of the inactivation curves which represented the loss of the first 80 per cent of the activity differed distinctly when NRS or 2- to 3-day IRS were compared with IRS collected later. The inactivation of the remaining 20 per cent displayed similar slopes in all sera. These results suggested the inactivation in NRS and very early IRS of two entities both participating in the neutralization of PV, with the more thermostable one also present in late IRS. The steeper slopes, shown in Fig. 5, most likely represent antibody denaturation, while the
more labile component may reflect inactivation of one of the components of complement (C'). The steeper part of the inactivation curves showed a gradual displacement towards higher temperatures for IRS collected later in the course of immunization. Since this could be related to neither the protein content nor
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Fig. 6. Kinetics of thermal inactivation of poliovirus-neutralizing antibody in electrophoretically isolated serum fractions. All 3 sera were collected after stimulation with $8 \times 10^8$ PFU of virus; the 3 and 14 day sera were obtained from the same animal.

the antibody titer of such sera, it indicated a progressive increase in the thermostability of the antibody with time after immunization.

When NRS or early IRS were heated at 69°C the labile serum component was inactivated within about 15 minutes. Thereafter the neutralizing activity was lost at a very slow rate. The inactivation data for the labile component were compatible with a destruction of $C''$-3 and/or $C''$-4. However, the addition of
freshly collected NRS (diluted 1:4 or 1:16) or normal guinea pig serum (diluted 1:4), failed to restore the activity.

Fig. 6 illustrates results obtained when electrophoretically isolated serum fractions with antibody activity were heated at 69°C. The 3- and 14-day sera were collected from the same animal. Electrophoretic fractions representing the antibody peaks in 3- (□) and 120-day sera (■) differed strikingly in their thermostability. Because the antibodies in these fractions were associated with 19S γ1- and 7S γ2-globulins respectively, their differences in stability could be due entirely to these differences in molecular properties. But it could also be

### TABLE III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2-Mercaptoethanol concentration</th>
<th>Incubation with 2-mercaptoethanol</th>
<th>Type of serum</th>
<th>Zonal density centrifugation results</th>
<th>Material treated</th>
<th>Antibody titer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>NRS*</td>
<td>1 day—3°C</td>
<td>Whole serum</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>NRS</td>
<td>1 day—3°C</td>
<td>Whole serum</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>4-day IRS*</td>
<td>1 day—3°C</td>
<td>Whole serum</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>NRS</td>
<td>5 days—3°C</td>
<td>Whole serum</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2-day IRS</td>
<td>5 days—3°C</td>
<td>Whole serum</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>4-day IRS</td>
<td>5 days—3°C</td>
<td>Whole serum</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>4-day IRS</td>
<td>1 day—3°C</td>
<td>Whole serum</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>9-month IRS</td>
<td>1 day—3°C</td>
<td>Whole serum</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NRS, normal rabbit serum; IRS, immune rabbit serum.
† Isolated by zonal density gradient ultracentrifugation.

the result of other structural changes in antibodies occurring with time after immunization. To examine this, the stability of antibodies of different mobilities (and molecular weight) from the same 14 day serum, was ascertained (Fig. 6). It was found that the antibodies associated with β-globulins (19S) and γ1-globulins (mainly 19S) were inactivated only slightly faster than the 7S γ2-antibodies. Since these results could not explain the pronounced difference in thermostability between the two molecular types of antibody in 3- and 120-day sera, it appeared, that the thermostability of both 19S and 7S antibody increased with time after immunization. That the thermostability of antibody molecules was largely unrelated to their net charge was further supported by the finding that 19S γ1- and 19S β-antibodies from the same 7 day serum were inactivated at the same rate at 69°C.

**Effect of 2-Mercaptoethanol on Normal and Early Immune 19S Antibodies to Poliovirus.**—The sensitivity of the two macroglobulin antibodies to 2-mercaptoethanol was studied. Table III shows that incubation with 0.05 M 2-mercaptoethanol for
1 day at 3°C resulted in incomplete inactivation of the neutralizing activity, while treatment with 0.1 M for 5 days at 3°C effectively abolished the activity of both normal and early immune 19S antibodies. The lower borderline concentration (0.05 M) was used primarily because it could be expected to detect minor differences between the two macroglobulin antibodies in mercaptan sensitivity. When the final concentration of mercaptan was 0.2 M only 1 day's incubation at 3°C was needed for complete destruction of the antibody activity. Immune 7S antibodies, in contrast, were unaffected by incubation with as much as 0.8 M mercaptan (9). Thus, the macroglobulin antibody in normal rabbit sera has similar sensitivity to reduction by mercaptan as the early immune antibody.

The Avidity of Normal and Early Immune 19S Antibodies.—It was reported

---

The term avidity as used here refers to the firmness of the virus-antibody complex as measured by the efficiency with which infectious virus can be recovered at acid pH. Thus, avidity is not expressed by any particular thermodynamic constant.
(10) that the early immune 19S antibody to PV formed easily dissociable complexes with the virus. In the present study, acid dissociation (11, 12) of virus-antibody complexes was employed in a comparison of the avidity of normal and early immune 19S antibodies. Two thousand to 4000 PFU of PV-1 were allowed to react overnight at 4°C with serum dilutions which neutralized 80 to 90 per cent of the virus. Acid reactivation was then carried out on samples of the reaction mixture at pH levels ranging from 2.0–6.6. This method of dissociation resulted in no loss of virus and only slight inactivation of antibody between pH 2.0 and 3.5.

Fig. 7 shows results obtained when the avidity of normal 19S antibody was compared with that of early (2-day) and late (150-day) immune antibodies. The percentage virus reactivation at different pH levels was plotted as frequency polygons (13) in order to allow an estimation of antibody homogeneity (the width of the base of the distributions) and separation of antibody populations of differing avidity. The reduction in virus recovery on the more acid side of the curves is not due to a decrease in the efficiency of dissociation of virus-antibody complexes but to the fact that at these pH values most of the virus has already been recovered.
For NRS the percentage virus reactivation at different pH levels followed a smooth symmetric curve, indicative of an approximately normal distribution of antibody of low avidity. The 2-day IRS contained antibody of similar low avidity but the reactivation curve showed a slight positive skewness, suggesting a beginning trend towards synthesis of more avid antibody. It was subsequently found that 19S antibodies of higher avidity had already started to appear on the 3rd and 4th day following immunization (14). Using NRS or 2-day IRS, most virus and antibody was recovered at about pH 4.0, while virtually no virus reactivation occurred at this pH with the use of late IRS which contained predominantly 7S γ2-antibody. The 150-day IRS also gave a positively skewed distribution which may indicate that the trend towards synthesis of more avid antibody still continues.

Kinetics and Thermodynamic Parameters of Neutralization of Poliovirus by Normal 19S γ1-β-antibody.—The neutralization of PV by normal 19S γ1-β-antibody appears to be a pseudo first order reaction, without any apparent lag phase (Fig. 8). When the kinetics of the reaction were studied at 27 and 37°C the temperature coefficient (Q10) was 1.6. In reactions where the reaction rate is governed mainly by the diffusion (collision frequency) of the two reactants, Q10 has a value of 1.4 to 1.5.

The free energy change (E_{\text{act}}) for the reaction was about $-9 \text{kcal/mole}$, which is similar to or somewhat higher than $E_{\text{act}}$ values reported for serological reactions employing immune antibody (15, 16). Using the Eyring equation (17), the enthalpy change (\Delta H) was calculated to be $-8 \text{kcal/mole}$, in excellent agreement with data for immune antibody-hapten interactions obtained by equilibrium dialysis (16). The entropy change (\Delta S) was 36 cal/mole/degree.

DISCUSSION

A previous paper in this series (2) reported that background neutralizing activity against several viral antigens, among them PV-1, could be detected in most normal rabbit sera, provided sufficiently sensitive assay procedures were employed. The origin and specificity of such background or normal antibodies has long been a matter of controversy. The distinction between normal and immune antibody has been based on reported differences in specificity and thermostability (3–6).

In recent studies (18–21) normal antibody was found however to possess considerable specificity. This was observed as a lack of correlation between the activities of a given serum to various antigens and the corresponding activities of another serum (18, 21). Similar results were obtained in the present study when NRS were tested simultaneously against PV-1, PV-3, Coxsackie B-4 and B-5 viruses, and phage T-2. In contrast, sera which neutralized PV-1 also had low, but proportional activity against the serologically related PV-2. The specificity of the normal antibody to PV-1 was further established by the
finding that this antibody could not be absorbed by serologically unrelated antigens, while absorption of normal rabbit sera with ultraviolet-irradiated PV-1 caused virtually complete loss of the antibody activity.

The background neutralization of PV-1 and Coxsackie B-4 virus was found to be associated with relatively heat-stable, mercaptan-sensitive, \( \gamma_1 \beta \)-macroglobulins of the 19S type. Normal antibodies have usually been described as more heat-labile than immune antibodies (3-6). In these studies normal antibodies were compared with immune antibodies collected fairly late in the course of immunization. Since the present findings, and those of Michael and Rosen (22), have shown that normal and late immune antibodies differ distinctly in their physical properties the above results probably represent a difference in heat stability of antibodies of different physicochemical properties rather than a difference between normal and immune antibodies per se. This notion is supported by the observation that normal and early immune antibody to PV-1, both of which are associated with 19S \( \gamma_1 \beta \)-globulins, showed nearly identical, 2-component thermal inactivation curves, while the late immune 7S \( \gamma_2 \)-globulin antibody was more thermostable. The dissimilarity in heat stability of these two antibodies appeared to be unrelated to differences in their net charge (\( \gamma_1 \beta \) vs. \( \gamma_2 \)). Heat inactivation data obtained with mixed protein preparations must be interpreted with caution. Non-antibody molecules, particularly serum albumins, may stick to antibody molecules during the heating (23), and cause loss of activity, thus simulating antibody denaturation. But since the protein levels were similar in heated sera, and the same results were obtained with electrophoretically purified antibodies to PV-1, interactions with other serum proteins could not explain the marked difference in thermostability between normal and early immune antibody on the one hand and late immune antibody on the other.

The 2-component inactivation curves obtained with normal and early immune rabbit sera suggested the presence of two molecular species participating in the neutralization of PV. This finding was probably related to another described difference between normal and immune antibodies, namely in their dependence on complement (4, 19, 24-26). But recent studies by Muschel and Toussaint (27) have indicated that both normal and early immune antibodies, in contrast to late immune antibodies, are dependent on or potentiated by complement. Thus, the distinction is made again between antibodies of different physical properties rather than between normal and immune antibodies.

In the present study normal rabbit antibody to PV was found to be of uniformly low avidity. The dependence of antigen-normal antibody complexes on complement as a stabilizer (26, 27) is in agreement with this finding. It was shown that normal and early immune antibodies to PV, having similar physicochemical properties, also do not differ in avidity. Both these antibodies form loose complexes with the viral antigen.
The normal 19S γ₁,β-antibody appeared to neutralize PV principally in accordance with first order kinetics, and the thermodynamic parameters of this reaction did not differ significantly from those of serological reactions employing immune antibody (15, 16). A gain in entropy was observed for the antigen-normal antibody complex molecules; this has been reported also for antigen-immune antibody systems (16). This unexpected finding was attributed to the liberation of ion-bound water from the reagent molecules (28).

Only rarely was a NRS encountered which contained, besides normal antibody of the 19S γ₁,β-type, also minor amounts of background neutralization due to 7S γ₁-globulins. It is of interest, that Michael and Rosen (22) found bactericidal antibody to Gram-negative bacteria to be associated with γ₁-globulins in only 10 per cent of normal human sera, all of which contained normal antibody of the γ₁-macroglobulin type. Properdin, which appears to be an antibody system with specificity to a large number of naturally occurring antigenic determinants of lipopolysaccharide nature (29) has also been reported to be a γ₁-macroglobulin (30). Several lines of evidence suggest that the properdin system is identical with the bactericidal effect of normal antibody and complement (26, 29, 31).

It is proposed that the macroglobulin antibodies to viral antigens found in normal sera are associated with an immunological response to repeated stimulation with minute amounts of cross-reacting or identical antigenic determinants. This proposal is consistent with the finding (1, 7) that only 19S antibody was produced following single as well as repeated stimulation with very small doses of PV antigen. Further, since repeated antigenic stimulation is necessary to maintain a certain level of macroglobulin antibody in normal sera, it is postulated that this antibody response, like the immune 19S antibody response to PV (1, 7) lacks or has a very short "immunological memory." This theory is also supported by the observation that no booster effect is demonstrable in the 19S antibody response to PV-1 or Coxsackie B-4 virus in rabbits with high background (2). Normal antibody levels to PV appeared to be higher in older animals which may also support the "immune" theory since the chance of encountering a particular antigen would increase with the age of the animal. Preliminary data suggest that the formation of normal 19S antibody to PV in the rabbit is suppressed by whole body x-irradiation (700 roentgens). Similar findings have been made for the background-neutralizing activity to T-2 in rats (32). Finally, when serum levels of normal antibody to PV-1 were followed over a period of 1½ years, they were found to fluctuate in a manner compatible with the concept that they represented immune 19S antibody responses. The reduction in properdin (normal antibody) levels in germ-free animals (33) is also in agreement with this notion. The formation of immune 19S antibody was also reported to precede 7S antibody in studies with other antigens (34–38). Since the successful demonstration of background activity appears to depend
more on the sensitivity of the assay procedure than on the antigen used one may consider the possibility that in these systems too low specific activity was associated with a macroglobulin in the preimmunization sera.

The absence of a demonstrable "booster effect" in the immune 19S antibody response of rabbits with normal 19S antibody was surprising, since a memory effect was observed in immune 19S antibody formation when antigenic restimulation occurred within the 1st week of the response, at a time when antibody synthesis proceeded at a moderate or high rate (7). However, since anamnestic 19S antibody responses were obtained only when background levels of immune 19S antibody were about ten times as high as observed backgrounds of normal antibody, the background of cells producing normal antibody may be too low to allow the demonstration of a booster effect.

Rabbit sera were found to possess neutralizing activity (normal antibody) to polioviruses and Coxsackie B viruses. This normal antibody showed high specificity in cross-neutralization and absorption tests. It was associated with heat-stable, mercaptan-sensitive, 19S γ1-β-macroglobulins, which formed weak complexes with the viral antigen. In rare instances, sera with normal macroglobulin antibody, also contained very low activity which was due to 7S γ2-globulins. The neutralization of poliovirus by normal 19S γ1-β-antibody appeared to follow first order kinetics, and the thermodynamic parameters of this reaction were the same as those of serological reactions employing immune antibody.

The electrophoretic mobility, sedimentation properties, sensitivity to mercaptan, thermostability, and avidity of normal and early (up to day 3) immune antibodies to poliovirus were similar, but differed in several respects from those of late immune antibodies. Thus, the available evidence suggested, that earlier reported differences between normal and immune antibodies reflected differences between antibodies of diverse physicochemical properties rather than between normal and immune antibodies per se.

It is proposed that the normal macroglobulin antibody is associated with an immunological response to repeated stimulation with minute amounts of antigen.
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